Accepted Manuscript

Rational design of conformationally constrained oxazolidinone-fused 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives as potential PDE4 inhibitors

Gaopeng Song, Xiang Zhu, Junhua Li, Dekun Hu, Dongsheng Zhao, Yixian Liao, Juntong Lin, Lian-Hui Zhang, Zi-Ning Cui

PII:	\$0968-0896(17)31313-5
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2017.08.045
Reference:	BMC 13949
To appear in:	Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry
Received Date:	26 June 2017
Revised Date:	22 August 2017
Accepted Date:	27 August 2017



Please cite this article as: Song, G., Zhu, X., Li, J., Hu, D., Zhao, D., Liao, Y., Lin, J., Zhang, L-H., Cui, Z-N., Rational design of conformationally constrained oxazolidinone-fused 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives as potential PDE4 inhibitors, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* (2017), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc. 2017.08.045

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Rational	design	of	conformationally	constrained
2	oxazolidinor	ne-fused 1,2	2,3,4-te	trahydroisoquinolin	e derivatives as
3	potential PD	E4 inhibito	ors		~
4					0
5	Gaopeng Song	^{a,b, #} , Xiang Zl	hu ^{d, #} , Ju	unhua Li ^b , Dekun Hu ^{a,c} ,	Dongsheng Zhao ^e ,
6	Yixian Liao ^{a,b,c} ,	Juntong Lin ^b	, Lian-H	ui Zhang ^{a,c} , Zi-Ning Cui ^a	ı,c, *
7					0
8	^a State Key	Laboratory	for Cor	servation and Utilizati	on of Subtropical
9	Agro-bioresourc	es, South Chir	na Agricu	ltural University, Guangz	hou, 510642, China
10	^b College of Ma	terials and End	ergy, Sou	nth China Agricultural Un	iversity, Guangzhou,
11	510642, China				
12	^c Integrative Mi	crobiology Res	search Co	entre, Guangdong Provinc	e Key Laboratory of
13	Microbial Sign	als and Dise	ease Cor	ntrol, South China Agr	cultural University,
14	Guangzhou 510	542, China			
15	^d Department o	f Infectious	Diseases,	Third Affiliated Hospi	tal of Sun Yat-sen
16	University, Guar	ngzhou, 51063	0, China		
17	^e Department of	Pharmacy, Qu	anzhou N	Medical College, Quanzho	u 362100, China
18					
19	[#] These authors con	tributed equally	to this pap	ber.	
20	*Corresponding au	thor. Tel.: +86-2	0-8528822	29; Fax: +86-20-85288229	
21	E-mail address: zin	ningcui@scau.ed	lu.cn (Z. C	.)	
22					

1 Abstract:

2	Improvement of subtype selectivity of an inhibitor's binding activity using the
3	conformational restriction approach has become an effective strategy in drug
4	discovery. In this study, we applied this approach to PDE4 inhibitors and designed a
5	series of novel oxazolidinone-fused 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives as
6	conformationally restricted analogues of rolipram. The bioassay results demonstrated
7	the oxazolidinone-fused tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives exhibited moderate to
8	good inhibitory activity against PDE4B and high selectivity for PDE4B/PDE4D.
9	Among these derivatives, compound 12 showed both the strongest inhibition activity
10	(IC ₅₀ = 0.60 μ M) as well as good selectivity against PDE4B and good <i>in vivo</i> activity
11	in animal models of asthma/COPD and sepsis induced by LPS. The primary SAR
12	study showed that restricting the conformation of the catechol moiety in rolipram with
13	the scaffold of oxazolidinone-fused tetrahydroisoquinoline could lead to an increase
14	in selectivity for PDE4B over PDE4D, which was consistent with the observed
15	docking simulation.

17 Keywords: conformational restriction; synthesis; tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives;
18 PDE4 inhibitor; molecular simulation

1 1. Introduction

2	Many biological responses including regulation of important cell functions such as
3	secretion, contraction, metabolism, and growth are mediated by levels of cyclic
4	nucleotides, mainly 3',5'-adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic
5	3',5'-guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). ¹⁻² It is well established that the balance
6	between the levels of the second messengers cAMP and cGMP, plays a critical role in
7	regulating the function of many inflammatory cells, both of which are inactivated by
8	cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases (PDEs). ³⁻⁴ The PDE4, as one important member
9	of the 11-membered PDEs, specifically targets the second messenger cAMP and is
10	particularly abundant in inflammatory cells, immune cells, airway smooth muscles,
11	and airway epithelium. ⁵⁻⁶ Inhibition of the PDE4 in these cells effectively elevates the
12	intracellular cAMP levels, thereby leading to an activation of specific protein
13	phosphorylation cascades, which in turn inhibits the release of inflammatory
14	mediators such as tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), interleukin-2 (IL-2),
15	interleukin-12 (IL-12), leukotriene B4 (LTB4), as well as activation of inflammatory
16	cells. ⁵ Since the cellular mediators play a key role in the inflammatory diseases such
17	as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), PDE4 inhibitors are
18	expected to be effective in the treatment of inflammatory disease. ⁷⁻¹⁰
19	The first-generation PDE4 inhibitor rolipram (1, Fig.1), belonging to the
20	dialkoxyphenyl (catechol) family, has been the starting point for many medicinal

chemistry studies.¹¹ Further structural modification suggested that the 2-pyrrolidinone
ring in rolipram was replaced by some appropriate pharmacophores to derive the most

3

 $\boldsymbol{<}$

1	potent analogues such as mesopram (2, Fig.1), cilomilast (3, Fig.1), zardaverine (4,
2	Fig.1) and roflumilast (5, Fig.1). ¹²⁻¹⁴ A detailed SAR (structure-activity-relation) study
3	about the diether derivative of catechol class suggested that the 4-(3,
4	4-dialkoxyphenyl) moiety was important for inhibition of PDE4 where the catechol
5	ether oxygens played a key role in binding to the enzyme. ¹⁵ The substituent at the
6	4-position of the phenyl ring was restricted to small lipophilic groups, preferably
7	methoxy or difluoromethoxy while various alkoxy substituents were well tolerated at
8	the 3-position. Although a number of dialkoxyphenyl and its derivatives as PDE4
9	inhibitors have been reported, roflumilast and apremilast remain the only two
10	marketed drugs in this class. ¹⁶ Therapeutic usefulness of the above PDE4 inhibitors
11	was limited by their side effects including gastrointestinal side effects such as nausea
12	and vomiting. ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ The PDE4 family consists of four isoforms (PDE4A–D), and each
13	gene has multiple transcripts. Many studies have revealed that the PDE4B plays a key
14	role in both inflammatory cell regulation 19 and its inhibition suppresses TNF- $\!\alpha$
15	production, and PDE4D may be responsible for the emetic response. ²⁰ Thus, selective
16	inhibition of PDE4B was expected to achieve efficacy while circumventing the
17	potential side effects of the current PDE4 inhibitors. However, given the apparent
18	structural similarity between PDE4B and PDE4D, only a few PDE4B selective
19	inhibitors have been reported up to now. ²¹⁻²²

20 Conformational constraint is a widely used strategy to maintain biological activity 21 while gaining higher selective activity and reducing side effect.²³⁻²⁴ Base on this 22 background, we hypothesized that restricting the conformation of the pyrrolidinone

1	moiety in rolipram (1, Fig.1) could be helpful for the selective inhibition of PDE4B
2	with reduced emetic side effects. In the present work, we describe a fruitful approach
3	to conformational constraint with the scaffold of tetrahydroisoquinoline, along with
4	bioisosteric replacement ²⁵ of the pyrrolidinone ring in rolipram to generate new PDE4
5	inhibitors. Thus, a pentacyclic 2-oxazolidinone ring fusion was incorporated into the
6	1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline skeleton while retaining the promising catechol diether
7	moiety to result in oxazolidinone-fused 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives,
8	namely 1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3 <i>H</i> -oxazolo[3,4- <i>b</i>]isoquinolin-3-one derivatives 6-13 .
9	Following a similar strategy, the incorporation of the different substituent in the
10	hexatomic ring was intended to further limit the conformational flexibility to derive
11	the title compounds 14-17. Herein we reported the successful application of two
12	different rigidification strategies, and focused on how to achieve PDE4B selectivity
13	rather than potency.



Figure 1. The designed strategy for the title compounds

2 2. Results and discussion

3 2.1 Chemistry

1

As depicted in Scheme 1, treatment of the commercially available vanillin (18) and 4 benzyl bromide or bromocyclopentane in the presence of K₂CO₃ afforded the 5 compound 19 or 20^{10} , each followed by reaction with ethyl nitroacetate in the 6 presence of dimethylamine hydrochloride and potassium fluoride provided the 7 8 intermediate 21 or 22 including a pair of *cis-trans* isomerism, respectively. Then 9 reduction of double bond, ester group and nitro group in compound 21 or 22 was simultaneously accomplished by treatment with lithium aluminum hydride to give 10 11 compound 23 or 24. Treatment of compound 23 or 24 with different carboxylic acid 12 in the presence of EDC•HCl and DMAP afforded compounds 25-26. Similarly, compounds 27-29 were obtained from 24 and different acyl chlorides. Then we 13 applied the typical reaction condition of Bischler-Napieralski reaction²⁶ to elaborate 14 the intermediates 30-34. Compounds 25-29 were treated with phosphorus 15 16 oxychloride, followed by reduction with sodium borohydride to yield the 17 intermediates 30 and 31-34, which were mainly composed of a pair of enantiomers of racemic compound. The reaction of 30-34 with benzyl carbonochloridate under 18 the circumstance of 2 $M \cdot L^{-1}$ NaOH-THF provided title compounds 6 and 14-17 as a 19 20 mixture of isomers possibly in a diastereomeric relation. Finally, hydrogenolysis of 21 compound 6 over palladium/carbon in CH₂Cl₂-MeOH furnished the important intermediate 35, which was then treated with different haloalkane or 22

- 1 halocycloalkane to afford the corresponding title compounds 7-13 as a mixture of a
- pair of enantiomers of racemic compound, respectively. 2

3



5 (a) benzyl bromide or bromocyclopentane, K₂CO₃, DMF, 96% for **19**, 97% for **20**; (b) NO₂CH₂COOEt, (CH₃)₂NH•HCl, KF, toluene, reflux, 68% for 21, 55% for 22; (c) 6 LiAlH₄, THF, 69% for 23, 66% for 24; (d) carboxylic acid, EDC•HCl, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 7 90% for 25, 93% for 26 or different chloride, DMAP and pyridine, 88% for 27, 86% 8 for 28, 90% for 29; (e) i: POCl₃, toluene, reflux; ii: NaBH₄, MeOH, for two steps 56% 9 for 30, 58% for 31, 60% for 32, 55% for 33, 57% for 34; (f) CbzCl, 2 M NaOH-THF, 10 81% for 6, 80% for 14, 82% for 15, 80% for 16, 81% for 17; (g) Pb/C, CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, 11 12 92%; (h) haloalkane or halocycloalkane, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 95% for 7, 96% for 8, 97% for 9, 96% for 10, 95% for 11, 93% for 12, 90% for 13. 13

The structures of all the title compounds 6-17 were characterized by NMR and mass spectroscopy (the spectra of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were shown in supplementary materials). The structure of compound 15 was confirmed by X-ray single crystal diffraction (Figure 2, Table S1, and Table S2), which showed that the relative configurations at the two asymmetric centers are in the relative of (3R,5R)or its antipodal (3S,5S).



