Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molcatb

Yihx-encoded haloacid dehalogenase-like phosphatase HAD4 from Escherichia coli is a specific α -D-glucose 1-phosphate hydrolase useful for substrate-selective sugar phosphate transformations



Martin Pfeiffer^a, Patricia Wildberger^a, Bernd Nidetzky^{a,b,*}

^a Institute of Biotechnology and Biochemical Engineering, Graz University of Technology, Petersgasse 12/1, A-8010 Graz, Austria ^b ACIB – Austrian Centre of Industrial Biotechnology, Petersgasse 14, A-8010 Graz, Austria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 April 2014 Received in revised form 9 September 2014 Accepted 11 September 2014 Available online 20 September 2014

Keywords: Phosphatase HAD superfamily Sugar phosphate Substrate selectivity Oriented immobilization

ABSTRACT

Phosphomonoester hydrolases (phosphatases; EC 3.1.3.) often exhibit extremely relaxed substrate specificity which limits their application to substrate-selective biotransformations. In search of a phosphatase catalyst specific for hydrolyzing α -D-glucose 1-phosphate (α Glc 1-P), we selected haloacid dehalogenase-like phosphatase 4 (HAD4) from Escherichia coli and obtained highly active recombinant enzyme through a fusion protein (Z_{basic2}-HAD4) that contained Z_{basic2}, a strongly positively charged three α -helical bundle module, at its N-terminus. Highly pure Z_{basic2}-HAD4 was prepared directly from E. coli cell extract using capture and polishing combined in a single step of cation exchange chromatography. Kinetic studies showed Z_{basic2} -HAD4 to exhibit 565-fold preference for hydrolyzing α Glc $1-P(k_{cat}/K_{M} = 1.87 \pm 0.03 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}; 37 \circ \text{C}, \text{ pH 7.0})$ as compared to D-glucose 6-phosphate (Glc 6-P). Also among other sugar phosphates, α Glc 1-P was clearly preferred. Using different mixtures of α Glc 1-P and Glc 6-P (e.g. 180 mM each) as the substrate, Z_{basic2} -HAD4 could be used to selectively convert the α Glc 1-P present, leaving back all of the Glc 6-P for recovery. Zbasic2_HAD4 was immobilized conveniently using direct loading of E. coli cell extract on sulfonic acid group-containing porous carriers, yielding a recyclable heterogeneous biocatalyst that was nearly as effective as the soluble enzyme, probably because protein attachment to the anionic surface occurred in a preferred orientation via the cationic Z_{basic2} module. Selective removal of α Glc 1-P from sugar phosphate preparations could be an interesting application of Z_{basic2}-HAD4 for which readily available broad-spectrum phosphatases are unsuitable.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Approximately half of the intracellular carbohydrates in the *Escherichia coli* metabolome contain a phosphate moiety [1]. A plethora of enzymes is involved in the dynamic regulation and homeostasis of sugar phosphate metabolite levels. One key enzyme subset is the phosphatases [2]. They catalyze phosphomonoester group hydrolysis to release inorganic phosphate from phosphorylated substrate.

The known sugar phosphate phosphatases represent a large diversity in protein structures, catalytic mechanisms, and substrate specificity. However, many of them are evolutionary related to haloacid dehalogenase (HAD)-like proteins [3–5]. Originally named

E-mail address: bernd.nidetzky@tugraz.at (B. Nidetzky).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2014.09.004 1381-1177/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. after the activity of its first structurally characterized member (L-2-HAD from *Pseudomonas* sp. YL), the HAD-like protein superfamily currently comprises mainly phosphatases, with about 79% of the classified and biochemically studied proteins exhibiting this activity [6].

