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A general and mild method for the synthesis of 2,4 -diamino-5-benzylpyrimidines was achieved by the Frie-del-Crafts reaction between 2 -(methoxymethylene)-3-methoxypropanenitrile (10) and an activated aromatic substrate followed by treatment with guanidine. The method is illustrated by a synthesis of ormetoprim (2) in $75 \%$ overall yield from 3,4-dimethoxytoluene (12). Efficient syntheses of trimethoprim (1) and 2 were also accomplished via prior base-catalyzed 1,3 -prototropic isomerization of cinnamonitriles 19 and 20 , respectively, followed by condensation with guanidine. 12 was prepared from 3-bromo-4-methoxytoluene by a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed displacement of bromine by methoxide and 4,5-dimethoxy-2-methylbenzaldehyde was obtained from 12 in $87 \%$ yield by a pyridine-catalyzed Vilsmeier reaction using DMF-POCl .

Certain benzylpyrimidines, such as trimethoprim (1) and ormetoprim (2), are potent and selective inhibitors of bacterial dihydrofolate reductase, the enzyme responsible for the NADPH-dependent reduction of 7,8-dihydrofolate to $5,6,7,8$-tetrahydrofolate. The latter is essential for various biosynthetic reactions that require the transfer of one carbon atom, such as in the biosynthesis of nucleic acids and certain amino acids (e.g., serine and methionine). ${ }^{1}$ Trimethoprim is used solely, or in combination with sulfamethoxazole (3), to treat a wide range of bacterial infections in humans, and ormetoprim is used in combination with sulfadimethoxine (4) to control bacterial infections and coccidiosis in poultry. ${ }^{2 c}$ When used in combination, 1 and 2 act as potentiators of 3 and 4, respectively. ${ }^{2}$


1, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$

1. $R_{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
2, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Me}$


Previous syntheses of 2,4-diaminobenzylpyrimidines 8 frequently employed the condensation of an aromatic aldehyde 5 with a 3 -alkoxypropanenitrile 6 followed by treatment of the resulting cinnamonitrile 7 with guanidine (Scheme I). ${ }^{3}$ Although there have been some improvements ${ }^{4}$ in this approach, the low yields of pyrimidines obtained and the relatively high cost of certain of the starting aromatic aldehydes ${ }^{5}$ necessitated further work to find efficient and practical syntheses of these important benzylpyrimidines. In the present report we describe syntheses of 2 by applications of the Friedel-Crafts reaction (Schemes II and III) and of 1 and 2 by base-catalyzed 1,3 -prototropic isomerization of the cinnamonitriles, 19 and 20, respectively, followed by condensation of the derived products with guanidine (Scheme IV).

[^0]Scheme I


2-(Methoxymethylene)-3-methoxypropanenitrile (10), ${ }^{6}$ readily available from the condensation of 3-methoxypropanenitrile with methyl formate followed by methylation with dimethyl sulfate, was found to be a powerful electrophile in the Friedel-Crafts reaction. Thus, when 10 , neat or in solution (e.g., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or toluene) was treated with an alkoxy-substituted benzene (e.g., 12 for a synthesis of ormetoprim 2) in the presence of a Lewis acid (e.g., $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, p$-TSA, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ ) a facile reaction occurred to give the $E / Z$ enol ether mixture 13, accompanied by the acetal 14 as a minor component. Addition of guanidine to this mixture gave 2 in $75-85 \%$ yield. Although partial conversion of 10 into the acetal 11 occurred under the reaction conditions, 11 was found to be effective as 10 in the Friedel-Crafts reaction.


$$
\xrightarrow[\substack{\mathrm{NaOM} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{DMF} \\ 75 \% \text { from } 12}]{\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}} \rightarrow 2
$$

In an alternative synthesis of ormetoprim using the Friedel-Crafts reaction (Scheme III), 10 was condensed with guanidine that had been thermally generated from it carbonate to give the pyrimidine 15 in $60 \%$ yield. A Friedel-Crafts reaction between 15 and 3,4-dimethoxy-
(6) Nishino, T.; Kiyokawa, M.; Miichi, Y.; Tokuyama, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 1127.