7

Figure 2. ORTEP structure of the title compound 15, showing 50% probability
ellipsoids; H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

10 **2.2. Biological evaluation and SAR studies**

All title compounds 6-17 prepared and the intermediate 35 were evaluated for their *in vitro* inhibitory activity against PDE4B using the enzymatic assay described previously with rolipram as the positive control.²⁷ The IC₅₀ (The half maximal inhibitory concentration) values were shown in Table 1. In addition, data for the inhibition of TNF α release in human blood mononuclear (HM)³ were reported for selected compounds 11-17.

1 **Table 1**. Impact on enzymatic potency (PDE4) and inhibition of TNF- α release from

compound	PDE4B	PDE4D	TNFα	
	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$	IC ₅₀ (μM)	
35	>100	NT^{b}	NT ^b	
6	8.18±0.22	NT	NT	
7	52.10±0.78	NT	NT	
8	22.36±0.33	NT	NT	
9	4.10±0.45	23.32±0.65	NT	
10	6.05±0.30	NT	NT	
11	1.30±0.45	7.15±0.30	9.58±0.20	
12	0.60±0.36	5.13±0.52	1.35±0.12	
13	2.62±0.15	13.88±0.65	8.40±0.62	
14	1.35±0.32	12.83±0.46	2.60±0.22	
15	2.10±0.18	23.12±0.30	7.98±0.16	
16	2.06±0.26	26.75±0.40	9.05±0.35	
17	1.95±0.20	31.65±0.55	6.75±0.30	
rolipram	1.22±0.18	1.43±0.23	10.85±0.25	

2 human blood mononuclear cells stimulated with lipopolysaccharide ^{*a*}

 $3 \quad {}^{a}$ Results are the average of at least three assays.

4 b NT, not tested.

5 Initially, the effect of substituents at the 7-position of the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring 6 on inhibitory activity and selectivity toward PDE4 was investigated to establish a 7 SAR similar to that previously elucidated for the catechol subunit in rolipram.²⁸ 8 Briefly, both the alkoxy oxygens were essential for inhibitory activity with a dialkoxy 9 substitution pattern since compound **35** with a free C-7-OH displayed no inhibitory 10 activity. Various alkoxy chains were introduced in which the cyclopentyloxy-11 substituted compound **12** had excellent activity against PDE4B with the IC₅₀ values of

1	0.60 μ M. Introduction of liner alkoxy chains (7-10), or other cycloalkyl chains such as
2	smaller (11) or bigger (6, 13) substituent led to dropped activity. Notably, the
3	representative compounds 11-13 exhibited over 4.5-fold higher selectivity rations for
4	PDE4B over PDE4D than rolipram, although they showed the similar inhibitory
5	activity against PDE4B. These results indicated that the oxazolidinone-fused
6	1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline ring was appropriate for obtaining high affinity and
7	selectivity for PDE4B and compound 12 was therefore selected as a lead compound.
8	With respect to the 5-alkyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives (14-17), the
9	effect of substituents at the 5-position of the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring on inhibitory
10	activity and selectivity toward PDE4 was investigated. In comparison with 12, the
11	5-alkyl tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives 14-17 exhibited slightly decreased
12	inhibitory activity against PDE4B but resulted in the remarkable loss of inhibitory
13	activity against PDE4D, maybe due to differences of the environment around the
14	5-position of the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring between PDE4B and PDE4D.
15	Accordingly, these results indicated that modification of the benzyl moiety in 12 to
16	form a 5-alkyl tetrahydroisoquinoline ring could lead to improvement of selectivity
17	toward PDE4B over PDE4D by decreasing inhibition for PDE4D. Moreover, selective
18	ratios with respect to substitution at the 5-position of the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring
19	(14-17) followed the trend: phenyl > cyclohexyl > hexyl > methyl. We inferred that
20	methyl group could rotate more freely than another three alkyl groups since the size of
21	methyl group was smaller than another alkyl groups such as hexyl, cyclohexyl and
22	phenyl group. Furthermore, compound 17 showed the higher selectivity in

 \leq

1	comparison with 14-16, indicating that an aromatic substituent at the 5-position of the
2	tetrahydroisoquinoline ring was beneficinal to enhance the selectivity toward PDE4B
3	over PDE4D. However, the influence of their stereochemistry of the title compounds
4	on inhibition and selectivity for the PDE4B will be further investigated.
5	Since PDEs include 11 different isozymes involved in various physiological
6	processes, the selective inhibition of PDE4 is very important. Thus, we determined the
7	selectivity of compound 12 toward the other PDEs isoforms using human PDE1A,
8	PDE2A, PDE3B, PDE5A, PDE6C, PDE7A, PDE8A, PDE9A, PDE10A and PDE11A,
9	respectively. As shown in Table 2, compound 12 displayed much weaker inhibitory
10	against the above other PDEs isoforms than PDE4B at 100 μ M, suggesting that
11	compound 12 is exploitable as a potential lead compound for the design of PDE4
12	inhibitors.

13

Table 2. Inhibition of various PDEs by compound 12 at 100 μ M^a

 PDEs	Inhibition (%)	PDEs	Inhibition (%)
 PDE1A	12	PDE7A	5
PDE2A	3	PDE8A	12
PDE3B	5	PDE9A	2
PDE4B	100	PDE10A	1
PDE5A	14	PDE11A	3
PDE6C	8		

^a Data reported are the mean of three experiments

15 The ability of selected compounds **11-17** to inhibit the release of HM-TNF α was

1	consistent well with their relative ability to inhibit PDE4. In the HM-TNF α assay,
2	these compounds displayed good potency, exhibiting IC_{50} values < 10 μ M. Notably,
3	compound 12 with an IC ₅₀ of 1.35 μ M, was about 7-fold more potent than rolipram in
4	this assay, indicating that the oxazolidinone-fused 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline
5	moiety had the other beneficial effect on PDE4 inhibition of TNF α release in HM.
6	LPS induced sepsis model for the measurement of TNF- α inhibition (in female
7	Swiss Albino mice) and neutrophilia inhibition for asthma and COPD (in male
8	Sprague Dawley rats) with selected compounds 12 and 17 were performed in vivo.
9	The details such as oral dosage and number of animals grouped for the experiments
10	were listed in Table 3. The results showed that compound 12 exhibited stronger
11	inhibitory activity against TNF- α release (48%) and LPS induced neutrophilia
12	inhibition (42%) than the positive control rolipram (41% and 32%) and compound 17
13	(40% and 28%).

14	Table 3.	LPS induced TNF- α in SA mice and neutrophil influx in BALF of SD rat
----	----------	--

		Swiss Albino	mice $(n = 6)$	Sprague Dawley rats $(n = 6)$	
		Does	TNF-α	Does	LPS induced
Compd. \mathbf{R}^1	\mathbf{R}^2	(mg/kg, po)	Inhibition	(mg/kg, po)	neutrophilia
			(%)		(%
					inhibition)
12 cyclopenty	loxy H	10	48.2	10	42.3
17 cyclopenty	loxy Ph	10	40.4	10	28.1
rolipram		10	41.0	10	32.3

15 **2.3. Docking simulation**

16 Considering the inhibitory activity and selectivity of title compounds, it was of 17 interest to explore the binding to the PDE4B structure. Compounds **12** and **17** with 18 strong inhibitory activity and high selectivity, exhibited the great promise as novel

1 lead compounds for further discovery. Therefore docking simulation of compounds 12 and 17 at PDE4B (PDB ID: 1XMY) was conducted using Surflex-Dock in Sybyl 8.0³ 2 and the docking contour maps were shown in Fig 3. As predicted from the SAR study 3 summarized in Table 1, the catechol residue in compounds 12 and 17 played a key 4 5 role in the interaction with PDE4B. A phenyl ring structure of the inhibitor 12 or 17 6 was held tightly in the active site by a pair of hydrophobic residues forming a 7 hydrophobic clamp like rolipram, of which the phenyl ring formed strong π - π stacking interaction with benzene ring (12: 3.75 Å and 17: 3.81 Å, Fig. 3B and 3D) in the 8 9 phenylalanine (Phe446). Moreover, the small lipophilic group methoxy in 12 or 17 10 occupied a small lipophilic pocket while the big cyclopentyloxy group filled a large 11 hydrophobic cavity.



Figure 3. Model of PDE4 and docking of compounds 12 and 17. The catalytic domain bound to 12 overlaid with rolipram (orange, A). The catalytic domain bound to 12 (B). The catalytic domain bound to 17 (C, D).

