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[^0]Abstract
Cdc2-like kinase 1 (CLK1) and dual specificity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinase 1A (DYRK1A) are involved in the regulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Dysregulation of this process has been linked to cancer progression and neurodegenerative diseases, making CLK1 and DYRK1A important therapeutic targets. Here we describe the synthesis of new pyrido[3,4$g$ ]quinazoline derivatives and the evaluation of the inhibitory potencies of these compounds toward CDK5, CK1, GSK3, CLK1 and DYRK1A. Introduction of aminoalkylamino groups at the 2position resulted in several compounds with low nanomolar affinity and selective inhibition of CLK1 and/or DYRK1A. Their evaluation on several immortalized or cancerous cell lines showed varying degree of cell viability reduction. Co-crystal structures of CLK1 with two of the most potent compounds revealed two alternative binding modes of the pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline scaffold that can be exploited for future inhibitor design.

Graphical abstract


9m bound to CLK1 (PDB ID: 6Q8P)

Protein kinases are important cellular targets for the development of novel chemical probes and drugs in many therapeutic areas, including cancer, neurodegenerative disorders, inflammation or pain therapy. To date, more than five hundred protein kinases have been identified in the human kinome. All of them share the same co-factor (ATP), and the ATP binding pocket shows high structural conservation in most of them. Developing kinase inhibitors that display selectivity within the human kinome, therefore, remains a major challenge [1]. However, as shown by FDA approved drugs, especially in oncology, absolute selectivity for a single kinase is not always needed [2]. As part of our ongoing efforts toward the development of potent and selective kinase inhibitors, we recently described pyrido[3,4-g]quinazolines as inhibitors of Cdc2-like kinases (CLK1) and dual specificity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinases (DYRK1A) [3]. CLK1 and DYRK1A are involved in the regulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing via SR-protein phosphorylation, and dysfunction of this tightly regulated process is linked to the progression of cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, and viral infections [4,5]. As reported in recent reviews, various chemical scaffolds could lead to potent DYRK1A and/or CLK1 inhibitors [6-9]. We carried out a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study around the tricyclic pyridoquinazoline scaffold. Previous results demonstrated that the substitution by nitro/amino groups at the 10 -position is essential to target CLK1 and/or DYRK1A kinases [3]. The introduction of alkyl/aryl substituents at the 5position led to a change in the kinase inhibition profile, as 5 -substituted derivatives exhibited improved potencies toward CDK5/GSK3, while CLK1/DYRK1A inhibition was impaired (Figure 1A) [10]. Since modification of this heteroaromatic scaffold resulted in a major change in activity and selectivity, we decided to further extend the SAR studies on the pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline scaffold by varying the substitution at the 2-position (Figure 1A).
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Figure 1. Design strategy for the optimization of pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-based kinase inhibitors. A) Structures of pyrido $3,4-g]$ quinazolines identified as CLK1/DYRK1A protein kinase inhibitors, highlighting earlier SAR results (blue and red) [3,10] and the optimization strategy employed in this work. B) Structure of the lead compound $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ bound to the ATP binding site of CLK1 (PDB ID: 5J1V). Hydrogen bonds between the compound and CLK1 are shown as dashed lines.

## Results and discussion

## Synthesis of compound library

Analysis of the binding mode of the best CLK1/DYRK1A inhibitor of the pyrido[3,4$g]$ quinazolines series showed that the aminopyrimidine moiety forms two hydrogen-bonds with the backbone amine and carbonyl group of Leu244 upon binding to CLK1 (Figure 1B). Additionally, the pyridine nitrogen atom is hydrogen-bonded to the Lys191 side chain [3]. Our aim was to extend
this lead compound by adding a series of aminoalkylamino groups at the 2-position to figure out if this alters the potency or selectivity of the compounds. To confirm the importance of the amino group at the pyrimidine moiety 2-position in the interaction with the targeted kinases, we first synthesized presumed inactive analogues 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ missing the 2-amino function, using benzamidine hydrochloride salt. Compound 2 was obtained in $22 \%$ yield by reacting isoquinoline $\mathbf{1}$, which was prepared according to a previously reported procedure [3], with benzamidine hydrochloride salt. The reduction of the nitro group by catalytic hydrogenation led quantitatively to 3 (Scheme 1 ).


Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 2, $\mathbf{3}$ and diversely substituted guanidines 8a-l. Reagents and conditions: (a) Benzamidine $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{DMA}$ (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ (c) Method A: 4 or 5,

DIPEA, amine, DMF; Method B: $\mathbf{4}$ or $5, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, amine, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; Method $\mathrm{C}: \mathbf{4}$ or 5, amine, toluene (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, EtOH (e) $O$-methylisourea bisulfate salt, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

We then condensed $\mathbf{1}$ with diversely substituted guanidines in order to modify the substituent at position 2. The preparation of guanidines that were not commercially available started from phthalimides $\mathbf{4}$ or $\mathbf{5}$, which were reacted with various amines to give $\mathbf{6 b} \mathbf{- f}, \mathbf{h}-\mathbf{l}$ in $40 \%$ to quantitative yields (Scheme 1). This step was performed according to published procedures (method A [11], method B [12], and method C [13]). After hydrazinolysis, amines 7b-f, h-l were obtained in acceptable yields ( $43 \%$ to quantitative). Reaction of commercially available ( $\mathbf{7 a}, \mathbf{7 g}$ ) or prepared amines (7b-f, h-l) with $O$-methylisourea bisulfate [14] led to the desired guanidines 8a-l in acceptable to good yields ( $42 \%$ to $88 \%$ ).

Next, different $N$-substituted-aminopyrimidines $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{m}$ were obtained by reaction between isoquinoline 1 and the guanidine bisulfates. Reactions were performed using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in DMA [15] under conventional heating conditions. Finally, the nitro derivatives were reduced to their amino analogues 10a-m under hydrogen atmosphere to further extend the compound library (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{9 a - m}$ and 10a-m. Reagents and conditions: (a) Guanidine hemisulfate $\mathbf{8 a - m}$ ( $\mathbf{8 m}$ commercially available as chlorhydrate salt), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMA}$ (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (8:2).

## Kinase inhibition assays

The inhibitory potency toward CDK5/p25, CLK1, DYRK1A, CK1 $\delta / \varepsilon$ and GSK-3 $\alpha / \beta$ of nitro derivatives 2, 9a-m, amino analogues 3, 10a-m, references $9(R=H)$ and $\mathbf{1 0}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ was evaluated (Table 1).