## Scheme IV


toluene in the presence of hydrochloric acid or phosphoric acid gave ormetoprim in $62 \%$ yield.

Curiously, if guanidine is generated from its hydrochloride with sodium methoxide and the resulting mixture is then treated with 10 , none of the desired product 15 is obtained. Instead, the main product isolated, in $27 \%$ yield, was 16, the structure of which is assigned on the basis of spectral data. An analogous reaction between 11 and acetamidine has been reported. ${ }^{6}$


16
Not unexpectedly, the nature of the product obtained from the Friedel-Crafts reaction was determined by the substituents on the benzene ring. This precluded a practical synthesis of the most important member of the benzylpyrimidines, namely, trimethoprim (1), since the Friedel-Crafts reaction between 10 and 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene invariably gave a mixture of regioisomeric products. Related results were reported by Roth et al. ${ }^{7}$ in their attempt to prepare trimethoprim by the Brossner condensation of 2,4-diamino-5-(hydroxymethyl)pyrimidine with 2,6-dimethoxyphenol.

Because of limitations of the Friedel-Crafts and Brossner reactions with respect to the synthesis of trimethoprim (1), we reexamined the synthesis of 1 from the cinnamonitrile 19 (Scheme IV). The latter is easily prepared in high yield by the condensation of $3,4,5$-trimethoxybenzaldehyde with 3 -methoxypropanenitrile, which may be generated in situ from acrylonitrile with methanolic sodium methoxide. In order to form the pyrimidine ring with guanidine, prior conversion of 19 into the enol ether 21 is necessary, a transformation that would result in deconjugation from the benzene ring. A base-catalyzed 1,3-prototropic isomerization was examined to effect this transformation. Our initial choice of base was guanidine since this would also lead to pyrimidine formation. However, treatment of 19 with guanidine under a variety of conditions led to the formation of a considerable amount of resinous material with only modest yields of 1 , a result in accord with that reported by other workers. ${ }^{3}$ A facile
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Scheme V

isomerization of 19 into 21 was eventually found when alkoxide bases were employed, particularly when the reaction was carried out in aprotic dipolar solvents such as DMSO and DMF. Thus, heating a solution of cinnamonitrile 19 in DMSO to ca. $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with anhydrous methanolic sodium methoxide produced a mixture, which, from GC-MS and isolation experiments, was found to consist of the enol ether $E / Z$ mixture $21(20 \%, 1: 1 E / Z$ mixture), acetal 22 ( $76.5 \%$ ), and 23 ( $3.5 \%$ ) of undefined stereochemistry. Treatment of this mixture with guanidine, which had been liberated from its carbonate with sodium methoxide, gave 1 in $75 \%$ yield. A similar process was used to prepare ormetoprim (2) in $85 \%$ yield from the cinnamonitrile 20.



24, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=R_{3}=R_{4}=M e$
2S, $R_{1}=O M e, R_{2}=R_{3}=H, R_{4}=P h$
A number of factors influenced the yields of pyrimidines 1 and 2 obtained from the cinnamonitriles 19 and 20 , respectively. Water had a most detrimental effect on the alkoxide-catalyzed isomerization of the cinnamonitriles, thus curtailing the possibility of carrying out a "one-pot" synthesis of 1 and 2 directly from the aldehydes 17 and 18, respectively. Also, the combination of solvent and guanidine salt used affected the yields significantly. The combination of DMSO-guanidine carbonate resulted in the highest yields ( $75-90 \%$ ) of pyrimidines, whereas DMSO-guanidine hydrochloride led to the lowest yields ( $20-30 \%$ ). In DMF the carbonate and hydrochloride salts of guanidine gave 1 and 2 in yields of $60-80 \%$. When the isomerization was carried out in DMF, the dimethylamine derivative 24 was isolated in $10 \%$ yield. In contrast to the relatively mild conditions (reflux in ethanol) required to effect condensation of the related aniline derivative $25^{8}$ with guanidine, 24 reacted extremely sluggishly with guanidine (DMSO, $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to give 2 in $15 \%$ yield.
Ancillary to the synthesis of ormetoprim (2), efficient syntheses of 3,4 -dimethoxytoluene (12) and of $4,5-\mathrm{di}$ -methoxy-2-methylbenzaldehyde (18) were achieved from 4 -methoxytoluene (26) by the following series of reactions (Scheme V). Bromination of 26 with bromine in toluene followed by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed exchange of bromine by methoxide gave 12 in $83 \%$ yield, which on Vilsmeier formylation with DMF- $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ afforded 18 in $87 \%$ yield. Yields in the Vilsmeier formylation were increased when the reaction was carried out in the presence of a small quantity of pyridine.
In conclusion, the Friedel-Crafts reaction was found to be an excellent method for preparing certain benzylpyrimidines. In cases where this is inappropriate because of the substitution pattern on the aromatic ring, an efficient alternative involves isomerization of a cinnamonitrile (e.g., 19) to give an enol ether (e.g., 21), which on condensation with guanidine furnishes the pyrimidine.