17 The two alkoxy groups in **12** or **17** formed two or three steady hydrogen bonds with

1	the conserved glutamine residue Gln443 (Fig. 3), respectively, suggesting both of the
2	two alkoxy groups in compounds 12 and 17 seemed to be essential for inhibitory
3	activity against PDE4B. Furthermore, the introduction of an additional phenyl ring
4	into the benzyl moiety in 12 to derive 17 resulted in slightly reduced both π - π stacking
5	interaction and hydrogen bonds, probably due to unfavorable steric crash between the
6	binding site of PDE4B and the second phenyl ring observed.

7 3. Conclusion

In the course of our continuing efforts to develop potent PDE4 inhibitors, we 8 9 designed and synthesized a series of 1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b] 10 isoquinolin-3-one derivatives structurally related to rolipram using conformational restriction approach as well as bioisosteric replacement strategy. The bioassay results 11 12 showed oxazolidinone-fused tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative 12 had almost 10-fold higher selectivity toward PDE4B over PDE4D than rolipram, suggesting proper 13 arrangement of the two alkoxy groups in the basic phenyl ring, achieved by 14 15 conformational restriction of the catechol moiety through formation of a oxazolidinone-fused tetrahydroisoquinoline skeleton, was helpful to enhance 16 selectivity for toward PDE4B over PDE4D. A primary structure-activity relationship 17 18 study showed that both the alkoxy oxygens were essential for inhibitory activity 19 against PDE4B and introduction of the additional rigid substituents at the benzyl 20 position was helpful to lead to an increase in subtype selectivity, which was consistent 21 well with the observed docking simulation.

22 Experimental protocols

14

4.1. Chemistry

2	Solvents were purified in a conventional manner. Thin layer chromatography (TLC)
3	was performed on precoated E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates. Flash column
4	chromatography was performed on silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao, China).
5	Optical rotations were determined with a Perkin-Elmer Model 241 MC polarimeter.
6	¹ H NMR and ¹³ C NMR spectra were taken on a JEOL JNM-ECP 600 spectrometer
7	with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard, and chemical shifts are recorded in ppm
8	values. Mass spectra were recorded on a Q-TOF Global mass spectrometer.
9	4.1.1 4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (19)
10	To a solution of compound 18 (25 g, 0.16 mol) in dry DMF (100 mL) was added
11	K_2CO_3 (33 g, 0.24 mol) and benzyl bromide (25 mL, 0.22 mol), which was then
12	heated at 65 °C under argon. After stirred for 8 h, the mixture was filtrated and
13	concentrated in vacuo. The dried residue was dissolved in 500 mL of EtOAc and then
14	washed with H ₂ O (200 mL × 2), saturated aqueous NaHCO ₃ (200 mL × 2), and brine
15	(200 mL \times 2), dried over Na ₂ SO ₄ , and concentrated to dryness. The residue was
16	purified by silica gel column chromatography (5:1, V:V, petroleum ether-EtOAc) to
17	yield 19 as a white solid (38 g, 96%); ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 9.84 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.45
18	(dd, 3H, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, Ar-H), 7.39-7.41 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.34 (t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz,
19	Ar-H), 7.00 (d, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz, Ar-H), 5.26 (s, 2H, Ar-OCH ₂), 3.96 (s, 3H, OCH ₃); ¹³ C
20	NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 190.9, 153.6, 150.0, 136.0, 130.3, 128.7 (two), 128.2, 127.2, 126.5,
21	112.4, 109.3, 70.8, 56.0; ESIMS: calcd for [M+H] ⁺ m/z 243.1; found, 243.2.
22	4.1.2 4-(cyclopentyloxy)-3-methoxybenzaldehyde (20)

1	Compound 20^{11} was obtained from 18 and cyclopentyl bromide as a yellow oil in
2	97% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 9.84 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.42 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 1.8 Hz,
3	Ar-H-6), 7.40 (d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.96 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H-5), 4.86-4.89
4	(m, 1H, H'-1), 3.91 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 1.98-2.04 (m, 2H, H'-2-1, H'-3-1), 1.91-1.96 (m,
5	2H, H'-2-2, H'-3-2), 1.82-1.88 (m, 2H, H'-4-1, H'-5-1), 1.61-1.67 (m, 2H, H'-4-2,
6	H′-5-2);
7	4.1.3 (Z and E) ethyl -3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroacrylate (21)
8	To compound 19 (37.0 g, 0.15 mol) dissolved in anhydrous toluene (250 mL) was
9	added ethyl nitroacetate (20.2 mL, 0.18 mol), dimethylamine hydrochloride (25.0 g,
10	0.28 mol), potassium fluoride (1.33 g, 23.0 mmol) and then refluxed at 120 °C under
11	argon. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h, and then the mixture was
12	concentrated in vacuo. The dried residue was dissolved in 300 mL of CH ₂ Cl ₂ and then
13	washed with H ₂ O (150 mL \times 3) and brine (150 mL \times 2), dried over Na ₂ SO ₄ , and
14	concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column
15	chromatography (15:1, V:V, petroleum ether-EtOAc) to give 21 as a yellow solid
16	(38.8 g, 68%). Compound 21 includes a pair of Z and E isomers, of which the ratio is
17	about 3:1 by ¹ HNMR. ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 8.02 (s, 1 H, [*] CH=), 7.44 (s, 1H, CH=C),
18	7.43 (d, 4H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, Ar'-H-2, Ar'-H-6, *Ar'-H-2, *Ar'-H-6), 7.37-7.41 (m, 4H,
19	Ar'-H-3, Ar'-H-5, *Ar'-H-3, *Ar'-H-5), 7.32-7.35 (m, 2H, Ar'-H-4, *Ar'-H-4), *7.12
20	(dd, 1H, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, Ar-H-6), *7.09 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, Ar-H-2), 7.02 (dd, 1H, J =
21	8.5, 2.2 Hz, Ar-H-6), 6.94 (d, 1H, $J = 2.0$ Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.90 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz,

22 Ar-H-5), *5.23 (s, 2H, Ar-O-CH₂), 5.21 (s, 2H, Ar-OCH₂), *4.45 (q, 2H, J = 7.1 Hz,

1	COOCH ₂), 4.38 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz, COOCH ₂), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), *3.88 (s, 3H,
2	OCH ₃), *1.38 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz, CH ₃), 1.37 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz, CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR
3	(CDCl ₃): δ *161.8, 159.5, *152.2, 151.8, 149.8, *149.8, *140.0, 138.4, 136.8, 136.0,
4	*135.9, 132.8, *128.7 (two), 128.7 (two), *128.3, 128.2, *127.2, 127.2, *126.2, 125.1,
5	121.8, *121.7, 113.3, *113.3, *112.6, 111.9, *70.8, 70.8, *63.0, 62.8, 56.0, *56.0, *29.7,
6	14.1, [*] 13.8; ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 380.1; found, 380.2.
7	4.1.4 (Z and E)-ethyl-3-(3-(cyclopentyloxy)-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-nitroacrylate (22)
8	Compound 22 was obtained from 20 as a yellow solid in 55% yield and included a
9	pair of Z and E isomers, of which the ratio is about 3:1 by ¹ HNMR. ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃):
10	δ *8.03 (s, 1 H, CH=), 7.44 (s, 1 H, CH=), *7.15 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.7, 2.3 Hz, Ar-H-6),
11	7.05 (dd, 1 H, $J = 9.2$, 2.3 Hz, Ar-H-6), 6.90 (d, 1 H, $J = 3.2$ Hz, Ar-H-2), *6.89 (d, 1
12	H, J = 2.8 Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.86 (d, 1 H, J = 8.7 Hz, Ar-H-5), 4.81-4.86 (m, 1 H, H'-1),
13	*4.45 (q, 2 H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, OCH ₂ CH ₃), 4.36 (q, 2 H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, OCH ₂ CH ₃), *3.84 (s, 3
14	H, OCH ₃), 3.82 (s, 3 H, OCH ₃), 1.60-2.00 (m, 8 H, $4 \times$ CH ₂), [*] 1.39 (t, 3 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz,
15	OCH ₂ CH ₃), 1.36 (t, 3 H, $J = 6.9$ Hz, OCH ₂ CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 162.1, 159.8,
16	152.3, 151.9, 150.1, 139.7, 138.1, 137.1, 133.1, 126.6, 125.3, 121.1, 121.0, 113.9,
17	113.8, 112.3, 80.7, 63.1, 62.9, 56.1, 32.9 (two), 24.2 (two), 14.2, 14.0; ESIMS: calcd
18	for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 358.1; found, 358.2.
19	4.1.5 2-amino-3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl) propan-1-ol (23)
•	

To compound **21** (28.8 g, 76.8 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous THF (200 mL) was added lithium aluminum hydride (19.0 g, 0.50 mol) at 0 °C. The mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h at 70 °C. After that, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C, added H₂O (10