Common structural element of HAD-like phosphatases is a Rossmann fold-like α/β core domain that contains the active site. According to the presence and structure of an additional domain, the so-called CAP, HAD-like phosphatases are subdivided into subfamilies CI (α -helical CAP), CII (mixed α/β CAP), and CIII (no CAP) [7]. The catalytic centre is highly conserved. It features a key Asp that is proposed to function as catalytic nucleophile in a double displacement-like enzyme reaction mechanism. Phospho-monoester hydrolysis would accordingly proceed in two catalytic steps via a covalent aspartyl-phosphate enzyme intermediate [6–8]. HAD-like phosphatases require divalent metal ion (typically Mg²⁺) bound in the active site for their full activity [9,10]. Phosphatase substrate specificity is dictated by flexible

^{*} Corresponding author at: Institute of Biotechnology and Biochemical Engineering, Graz University of Technology, Petersgasse 12/1, A-8010 Graz, Austria.

loop structures on the α/β core domain and on the CAP domain [11]. The spectrum of phosphoryl substrates hydrolyzed is usually very broad, and it includes both sugar and non-sugar phosphates [12]. Relationships between structure and specificity are only weakly defined for HAD-like phosphatases. Substrate binding and product release involve domain-closing and opening movements of the CAP [9,13–15]. Protein conformational flexibility further complicates efforts to infer substrate specificity from sequence and three-dimensional structure information alone. Purposeful selection or molecular design of a HAD-like phosphatase for biocatalytic conversion of specific target substrates is therefore difficult. Evidence from biochemical characterization is vital for efficient development.

Selectivity is a prime feature of many enzymatic transformations [16]. A growing number of examples show that in a comparison of conventional-chemical and biocatalytic process options in organic synthesis [17,18], it is usually the bio-based selectivity that provides a decisive advantage for process development. In this paper, we address the problem of substrate-selective hydrolysis of α -D-glucose 1-phosphate (α Glc 1-P) by phosphatases and report identification of HAD4 (yihx gene product) from E. coli for that purpose. Major demand for the reaction is in reactive processing of mixtures of sugar phosphates to eliminate all of the α Glc 1-P present in the starting material. Sample work-up for analytics, in the field of sugar phosphate metabolomics for example, and facilitated sugar phosphate product recovery constitute interesting applications of selective α Glc 1-P converting phosphatases. The readily available broad-spectrum phosphatases are however not suitable for these applications, and discovery of new phosphatase catalysts is therefore required. HAD4 was obtained as highly active recombinant enzyme through a specially designed fusion protein (Z_{basic2} -HAD4) that contained Z_{basic2} , a strongly positively charged three α -helical bundle module, at its N-terminus [19]. Z_{basic2} facilitated functional expression of soluble protein in E. coli and enabled protein capture and polishing efficiently combined in a single step of cation exchange chromatography. Furthermore, the Z_{basic2} module had an instrumental role in the development of an immobilized HAD4 biocatalyst where Zhasic2-HAD4 was bound directly from crude bacterial cell extract on sulfonic acid group-containing porous carriers. Attachment of fusion protein to the negatively charged carrier surface was not only highly selective in that accompanying E. coli protein only showed marginal binding under the conditions used, but it also appeared to have occurred in a strongly preferred orientation via the cationic binding module: immobilized Zbasic2-HAD4 was nearly as effective as the free enzyme. Kinetic characterization of Zhasic2-HAD4 with α Glc 1-P and the competing sugar phosphate substrate Dglucose 6-phosphate (Glc 6-P) is reported. Utilization of different sugar phosphates as substrates for hydrolysis by Zbasic2-HAD4 is described, and some basic properties of the enzyme fused to Z_{basic2} are reported. Clear-cut separation of Glc 6-P from α Glc 1-P through selective hydrolysis of the sugar 1-phosphate at high concentration (up to 180 mM) and in the presence of up to 9-fold excess of competing substrate is shown.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Unless stated otherwise, all chemicals were of highest purity available from Sigma–Aldrich (Vienna, Austria) or Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany). Sugar phosphates were obtained as sodium or ammonium salts. Oligonucleotide primers were from Sigma–Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). DNA sequencing was done at LGC Genomics (Berlin, Germany).