Table 1. Kinase inhibition assays (\% residual kinase activity).

| Cpds | Kinase inhibition (\% residual activity and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CDK5 |  | CLK1 |  | DYRK1A |  | CK1 |  | GSK3 |  |
|  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 2 | 62 | 100 | 17 | 71 | 38 | 100 | 57 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 3 | 65 | 92 | 32 | 68 | 41 | 80 | 51 | 62 | 40 | 85 |
| Ref 9 (R=H) | ( $>10^{4} \mathrm{nM}$ ) |  | (69 nM) |  | ( 620 nM ) |  | (780 nM) |  | $\left(2.210^{3} \mathrm{nM}\right)$ |  |
| 9 a | 52 | 100 | 5 <br> (62 | ${ }_{1)}^{22}$ | 17 | 89 | $36$ |  | 21 | 70 |
| 9b | 79 | 100 | 8 | 46 | 47 | 88 | 78 | 91 | 26 | 74 |
| 9c | 69 | 100 | 5 | 36 | 20 | 73 | 75 | 93 | 43 | 88 |
| 9d | 77 | 84 | 0 | 32 | 6 | 32 | 38 | 63 | 22 | 69 |
| 9 e | 85 | 96 | 1 | 42 | 26 | 52 | 37 | 81 | 1 | 39 |
| 9 f | 83 | 100 | 7 | 27 | 29 | 83 | 73 | 92 | 33 | 81 |
| 9g | 64 | 100 | 3 | 27 | 31 | 84 | 59 | 86 | 24 | 80 |
| 9h | 50 | 100 | 4 | 31 | 23 | 72 | 59 | 87 | 24 | 92 |
| 9 i | 60 | 100 | 3 <br> (12 |  | 10 | 68 | 38 | 100 | 15 | 76 |
| 9j | 48 | 100 | 8 <br> (133 | $22$ | 3 | 54 | 32 | 92 | 2 | 59 |
| 9k | 53 | 100 | $7$ <br> (12 | 16 <br> M) | 6 | 56 | 34 | 100 | 24 | 76 |
| 91 | 50 | 100 | 5 | 37 | 6 | 87 | 37 | 100 | 24 | 78 |
| 9 m |  |  | $2$ <br> (18 |  |  | 39 | 45 | 98 | 51 | 70 |
| Ref 10 (R = H) | $(4.8$ | nM) |  |  |  |  | (5.8 | nM) | $(9.1$ | M) |
| 10a | 86 | 96 | 21 | 51 | 32 | 60 | 82 | 78 | 48 | 58 |
| 10b | 40 | 97 | 3 | 31 | 6 | 49 | 60 | 91 | 34 | 73 |
| 10c | 10 | 52 | 4 | 27 | $1$ (19) | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & \text { () }{ }^{*} \end{aligned}$ | 34 | 77 | 24 | 76 |
| 10d | 55 | 88 | 4 <br> (21 | 21 <br> M) | $2$ <br> (12 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | 70 | 100 | 22 | 44 |
| 10e | 76 | 95 | 11 | 44 | 16 | 37 | 52 | 74 | 24 | 43 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined when the residual kinase activity was $\leq 25 \%$ at a compound concentration of 1 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (given in parentheses). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for references 9 and $10(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ as previously reported [3]. Kinase activities were assayed in triplicate in the presence of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP. Typically, the standard deviation of single data points was below $10 \%$. All assays were performed using a ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ radioassay in the presence of 15 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP (method A), except for the determination of the two $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values marked with an asterisk that was carried out using the ADP-Glo assay in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP (method B).

CLK1 and DYRK1A were generally the most strongly inhibited kinases (Table 1). A similar inhibition profile was already observed for the lead compound of this series [3]. As expected, compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3}$, lacking the amino group at the 2-position of the pyrimidine moiety, did not exhibit any significant inhibitory effect toward the kinases tested. These results are in accordance with the binding mode of the lead compound for this series in the co-crystal structure with CLK1 (Figure 1B), showing that the amino group forms a hydrogen bond with Leu244 in the hinge region. All $\mathrm{N}-2$ substituted compounds of the nitro $(\mathbf{9 a}-\mathbf{9 m})$ or amino $(\mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{1 0 m})$ series were active toward CLK1 with residual kinase activities $\leq 50 \%$ when tested at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, indicating that the aminoalkylamino groups at the 2-position did not cause major steric hindrance within the CLK1 pocket. DYRK1A inhibition, however, was generally less effective, in particular for the nitro series, with only 5 derivatives $(\mathbf{9 d}, \mathbf{9 e}, \mathbf{9 j}, \mathbf{9 k}, 9 \mathrm{~m})$ leading to residual kinase activities around or $<50 \%$ when tested at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Thus, several nitro derivatives, particularly $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{9 i} \mathbf{- 9 k}$, and $\mathbf{9 m}$, exhibited a better activity toward CLK1. The best selectivity profiles for CLK1 over DYRK1A were found for $\mathbf{9 a}$ bearing a dimethylaminoethyl group and $\mathbf{9 m}$ bearing a methyl group, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values against CLK1 of 62 nM and 18 nM , respectively.

Amino derivatives 10a-10m displayed inhibitory potencies toward both CLK1 and DYRK1A in the micro/submicromolar range, with the notable exception of compounds $\mathbf{1 0 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 j}$. Those two compounds with either a 3 -(morpholin-1-yl)propyl) group (10i) or a 3-( $N$-methylpiperazin-1yl)propyl group ( $\mathbf{1 0 j}$ ) were particularly active toward DYRK1A, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 23 nM and 20 nM , respectively, and a more than 5-fold selectivity for DYRK1A over CLK1 (12.6 for 10i, 5.9 for $\mathbf{1 0 j}$ ). In comparison, amino analogue reference ( $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, Scheme 2 ) exhibited lower selectivity with only 1.5 times better activity toward DYRK1A [3]. These results demonstrated an improvement of selectivity in the amino series.

## Biological evaluation in cancer cells

As CLK1 and DYRK1A upregulation plays a key role in various cancer types, the compounds exhibiting the best kinase inhibitory potencies (9a, 9i, 9j, 9m, 10d, 10g, 10i, 10j, 10I) were evaluated in hTERT-immortalized retinal pigment epithelial (RPE1) cells and four cancer cell lines, HCT116 (colon carcinoma), MDA-MB231 (breast adenocarcinoma), SH-SY5Y (neuroblastoma), and U-2 OS (bone osteosarcoma). Cellular activities are given as \% cell viability at a compound concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compared with control DMSO treated cells (Table 2). Staurosporine was used as a positive control. Treatment with many compounds resulted in less than $25 \%$ of viable cells after 48 h in comparison to DMSO treated cells, both with the non-tumor and tumor cell lines. Indeed, all compounds were active toward the cell lines tested and induced viability reductions of more than $50 \%$, except compounds $\mathbf{9 i}, \mathbf{9 m}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ on SH-SY5Y cells and for 101 on hTERT-RPE1 and SH-SY5Y cells. The reference compound (10, R $=\mathrm{H}$, Scheme 2 ) as well as three new 10 -amino derivatives, $\mathbf{1 0 g}, \mathbf{1 0 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 j}$, were particularly active toward all five cell lines, including hTERTRPE1, with only $0 \%$ to $15 \%$ of remaining cellular viability. This lack of selectivity suggests that these amino analogues are too toxic to be considered as potential drug candidates.