17

1	mL) slowly. The mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo, and diluted with
2	CH ₂ Cl ₂ (300 mL), washed with brine (100 mL \times 2), dried over Na ₂ SO ₄ , and
3	concentrated <i>in vacuo</i> . The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography
4	(100: 2: 1, V:V:V, Chloroform-Methanol-Et ₃ N) to give 23 (14.9 g, 68%); ¹ H NMR
5	(CDCl ₃): δ 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar'-H-2, Ar'-H-6), 7.37 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz,
6	Ar'-H-3, Ar'-H-5), 7.30 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> = 7.3 Hz, Ar'-H-4), 6.82 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 8.2 Hz, Ar-H-5),
7	6.75 (d, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.66 (dd, 1H, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, Ar-H-6), 5.13 (s, 2H,
8	Ar-OCH ₂), 3.88 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 3.64 (dd, 1H, J = 10.7, 3.8 Hz, H-1-a), 3.40 (dd, 1H, J
9	= 10.7, 7.1 Hz, H-1-b), 3.08-3.12 (m, 1H, N-CH), 2.73 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.6$, 5.3 Hz,
10	Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.47 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.6$, 8.6 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2), 2.43 (brs, 2H, NH ₂); ¹³ C NMR
11	$(CDCl_3): \delta$ 149.7, 146.8, 137.2, 131.7, 128.5, 127.8, 127.3, 121.2, 114.3, 112.9, 71.2,
12	66.1, 56.0, 54.2, 40.2; ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 310.1; found, 310.1.
13	4.1.6 2-amino-3-(4-(cyclopentyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-propan-1-ol (24)
14	Compound 24 was prepared from 22 as a yellow oil in 56% yield; ¹ H NMR
15	(DMSO- d_6): δ 6.83 (d, 1 H, J = 7.8 Hz, Ar-H-5), 6.76 (d, 1 H, J = 1.4 Hz, Ar-H-2),
16	6.68 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.3, 1.9 Hz, Ar-H-6), 4.74-4.75 (m, 1 H, H'-1), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH ₃),
17	3.29 (dd, 1 H, J = 10.5, 4.6 Hz, H-1-a), 3.18 (dd, 1 H, J = 10.5, 6.4 Hz, H-1-b),
18	2.84-2.86 (m, 1 H, NH-CH-CH ₂), 2.58 (dd, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 13.3, 5.9 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.37 (dd,
19	1 H, $J = 13.3, 7.7$ Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2), 1.80-1.85 (m, 2 H, H'-2-1, H'-3-1), 1.69-1.70 (m, 4
20	H, H'-2-2, H'-3-2, H'-4-1, H'-5-1), 1.56-1.57 (m, 2 H, H'-4-2, H'-5-2); ¹³ C NMR
21	(DMSO- d_6): δ 148.0, 146.7, 131.8, 121.2, 116.2, 112.3, 79.3, 79.2, 65.2, 55.6, 54.5,
22	32.3 (two), 23.5 (two); ESIMS: calcd for [M+H] ⁺ m/z 266.2; found, 266.1.

1 4.1.7 General procedure for the preparation of **25-26**

2	To a solution of compound 23 or 24 (1 eq) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) was added formic
3	acid or acetic acid (2.4 eq), EDC•HCl (2.6 eq), and DMAP (0.2 eq) at 0 °C. The
4	reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h, then diluted with CH_2Cl_2
5	(100 mL), washed with 1 mol·L ⁻¹ HCl (50 mL \times 2), saturated aqueous NaHCO ₃ (50
6	mL × 2), and brine (50 mL × 2), dried over Na ₂ SO ₄ , and concentrated to dryness. The
7	residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to afford 25-26,
8	respectively.
9	4.1.7.1 3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-formamidopropyl formate (25)
10	Compound 25 was synthesized as a white solid in 90% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ
11	8.13 (s, 1H, CHO), 8.10 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, Ar'-H-2, Ar'-H-6),
12	7.37 (t, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, Ar'-H-3, Ar'-H-5), 7.31 (t, 1H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, Ar'-H-4), 6.82 (d,
13	1H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar-H-5), 6.74 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.66 (dd, 1H, J = 8.1, 2.0
14	Hz, Ar-H-6), 5.90 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz, NH), 5.12 (s, 2H, Ar-OCH ₂), 4.49-4.55 (m, 1H,
15	N-CH), 4.18 (d, 2H, $J = 4.8$ Hz, OCH ₂), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.86 (dd, 1H, $J = 14.0$,
16	6.7 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.78 (dd, 1H, $J = 14.0$, 7.9 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2); ¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃): δ
17	160.8, 160.7, 149.8, 147.2, 137.1, 129.5, 128.5 (two), 127.9, 127.3 (two), 121.2, 114.3,
18	112.8, 71.1, 63.9, 56.1, 48.0, 36.8; ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 366.1; found,
19	366.2.

20 *4.1.7.2 1-acetamido-2-(4-(cyclopentyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl) propyl acetate (26)*

- 21 Compound **26** was synthesized as a white solid in 93% yield; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ
- 22 6.80 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar-H-5), 6.71 (d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.67 (dd, 1H, J =

1	8.2, 1.9 Hz, Ar-H-6), 5.67 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 8.3 Hz, N <i>H</i>), 4.72-4.75 (m, 1H, H-1 [']), 4.37-4.42
2	(m, 1H, N-C <i>H</i>), 4.09 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 11.4, 5.6 Hz, H-1-a), 4.04 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 11.4, 4.3 Hz,
3	H-1-b), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.83 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 13.9, 6.2 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.73 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i>
4	= 13.9, 8.0 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2), 2.09 (s, 3H, COOCH ₃), 1.96 (s, 3H, N-CO-CH ₃),
5	1.82-1.92 (m, 6H, H'-2, H''-3, H'-4-1, H'-5-1), 1.57-1.63 (m, 2H, H'-4-2, H'-5-2);
6	¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃): <i>δ</i> 171.0, 169.7, 150.0, 146.6, 129.3, 121.3, 115.0, 113.0, 80.5, 64.8,
7	56.1, 49.5, 37.0, 32.8, 24.0 (three), 23.4, 20.8; ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 372.2;
8	found, 372.2.
9	4.1.8 General procedure for the preparation of 27-29
10	To a solution of compound 24 (1 eq) in dry pyridine (60 mL) was added different
11	chloride (2.6 eq), and DMAP (0.2 eq) at 0 °C. After stirring at 30 °C for 6 h, the
12	reaction was quenched with methanol. The mixture was concentrated under vacuum
13	to furnish yellow oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel
14	(EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:10) to give 27-29, respectively.
15	4.1.8.1 2-(4-(cyclopentyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-heptanamidopropyl heptanoate
16	(27)
17	Compound 27 was prepared as a white solid in 88% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.79
18	(d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar-H-5), 6.71 (s, 1H, Ar-H-2), 6.67 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar-H-6),
19	5.61 (brs, 1H, NH), 4.71-4.74 (m, 1H, H-1'), 4.38-4.44 (m, 1H, N-CH), 4.11 (dd, 1H,
20	J = 11.4, 5.7 Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 4.03 (dd, 1H, $J = 11.4, 4.2$ Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), 3.82 (s, 3H,
21	OCH ₃), 2.84 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9$, 6.1 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.72 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9$, 8.0 Hz,

22 Ar-CH₂-2), 2.34, 2.13 (each t, each 2H, *J* = 7.7 Hz, each COCH₂), 1.89-1.94 (m, 4H, 2

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$

1	\times CH ₂), 1.80-1.85 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.55-1.66 (m, 6H, 3 × CH ₂), 1.27-1.34 (m, 12H, 6 ×
2	CH ₂), 0.87-0.90 (m, 6H, 2 × CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 173.9, 172.7, 150.0, 146.5,
3	129.4, 121.3, 114.9, 113.0, 80.4, 64.5, 56.0, 49.4, 37.1, 36.9, 34.2, 32.8, 31.5, 31.4,
4	28.9, 28.8, 25.6, 24.9, 24.0 (three), 22.5, 14.0; ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 512.3;
5	found, 512.2.
6	4.1.8.2 1-(cyclohexanecarboxamido)-2-(4-(cyclopentyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl) propyl
7	cyclohexanecarboxylate (28)
8	Compound 28 was prepared as a white solid in 86% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.79
9	(d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar-H-5), 6.71 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, Ar-H-2), 6.66 (dd, 1H, J = 8.1,
10	1.9 Hz, Ar-H-6), 5.62 (d, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, NH), 4.72-4.75 (m, 1H, H-1'), 4.37-4.43 (m,
11	1H, N-CH), 4.12 (dd, 1H, $J = 11.4$, 5.9 Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 4.01 (dd, 1H, $J = 11.4$, 4.3 Hz,
12	O-CH ₂ -2), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.82 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.9$, 6.0 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.71 (dd,
13	1H, <i>J</i> = 13.8, 8.0 Hz, Ar-C <i>H</i> ₂ -2), 2.34 (tt, 1H, <i>J</i> = 11.3, 3.7 Hz, COC <i>H</i>), 2.02 (tt, 1H, <i>J</i>
14	= 11.7, 3.2 Hz, COCH), 1.75-1.93 (m, 14H, $7 \times CH_2$), 1.58-1.67 (m, 4H, $2 \times CH_2$),
15	1.42-1.49 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.18-1.38 (m, 8H, 4 × CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 176.2,
16	175.6, 150.0, 146.5, 129.4, 121.3, 115.0, 113.0, 80.5, 64.3, 56.0, 49.3, 45.5, 43.2, 37.1,
17	32.8, 32.7, 29.7, 29.5, 29.1, 29.0, 25.7, 25.6, 25.6, 25.6, 25.4, 25.3, 24.0 (three);
18	ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 508.3; found, 508.3.
19	4.1.8.3 1-benzamido-2-(4-(cyclopentyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl) propyl benzoate (29)
20	Compound 29 was prepared as a white solid in 90% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 8.07
21	(dd, 2H, <i>J</i> = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, Ar-H), 7.74 (dd, 2H, <i>J</i> = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, Ar-H), 7.58-7.61 (m, 1H,
22	Ar-H), 7.42-7.51 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 6.82 (t, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar-H), 6.78-6.81 (m, 2H,

21

1	Ar-H), 6.58 (t, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, NH), 4.72-4.78 (m, 2H, H-1', N-CH), 4.49 (dd, 1H, J =
2	11.5, 6.0 Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 4.44 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 11.5, 4.3 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH ₃),
3	3.10 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 13.8, 5.6 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.94 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 13.9, 8.2 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2),
4	1.83-1.95 (m, 6H, 3 × CH ₂), 1.58-1.64 (m, 2H, CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 167.1,
5	166.9, 150.1, 146.6, 134.3, 133.3, 131.6, 129.7 (two), 129.2, 128.6 (two), 128.5 (two),
6	126.9 (two), 121.4, 115.0, 113.1, 80.4, 65.3, 56.0, 50.5, 37.1, 32.9, 32.8, 24.1; ESIMS:
7	calcd for [M+H] ⁺ m/z 474.2; found, 474.2.
8	4.1.9 General procedure for the preparation of 30-34
9	Compounds 25-29 (1 eq) and phosphorus oxychloride (2.5 eq) was dissolved in dry
10	toluene (80 mL), and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h at 100 °C, then
11	concentrated in vacuo. To a solution of the above residue in dry methanol (100 mL),
12	sodium borohydride (2.5 eq) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at
13	room temperature, and then the mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The
14	dried residue was dissolved in 100 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and then washed with H_2O (50 mL
15	\times 2), saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (50 mL \times 2) and brine (50 mL \times 2), dried over
16	Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column
17	chromatography to afford 30-34 , respectively.
18	4.1.9.1 (7-(benzyloxy)-6-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-yl)methanol (30)