2.2. Expression and purification of His₆_HAD4

Expression vector pCA24N-vihx encoding HAD4 equipped with N-terminal hexahistidine tag was kindly provided by Dr. Alexander Yakunin. The vector was transformed into electro-competent cells of E. coli BL21 (DE3), and single-colony transformants were selected on agar plates containing 0.025 mg/mL chloramphenicol. Recombinant protein was produced in 1-L baffled shaking flasks at 37 °C using an agitation rate of 110 rpm (Certomat BS-1 shaking incubator, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Flasks contained 250 mL Lennox-medium supplemented with 0.025 mg/mL chloramphenicol. At OD₆₀₀ of 0.8, temperature was decreased to 18 °C, 0.01 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added, and cultivation was continued for 20 h. Centrifuged (4°C, 30 min; 4,420 g) and washed cells were suspended in 10 mL of 50 mM Mes pH 7.0 buffer and disrupted by triple passage through French pressure cell at 150 bar (American Instruments, Silver Springs, USA). After removal of cell debris (4°C, 30 min; 20,000 g) and filtration through a 1.2 µm cellulose-acetate syringe filter (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). The pre-treated cell lysate (350 mg; 8 mL) was loaded on a 14 mL copper-loaded affinity column (Chelating Sepharose Fast Flow; XK 16/20 column, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, U.K.), beforehand equilibrated with buffer A (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.0 containing 300 mM NaCl) and mounted on an Bio-Logic DuoFlowTM system (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA). Differential elution was performed with buffer B (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.0 containing 300 mM NaCl and 400 mM imidazole) at 10 °C and a flow rate of 3 mL/min. The elution protocol comprised 5 steps, whereas concentration of buffer B was stepwise increased to 0, 10, 30, 60 and 100% in a volume of 100, 90, 60, 30 and 75 mL, respectively. All buffers were degassed and filtered using 0.45 µm cellulose-acetate or 0.2 µm polyamide filters. Protein elution was monitored spectrophotometrically at 280 nm, and collected fractions were assayed for protein concentration and phosphatase activity against *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP; see Assays). Active fractions were pooled and buffer was exchanged to buffer A₂ (50 mM KH₂PO₄-buffer, pH 7.0) with Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Units (Millipore, Billerica, USA). Pooled fractions were further purified using a 50 mL Fractogel EMD-DEAE column (XK 26/20, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) mounted on a BioLogic DuoFlowTM system. Protein elution was performed with buffer B₂ (50 mM KH₂PO₄, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.0) applying a five-step purification protocol at a constant flow rate of 5 mL/min: step 1, isocratic flow of 100% buffer A₂ for 50 mL; step 2, linear increase to 15% buffer B₂ in 40 mL; step 3, isocratic flow of 85% buffer A2 and 15% buffer B_2 for 80 mL; step 4, linear increase to 100% buffer B_2 in 180 mL; step 5, isocratic flow of 100% buffer B₂ for 70 mL. Active fractions were pooled and buffer was exchanged to 50 mM Hepes pH 7.0 with Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Units. Protein purification was monitored by SDS PAGE and phosphatase activity measurements.

Alternatively, the pre-treated cell lysate (280 mg; 8 mL) was loaded on $1.6 \text{ cm} \times 2.5 \text{ cm}$; 5 mL HisTrap FF column (GE Health-care), equilibrated with buffer C (50 mM MES, pH 7.4 containing 125 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole) and mounted on an ÄKTA prime plus (GE Healthcare) system. Protein was eluted using an imidazole gradient from 0 to 80% buffer D (50 mM MES, pH 7.4 containing 125 mM NaCl and 500 mM imidazole) at 10 °C and at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. At 20% buffer D, the linear gradient was halted for 2 column volumes to facilitate differential elution of bound protein. Active fractions were pooled and buffer was exchanged to 50 mM MES, pH 7.0. Protein purification was monitored by SDS PAGE and phosphatase activity measurements. Densitometry evaluation of the SDS PAGE was performed with the GelAnalyzer software (http://www.gelanalyzer.com/index. html).