[^2]
## Experimental Section

General Methods. Melting points were determined in capillaries on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Unless otherwise indicated, infrared (IR) and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were determined in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, respectively; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 200 and 50.4 MHz , respectively. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to tetramethylsilane, and coupling constants ( $J$ ) are expressed in hertz ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet $).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR assignments are based on chemical shifts and off-resonance and DEPT spectra and are tentative. Mass spectra (MS) were determined with a direct inlet system with ionization energy of 70 $\mathrm{eV} ; m / z$ values are given with relative intensities in parentheses. Thin-layer chromatograms (TLC, silica gel G) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt); spots were visible under short-wavelength UV light or made visible by spraying with $10 \%$ phosphomolybdic acid in ethanol and heating the plates to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

2-(Methoxymethylene)-3-methoxypropanenitrile (10). A $600-\mathrm{mL}$ Parr reactor was charged with $63.4 \mathrm{~g}(1.17 \mathrm{~mol})$ of freshly prepared NaOMe and 350 mL of anhydrous toluene. The mixture was cooled to ca. $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was treated with 94.5 g ( 1.11 mol ) of 3-methoxypropanenitrile followed by $67.0 \mathrm{~g}(1.11 \mathrm{~mol})$ of methyl formate. The mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 50 atm of carbon monoxide for 19 h , cooled to room temperature, and flushed with Ar. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with some toluene, and transferred to a 1-L, three-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, condenser, and thermometer. Toluene ( 400 mL ) was added followed by 110 mL ( 146.5 $\mathrm{g}, 1.16 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) of dimethyl sulfate. The mixture was stirred at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h , cooled to room temperature, and treated with 20.8 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. Stirring was continued for 30 min , and the mixture was diluted with 200 mL of brine. The organic phase was collected, and the aqueous phase was reextracted with 150 mL of toluene. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\right)$ and evaporated to give 152.8 g of crude 10 as a pale yellow oil, which was distilled through a $1-\mathrm{ft} \times 1-\mathrm{in}$. Vigreux column to give 112.7 g ( $80 \%$ ) of 10 ( $3: 2$ mixture of $E / Z$ isomers) as a colorless oil, bp $78-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.15 Torr): UV (EtOH) $230 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon=13750$ ); IR 2220, $1648,1450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 3.05 / 3.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70 / 3.71(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.75 / 6.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 56.98 / 57.46\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $61.78 / 62.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 64.22 / 68.61\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 87.02 / 89.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{sp}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 116.10/118.20 (CN); MS $m / z 127\left(18, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 96(100) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product indicated the presence of ca. $10 \%$ of 11 , which was removed by distillation, bp $40-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.15 Torr): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 3.35(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