Table 2. Effects of pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-based kinase inhibitors on the viability of cancer and non-cancer cells.

|  | hTERT-RPE1 | HCT116 | MDA-MB231 | SH-SY5Y | U-2 OS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Viability $^{1}(\%)$ | Viability <br> $(\%)$ | Viability (\%) | Viability (\%) | Viability <br> $(\%)$ |
| Ref 10 (R = H) | $0.2 \pm 0.4$ | $1.3 \pm 0.0$ | $43.4 \pm 0.4$ | $0.9 \pm 0.3$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{9 a}$ | $29.2 \pm 0.7$ | $13.3 \pm 0.8$ | $9.4 \pm 0.6$ | $42.7 \pm 0.4$ | $3.3 \pm 0.8$ |
| $\mathbf{9 i}$ | $31 \pm 3$ | $16.8 \pm 0.7$ | $39 \pm 1$ | $54 \pm 2$ | $27 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathbf{9 j}$ | $25.8 \pm 0.3$ | $9.7 \pm 0.5$ | $11.7 \pm 0.9$ | $36 \pm 2$ | $2.5 \pm 0.7$ |


| $9 \mathbf{m}$ | $36 \pm 1$ | $22 \pm 1$ | $41.0 \pm 0.6$ | $52 \pm 2$ | $19.5 \pm 0.6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ | $19.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6.1 \pm 0.9$ | $21.7 \pm 0.4$ | $62 \pm 3$ | $13 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 g}$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ | $0 \pm 0$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 i}$ | $0.0 \pm 0.1$ | $0.8 \pm 0.1$ | $15 \pm 1$ | $3.6 \pm 0.9$ | $6.4 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 j}$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $0 \pm 0$ | $3.6 \pm 0.8$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $52 \pm 2$ | $21 \pm 6$ | $22 \pm 1$ | $92.2 \pm 0.3$ | $33 \pm 2$ |
| Staurosporine | $9.1 \pm 0.8$ | $27 \pm 2$ | $3.9 \pm 0.5$ | $9.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.4 \pm 0.4$ |

${ }^{1}$ Cellular viability (\%) at a compound concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ after 48 hours compared with untreated cells. Cell viability was assayed in triplicate, and mean value $\pm$ SD are given.

Most interesting compounds, $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 j}$, were slightly more potent in killing U-2 OS cancer cells than hTERT-RPE1 cells, showing that, compared to $\mathbf{1 0}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$, selectivity could be enhanced by introducing alkyl or aminoalkyl groups on the amine group at the 2-position of the pyrido[3,4$g$ ]quinazoline scaffold. However, a more detailed study of this series cellular effect would be of valuable interest to evaluate its potential, as well as additional structural modifications to further enhance the selectivity of this compound class for cancer cells.

## Structural analysis of the binding mode of compounds 9 m and 10 i in complex with CLK1

To provide insights into the binding modes of our 2-amino-substituted pyrido $3,4-g]$ quinazolines, we determined the crystal structures of $\mathbf{9 m}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 i}$ in complex with CLK1 at 3.0 and $2.3 \AA$ resolution, respectively. Interestingly, for compound 10i bearing an amino group at the 10-position and a morpholinopropylamino substituent at the 2-position, we observed two overlapping alternative binding modes of this highly symmetrical molecule where the central ring system is tightly packed between a series of hydrophobic side chains, including Val175, Phe241, Leu295, and Val324 (Figure 2A/B). In both orientations, a nitrogen atom of the pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline scaffold forms a hydrogen bond with the backbone nitrogen of Leu244 in the hinge region, and a nitrogen in the distal ring forms a water-mediated hydrogen bond with the Glu206 side chain in the back pocket. Details of this interaction network in the back pocket with corresponding distances are shown in Figure 2C. The secondary amino group introduced at C-2 of the tricycle interacts with either the backbone oxygen of Leu244 (as seen for the lead compound) or points in the direction of the Lys191-Glu206 salt bridge, but without forming additional electrostatic interactions with the latter. There was no clear electron density to unambiguously model the morpholino group in both cases, indicating that it is very flexible and does not interact with CLK1 in a defined orientation. This may explain why this compound is less potent against CLK1 than against DYRK1A.

The CLK $1-9 \mathrm{~m}$ complex was solved in a different crystal form with three molecules in the asymmetric unit. There was a preferred binding mode of the inhibitor, with the 2-aminomethyl substituent facing the Lys191-Glu206 salt bridge and packing against the aromatic ring of Phe 172 (Figure 2D). In this orientation, the pyridine nitrogen forms a hydrogen bond with the Leu244 backbone nitrogen in the hinge region ( $3.0 \AA$ distance). The presence of a minor second conformation, however, cannot be ruled out. The distance between the Lys 191 side chain amine and the exocyclic nitrogen of 9 m is 3.2-3.6 $\AA$, and the distance to the proximal ring nitrogen of the pyrimidine is 3.7-3.9 $\AA$, just outside the range for hydrogen bonding. It is likely that the compound also forms a water-mediated hydrogen bond with Glu206 and the backbone nitrogen of Asp325 (as seen in the CLK1 complex with 10i), which could not be unambiguously modelled due to the low resolution of the data set. Overall, this arrangement enables favorable electrostatic interactions in the back pocket and might be further stabilized via the adjacent side chain carboxylate of Asp325, which is within $4 \AA$ distance of the exocyclic nitrogen. To assess the contribution of the different side chains or structural waters to stabilizing this binding mode in more detail, a high-resolution structure would be needed.

Interestingly, the lead compound that formed the starting point for this SAR study adopts a flipped orientation where the 2-amino group forms a hydrogen bond with the backbone oxygen of Leu244, and the pyridine nitrogen interacts with the Lys 191 side chain (Figure 1B) [3]. Taken together, comparison of the new CLK1-inhibitor complexes with the binding mode of the parent molecule indicates that the pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline scaffold can bind in two alternative orientations, depending on the nature of the ring substituents, which can be exploited for the design of inhibitors with improved potency and selectivity.


Figure 2. Structures of 2-substituted pyrido[3,4-g]quinazolines bound to CLK1. (A-B) Crystal structure of the CLK1-10i complex. Compound 10i bound in two alternative orientations shown as yellow (A) and green (B) stick models, respectively. Parts of the inhibitor that were not clearly resolved in the crystal structure are shown as transparent sticks. The inset shows a $2 \mathrm{~F}_{0}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ electron density map for the modeled inhibitor at a contour level of $1.0 \sigma$. Hydrogen bonds between the inhibitor and the CLK1 hinge region and a water-mediated contact with Glu206 are highlighted with dashed lines. (C) Close-up view of the water-mediated hydrogen bond in the back-pocket region of the CLK1-10i complex. Relevant distances for electrostatic interactions are highlighted as green dashed lines, and the corresponding values are given in $\AA$. For clarity, only one conformer is shown. Distances to the pyrimidine ring nitrogen of the second conformer are given in parentheses. (D) Crystal structure of the CLK1-9m complex (chain B). The inset shows a $2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ electron density map for the modeled inhibitor at a contour level of $1.4 \sigma$. The hydrogen bond of the inhibitor with
the hinge region is highlighted as a green dashed line. To confirm a potential water-mediated hydrogen bond of one of the pyrimidine nitrogens, as observed in the CLK1-10i complex, a higher resolution than the $3.0 \AA$ of the current structure would be needed.