2.3. Molecular cloning, expression and purification of Z_{basic2}-HAD4

The HAD4 gene was amplified from pCA24N-yihx by PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA) and the following pair of oligonucleotide primers:

5'-GAAGCTCTGTTCCAGGGTCCGCTCTATATCTTTGATTTAG-3', and 5'-CTTTGTTAGCAGCCGGATCTCTTAGCATAACACCTTCG-3'.

PCR consisted of a pre-heating step at 98 °C for 5 min and was followed by 30 reaction cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 30 s, annealing at 70 °C for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 1.5 min. The final extension step was carried out at 72 °C for 5 min. The resulting PCR product comprised 5'- and 3'-overhangs complementary to the target sequence of the pT7ZbQGKlenow destination vector [19]. Vector pT7ZbQGKlenow was amplified by PCR using Phusion DNA polymerase and the following pair of oligonucleotide primers:

5'-CGGACCCTGGAACAGAGC-3', and 5'-GAGATCCGGCTGCTAAC AAAG-3'.

PCR protocol was the same as above, except that elongation at 72 °C was extended to 3 min. Amplification products of the two PCRs were individually subjected to parental template digest by *Dpn*I and then recombined via Gibson assembly [20]. The resulting construct encodes a fusion protein where the small module Z_{basic2} is joined by a flexible linker (11 amino acids) to the N-terminus of HAD4. Sequenced plasmid vector encoding the Z_{basic2} -HAD4 fusion protein was transformed into electrocompetent cells of *E. coli* BL21-Gold (DE3), and single-colony transformants were selected on agar plates containing 0.05 mg/mL kanamycin.

Protein production was done as described above, except for the use of 0.05 mg/mL kanamycin. Harvested cells were resuspended in 10 mL of buffer A_3 (50 mM MES buffer, pH 7.0, containing 100 mM NaCl). Preparation of cell extract was done exactly as already described.

Protein was purified at $10 \,^{\circ}$ C using pre-packed $1.6 \,\mathrm{cm} \times 2.5 \,\mathrm{cm}$; 5 mL HiTrap SP FF column (Ni Speharose; $16/25 \,\mathrm{mm}$, GE Healthcare) on ÄKTA prime plus system. The column was equilibrated with buffer A₃ and 8 mL of the pre-treated cell extract were loaded onto the column. Protein elution was performed using a continuous salt gradient ranging from 0 to 100% of buffer B₃ (50 mM HEPES buffer supplemented with 2 M NaCl, pH 7.0) in 150 mL at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. The target protein eluted at 50% buffer B₃. Pooled fractions were collected and buffer was exchanged to 50 mM MES, pH 7.0, containing 250 mM NaCl and 50 mM MgCl₂, as described before. The concentrated preparation was aliquoted and stored at $-20 \,^{\circ}$ C. Protein purification was monitored by SDS PAGE and phosphatase activity measurements.

2.4. Assays

Protein was measured with Roti-Quant reagent (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) referenced against bovine serum albumin (BSA). Purified Z_{basic2} -HAD4 was measured by UV absorbance at 280 nm using a molar extinction coefficient of 25440 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, calculated from the sequence with ProtParam analysis tool from the expasy server [21]. Standard phosphatase activity was measured with pNPP (4.0 mM), the release of p-nitrophenol (pNP) was recorded continuously at 405 nm with a Beckman Coulter DU 800 UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Krefeld, Germany).

Reaction was performed at 30 $^{\circ}$ C using 50 mM MES, pH 7.0, containing 25 mM MgCl₂ and 100 mM NaCl. One unit of activity (U) is the amount of enzyme releasing 1 μ mol of pNP under the conditions used. Specific activity is expressed in U/mg protein.