3,4-Dimethoxytoluene (12). A stirred solution of 122.0 g ( 1.0 mol) of 4 -methoxytoluene in 300 mL of toluene cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $168.8 \mathrm{~g}(1.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ at a rate such that the temperature was kept between -10 and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued at -5 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.0 h , and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 208.2 g of crude 3 -bromo-4-methoxytoluene. This was dissolved in 120 mL of DMF and added to a stirred mixture of 325.0 g ( 6.02 mol ) of freshly prepared NaOMe in 1.0 L of MeOH . Freshly prepared cuprous chloride ${ }^{9}(9.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1$ mol ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 4.5 h . It was cooled to room temperature, poured into 1.2 L of brine, and filtered. The filter cake was washed with four $500-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of warm toluene, each wash being used to reextract the brine filtrate. The combined extracts were washed with 1.2 L of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give 153.0 g of crude 12 ( $93 \%$ purity by GC). Distillation through a $1-\mathrm{ft} \times 1-\mathrm{in}$. Vigreux column gave $126.2 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of 12, bp $53-54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.15$ Torr): UV (EtOH) 277-280 $(\epsilon=2700), 227(\epsilon=7400) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.21$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.71(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 20.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.60$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.80\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.24(\mathrm{CH}), 112.40(\mathrm{CH}), 120.76(\mathrm{CH})$, 130.25 (s, ArC), 146.84 (s, ArC), 148.70 (s, ArC); MS m/z 152 ( 100, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 137 (33), 121 (33), 109 (25), 91 (13). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 71.03; H, 7.95. Found: C, 71.14; H, 7.86.

Enol Ether 13. A stirred solution of 15.2 g ( 0.1 mol ) of 12 and $13.97 \mathrm{~g}(0.11 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 10 under argon was treated with 3.0 mL of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h , cooled to

[^3]room temperature, and diluted with 100 mL of EtOAc and 100 mL of brine. The organic phase was collected, and the aqueous phase was reextracted with 100 mL of EtOAc. The combined extracts were washed with brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give 25.1 g of a gum, which was dissolved in 25 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and stirred at room temperature overnight. After being stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , the product was collected by filtration and washed with cold ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give $8.52 \mathrm{~g}(34 \%)$ of 13 , from which 5.71 g of one isomer was obtained by crystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{mp} 89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : UV ( EtOH ) $201(~ \epsilon=39850)$, $231(\epsilon=18800), 284(\epsilon=3670) \mathrm{nm}$; IR 2210, 1645, $1570 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.38$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 18.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.78$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.97\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 61.33\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 89.01$ (s, vinyl C), 112.97 (d, Ar CH), 113.8 (d, Ar CH), 117.03 (CN), 126.81 (s, ArC), 128.23 (s, ArC), 147.03 (s, ArC), 147.68 (s, ArC), 160.45 (d, vinyl CH); MS $m / z 247$ ( $100, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 232 (23), 216 (20), 200 (22), 184 (20), 165 (45). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 68.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.93 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.66$. Found: C, 67.60; H, 6.91; N, 5.57.

Pyrimidine 15. A stirred mixture of $101.6 \mathrm{~g}(0.8 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 2 -(methoxymethylene)-3-methoxypropanenitrile (10) and 72.2 g ( 0.40 mol ) of guanidine carbonate in 200 mL of DMF under argon was boiled at reflux for 4 h and then evaporated in vacuo at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeOH}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the crude product was collected by filtration. It was stirred at reflux with a solution of $40 \mathrm{~g}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol})$ of NaOH in 300 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 1.5 h and then treated with 160 g of NaCl . The mixture was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the product was collected by filtration. It was washed with cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried in vacuo to give $74.0 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of 15 as a pale yellow solid. A $10-\mathrm{g}$ portion was subjected to short-path distillation (Kugelrohr, $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.1 Torr)) to give 9.94 g of 15 as colorless crystals: mp 174-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; UV ( EtOH ) $284(\epsilon=7120$ ), $235(\epsilon=11820) \mathrm{nm} ;$ IR (KBr) $3475,3425,1620,1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta 3.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $6.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta 56.3$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 68.4\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 102.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{ArC}), 156.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}), 163.2(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ ArC); MS $m / z 154\left(60, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 123(100)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 46.70; H, 6.53; N, 36.34. Found: C, 46.71; H, 6.58; N, 36.48.