Compound **30** was obtained as a white solid in 56% yield; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.43
(d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz, Ar'-H-2, Ar'-H-6), 7.38 (t, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz, Ar'-H-3, Ar'-H-5), 7.32
(t, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, Ar'-H-4), 6.71 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.66 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.00 (s, 2H, Ar-OCH₂), 3.80 (s, 2H, N-CH₂-Ar), 3.71 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.46 (dd, 1H, J = 10.5, 4.7

1	Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 3.37 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.4$, 7.0 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), 2.77-2.81 (m, 1H, N-CH),
2	2.55 (dd, 1H, J = 15.9, 3.7 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.50 (s, 1H, OH), 2.34 (dd, 1H, J = 15.7,
3	10.7 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2); ¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 148.0, 146.4, 137.9, 128.8 (two), 128.2,
4	128.1 (three), 127.3, 113.3, 112.1, 70.6, 65.4, 56.1, 55.6, 47.6, 31.3; ESIMS: calcd for
5	[M+H] ⁺ m/z 300.2; found, 300.1.
6	4.1.9.2 (7-(cyclopentyloxy)-6-methoxy-1-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-yl)-
7	methanol (31)
8	Compound 31 was obtained as a white solid in 58% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.70
9	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.57 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.72-4.77 (m, 1H, H-1'), 4.38 (q, 1H, J = 6.4 Hz,
10	Ar-CH-N), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 3.79 (dd, 1H, J = 10.7, 3.7 Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 3.53 (dd,
11	1H, <i>J</i> = 10.7, 7.9 Hz, O-C <i>H</i> ₂ -2), 3.07-3.11 (m, 1H, N-C <i>H</i>), 2.54-2.60 (m, 2H, Ar-C <i>H</i> ₂),
12	2.42 (brs, 2H, NH, OH), 1.81-1.94 (m, 6H, H'-2, H'-3, H'-4-1, H'-5-1), 1.57-1.65 (m,
13	2H, H'-4-2, H'-5-2), 1.47 (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH_3); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 148.7, 146.0,
14	132.2, 126.4, 112.9, 112.6, 80.8, 66.1, 56.1, 55.2, 51.9, 32.8, 32.7, 31.7, 24.0, 24.0,
15	22.3; ESIMS: calcd for [M+H] ⁺ m/z 292.2; found, 292.2.
16	4.1.9.3 (7-(cyclopentyloxy)-1-hexyl-6-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-yl)-
17	methanol (32)
18	Compound 32 was obtained as a white solid in 60% yield and contained a pair of
19	enantiomer, of which the ratio was about 5:1 by ¹ H NMR. ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.70 (s,
20	1H, Ar-H), 6.56 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.73-4.76 (m, 2H, H-1′, [*] H-1′), 3.98 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 6.3 Hz,

- 21 NH), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH₃), *3.81 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.79 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 3.7 Hz,
- 22 O-CH₂-1), *3.75 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 3.8 Hz, O-CH₂-1), 3.52 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 8.1 Hz,

1	O-CH ₂ -2), *3.46 (dd, 1H, $J = 10.4$, 8.5 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), *3.21-3.26 (m, 1H, N-CH),
2	3.02-3.07 (m, 1H, N-CH), *2.62 (dd, 1H, $J = 16.0$, 4.4 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.51-2.57 (m,
3	2H, Ar-CH ₂), *2.44 (dd, 1H, $J = 16.0$, 10.7 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2), 1.95-2.00 (m, 1H,
4	Ar-C-CH ₂ -1), 1.83-1.90 (m, 12H, 3 × CH ₂ , $*3 \times CH_2$), $*1.72-1.76$ (m, 1H,
5	Ar-C-CH ₂ -1), 1.57-1.68 (m, 4H, 2 × CH ₂), 1.29-1.47 (m, 16H, 3 × CH ₂ , $*5 \times$ CH ₂),
6	0.88-0.91 (m, 6H, CH ₃ , [*] CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ [*] 148.6, 148.5, 145.8, [*] 145.7,
7	131.2, 127.0, *125.6, *113.9, 112.8, 112.5, *112.5, 80.8, *80.6, 66.2, *65.8, *56.1, 56.0,
8	56.0, 55.0, *54.8, *48.9, *36.6, 36.3, 32.8, *32.8, 32.7, 31.9, 31.9, *30.8, 29.6, *29.3,
9	[*] 27.0, 25.3, 24.0, 24.0, [*] 22.7, 22.6, [*] 14.1, 14.1; ESIMS: calcd for [M+Na] ⁺ m/z 384.3;
10	found, 384.2.

4.1.9.4(1-cyclohexyl-7-(cyclopentyloxy)-6-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-yl)
 methanol (33)

12	memanor (55)
13	Compound 33 was obtained a

as a white solid in 55% yield and contained a pair of enantiomer, of which the ratio was about 4:1 by ¹H NMR. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.69 (s, 14 1H, Ar-H), 6.56 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.71-4.76 (m, 1H, H-1', ^{*}H-1'), 3.94 (brs, 1H, 15 Ar-CH-N), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH₃), *3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.78 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 3.7 Hz, 16 O-CH₂-1), *3.71 (dd, 1H, J = 10.7, 3.7 Hz, O-CH₂-1), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 7.7 Hz, 17 O-CH₂-2), *3.47 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 7.6 Hz, O-CH₂-2), *3.33-3.38 (m, 1H, N-CH), 18 19 2.98-3.06 (m, 1H, N-CH), 2.51 (d, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz, Ar-CH₂), *2.43-2.47 (m, 1H, Ar-CH₂), 1.83-1.90 (m, 14H, CH, *CH, 3 × CH₂, *3 × CH₂), 1.60-1.72 (m, 6H, 3 × 20 CH_2), *1.43-1.52 (m, 6H, 3 × CH_2), 1.31-1.39 (m, 4H, * CH_2 , CH_2), 1.13-1.22 (m, 4H, 21 $2 \times CH_2$), *1.04-1.09 (m, 4H, $2 \times CH_2$); ¹³C NMR(CDCl₃): δ *184.8, 184.3, 145.8, 22

1	*145.0, 130.0, *129.6, *129.5, 127.8, *126.0, *125.9, 115.1, 112.9, 112.5, 112.4, 80.6,
2	66.2, 65.6, 60.7, *59.3, 56.0, 54.8, *50.0, *47.3, 43.3, *42.4, *32.8, 32.8, *32.7, 32.6,
3	31.9, *31.2, 30.7, *30.2, *29.2, *29.1, 27.1, 26.7, 26.6, *26.5, *26.4, 25.7, *25.4, *24.0,
4	24.0, [*] 24.0, 24.0; ESIMS: calcd for [M+H] ⁺ m/z 360.3; found, 360.3.
5	4.1.9.5 (7-(cyclopentyloxy)-6-methoxy-1-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-3-yl)-
6	methanol (34)
7	Compound 34 was obtained as a white solid in 57% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ
8	7.28-7.35 (m, 5H, Ar'-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.16 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.01 (s, 1H,
9	Ar-CH-N), 4.41-4.44 (m, 1H, H-1'), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 3.75 (dd, 1H, J = 10.8, 3.5
10	Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 3.52 (dd, 1H, J = 10.9, 7.9 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), 3.19-3.26 (m, 1H, N-CH),
11	2.67-2.72 (m, 1H, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.60-2.65 (m, 1H, Ar-CH ₂ -2), 1.70-1.77 (m, 4H, 2 \times
12	CH ₂), 1.45-1.63 (m, 4H, 2 × CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 148.7, 145.6, 144.4, 130.7,
13	128.9, 128.5, 127.6, 126.8, 114.7, 112.1, 80.3, 66.2, 62.7, 56.1, 55.7, 46.2, 32.7, 32.3,
14	31.5, 24.0 (two), 11.4; ESIMS: calcd for [M+H] ⁺ m/z 354.2; found, 354.3.
15	4.1.10 General procedure for the preparation of 6 and 14-17
16	To a solution of compounds 30-34 (1 eq) in 2 mol•L ⁻¹ NaOH and THF ($V:V = 1:1$)
17	was added benzyl carbonochloridate (2 eq), respectively. After stirred at r.t. for 24 h,
18	the mixture was neutralized with 2 mol $\cdot L^{-1}$ HCl until pH = 7, filtered and
19	concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 100 mL of CH_2Cl_2
20	and then washed with brine (50 mL \times 2), dried over Na ₂ SO ₄ , and concentrated to
21	dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to provide

22 different products **6** and **14-17**, respectively.