## Conclusion

A new series of pyrido[3,4-g]quinazolines with diverse substitutions at the 2-position and either an amino or a nitro group at the 10-position was synthesized and evaluated against five protein kinases (CDK5/p25, CLK1, DYRK1A, CK1 $\delta / \varepsilon$, and GSK-3 $\alpha / \beta$ ). The results demonstrated that the aminopyrimidine part is essential to the kinase inhibitory potency of this series (compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and 3). The most strongly inhibited kinases were CLK1 and DYRK1A, with a better selectivity toward CLK1 for 10-nitro derivatives ( $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{9 m}$ ), whereas 10 -amino derivatives were more selective toward DYRK1A ( $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{1 0 j})$. Evaluation of the cellular activities of the most active compounds revealed that compounds $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $\mathbf{9 j}$ are slightly more active toward U-2 OS cancer cells, compared with a non-cancer cell line (hTERT-RPE1). Interestingly, structural analysis of the binding modes of two of the most potent binders showed that they can adopt alternative binding modes in the ATPbinding pocket of CLK1, depending on the substitution pattern of the heteroaromatic scaffold. Taken together our data provide a framework for the development of potent and selective CLK1/DYRK1A inhibitors for potential treatment of cancers and neurodegenerative diseases.

## Experimental section

### 4.1 Chemistry

### 4.1.1. General

Starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Solvents were distilled prior to use. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR-8400S spectrometer ( $\bar{v}$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). NMR spectra, performed on a Bruker AVANCE $400\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ : 100 MHz ), are reported in ppm using the solvent residual peak as an internal standard; the following abbreviations are used: singlet ( s ), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quadruplet (q), quintet (quint), doublet of doublet (dd), multiplet (m), broad signal (br s). High resolution mass spectra (ESI+) were determined on a high-resolution Waters Micro Q-Tof apparatus (UCA-Partner, Université Clermont Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France). Chromatographic purifications were performed by column chromatography using $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ silica gel. Reactions were monitored by TLC using fluorescent silica gel plates ( 60 F254 from Merck). Melting points were measured on a Stuart SMP3 apparatus and are uncorrected. The purity of key compounds $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{9 j}, \mathbf{9 m}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{j}$ was established to be >
$95 \%$ by HPLC analysis using a Hitachi liquid chromatograph (Oven $5310,30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Pump 5160 ; DAD detector 5430) and a C18 Acclaim column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 120 \AA$ ). Detection wavelength was 240 nm for nitro derivative/ 280 nm for amino analogues, and flow rate 0.5 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Gradient elution used (A) water/0.1\% TFA; (B) acetonitrile: 95:5 A/B for 5 min then 95:5 $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ to $5: 95 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ in 25 min and then 5:95 A/B for 10 min .

### 4.1.2 10-Nitro-2-phenylpyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline (2)

A suspension of compound $1(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzamidine hydrochloride ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42$ mmol) in DMA ( 2 mL ) was degassed with argon for 30 min then heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath) for a total time of 2 h . After completion of the reaction, EtOAc was added. The resulting slurry was filtered on a pad of Celite and washed with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified twice by flash chromatography using firstly EtOAc/cyclohexane (7:3) and secondly $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOEt}$ ( $1: 1$ ), yielding compound $2(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \%$ ) as a light brown-yellow powder. $\mathrm{Mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.2$ (EtOAc/Cyclohexane 7:3). IR (ATR): 1627, 1594, 1565, 1525, 1425, 1381, 1293, 1271, $1148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.60-7.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56-8.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 10.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): Not recorded due to low solubility. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$303.0876, found 303.0879.

### 4.1.3 2-Phenylpyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-10-amine (3)

To a solution of $11 \mathrm{mg}(0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$ of compound 2 in 4 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ at room temperature was added 2 mg of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The suspension was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the dark at room temperature overnight. After filtration over Celite in a Pasteur pipette, washing with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and concentration, compound $\mathbf{3}$ ( $9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$, quant. yield) was isolated without further purification as a dark red powder. Mp: degradation; $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (EtOAc/Cyclohexane 7:3). IR (ATR): 3420-2602, 1623, 1591, 1541, 1405, $1362 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.55-7.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 111.1$, $115.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $128.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 130.6,139.8,154.8,163.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 114.9$, $122.4,127.5,132.3,137.5,141.6,156.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 273.1135, found 273.1135 .
4.1.4 2-(2-N,N-Diethylaminoethyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione $(\boldsymbol{\sigma b})$ : prepared according to method C [13] in $98 \%$ yield as an orange yellow solid. $\mathrm{Mp}: 44-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:2). IR (ATR): 2967, 2809, 1706, 1434, $1386 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.44(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 12.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 123.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 134.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 167.9(2 \mathrm{CO})$.
4.1.5 2-(2-Morpholin-4-ylethyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma c}$ ): prepared according to method A [11] in $80 \%$ yield as a beige solid. Mp: $139-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:2). IR (ATR): 2792, 1703, 1436, $1395 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were in accordance with published data [11].
4.1.6 2-(2-(N-Methylpiperazin-4-yl)ethyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $\mathbf{6 d}$ ): prepared according to method B [12] in 73\% yield as a yellow oil. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:2). IR (ATR): 2946, 2796, 1702, 1437, $1400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.20-2.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 2.27-2.40 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.89(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 123.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 134.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 167.8(2 \mathrm{CO})$.
4.1.7 2-(2-Piperidinylethyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma e}$ ): prepared according to method B [12] in a quantitative yield as a brown solid. $\mathrm{Mp}: 75-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:2). IR (ATR): 2945, 1704, 1436, $1396 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.31-1.42$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.34$2.37(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.89(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 23.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 123.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $134.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 167.8(2 \mathrm{CO})$.
4.1.8 2-(2-Pyrrolidinylethyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $6 \boldsymbol{f}$ ): prepared according to method C [13] in $40 \%$ yield as a pale yellow solid. Mp: 101-102 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} 9: 1: 1\right)$. IR (ATR): 2976, 2792, 1702, 1442, $1390 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.61-1.64(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 2.44-2.48 (4H, m), $2.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82-7.87(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 23.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.7$, $53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 123.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 134.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 167.8$ (2CO).
4.1.9 2-(3-Diethylaminopropyl)-lH-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $6 \boldsymbol{h}$ ): prepared according to method C [13] in $93 \%$ yield. Mp and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data were in accordance with published data [16]. IR (ATR): 2968, 2805, 1705, 1394, $1366 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.4,36.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 122.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 134.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 167.9(2 \mathrm{CO})$.
4.1.10 2-(3-Morpholinylpropyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $6 \boldsymbol{i}$ ): prepared according to method A [11] in $95 \%$ yield as a brown oil. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:1). IR (ATR): 2954, 2813, 1702, $1395,1361 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.21-2.23 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.32-3.41(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82-7.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 24.0,36.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 122.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $134.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 168.0(2 \mathrm{CO})$.
4.1.11 2-(3-(N-Methylpiperazin-4-yl)propyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $\mathbf{6 j}$ ): prepared according to method B [12] in $81 \%$ yield as a brown solid. Mp: $62-63^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:1). IR (ATR): 2933, 2805, 1706, 1392, $1359 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.72$ ( 2 H , quint, $J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.98-2.32(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82-$ $7.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 45.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.1,36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.4$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 123.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 134.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 132.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 168.0(2 \mathrm{CO})$.
4.1.12 2-(3-Piperidinylpropyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $\mathbf{6 k}$ ): prepared according to method A [11] in $82 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were in accordance with published data [17]. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:1). IR (ATR): 2932, 2771, 1697, $1395 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.1.13 2-(3-Pyrrolidinylpropyl)-1H-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione ( $6 \boldsymbol{l}$ ): prepared according to method B [12] in quantitative yield as a brown oil. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane 1:1). IR (ATR): 2959, 2792, 1706, 1394, $1366 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.48-1.52(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28-2.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 22.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.7,36.2$, $53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 122.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $134.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 168.0(2 \mathrm{CO})$.