4,5-Dimethoxy-2-methylbenzaldehyde (18). Phosphorus oxychloride ( $806.28 \mathrm{~g}, 490.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to 200 g ( 1.31 mol ) of 3,4 -dimethoxytoluene under argon with stirring. The mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $383.6 \mathrm{~g}(5.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ of DMF was added at a rate such that the temperature was kept within the range $90-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1.25 \mathrm{~h}, 416$ $\mathrm{mg}(5.26 \mathrm{mM})$ of pyridine was added, and stirring was continued at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.25 h . The dark brown syrup was cooled to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, poured cautiously onto 2.4 kg of crushed ice, and extracted with toluene ( $4 \times 750 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extract was washed successively with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 1.0 \mathrm{~L})$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.0 \mathrm{~L})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0 \mathrm{~L})$, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated to give $219 \mathrm{~g}(95.5 \%$ ) of crude 18 as a light brown oil, which crystallized on standing overnight. GC analysis indicated a purity of $95 \%$. Kugelrohr distillation of a $1.0-\mathrm{g}$ sample gave 865 mg of pure $18, \mathrm{mp} 74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a purity estimated by GC to be >99.6\%: UV (EtOH) 318 ( $\epsilon=7650$ ), 281 $(\epsilon=11600), 233(\epsilon=19250), 205(\epsilon=14100) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.60$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $10.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 17.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 55.75\left(2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 111.02$ (d, Ar CH), 113.40 (d, Ar CH), 126.75 (s, ArC), 135.61 (s, ArC), 147.20 (s, ArC ), 153.33 (s, ArC), 189.86 (CHO); MS $m / z 180$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 66.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.53$.

4,5-Dimethoxy-2-methyl-2'-(methoxymethyl)cinnamonitrile (20). A solution of $90.0 \mathrm{~g}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 18 in 66 mL of acrylonitrile and 135 mL of MeOH was added during 1.0 h to a stirred, cooled (ca. $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of $81.0 \mathrm{~g}(1.5 \mathrm{~mol})$ of freshly prepared NaOMe in 200 mL of MeOH . The mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 18 h and cooled to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the product was collected by filtration. It was washed with cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cold $\left(-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 75 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give $105.8 \mathrm{~g}(85.6 \%)$ of $20, \mathrm{mp} 70-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{mp} 68-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): UV (EtOH) $220(\mathrm{sh}, \epsilon=10420), 237(\epsilon=$ 9520), 250 ( $\mathrm{sh}, \epsilon=7700$ ), $295(\epsilon=9980$ ), $334(\epsilon=11900) \mathrm{nm}$; IR 2230, 1605, $1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 19.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.72\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.97\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $58.12\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 73.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 106.23$ (s, vinyl C), $110.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH})$, $113.15(\mathrm{CH}), 115.93$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArC}$ ), $118.30(\mathrm{CN}), 123.81$ (s, ArC ), 131.20
(s, ArC), 142.85 (d, vinyl CH), 146.84 (s, ArC), 150.43 (s, ArC); MS $m / z 247$ ( $100, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 232 (16), 216 (70). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, 68.00; H, 6.93; N, 5.66. Found: C, 67.98; H, 6.82; $\mathrm{N}, 5.61$.
Acetal 14. A solution of $12.35 \mathrm{~g}(0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ of cinnamonitrile 20 in 14 mL of anhydrous DMSO was added to a solution of freshly prepared NaOMe (from 2.325 g of Na in 38 mL of anhyd $\mathrm{MeOH})$. The solution was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h , cooled to room temperature, poured into 200 mL of brine, and extracted with 150 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The extract was washed with brine ( $2 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give 13.1 g of an oil. TLC ( $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane, phosphomolybdic acid spray) showed 14 at $R_{f} 0.40$ and 13 at $R_{f} 0.19 ; 20$ had $R_{f}$ at 0.48 . Chromatography of a $4-\mathrm{g}$ portion over silica gel 60 ( $70-230 \mathrm{mesh}$ ) with $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane gave 2.1 g of a gum, which was crystallized from MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $1.9 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%)$ of $14, \mathrm{mp}$ $71-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{mp} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): UV ( EtOH ) $230(\epsilon=8200), 282$ $(\epsilon=3050) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $2240,1608,1518 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.30(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.85-3.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.0(\mathrm{CH}), 55.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.4$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 56.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 102.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}), 113.2$ (d, Ar CH ), 113.8 (d, Ar CH), 118.9 (CN), 126.9 (s, ArC), 128.2 (s, ArC), 147.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArC}$ ), 147.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArC}$ ); MS $m / z 279$ (15), 165 (65), 75 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 64.27; H, 7.91; N, 5.00. Found: C, 64.43; H, 7.52; N, 5.08 .