1 4.1.10.1 7-(benzyloxy)-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquin-

2 *olin-3-one* (**6**)

3	Compound 6 was prepared as a white solid in 81% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 7.44
4	(d, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, Ar'-H-2, Ar'-H-6), 7.38 (t, 2H, J = 7.3 Hz, Ar'-H-3, Ar'-H-5), 7.31
5	(t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz, Ar'-H-4), 6.64 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.63 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.12 (s, 2H,
6	Ar-OCH ₂), 4.69 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -1), 4.57 (t, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz, O-CH ₂ -1),
7	4.24 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 5.0 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2),
8	3.91-3.96 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.78-2.84 (m, 2H, Ar-CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR
9	(CDCl ₃): δ 157.4, 148.7, 147.5, 136.8, 128.6 (two), 128.0, 127.3 (two), 124.2, 123.3,
10	112.6, 111.9, 71.2, 68.4, 56.1, 51.3, 42.8, 33.6; HRESIMS calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_4Na$
11	348.1212; found 348.1230.
10	41.1027 (malon antidam) 8 matham 5 mathal 1.5.10.10g tatughudua 211 augrala

- 12 4.1.10.2 7-(cyclopentyloxy)-8-methoxy-5-methyl-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo
- 13 [3,4-b]isoquinolin-3-one (**1**4)

Compound 14 was prepared as a white solid in 80% yield; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.64 14 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.61 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.74-4.77 (m, 1H, H-1'), 4.67-4.70 (m, 1H, 15 Ar-CH-N), 4.50 (t-like, 1H, J = 14.2 Hz, O-CH₂-1), 3.94-4.00 (m, 2H, N-CH, 16 17 O-CH2-2), 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH3), 2.76-2.86 (m, 2H, Ar-CH2), 1.80-1.98 (m, 6H, H'-2, 18 H'-3, H'-4-1, H'-5-1), 1.61-1.66 (m, 2H, H'-4-2, H'-5-2), 1.59 (dd, 3H, J = 6.4, 3.119 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR(CDCl₃): δ 157.1, 148.9, 147.0, 130.4, 123.5, 113.4, 112.4, 80.7, 20 68.2, 56.2, 54.7, 50.6, 33.8, 32.8, 32.7, 24.0 (three); HRESIMS calcd for 21 C₁₈H₂₃NO₄Na 340.1525; found 340.1542.

22 4.1.10.3 7-(cyclopentyloxy)-5-hexyl-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo

1 [3,4-b]isoquinolin-3-one (15)

2	Compound 15 was prepared as a white solid in 82% yield and contained a pair of
3	isomers, of which the ratio was about 5:1 by ¹ H NMR. ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ *6.64 (s,
4	1H, Ar-H), 6.62 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.61 (s, 1H, Ar-H), *6.55 (s, 1H, Ar-H), *4.79 (dd, 1H,
5	<i>J</i> = 9.6, 3.7 Hz, Ar-CH-N), 4.74-4.77 (m, 2H, H-1′, [*] H-1′), 4.72 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> = 6.0, 3.0
6	Hz, Ar-CH-N), *4.54 (t, 1H, $J = 8.5$ Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 4.47-4.50 (m, 1H, O-CH ₂ -1), *4.14
7	(dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 3.1 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), [*] 4.01-4.06 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.91-3.98 (m, 2H,
8	O-CH ₂ -2, N-CH), 2.73-2.83 (m, 4H, Ar-CH ₂ , *Ar-CH ₂), *2.27-2.33 (m, 5H,
9	Ar-C-C H_2 -1, 2 × C H_2), 1.78-1.95 (m, 9H, Ar-C-C H_2 -1, 4 × C H_2), *1.67-1.76 (m, 6H,
10	$3 \times CH_2$), 1.61-1.64 (m, 2H, CH ₂), *1.26-1.33 (m, 7H, Ar-C-CH ₂ -2, $3 \times CH_2$),
11	1.15-1.22 (m, 7H, Ar-C-C H_2 -2, 3 × C H_2), *0.88 (t, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz, C H_3), 0.82 (t, 3H, J
12	= 6.9 Hz, CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.2, *156.9, *149.0, 148.8, 146.6, *146.5,
13	128.3, *128.2, 124.8, *123.3, 113.9, *113.5, *112.3, 112.1, *80.6, 80.6, *68.2, 68.2, 56.1,
14	*56.0, 54.7, 54.6, *52.5, *48.5, *37.2, 35.1, 33.7, *33.5, 32.8, *32.7, 32.7, 31.7, *29.1,
15	29.0, *26.0, 24.0 (two), *24.0 (two), 23.0, *22.6, 22.5, *14.0, 14.0; HRESIMS calcd for
16	C ₂₃ H ₃₃ NO ₄ Na 410.2307; found 410.2325.

4,1.10.4 5-cyclohexyl-7-(cyclopentyloxy)-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquinolin-3-one (16)

Compound 16 was prepared as a white solid in 80% yield and contained a pair of isomers, of which the ratio was about 2:1 by ¹H NMR. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.71 (s, 1H, Ar-H), *6.62 (s, 1H, Ar-H), *6.59 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.55 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.73-4.78 (m, 2H, H-1', *H-1'), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 4.1 Hz, Ar-CH₂-N), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz,

1	Ar-CH ₂ -N), 4.54 (t, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), [*] 4.45 (t, 1H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, O-CH ₂ -1),
2	4.11 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 2.5 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), 4.02-4.06 (m, 1H, N-CH), *3.98 (dd, 1H, $J =$
3	11.5, 7.9 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), *3.90-3.93 (m, 1H, N-CH), *3.84 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 3.82 (s, 3H,
4	OCH ₃), 2.72-2.82 (m, 2H, Ar-CH ₂ , *Ar-CH ₂), 1.82-1.93 (m, 13H, CH, 6 × CH ₂),
5	[*] 1.60-1.69 (m, 13H, CH, $6 \times CH_2$), [*] 1.45-1.47 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.29-1.33 (m, 2H, CH ₂),
6	1.00-1.07 (m, 4H, 2 × CH ₂), [*] 0.88-1.00 (m, 4H, 2 × CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 158.0,
7	*156.7, 149.0, *148.8, 146.3, *145.6, 126.6, *126.0, *125.6, 124.3, *115.6, 113.8, 112.2,
8	*112.2, 80.6, *80.6, *68.1, 67.9, *59.9, 57.2, *56.0, 56.0, *55.1, 50.6, 45.2, *41.6, *33.6,
9	33.3, *32.9, *32.8, 32.7, 32.6, 30.9, *30.4, 28.2, 26.6, *26.5, 26.4, *26.3, 26.3, *26.1,
10	[*] 26.0, [*] 24.1, [*] 24.1, 24.0, 24.0; HRESIMS calcd for $C_{23}H_{31}NO_4Na$ 408.2151; found
11	408.2173.
12	4.1.10.5 7-(cyclopentyloxy)-8-methoxy-5-phenyl-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo
13	[3,4-b]isoquinolin-3-one (17)

Compound 17 was prepared as a white solid in 81% yield; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14 7.27-7.34 (m, 5H, Ar'-H), 6.65 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.44 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 5.98 (s, 1H, 15 Ar-CH-N), 4.56-4.59 (m, 1H, H-1'), 4.53 (t, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz, O-CH₂-1), 4.12 (dd, 1H, 16 J = 8.6, 4.1 Hz, O-CH₂-2), 4.00-4.05 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.92-2.95 17 (m, 2H, Ar-CH₂), 1.80-1.85 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.70-1.77 (m, 4H, $2 \times CH_2$), 1.49-1.58 (m, 18 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR(CDCl₃): δ 156.6, 149.4, 146.6, 142.1, 128.6 (two), 128.5 (two), 19 20 127.9, 125.6, 124.4, 114.8, 112.0, 80.4, 68.5, 56.1, 55.9, 48.1, 33.9, 32.6, 32.5, 24.0 21 (two); HRESIMS calcd for C₂₃H₂₅NO₄Na 402.1681; found 402.1692.

22 4.1.11 7-hydroxy-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquinolin-

1 *3-one* (**35**)

2	Compound 6 (1.5 g, 4.61 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and CH_3OH (<i>V</i> : <i>V</i> = 1:1)
3	and then palladium/carbon (60 mg, 0.56 mmol) was added. After stirred at room
4	temperature under H_2 at atmospheric pressure for 5 h, the mixture was filtered and
5	concentrated in vacuo. Then the residue was purified by silica gel column
6	chromatography (10:1, V:V, Chloroform-Methanol) to afford 35 as a white solid (995
7	mg, 92%); ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 8.93 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 6.68 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.58 (s, 1H,
8	Ar-H), 4.49 (t, 1H, $J = 8.2$ Hz, O-C H_2 -1), 4.44 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-C H_2 -1), 4.15 (d,
9	1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.08 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 5.2 Hz, O-CH ₂ -2), 3.93-3.89 (m,
10	1H, N-CH), 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.81 (dd, 1H, $J = 15.3$, 4.0 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -1), 2.63 (dd,
11	1H, $J = 15.3$, 11.1 Hz, Ar-CH ₂ -2); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.3, 146.9, 145.7, 123.8,
12	122.9, 113.3, 113.1, 68.6, 56.0, 51.2, 42.5, 33.0; HRESIMS calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}NO_4Na$
13	258.0742; found 258.0760.

14 *4.1.12 General procedure for the preparation of* **7-13**

To a solution of compound **35** (1 eq) in dry DMF was added K_2CO_3 (4 eq) and different haloalkane or halocycloalkane (3 eq), which then heated at 55 °C under argon. After stirred for 8 h, the mixture was filtrated and concentrated *in vacuo*. The dried residue was dissolved in 100 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and then washed with H₂O (50 mL × 2), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL × 2), and brine (50 mL × 2), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give different products **7-13**, respectively.