## General procedures for the preparation of compounds 7b-f and 7h-l.

To a solution of compounds $\mathbf{6 b}-\mathbf{l}(9.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL EtOH was added hydrazine monohydrate ( 36.6 mmol ; 4 eq.) and the mixture was vigorously stirred under reflux for 24 h . The white solid
was filtered off and washed with EtOH. Filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to dryness and taken up with EtOAc. The solid residue was filtered again and filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the desired products 7b-7l. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ signals are missing for all compounds in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.
4.1.14 2-(N,N-(Diethylamino))ethanamine ( $7 \boldsymbol{b}$ ): brown orange oil obtained in quantitative yield. IR (ATR): 3368-2500, 1445, 1371, $1068 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.93(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.43(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
4.1.15 2-Morpholin-4-ylethanamine ( $7 \boldsymbol{c}$ ): orange oil obtained in $95 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectra were in accordance with published data [11]. IR (ATR): 3568-2579, 1456, 1274, $1113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.1.16 2-(N-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethanamine (7d): orange oil obtained in $56 \%$ yield. NMR spectra were in accordance with published data [18,19]. IR (ATR): 3631-2394, 1462, 1286, $1148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.1.17 2-(Piperidin-1-yl)ethanamine (7e): orange oil obtained in $43 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3566-2500, 1443, 1307, $1098 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.33-1.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.44-1.51(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24-2.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
4.1.18 2-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethanamine (7f): orange oil obtained in $82 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3579-2500, $1462,1305,1141 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.63-1.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.36-2.41(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 23.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $40.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 59.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
4.1.19 3-(N,N-Diethylamino)propanamine ( $7 \boldsymbol{h}$ ): yellow oil obtained in $73 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3395$2710,1467,1381,1202,1069 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.42$ ( 2 H , quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 11.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8,40.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
4.1.20 3-(Morpholin-4-yl)propanamine (7i): brown oil obtained in $86 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3579$2618,1448,1307,1271,1113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.47(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ),
$2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25-2.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 53.5\left(3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.3\left(3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
4.1.21 3-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)propanamine (7j): brown oil obtained in $86 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3461-2395, 1448, 1283, $1163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.45(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.23-2.45(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.3,40.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.
4.1.22 3-(Piperidin-1-yl)propanamine ( $\mathbf{7 k}$ ): light brown oil obtained in $92 \%$ yield. Data in accordance with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra already described [17]. IR (ATR): 3457-2511, 1561, $1468,1442,1304,1156 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
4.1.23 3-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)propanamine (7l): brown orange oil obtained in $51 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): $3500-2579,1591,1460,1350,1141 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.48(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.60-1.70(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.43(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 23.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.5,40.1,53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## Synthetic procedures for the preparation of compounds 8a-l.

To a solution of primary amines $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{l}(2 \mathrm{eq})$ in water ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) was added $O$-methylisourea bisulfate ( 1 eq ). Solution was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After concentration to dryness, ethanol was added and the residue was sonicated until apparition of a precipitate. In some difficult cases, the suspension in ethanol was stored overnight in a freezer and triturated with cold ethanol to get a powder. Addition of a few drops of diethylether was also occasionally needed to induce precipitation. The solids 8a-l were collected by filtration, washed with ethanol and dried overnight under vacuum. Due to the hygroscopicity of isolated solids, no melting points were determined for these series. NH signals are missing for all compounds in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra.
4.1.24 1-(2-(N,N-Dimethylamino)ethyl)guanidine ( $8 a$ ): obtained as a white powder in $73 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3500-2344, 1694, 1637, 1480, $1061 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 2.92$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta$ $44.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.5,56.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.3(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.25 1-(2-(N,N-Diethylamino)ethyl)guanidine ( $8 \boldsymbol{b}$ ): obtained as a white powder in $58 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3434-2342, 1620, 1396, $1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 1.33$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.23(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 9.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.7(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.26 1-(2-(Morpholin-1-yl)ethyl)guanidine ( 8 c ): obtained as a beige powder in $45 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3552-2368, 1408, $1019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 2.75-2.85(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 36.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.4(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.27 1-(2-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)guanidine ( $8 d$ ): obtained as a white powder in $73 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3447-2197, 1682, 1624, 1458, $1038 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 2.73-$ $2.79(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.86-2.93(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.31-3.38(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 44.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.6(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.28 1-(2-(Piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)guanidine ( $8 \boldsymbol{e}$ ): obtained as a white powder in $69 \%$ yield. IR
 $2.42(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.84-3.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta$ $23.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.1,39.4,53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.3(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.29 1-(2-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)guanidine ( $8 f$ ): obtained as a white powder in $66 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3553-2237, 1633, 1407, $1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 2.10-2.13(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.31-3.44(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 23.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 49.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.5(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.30 1-(3-(N,N-Dimethylamino)propyl)guanidine ( $\mathbf{8 g}$ ): obtained as a white powder in $88 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3605-2395, 1678, 1629, 1485, $1075 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 2.06$ (2H, quint, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}\right.$, under solvent signal); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 43.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.8,39.2,55.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.1(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.31 1-(3-(N,N-Diethylamino)propyl)guanidine ( $8 \boldsymbol{h}$ ): obtained as a white powder in $62 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3498-2395, 1689, 1637, 1483, $1038 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 1.31$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98-2.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.15-3.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.22(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28-3.33(2 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 9.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.3,39.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.2$ (C).
4.1.32 1-(3-(Morpholin-1-yl)propyl)guanidine (8i): obtained as a beige powder in $42 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3605-2184, 1684, 1633, 1480, $1042 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 2.00-$ $2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.18-3.55(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.89-4.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 23.8$, $39.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.3(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.33 1-(3-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)guanidine ( 8 j ): obtained as a white powder in $54 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): $3433-2574,1685,1641,1474,1027 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta$ $1.86(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64-2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.74-3.32(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 44.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.0,53.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.2(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.34 1-(3-(Piperidin-1-yl)propyl)guanidine ( $8 \boldsymbol{k}$ ): obtained as a white powder in $83 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3316-2737, 1680, 1629, 1389, $1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 1.71-$ $2.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.07(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84-2.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.12-3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.2 Hz), 3.46-3.59 (2H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 24.2, $39.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.1(\mathrm{C})$.
4.1.35 1-(3-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl)guanidine (8l): obtained as a white powder in $74 \%$ yield. IR (ATR): 3552-2118, 1674, 1632, 1479, $1027 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1$ ) $\delta 1.45-$ $1.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60-2.34(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.69-3.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} 9: 1\right) \delta 22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 158.4(\mathrm{C})$.