Ormetoprim (2). A. From the Friedel-Crafts Reaction between 10 and 12 . A mixture of 152.0 g ( 1.0 mol ) of $3,4-\mathrm{di}-$ methoxytoluene (12), $140.0 \mathrm{~g}(1.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of freshly distilled 2 -(methoxymethylene)-3-methoxypropanenitrile (10), and 5.70 g ( 30 mM ) of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in 400 mL of anhyd toluene was boiled under reflux for 20 h and then evaporated in vacuo to give a partially crystalline mass (consisting of a 7:3 mixture of 13 and 14) to which 700 mL of DMF was added. To the stirred solution, under argon, was added a freshly prepared solution of NaOMe in MeOH (from 57.5 g of clean Na and 700 mL of MeOH ). The mixture was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and treated with 239.0 $\mathrm{g}(2.5 \mathrm{~mol})$ of guanidine hydrochloride. The temperature of the reaction was increased to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 3 h with the removal of MeOH via a Dean-Stark trap. The mixture was stirred at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , allowed to reach room temperature, and cooled to -10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was collected by filtration and washed with four 1-L portions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by two $300-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of cold ( -15 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) acetone to give $204.4 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of 2 . Recrystallization from 600 mL of hot DMF gave, after washing with 600 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by 200 mL of cold acetone and drying in vacuo at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $190 \mathrm{~g}\left(69.5 \%\right.$ from 12) of $2, \mathrm{mp} 231-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{mp} 230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): UV $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 287(\epsilon=10740)$ and $230(\epsilon=22000) \mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3500$, $3375,3300,1620,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}^{2}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \delta 2.15$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ); MS $m / z 274$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+} 73$ ), 259 (22, M-15), 243 (16), 164 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 61.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.42$. Found: C, 61.26; H, 6.45; N, 20.59 .
B. From Pyrimidine 15. A stirred mixture of $9.24 \mathrm{~g}(0.06$ $\mathrm{mol})$ of $15,9.12 \mathrm{~g}(0.06 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $12,20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of MeOH , and 30 mL of concd HCl was stirred at reflux for 7.5 h , at room temperature overnight, and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The product was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ acetone, and crystallized from 20 mL of hot DMF to give $10.16 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of $2, \mathrm{mp} 230-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, identical with the sample prepared above.
C. From Isomerization of Cinnamonitrile 20. A solution of 98.8 g ( 0.40 mol ) of 20 in 120 mL of anhyd DMSO (some warming necessary) was added to a freshly prepared solution of NaOMe (from 18.52 g of Na in 300 mL of anhyd MeOH ). The solution was stirred under argon at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h and treated with 72.0 g ( 0.40 mol ) of guanidine carbonate, and the temperature was raised to $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 1.25 h with the removal of MeOH via a Dean-Stark trap. After being stirred at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 1.5 h , the mixture was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with 500 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 1.0 h . The product was collected by filtration and was crystallized from 230 mL of hot DMF to give, after washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and drying in vacuo at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93.1$ $\mathrm{g}(85 \%)$ of $2, \mathrm{mp} 232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with TLC (silica gel plates; 95:7.5:1 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) and spectral (UV, IR, NMR, MS) properties identical to those obtained previously.

Compound 16. A solution of $6.35 \mathrm{~g}(0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 10 in 15 mL of anhyd DMSO was added to a solution of NaOMe (from 2.29 g of Na and 30 mL of MeOH ). The mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , treated with 9.0 g of guanidine hydrochloride, and stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h with the removal of MeOH (DeanStark). It was cooled to room temperature and diluted with 60 mL of cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the product was collected by filtration. It was washed with 200 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by 100 mL of acetone to give $3.9 \mathrm{~g}(27 \%)$ of $16, \mathrm{mp} 252-254^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystallization from hot DMF gave an analytical sample, mp $254-256^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; UV (EtOH) $220(\epsilon=13600), 242(\epsilon=22900), 263(\epsilon=8420), 319(\epsilon=10390)$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; IR (KBr) $3400,3350,3150,1645,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ((C. $\left.\left.\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{-} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \delta 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.44(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.51$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12$ ), $3.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $8.81\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{-}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \delta 24.36(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 57.99\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 58.18\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 58.56\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 71.82 (t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 103.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ ), $106.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CH}), 110.75$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ ), 153.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ ), 163.13 (s, sp ${ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$ ); MS $m / \boldsymbol{z} 281$ ( $50, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 266 (12), 249 (8), 234 (18), 218 (42), 204 (100), 190 (40), 75 (90). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ : C, $51.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 24.90$. Found: C, $51.25 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.89; N, 25.12.