22 4.1.12.1 7,8-dimethoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquinolin-3-

1 one (7)

2	Compound 7 was obtained as a white solid in 95% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.61
3	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.76 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 16.3 Hz, N-C <i>H</i> ₂ -1), 4.59 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> =
4	8.2 Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 4.31 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.15 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.5$, 5.0 Hz,
5	O-CH ₂ -2), 3.94-3.99 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.87 (s, 6H, $2 \times OCH_3$), 2.83 (d, 2H, $J = 7.1$ Hz,
6	Ar-CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.4, 148.3, 147.9, 123.5, 123.3, 111.9, 108.9, 68.4,
7	56.0, 55.9, 51.3, 42.8, 33.6; HRESIMS calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}NO_4Na$ 272.0899; found
8	272.0912.
9	4.1.12.2 8-methoxy-7-propoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquinolin-
10	3-one (8)
11	Compound 8 was obtained as a white solid in 96% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.62
12	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 16.4 Hz, N-CH ₂ -1), 4.58 (t, 1H, J =
13	8.2 Hz, COO-CH ₂ -1), 4.29 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6, 5.0$
14	Hz, COO-CH ₂ -2), 3.90-4.00 (m, 3H, N-CH, Ar-OCH ₂), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.82 (d,
15	2H, $J = 6.4$ Hz, Ar-CH ₂), 1.83-1.89 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.04 (t, 3H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH ₃); ¹³ C
16	NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 157.4, 148.3, 147.9, 123.4, 123.3, 112.3, 110.6, 70.6, 68.4, 56.1,
17	51.3, 42.8, 33.6, 22.4, 10.4; HRESIMS calcd for C ₁₅ H ₁₉ NO ₄ Na 300.1212; found
18	300.1240.
19	4.1.12.38-methoxy-7-(pentyloxy)-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquinolin
20	-3-one (9)

21 Compound **9** was obtained as a white solid in 97% yield; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.62 22 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 16.4 Hz, N-CH₂-1), 4.58 (t, 1H, J =

1	8.4 Hz, COO-CH ₂ -1), 4.29 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6, 5.0$
2	Hz, COO-CH ₂ -2), 3.93-4.00 (m, 3H, N-CH, Ar-OCH ₂), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.80-2.84
3	(m, 2H, Ar-CH ₂), 1.81-1.87 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.36-1.47 (m, 4H, 2 × CH ₂), 0.93 (t, 3H, J
4	= 7.2 Hz, CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.4, 148.2, 147.8, 123.3, 123.2, 112.3, 110.4,
5	69.1, 68.4, 56.1, 51.3, 42.8, 33.6, 28.8, 28.0, 22.4, 14.0; HRESIMS calcd for
6	C ₁₇ H ₂₃ NO ₄ Na 328.1525; found 318.1548.
7	4.1.12.4 7-(hexyloxy)-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]isoquinolin
8	-3-one (10)
9	Compound 10 was obtained as a white solid in 96% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.61
10	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 16.3 Hz, N-CH ₂ -1), 4.58 (t, 1H, J =
11	8.3 Hz, COO-CH ₂ -1), 4.23 (d, 1H, $J = 16.4$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6, 5.0$
12	Hz, COO-CH ₂ -2), 3.94-3.99 (m, 3H, N-CH, Ar-OCH ₂), 3.84 (s, 3H, O-CH ₃), 2.83 (d,
13	2H, J = 6.8 Hz, Ar-CH ₂), 1.81-1.86 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.43-1.48 (m, 2H, CH ₂), 1.33-1.37
14	(m, 4H, 2 × CH ₂), 0.91 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$ Hz, CH ₃); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.4, 148.3,
15	147.9, 123.4, 123.3, 112.3, 110.6, 69.2, 68.4, 56.1, 51.3, 42.8, 33.6, 31.5, 29.1, 25.6,
16	22.5, 14.0; HRESIMS calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}NO_4Na$ 342.1681; found 342.1705.
17	4,1.12.5 7-(cyclopropylmethoxy)-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]
18	isoquinolin-3-one (11)
19	Compound 11 was obtained as a white solid in 95% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.61
20	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.73 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 16.3 Hz, N-CH ₂ -1), 4.58 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> =
21	8.3 Hz, COO-CH ₂ -1), 4.27 (d, 1H, $J = 16.5$ Hz, N-CH ₂ -2), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 5.0
22	Hz, COO-CH ₂ -2), 3.93 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 3.82 (d, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz,

1	Ar-OCH ₂), 2.78-2.84 (m, 2H, Ar-CH ₂), 1.29-1.36 (m, 1H, CH), 0.65 (tt, 2H, J = 5.0,
2	1.1 Hz, CH ₂), 0.35 (t, 2H, $J = 5.0$ Hz, CH ₂); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.3, 148.7, 148.0,
3	123.8, 123.4, 112.6, 111.6, 71.3, 68.4, 56.1, 51.3, 42.8, 33.6, 10.3, 3.33, 3.31;
4	HRESIMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{19}NO_4Na$ 312.1212; found 312.1236.
5	4.1.12.6 7-(cyclopentyloxy)-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]-
6	isoquinolin-3-one (12)
7	Compound 12 was obtained as a white solid in 93% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.62
8	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.60 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.73-4.75 (m, 2H, H'-1, H-1-a), 4.58 (t, 1H, J = 8.1
9	Hz, O-CH ₂ -1), 4.28 (d, 1H, $J = 16.3$ Hz, H-1-b), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 4.9 Hz,
10	O-CH ₂ -2), 3.98-3.94 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH ₃), 2.82 (d, 2H, $J = 6.8$ Hz,
11	Ar-CH ₂), 1.81-1.94 (m, 6H, H'-2, H'-3, H'-4-1, H'-5-1), 1.59-1.65 (m, 2H, H'-4-2,
12	H'-5-2); ¹³ C NMR(CDCl ₃): δ 157.4, 149.0, 147.0, 123.4, 123.3, 112.6, 112.5, 80.6,
13	68.5, 56.2, 51.3, 42.8, 33.6, 32.8, 32.7, 24.0 (two); HRESIMS calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}NO_4Na$
14	326.1368; found 326.1382.
15	4.1.12.7 7-(cyclohexyloxy)-8-methoxy-1,5,10,10a-tetrahydro-3H-oxazolo[3,4-b]-
16	isoquinolin-3-one (13)
17	Compound 13 was obtained as a white solid in 90% yield; ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃): δ 6.67
18	(s, 1H, Ar-H), 6.61 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 4.74 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 16.3 Hz, N-C <i>H</i> ₂ -1), 4.58 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> =

20 O-CH), 3.94-4.00 (m, 1H, N-CH), 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.80-2.87 (m, 2H, Ar-CH₂),

8.1 Hz, O-CH₂-1), 4.28 (d, 1H, J = 16.4 Hz, N-CH₂-2), 4.13-4.17 (m, 2H, O-CH₂-2,

19

- 21 1.98-2.06 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.79-1.87 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.53-1.61 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.26-1.38
- 22 (m, 4H, 2 × CH₂); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 157.4, 149.8, 146.6, 124.2, 123.4, 114.6,

1 113.1, 77.6, 68.4, 56.2, 51.4, 42.8, 33.7, 32.0, 31.9, 25.6, 24.0, 23.9; HRESIMS calcd

2 for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_4Na$ 340.1525; found 340.1543.

3 4.2. Assay of human PDE4 activity

A standard PDE assay was conducted as described previously.^{3, 29} The enzyme was 4 prepared from U937 cells which was derived from human monocytes, and was stored 5 6 at -20 °C after preparation. Measurement of PDE4 activity was performed using this 7 stored enzyme after it was diluted with distilled water containing bovine serum albumin. The substrate solution was prepared by adding [³H]-cAMP (300,000 dpm 8 (5000 Bq)/ assay) and 100 µmol/L cAMP solution to 100 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) 9 5 ethylene glycol-bis (β -aminoethyl 10 containing mmol/L ether) and *O*,*O*'-bis(2-aminoethyl)ethyleneglycol-*N*,*N*,*N*',*N*'-tetraacetic 11 acid. The substrate 12 solution was mixed with the enzyme solution containing a test compound dissolved in DMSO, and incubation was done for 30 min at 30 °C. Assays were performed in 13 duplicate at different concentrations of each test compound. 14

15 **4.3.** Assay of TNF- α release.

16 The blood is mixed with saline at a ratio of 1:1, and the peripheral blood 17 mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from buffy coats using Lymphoprep 18 tubes.³⁰ The PBMCs were suspended in RPMI 1640 with 0.5% human serum albumin, 19 pen/ strep, and 2 mM L-glutamine at 5×10^5 cells/mL. The cells were pre-incubated 20 with the test compounds in 96-well plates for 30 min and stimulated for 18 h with 1 21 mg/mL lipopolysaccharide. TNF- α concentration in the supernatants was measured by 22 homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence resonance (TR-FRET). The assay is

- 1 quantified by measuring fluorescence at 665 nm (proportional to TNF- α concentration)
- 2 and 620 nm (control). Results are expressed as IC_{50} values (μ M).

3 4.4 LPS induced sepsis for measurement of TNF-*α* inhibition in mice

The LPS induced sepsis model in mice was performed following the literature.³¹ 4 Female Swiss albino mice were selected according to the body weights, which were 5 6 equivalent within each group. The mice were fasted for 20 h with free access to water 7 and dosed for oral administration (po) with the test compounds suspended in vehicle containing 0.5% Tween 80 in 0.25% sodium salt of carboxymethyl cellulose. The 8 9 control mice were performed the vehicle alone. After 30 min of oral dosing, the mice were injected into intraperitoneal cavity with 500 µg of lipopolysaccharide 10 (Escherichia coli, LPS: B4 from Sigma) in phosphate buffer. Then the mice were bled 11 12 via retro-orbital sinus puncture after 90 min of LPS administration. Serum samples were collected by centrifuging the blood samples at 4000 rpm for 20 min, which were 13 stored overnight at 4 °C. Immediately, the serum samples were checked for TNF- α 14 15 levels using commercial mouse TNF- α ELISA kit (Amersham Biosciences) and assay was carried out following the manufacturer instruction. 16

17 **4.5 LPS induced neutrophilia model for asthma and COPD**

LPS induced neutrophilia in Sprague Dawley rats was performed using the protocol described.³¹ Male Sprague Dawley rats were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for one week prior to the experiment. According to the body weight, the rats were distributed to various groups randomly. Except normal group, all the rats were exposed to 100 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide (*E. coli*, LPS: B4 from Sigma) for 40 min.

The rats were dosed with the test compound suspended in the vehicle containing 0.25% carboxymethyl cellulose before half an hour of LPS exposure. BAL was performed 6 h after LPS exposure, total cell count and DLC was done and compared with control and the standard drug. Percentage inhibition for neutrophilia was calculated and was shown in Table 2.