## Synthetic procedure for the preparation of compounds $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$.

To a solution of previously described compound $\mathbf{1}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.211 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ in 0.8 mL of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylacetamide were successively added the guanidinium salts 8a-m (1 eq) and potassium carbonate ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.633 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The suspension was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 to 150 min . Reaction mixture was filtered over Celite and washed with EtOAc. After evaporation of the solvents, the crude residue was purified by column chromatography using a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ 7N solution in MeOH from 98:2 to 96:4.

For each compound, two rotamers are observed by NMR but only the major one is described.
4.1.36 N-(2-(N,N-Dimethylamino)ethyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9a): 90 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $14 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 224-225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3266-2359, 1581, 1521, $1342,1186 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.22(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45-2.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, under solvent signal), 3.46-3.52 $(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $9.535(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.543(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 44.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 38.5, $57.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 112.3, 134.3, 146.3, 155.0, $165.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.7,136.5,141.3,159.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 313.1413$, found 313.1405. HPLC: purity $>96 \%, \lambda=240 \mathrm{~nm}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=18.5 \mathrm{~min}$.
4.1.37 N-(2-(N,N-Diethylamino)ethyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9b): 25 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $14 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 134-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3447-2342, $1584,1525,1355 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6,8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.40-2.78 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $3.40-3.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.54$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 11.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ under solvent signal, $46.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $50.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.2,146.2,155.0,165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.7,136.5,141.4,159.5$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 341.1721$, found 341.1712.
4.1.38 N-(2-Morpholinoethyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9c): 140 min reaction time, obtained as an orange powder in $12 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 211-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (acetone). IR (ATR): $3595-2543,1584,1527,1361,1304,1113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.41-2.58(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.54(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.537(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.543(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.3,146.3,155.0,165.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, 119.9, 122.0, 128.7, 136.5, 141.4, 159.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 355.1513$, found 355.1508 .
4.1.39 N -(2-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9d): 45 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $15 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 177-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3355-2158, 1583, 1523, $1347,1153 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.20-2.55(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46-3.52$
$(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.6$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.4,134.3,146.3,155.0,165.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.7$, 136.5, 141.4, $159.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$368.1830, found 368.1826 .
4.1.40 N -(2-(Piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9e): 45 min reaction time, obtained as an orange powder in $30 \%$ yield. Mp : $125-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3421-2355, 1583, 1525, 1342, $1123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.34-1.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.41-1.50(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.60(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.46-3.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.57$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.53(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.1$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.2,146.3,155.0,165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.8,135.4,141.4$, 159.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$353.1721, found 353.1729.
4.1.41 N -(2-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine ( $9 f$ ): 25 min reaction time, obtained as an orange powder in $15 \%$ yield. Mp : $158-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{MeOH} 96: 4$ ).IR (ATR): 3434-2342, 1583, 1524, 1346, $1151 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.60-1.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40-2.60(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, under solvent signal), 3.46-3.54 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.57 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.536(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.544(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 23.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ under solvent signal, 53.6 $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.3,146.3,155.0,165.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.8,136.5,141.4$, 159.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 339.1564$, found 339.1557.
4.1.42 $N$-(3-(N,N-Dimethylamino)propyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9g): 45 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $16 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 97:3). Mp: 136-137 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 3375-2453, 1586, 1521, $1355,1294 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.74(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.35-3.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$, $8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 45.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0,56.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.1,146.2,154.9,164.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.7,136.5,141.4,159.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. The missing $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ was under the solvent signal. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 327.1569 , found 327.1540.
4.1.43 $N$-(3-(N,N-Diethylamino)propyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9h): 45 min reaction time, obtained as a ochre yellow powder in $19 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 151-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3316-2355, 1584, 1526, $1355,1205 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40-2.61(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.35 .3 .42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 11.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.20\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.1,146.2,155.0,165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9$, 121.9, 128.7, 136.5, 141.4, 159.4 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 355.1877$, found 355.1881 .
4.1.44 N-(3-Morpholinopropyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9i): 45 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $24 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 209-210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ 7 N solution in $\mathrm{MeOH} 96: 4$ ). IR (ATR): 3289-2342, 1583, 1530, 1357, $1144 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.77(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30-2.40(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.39-3.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.54-3.59$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 24.9,39.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $55.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.1,146.3,155.0,165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,121.9,128.7,136.5$, 141.4, $159.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$369.1670, found 369.1667.
4.1.45 N-(3-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9j): 60 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $17 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 192-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3289-2342, $1584,1524,1345,1141 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.75(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.17-2.60(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.37-3.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 45.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ under solvent signal, $52.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3$, 134.1, 146.2, 155.0, $165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,121.9,128.7,136.5,141.4,159.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$382.1986, found 382.1987. HPLC: purity $>95 \%, \lambda=240 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=18.3$ min.
4.1.46 N-(3-(Piperidin-1-yl)propyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine ( $\mathbf{9 k}$ ): 45 min reaction time, obtained as a brown orange powder in $22 \%$ yield. Mp : $185-186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$

7 N solution in $\mathrm{MeOH} 97: 3$ ). IR (ATR): $3289-2316,1587,1530,1359,1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.34-1.52(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.31-2.36 $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.36-3.42$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 24.1,25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ under solvent signal, $54.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.1,146.3,155.0,165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, 119.9, 121.9, 128.7, 136.5, 141.4, 159.4 (Carom). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 367.1877, found 367.1882.
4.1.47 N-(3-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl)-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine (9l): 45 min reaction time, obtained as an orange yellow powder in $15 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{Mp}: 172-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ 7 N solution in MeOH 97:3). IR (ATR): 3368-2342, 1583, 1525, 1349, $1147 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.68-1.72(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40-2.52(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38-3.45$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $9.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta 23.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.3,39.6,53.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.6\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.3,134.2,146.3,155.0,165.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,121.9,128.7,136.5,141.4$, 159.5 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$353.1721, found 353.1722.
4.1.48 N-Methyl-10-nitropyrido[3,4-g]quinazolin-2-amine ( $\mathbf{9 m}$ ): 45 min reaction time, obtained as a yellow powder in $12 \%$ yield. Mp : $224-225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 97:3). IR (ATR): $3344-2502,1586,1508,1382,1351,1295 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $2.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.51-8.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 112.3,134.2$, 146.3, 155.0, $164.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.9,122.0,128.8,136.6,141.4,159.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 256.0834$, found 256.0815. HPLC: purity $>98 \%, \lambda=240 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=18.9$ min.