Inorganic phosphate was measured with a spectrophotometric assay described by Saheki et al. [22]. Shortly, free phosphate forms a complex with molybdate and the phosphomolybdate complex is reduced by L-ascorbic acid in the presence of Zn²⁺, which produces a chromophore that can be measured at 850 nm.

Reported coupled enzyme assays were used to measure α Glc 1-*P* and Glc 6-*P*[23]. In contrast to Eis and Nidetzky [23], however, no trehalose phosphorylase was present in our reaction mixture. Glucose 6-*P* dehydrogenase from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* (G8404; Sigma Aldrich) and α -phosphoglucomutase from rabbit muscle (P3397; Sigma Aldrich) were added sequentially. After addition of glucose 6-*P* dehydrogenase or phosphoglucomutase, formation of NADH was monitored at 340 nm and the NADH end concentration was used to calculate the corresponding Glc 6-*P* or α Glc 1-*P* concentration.

2.5. Kinetic characterization

All reactions were performed in 50 mM MES buffer, pH 7.0, containing 25 mM MgCl₂ and 100 mM NaCl. Incubations were done at 37 °C using agitation at 650 rpm on a Thermomixer comfort (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). α Glc 1-*P* or Glc 6-*P* was used as substrate in the concentration range 0.1–30 mM and 0.1–700 mM, respectively. To account for the lower activity of Z_{basic2}-HAD4 with Glc 6-*P* as compared to α Glc 1-*P*, the corresponding enzyme concentration was 0.39 (α Glc 1-*P*) and 16 μ M (Glc 6-*P*), respectively. Reactions were started by addition of enzyme and allowed to run for up to 120 min while taking samples every 15 min. Thermal inactivation (99 °C, 5 min) was used to stop reactions. Free phosphate concentration was measured in supernatants of centrifuged samples.

It was confirmed that phosphate release was linear with incubation time. Phosphate concentration after 15 min, or 120 min of reaction was used to determine the initial rate for α Glc 1-*P* and Glc 6-*P* conversions, respectively. Phosphate blanks from reactions lacking enzyme were recorded.

Kinetic parameters were obtained from non-linear least squares fits (SigmaPlot, Systat, Erkrath, Germany) of initial rates to Michaelis–Menten equation expanded to include substrate inhibition (1). *V* is the initial rate, V_{max} is the maximum initial rate, K_M is the Michaelis constant, K_{iS} is the substrate inhibition constant, and [S] is the initial substrate concentration. Under conditions where $K_{iS} < K_M$ (see later under Results) fitting of Eq. (1) did not succeed and individual determination of all three kinetic parameters was not possible. However, V_{max}/K_m could be determined from the initial part of the *V*–[S] curve where *V* is linearly dependent on [S].

$$V = \frac{V_{\text{max}}S}{K_{\text{M}} + S(1 + (S/K_{\text{iS}}))}$$
(1)

Besides α Glc 1-*P* and Glc 6-*P* various other sugar phosphates were examined as substrates of Z_{basic2}-HAD4: α -D-galactose 1-*P* (α Gal 1-*P*), α -D-mannose 1-*P* (α Man 1-*P*), α -D-galactose 6-*P* (α Gal 6-*P*) and α -D-mannose 6-*P* (α Man 6-*P*). They were used at constant concentration of 20 mM, and the initial rate of phosphate release was measured.

2.6. pH and temperature profiles of activity and stability

pH effects were studied at 37 °C (activity) or 25 °C (stability) in the range 4.0–9.0 using multicomponent buffer of 50 mM sodium acetate, 50 mM TES, 50 mM MES supplemented with 100 mM NaCl and 25 mM MgCl₂. Temperature effects (20–60 °C) were examined at pH 7.0 using the salt-supplemented 50 mM MES buffer. pH or temperature effects on activity were determined using α Glc 1-*P* (20 mM) as substrate and measuring the phosphate release within 10 min of incubation. Effects on stability were determined from non-agitated incubations of enzyme solution (200 µL; 23 µM). Samples were withdrawn at certain times and residual activity was measured under α Glc 1-*P* assay conditions (pH) as described above.