3,4,5-Trimethoxy-2'-(methoxymethyl)cinnamonitrile (19). This was prepared from 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde ${ }^{5}$ in $82 \%$ yield according to the procedure given for 20 or by condensation with isolated 3-methoxypropanenitrile, mp $79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{mp}$ $78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): UV (EtOH) $233(\epsilon=15320), 307(\epsilon=15250) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $2225,1590,1130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 3.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.15$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 56.10\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 56.20\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $58.04\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.70\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 73.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 106.30(2 \times \mathrm{ArCH})$, 106.81 (s, vinyl C), 117.9 (CN), 128.4 (s, ArC), 140.3 (s, ArC), 144.9 (d, vinyl CH), 153.2 (s, ArC); MS m/z 263 (100, M+), 248 (28), 332 (51), 216 (14), 201 (42). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 63.87; H, 6.51; N, 5.32. Found: C, 63.82; H, 6.64; N, 5.30 .
The $(Z)$ stereochemistry indicated for 19 was confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray analysis. ${ }^{10}$
$E / Z$ Enol Ether Mixture 21 and Acetal 22. A solution of $6.6 \mathrm{~g}(0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ of cinnamonitrile 19 in 5.0 mL of anhyd DMSO was added to a solution of freshly prepared NaOMe (from 0.63 g of Na and 8.5 mL of MeOH ), and the mixture was stirred under argon at $70-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . It was cooled to room temperature, diluted with 100 mL of brine, and extracted with 200 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The extract was washed with 100 mL of brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give 6.3 g of a gum. TLC (silica, $80 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexane) analysis gave the following results: 23, $R_{f} 0.70$; acetal 22, $R_{f} 0.45$, and enol ether mixture 21, $R_{f} 0.33$. Chromatography on 50 g of neutral alumina (Grade II) with $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane gave: (1) 5.8 g (79\%) of 22, which was crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{mp} 69-71{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : UV ( EtOH ) $230(~ \epsilon=11000$ ), 269 ( $\epsilon=760$ ) nm; IR 2250, $1130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.94$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 4.40 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6$ ), $6.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; MS $m / z 295$ ( $90, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 280 (10), 181 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, $61.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.17 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.74$. Found: C, 61.26; H, 7.28; N, 4.72.
(2) 400 mg of $21(6 \%)$ as a $1: 1 \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture $\mathrm{mp} 117-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); UV ( EtOH ) $205(\epsilon=43100), 230(\epsilon=21250)$ nm ; IR 2220, $1650,1130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 3.32$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.80 (3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.85(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; MS $m / z 263$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 63.87; $\mathrm{H}, 6.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.32$. Found: C, 63.98; H, 6.62; N, 5.30 .
(3) $35 \mathrm{mg}\left(0.05 \%\right.$ ) of compound $23, \mathrm{mp} 68-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ hexane), as a mixture of $E / Z$ isomers: UV (EtOH) 206 ( $\epsilon=32600$ ), 225 (inflection, $\epsilon=19000$ ), $274(\epsilon=11300) \mathrm{nm}$; IR 2210, 1630, $1130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.56(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 263$ (100, M ${ }^{+}$), 248 (77), 232 (9). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 63.87; $\mathrm{H}, 6.51$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.32$. Found: C, $64.18 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.61; N, 5.32.