## Synthetic procedure for the preparation of compounds 10a-m.

To a solution of nitro derivatives $\mathbf{9 a - m}$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.04 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ was added $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mmol}$ of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ at room temperature. The suspension was stirred in the dark under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ until completion of the reaction ( 4 to 40 hours). The reaction mixture was filtered over Celite in a Pasteur pipette, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and evaporated. The product was not purified. Two rotamers were observed by NMR, but only the major one is described.
4.1.49 N2-(2-(N,N-Dimethylamino)ethyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10a): 18 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $65 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): $3500-2407,1607,1575,1372,1291 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.30$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45-2.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, under solvent signal), 3.60-3.65 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH), $7.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 45.0\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.0,38.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.5,115.3,139.5,154.7,164.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.3,124.4,136.1,142.5,157.2$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$283.1666, found 283.1665 .
4.1.50 N2-(2-(N,N-Diethylamino)ethyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10b): 24 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $82 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} 96: 4\right)$. IR (ATR): 3531-2189, 1606, 1574, 1372, $1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.00(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62-2.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50-3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.39$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.31$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 11.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ under solvent signal, $46.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $50.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.7,115.3,139.6,154.7,164.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.2,121.3,124.4,136.0,142.3,159.5$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 311.1979$, found 311.1979.
4.1.51 N2-(2-(Morpholin-1-yl)ethyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10c): 24 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $59 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3465-2449, 1606, 1575, 1372, $1112 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.50-2.62(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.56-3.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 24.4$, $38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $53.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.3,139.5,154.7,164.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.2$, 124.4, 136.1, 142.2, 159.7 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI + ) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$325.1771, found 325.1770 .
4.1.52 N2-(2-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10d): 24 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $64 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): $3552-2598,1608,1576,1374,1147 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.14$ (3H, s), 2.25-2.60 ( $10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.56-3.62 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $6.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 45.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.4$,
139.6, 154.7, $164.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 120.0,121.3,124.4,136.1,142.2,159.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+ $)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 338.2088$, found 338.2087.
4.1.53 N2-(2-(Piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10e): 24 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $44 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 97:3). IR (ATR): 3482-2362, 1607, 1574, 1303, $1128 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.34-1.62 ( 6 H , $\mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.60(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.56-3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, NH$), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right): 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ under solvent signal, $54.1\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6$, 115.4, 139.6, 154.7, $164.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, 119.2, 121.3, 124.5, 136.2, 142.3, 159.0 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$323.1979, found 323.1978.
4.1.54 N2-(2-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10f): 24 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $98 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} 96: 4\right)$. IR (ATR): 3531-2189, 1606, 1575, 1365, $1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.74-1.94(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.40-2.75(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.68-3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.41\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 22.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3,46.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.3,115.4,139.5,154.7,164.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.4,121.2,124.7,136.6,142.8,159.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$309.1822, found 309.1823.
4.1.55 N2-(3-(N,N-Dimethylamino)propyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10g): 40 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in quantitative yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 97:3). IR (ATR): 3527-2437, 1607, 1574, $1361 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.87$ ( 2 H , quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.29-2.54 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $2.39(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50-3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.33\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $9.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 43.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.1,38.7,56.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.4$, 139.5, 154.7, $164.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.2,124.4,136.2,142.4,159.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$297.1822, found 297.1823.
4.1.56 N2-(3-(N,N-Diethylamino)propyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10h): 24 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil $86 \%$ in yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): $3515-2131,1606,1574,1359,1198 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.00
$(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.50-2.57(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.47-3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.22$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}\right): 11.7\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.3,139.5,154.7,164.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.2,124.4,136.0,144.7,157.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. The missing $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ was under the solvent signal. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 325.2135, found 325.2138 .
4.1.57 N2-(3-(Morpholin-1-yl)propyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10i): 4 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $51 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right)$ IR (ATR): 3622-2164, 1607, $1575,1362,1291,1111 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.81(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.30$2.55(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50-3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.59-3.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.27\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.83$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 25.4,38.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.2\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.4,139.5$, 154.7, $164.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.3,124.4,134.7,136.0,157.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 339.1928$, found 339.1927. HPLC: purity $>96 \%, \lambda=280 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=18.1 \mathrm{~min}$.
4.1.58 N2-(3-(N-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10j): 18 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $56 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 96:4). IR (ATR): 3540-2536, 1608, $1577,1372,1284,1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $1.79(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.30-2.56(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-2.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.47-3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH$), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 9.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 45.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 52.7$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.8\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.4,139.5,154.7,164.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.3,124.4$, 134.7, 136.0, $157.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. The missing $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ was under the solvent signal. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 352.2244$, found 352.2247. HPLC: purity $>96 \%, \lambda=280 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=17.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
4.1.59 N2-(3-(Piperidin-1-yl)propyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10k): 40 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $18 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} 97: 3\right)$. IR (ATR): 3478-2358, $1607,1574,1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.33-1.42 (2H, m), $1.45-1.56(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.79(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25-2.43(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.44-3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.22$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d $)$ : $24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.4\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 54.0$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6,115.3,139.5,154.7,165.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.1,121.6,124.4,136.0,141.7$,
$160.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. The missing $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ was under the solvent signal. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 337.2135$, found 337.2136 .
4.1.60 N2-(3-(Pyrrolidin-1-yl)propyl)pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10l): 18 h reaction time, obtained as a red oil in $63 \%$ yield. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3} 7 \mathrm{~N}\right.$ solution in MeOH 97:3). IR (ATR): 3482-2288, 1606, 1574, $1361 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.68-1.76(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.84(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42-2.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.48-3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, br s, NH), $7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 22.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.9\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.0,31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.5\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6$, 115.4, 139.5, 154.7, $164.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, 119.1, 121.3, 124.4, 136.1, 142.3, $160.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 323.1979$, found 323.1983.
4.1.61 N2-Methylpyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline-2,10-diamine (10m): due to poor solubility in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, compound $\mathbf{9 m}$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 8: 2$ before reaction for 24 h . Compound $\mathbf{1 0 m}$ was obtained as a red powder in $58 \%$ yield. Mp: degradation. $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right)$. IR (ATR): $3506-2408,1579,1375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.33(2 \mathrm{H}$, br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.23$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $9.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 113.5,115.4,139.5,154.7$, $164.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {arom }}\right), 119.4,121.7,124.4,136.2,142.5,160.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$. HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$226.1087, found 226.1089.