Fig. 1. (A) Purification of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 monitored by SDS-PAGE. 1: PAGE-ruler protein ladder, 2: cell extract (1.5 µg), 3: insoluble protein fraction from *E. coli* expression culture (1.5 µg), 4: flow-through (proteins that did not bind to the column) (1.5 µg), 5: fraction with minor phosphatase activity (1.0 µg), 6: fraction with minor phosphatase activity (0.5 µg), 7: purified Z_{basic2} -HAD4 (1.5 µg); (B) Chromatogram of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 purification with 1.6 cm × 2.5 cm; 5 mL HiTrap SP FF column. The absorbance trace at 280 nm is shown as solid line. The salt gradient used is shown as dashed line, and the measured conductivity is shown as dotted line.

2.7. Z_{basic2}_HAD4 immobilization

Basic protocol from Wiesbauer et al. [24] was used. Briefly, Fractogel EMD SO₃⁻ (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and Relisorb SP400 (Resindion, Binasco Milano, Italy) were the carriers used, and their technical specifications are provided in Supplementary Table T1. Hundred mg of wet carrier were weighed into Eppendorf tubes, washed twice with water, and equilibrated with 50 mM MES (pH 7.0) containing 200 mM NaCl. Two mL of enzyme solution (5 mg/mL E. coli cell extract; 0.5 mg/mL purified Z_{basic2}-HAD4) were added and the tubes were incubated in an end-over-end rotator at 25 °C for 60 min. Supernatant was removed (25 °C, 5 min; 20,000 g) and weakly bound protein was washed away twice with loading buffer. Note that only at 2 M NaCl the specifically and strongly bound protein was eluted from the carrier. Enzyme immobilizates were stored in 50 mM MES (pH 7.0) containing 100 mM NaCl and 25 mM MgCl₂ at 4 °C. Enzyme immobilization was monitored from the decrease in protein concentration and phosphatase activity in solution, observing that enzyme was stable during the immobilization.

Effectiveness factors (η) of the Z_{basic2}-HAD4 immobilizates were determined by comparing the observable activity of the carrier-bound enzyme to the theoretically bound enzyme activity calculated from the balance in solution. Therefore, η is the ratio of observable and theoretically bound enzyme activity. The relatively high phosphatase background in the *E. coli* cell extract restricted η determination to conditions where purified Z_{basic2}_HAD4 was immobilized. To measure the activity of the immobilized enzyme, 3 mg of immobilizate were incubated at 37 °C in 500 µL solution containing 20 mM α Glc 1-*P* as substrate. Agitation was at 330 rpm to keep particles in suspension. Release of phosphate was measured in samples after 10 min of incubation.

2.8. Substrate-selective hydrolysis of α Glc 1-P in the presence of Glc 6-P using free or immobilized Z_{basic2} -HAD4

Reaction conditions were the same as above under 2.5 Kinetic characterization except that temperature was 30 °C unless indicated. The substrate and soluble enzyme concentrations used are shown under 3. Results. Samples were taken at certain times and assayed for α Glc 1-P and Glc 6-P. Immobilized enzyme prepared from *E. coli* cell extract was used identically as the free enzyme, applying 3 mg of carrier in 500 µL substrate solution. Agitation at 330 rpm with a magnetic stirrer bar was sufficient to have carrier particles well suspended. Once α Glc 1-P was converted almost exhaustively (~90 min), particles were spinned down (25 °C, 5 min; 20,000 g), washed once with buffer, and then suspended again with substrate solution. Restart of the reaction was done twice.