Trimethoprim (1). A solution of $99.0 \mathrm{~g}(0.376 \mathrm{~mol})$ of cinnamonitrile 19 in 63 mL of anhyd DMSO was added to a solution of freshly prepared NaOMe (from 9.45 g of clean Na and 175 mL of MeOH ). The mixture was stirred under argon at $70-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.0 h and was then treated with $36.99 \mathrm{~g}(0.20 \mathrm{~mol})$ of powdered guanidine carbonate. The mixture was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 1.0 h with the removal of MeOH via a Dean-Stark trap, stirred

[^4]at this temperature for an additional 1.0 h , cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and diluted with 150 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Stirring was continued at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.0 h , and the product was collected by filtration. It was washed with 300 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by cold $\left(5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) acetone ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to give $81.9 \mathrm{~g}(75.2 \%)$ of $1, \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{198-199}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, homogeneous by TLC (silica gel; 95:1:7:1 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ): UV ( EtOH ) 230 (sh, $\epsilon=19200), 289(\epsilon=6780) \mathrm{nm}$; IR 3525, $3425,1620,1130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta 3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.73(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $5.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z$ 290 (100, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 275 (20), 259 (20), 243 (7). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, $57.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.23 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.30$. Found: C, $57.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.30$; $\mathrm{N}, 19.58$. Trimethoprim prepared above may be recrystallized from aqueous EtOH, $95 \%$ return, mp $199-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Enamine 24. A stirred solution of NaOMe in MeOH (from 4.6 g of Na and 62.0 mL of MeOH ) was treated under Ar with $24.7 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of cinnamonitrile 20 and 50.0 mL of DMF. The mixture was stirred at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , cooled to room temperature, poured into 200 mL of brine, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 200$ mL ). The extract was washed with 250 mL of brine, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated to give 26 g of a brown semisolid, which gave 10 g of a solid on trituration with 50 mL of ether. Repeated crystallizations from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and finally MeOH , gave 5.0 $\mathrm{g}(19 \%)$ of 24, mp $85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : UV (EtOH) $230(\epsilon=9850)$, $285(\epsilon$ $=21400$ ) nm; IR 2170, 1625, $1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\delta 1.98(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.23$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$,
6.71 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ); MS $m / z 260$ ( $100, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 245 ( 57 ), 229 (20), 164 (70). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.20; $\mathrm{H}, 7.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.76$. Found: C, 69.19; H, 7.80; N, 10.83 .

The sample of 24 prepared above was identical (mmp, mixed TLC, UV, $\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{NMR}$ ) with a substance isolated from a preparation of 2 when DMF was used as a solvent and with the product derived from the NaOEt -catalyzed condensation of 18 with 3 -(dimethylamino) propanenitrile ${ }^{11}$ in DMSO.
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#### Abstract

The asymmetric synthesis of compound 1, with potential angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity, is reported. From the chiral precursor 5, readily available from L-glutamic acid, two strategies to the key heterocyclic system pyrrolo[ $1,2-b][1,2]$ diazepine have been developed. The first one is based on the formation of the pyrrole nucleus in the early stages of the synthesis. The second strategy is based on the formation of the pyrrole in the final stages and can be regarded as a two-step Paal-Knorr $N$-aminopyrrole synthesis, in which intermediate N -protection is unnecessary.


## Introduction

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE; EC 3.4.15.1) is a peptidase which removes the carboxy-terminal dipeptide from several peptidic substrates. ${ }^{1}$ ACE plays important physiological actions. The most relevant are the formation of the potent vasoconstrictor angiotensin II from the decapeptide angiotensin $I^{2}$ and the degradation of the vasodilating peptide bradykinin. ${ }^{3}$ Compounds with inhibitory activity on ACE have application against hypertension ${ }^{4}$ and congestive heart failure ${ }^{5}$ in man, and several of them have been marketed. Captopril, ${ }^{6}$ a thiol-containing

[^5]compound, was the first orally effective ACE inhibitor; however, the incidence of some side effects was attributed to the mercapto function. ${ }^{7}$ This led to the introduction of a new class of ACE inhibitors, the carboxyalkyl dipeptides, such as enalapril, ${ }^{8}$ and more recently its conformationally restricted derivatives, the bicyclic lactams, such as benazepril ${ }^{9}$ and cilazapril. ${ }^{10}$
Among the conformationally restricted derivatives, a seven-membered lactam is the common feature for the most active compounds. Furthermore, a benzo fusion
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