### 4.2 In vitro kinase inhibition assays

### 4.2.1. Method A ${ }^{33}$ P radioassay)

Kinase activities were assayed in appropriate buffer for each kinase, with either protein or peptide as substrate in the presence of $15 \mu \mathrm{M}\left[\gamma_{-}{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP $(3,000 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol} ; 10 \mathrm{mCi} / \mathrm{ml})$ in a final volume of $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ following the assay described in [20]. Controls were performed with appropriate dilutions of dimethylsulfoxide. Full-length kinases are used unless specified. Peptide substrates were obtained from ProteoGenix (Schiltigheim, France).

HsCDK5/p25 (human, recombinant, expressed in bacteria) was assayed on $0.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of histone H 1
 brain) was assayed on $0.022 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the following peptide: RRKHAAIGSpAYSITA as specific substrate. $\underline{H s C D K} 5 / \mathrm{p} 25$ and $\underline{S s c \mathrm{CK} 1 \delta / \varepsilon}$ were tested in the following buffer: $60 \mathrm{mM} \beta$ -
glycerophosphate, 30 mM p-nitrophenyl-phosphate, 25 mM MOPS ( pH 7 ), 5 mM EGTA, 15 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate.

SscGSK-3 $\alpha / \beta$ (Sus scrofa domesticus, glycogen synthase kinase-3, affinity purified from porcine brain) was assayed on $0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of GS-1 peptide, a GSK-3-selective substrate (YRRAAVPPSPSLSRHSSPHQSpEDEEE, "Sp" stands for phosphorylated serine). RnDYRK1Akd (Rattus norvegicus, kinase domain aa 1 to 499, expressed in bacteria, DNA vector kindly provided by Dr. W. Becker, Aachen, Germany) was assayed on $0.033 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the following peptide: KKISGRLSPIMTEQ as substrate. MmCLK1 (from Mus musculus, recombinant, expressed in bacteria) was assayed on $0.027 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the following peptide: GRSRSRSRSRSR as substrate.
 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ heparin, $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of BSA, 0.23 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of DTT.

### 4.2.2. Method B (ADP-glo)

Kinase assays were carried out following ADP-Glo protocol (Promega). Briefly, reactions were carried out in a final volume of $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$, at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, for 30 min at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The luminescent signal emitted was measured using an Envision luminometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) and expressed in Relative Light Unit (RLU).

### 4.3 In vitro cellular antiproliferative assays

HCT116 cells were cultured in McCoy's 5a medium, SH-SY5Y, MDA-MB231 and U-2 OS cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), hTERT RPE1 were cultured in DMEM:F12 medium. All media were supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum, 2 mM Lglutamine, 50 IU penicillin and streptomycin. Cell viability was assayed using CellTiter96 AQueous from PROMEGA according to manufacturer's instructions.

### 4.4 Protein crystallography

Recombinant CLK1 was expressed and purified as described previously [21]. Two different crystal forms of the apo protein were obtained at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using the sitting drop vapor diffusion technique by mixing equal volumes of protein solution $(7-8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ protein in 30 mM Hepes, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,300 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ arginine/glutamine mix $1: 1,0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, and $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol) and the appropriate reservoir solution. Crystal form A grew with a reservoir solution of $25 \%$ (w/v) PEG 6000, and 0.1 M bicine, pH 9.0 ; and crystal form B with a reservoir solution of $29 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) 1,2$ propanediol, 0.08 M
$\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ phosphate. Crystal form A was soaked overnight in reservoir solution supplemented with 5 mM 10 i and $25 \%$ ethylene glycol, and crystal form B was soaked for 72 h in reservoir solution complemented with $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{9m}$ and $20 \%$ ethylene glycol. Crystals were then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and diffraction data were collected at 100 K at BESSY II, beamline 14.2. The datasets were integrated using MOSFLM [22] and scaled with SCALA [23], which are implemented in the CCP4 package [24]. The structures of the two complexes were solved by molecular replacement using PHASER [25] with PDB entry 5JIV as a search model. The structures were then refined using iterative cycles of manual model building in COOT [26] and refinement in REFMAC [27] (CLK110i complex) or PHENIX [28] (CLK1-9m complex). Ligand dictionary files for refinement were generated using the Grade Web Server (http://grade.globalphasing.org). Data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 3. Structural figures were prepared using PyMOL (www.pymol.org).

Table 3. X-ray data collection and refinement statistics of CLK1-inhibitor structures

| Compound | $\mathbf{1 0 i}$ | $\mathbf{9 m}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Data Collection |  |  |
| Space Group | $I 2$ | $P 2_{1}$ |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | $72.8,64.3,86.3$ | $56.8,117.6,91.9$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $90.0,110.0,90.0$ | $90.0,99.0,90.0$ |
| Molecules/AU | 1 | 3 |
| Resolution $(\AA)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $64.3-2.29(2.37-2.29)$ | $47.1-3.0(3.18-3.0)$ |
| Unique reflections | 16,639 | 23,961 |
| Completeness $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $99.0(97.0)$ | $100(100)$ |
| Multiplicity ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $4.8(4.8)$ | $3.5(3.3)$ |
| $R_{\text {merge }}(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $8.2(34.6)$ | $16.2(61.2)$ |
| Mean $I / \sigma(I)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $9.1(3.7)$ | $5.1(1.6)$ |

## Refinement

| $R_{\text {work }},(\%)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 18.8 | 19.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{\text {free }}(\%)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 25.9 | 24.4 |

No. of atoms
Protein ${ }^{\text {c }}$
2733
7972
Water
133
8
Ligands
50
RMSD bonds ( $\AA$ )
0.014
0.005

RMSD angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
Mean $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$
1.8
0.6

Ramachandran favored (\%)
35.1
33.4

Ramachandran outliers (\%) $0.3 \quad 0.5$
PDB entry
6Q8K
6Q8P
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## Accession codes

The atomic coordinates and structure factors of the CLK1-pyrido[3,4-g]quinazoline complexes have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB), www.pdb.org. Accession codes: 6Q8K (CLK1-10i complex) and 6Q8P (CLK1-9m complex).
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- New pyrido[3,4-g]quinazolines were synthesized.

Nanomolar inhibitors of CLK1 and/or DYRK1A were identified.
Two alternative binding modes within CLK1 were identified by X-ray crystallography.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} R_{\text {work }}$ and $R_{\text {free }}=\sum| | F_{\text {obs }}\left|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}\right|\right| / \sum\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|$, where $R_{\text {free }}$ was calculated with $5 \%$ of the reflections chosen at random and not used in the refinement.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Number includes alternative conformations.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Ramachandran statistics were calculated using MolProbity [29].