6 **4.6 Molecular docking**

Molecular docking was performed on Surflex-Dock module of Sybyl 8.0.3, 25 7 8 Crystal structure of PDE4B (PDB ID: 1XMY) obtained from Protein Date Bank was used as the receptor for molecular docking study. The 3D structure of compounds 12 9 and 17 was drawn and optimized with SYBYL package. The docking procedure was 10 11 started with the protomol generation, which was created using a ligand-based 12 approach (native ligand for PDE4B structure). Proto threshold was set to 0.5 and proto 13 bloat was kept at 0 as a default parameter. For docking, max conformation and max rotation values were 20 and 100, respectively. Pre-dock and post-dock energy 14 15 minimization methods were also applied. Docking results were compared by the total score values. The pose with the higher total-score value was considered as the best 16 17 one. After the end of molecular docking, the interactions of the docked domain with ligand were analyzed. 18

19 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the financial supports from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0200504), the National Key Project for Basic Research (973 Program, 2015CB150600), the Special Fund for the Research

35

- and Construction of Public Service Ability (2016A020217014), the Pearl River S&T
- 2 Nova Program of Guangzhou (201506010029).
- 3 **References**
- 4 1. Chen, H.-J.; Wild, C.; Zhou, X.-B.; Ye, N.; Cheng, X.-D.; Zhou, J. J. Med. Chem.
- 5 **2014**, *57*, 3651.
- 6 2. Plisson, F.; Hill, T. A.; Mitchell, J. M.; Hoang, H. N.; de Araujo, A. D.; Xu, W. -J.;
- 7 Cotterell, A.; Edmonds, D. J.; Stanton, R. V.; Derksen, D. R.; Loria, P. M.; Griffith, D.
- 8 A.; Price, D. A.; Liras, S.; Fairlie, D. P. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 127, 703.
- 9 3. Li, Y.-S.; Tian, H.; Zhao, D.-S.; Hu, D.-K.; Liu, X.-Y.; Jin, H.-W.; Song, G.-P.; Cui,
- 10 Z.-N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 26, 3632.
- 11 4. Lipworth, B. J. Lancet. 2005, 365, 167.
- 12 5. Zhou, Z.-Z.; Ge, B.-C.; Zhong, Q.-P.; Huang, C.; Cheng, Y.-F.; Yang, X.-M.; Wang,
- 13 H.-T.; Xu, J.-P. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 124, 372.
- 14 6. Bender, A. T.; Beavo, J. A. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **2006**, *58*, 488.
- 15 7. Zhang, H.-T. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2009, 15, 1688.
- 16 8. Abdulrahim, H.; Thistleton, S.; Adebajo, A. O.; Shaw, T.; Edwards, C.; Wells, A.
- 17 *Expert. Opin. Pharmacother.* **2015**, *16*, 1099.
- 18 9. Mulhall, A. M.; Droege, C. A.; Ernst, N. E.; Panos, R. J.; Zafar, M. A. *Expert Opin*.
- 19 Invest. Drugs. 2015, 24, 1597.
- 20 10. Song, G.-P.; Zhao, D.-S.; Hu, D.-K.; Li, Y.-S.; Jin, H.-W.; Cui, Z.-N. Bioorg. Med.
- 21 *Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *25*, 4610.
- 22 11. Norman, T. R.; Judd, F. K.; Burrows, G. D.; Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry. 1992, 26,

- 2 12. Tashkin, D. P. Expert. Opin. Pharmacother. 2014, 15, 85.
- 3 13. Van der Mey, M.; Boss, H.; Hatzelmann, A.; Van der Laan, I. J.; Sterk, G. J.;
- 4 Timmerman, H. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 2520.
- 5 14. Hatzelmann, A.; Schudt, C. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2001, 297, 267.
- 6 15. Kodimuthali, A.; Jabaris, S. S.; Pal. M. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 5471.
- 7 16. Gràcia, J.; Buil, M. A.; Castro, J.; Eichhorn, P.; Ferrer, M.; Gavaldà, A.;
- 8 Hernández, B.; Segarra, V.; Lehner, M. D.; Moreno, I.; Pagès, L.; Roberts, R. S.;
- 9 Serrat, J.; Sevilla, S.; Taltavull, J.; Andrés, M.; Cabedo, J.; Vilella, D.; Calama, E.;
- 10 Carcasona, C.; Miralpeix, M.; J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 10479.
- 17. Dietsch, G. N.; DiPalma, C. R.; Eyre, R. J.; Pham, T. Q.; Poole, K. M.; Pefaur, N.
- 12 B.; Welch, W. D.; Trueblood, E.; Kerns, W. D.; Kanaly, S. T. Toxicol. Pathol. 2006, 34,
- 13 39.
- 14 18. Houslay, M. D.; Schafer, P.; Zhang, K.-Y. Drug. Discov. Today. 2005, 10, 1503.
- 15 19. Kranz, M.; Wall, M.; Evans, B.; Miah, A.; Ballantine, S.; Delves, C.; Dombroski,
- 16 B.; Gross, J.; Schneck, J.; Villa, J. P.; Neu, M.; Somers, D. O. Bioorg. Med. Chem.

- 20. Robichaud, A.; Stamatiou, P. B.; C. Jin, S.-L.; Lachance, N.; MacDonald, D.;
 Laliberte, F.; Liu, S.; Huang, Z.; Conti. M.; Chan, C.-C.; *J. Clin. Invest.* 2002, *110*, 1045.
- 21 21. Donnell, A. F.; Dollings, P. J.; Butera, J. A.; Dietrich, A. J.; Lipinski, K. K.;
- 22 Ghavami, A.; Hirst, W. D. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 2163.

¹⁷ **2009**, *17*, 5336.

- 1 22. Kranz, M.; Wall, M.; Evans, B.; Miah, A.; Ballantine, S.; Delves, C.; Dombroski,
- 2 B.; Gross, J.; Schneck, J.; P. Villa, J.; Neu, M.; O. Somers, D. Bioorg. Med. Chem.
- 3 **2009**, *17*, 5336.
- 4 23. Mungalpara, J.; Thiele, S.; Eriksen, Ø.; Eksteen, J.; Rosenkilde, M. M.; Våbenø, J.
- 5 J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 10287.
- 6 24. Yonezawa, S.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamakawa, H.; Muto, C.; Hosono, M.; Hattori, K.;
- 7 Higashino, K.; Yutsudo, T.; Iwamoto, H.; Kondo, Y.; Sakagami, M.; Togame, H.;
- 8 Tanaka, Y.; Nakano, T.; Takemoto, H.; Arisawa, M.; Shuto, S. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55,
- 9 8838.
- 10 25. Song, G.-P.; Shen, X.-T.; Li, S.-M.; Li, Y.-B.; Si, H.-Z.; Fan, J.-H.; Li, J.-H.; Gao,
- 11 E.-Q.; Liu, S.-W. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 119, 109.
- 12 26. Chrzanowska, M.; Rozwadowska, M. D. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3341.
- 13 27. Zhang, M.-Z.; Zhou, Z.-Z.; Yuan, X.; Cheng, Y.-F.; Bi, B.-T.; Gong, M.-F.; Chen,
- 14 Y.-P.; Xu, J.-P. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2013, 721, 56.
- 15 28. Marivet, M. C.; Bourguignon, J. J.; Lugnier, C.; Mann, A.; Stoclet, J. C.;
- 16 Wermuth, C. G. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1450.
- 17 29. Huang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Sportsman, J. R. J. Biomol. Screen. 2002, 7, 215.
- 18 30. Kleinman, E. F.; Campbell, E.; Giordano, L. A.; Cohan, V. L.; Jenkinson, T. H.;
- 19 Cheng, J. B.; Shirley, J. T.; Pettipher, E. R.; Salter, E. D.; Hibbs, T. A.; DiCapua, F.
- 20 M.; Bordner, J. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 266.
- 21 31. Balasubramania, G.; Narayanan, S.; Andiappan, L.; Sappanimuthu, T.;
- 22 Thirunavukkarasu, S.; Sundaram, S.; Natarajan, S.; Sivaraman, N.; Rajagopal, S.;

- Nazumudeen, F. A. A.; Saxena, S.; Vishwakarma, S. L.; Narayanan, S.; Sharma, G. V. 1
- Acceptero 2 R.; Srinivasan, C. V.; Kilambi, N. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2016, 24, 5702.

- 1 Figure captions
- 2

3	Figure 1. The designed strategy for the title compounds
4	Figure 2. ORTEP structure of the title compound 15, showing 50% probability
5	ellipsoids; H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.
6	Figure 3. Model of PDE4 and docking of compounds 12 and 17. The catalytic
7	domain bound to 12 overlaid with rolipram (orange, A). The catalytic domain bound
8	to 12 (B). The catalytic domain bound to 17 (C, D).
9	Scheme 1. The synthetic route of the title compounds 7-17 . Reagents and conditions:
10	(a) benzyl bromide or bromocyclopentane, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 96% for 19 , 97% for 20 ; (b)
11	NO ₂ CH ₂ COOEt, (CH ₃) ₂ NH•HCl, KF, toluene, reflux, 68% for 21 , 55% for 22 ; (c)
12	LiAlH ₄ , THF, 69% for 23, 66% for 24; (d) carboxylic acid, EDC•HCl, DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 ,
13	90% for 25, 93% for 26 or different chloride, DMAP and pyridine, 88% for 27, 86%
14	for 28, 90% for 29; (e) i: POCl ₃ , toluene, reflux; ii: NaBH ₄ , MeOH, for two steps 56%
15	for 30 , 58% for 31 , 60% for 32 , 55% for 33 , 57% for 34 ; (f) CbzCl, 2 M NaOH-THF,
16	81% for 6, 80% for 14, 82% for 15, 80% for 16, 81% for 17; (g) Pb/C, CH ₂ Cl ₂ -MeOH,
17	92%; (h) haloalkane or halocycloalkane, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 95% for 7, 96% for 8, 97% for
18	9 , 96% for 10 , 95% for 11 , 93% for 12 , 90% for 13 .
19	Table 1 . Impact on enzymatic potency (PDE4) and inhibition of TNF- α release from
20	human blood mononuclear cells stimulated with lipopolysaccharide
21	Table 2. Inhibition of various PDEs by compound 12 at 100 μ M
22	Table 3 . LPS induced TNF- α in SA mice and neutrophil influx in BALF of SD rats

23