Fig. 2. Initial-rate kinetic characterization of sugar phosphate conversion by Z_{basic2} -HAD4. (A) Michaelis–Menten plot for the hydrolysis of α Glc 1-*P*. (B) Michaelis-Menten plot for the hydrolysis of Glc 6-*P*. For further details about methodology, see 2.5. Kinetic characterization. Symbols show the data, and the solid lines are non-linear fits of Eq. (1) to the data.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Functional expression and purification of HAD4

Kuznetsova et al. reported isolation of µg amounts of His₆₋HAD4 using automated high-throughput work-up procedures performed at μ L scale [12]. We attempted to scale up the purification of His₆₋HAD4 to a processing capacity of about 400 mg total protein from the crude E. coli cell extract. Contrary to the earlier study where Ni-NTA beads were used [12], Cu²⁺ loaded immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) in 14 mL column format $(16 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm})$ was used herein. Even though about one-third of the applied protein was bound to the column, differential elution with an imidazole step gradient did not result in fractionation of protein(s) exhibiting clear phosphatase activity towards pNPP substrate. Protein pools potentially containing phosphatase activity showed a prominent band of correct size (25 kDa) next to other major contaminants in the SDS polyacrylamide gel (Supplementary Fig. S1). They were therefore further fractionated by anion exchange chromatography. Collected fractions showed only trace amounts of phosphatase activity, and their analysis by SDS PAGE indicated that purification had not been successful (Supplementary Fig. S1).

We therefore tested IMAC on Ni²⁺ Sepharose using a different column format ($1.6 \text{ cm} \times 2.5 \text{ cm}$; 5 mL; HisTrapFF) at smaller scale. Pooled protein fractions exhibiting phosphatase activity were shown by SDS PAGE to contain the expected band of 25 kDa but also a major contaminant of around 37 kDa size (Supplementary



Fig. 3. Phosphatase activity of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 with different sugar phosphate substrates and pNPP. Reactions were performed at 37 °C in 50 mM MES buffer (pH 7.0) containing 25 mM MgCl₂ and 100 mM NaCl. The substrate concentration was 20 mM, except for pNPP (4.0 mM). $k_{\text{cat.app}}$ is an apparent turnover number calculated from the initial rate of phosphate release divided by the molar enzyme concentration which in turn is obtained from the protein concentration and the enzyme molecular mass of 31 kDa. For further details about methodology, see Section 2.5.

Fig. S2). According to densitometric analysis of the protein gel, the sample was composed roughly of 30-40% His₆-HAD4. Yield of target protein was therefore estimated to have been around 5 mg/L culture.

To establish a more efficient procedure of isolation of HAD4 and also in view of convenient immobilization of the enzyme of ion-exchange support, we chose not to optimize protocols for His₆-HAD4, but opted for an alternative fusion protein approach. A chimeric enzyme termed Z_{basic2} -HAD4 was constructed where the so-called Z_{basic2} module was attached to the N-terminus of HAD4. Z_{basic2} is an engineered arginine-rich variant of the Z domain, a 58 amino acid (7 kDa) three-helix bundle obtained from the B domain of staphylococcal protein A [25]. Fusion to Z_{basic2} was known from previous studies to enhance solubility of proteins otherwise poorly soluble under conditions of recombinant production in *E. coli* [19,26]. Z_{basic2} was furthermore used as affinity module for protein purification by cation exchange chromatography [25]. Finally, it was also applied for non-covalent immobilization of different enzymes on negatively charged carrier surfaces [24,27].

Zbasic2-HAD4 was isolated by cation exchange chromatography of the E. coli cell extract on HiTrap SP FF resin. Purified protein exhibited the expected size of 31 kDa. Capture of target protein, from the complex protein matrix and its purification to apparent homogeneity (Fig. 1A) were combined efficiently in a single workup step. Zbasic2_HAD4 eluted from the column as discrete protein peak at high salt concentration, as shown in Fig. 1B. Analysis by SDS PAGE (Fig. 1A) revealed that the flow-through fraction did not contain Z_{basic2}-HAD4, demonstrating that all of the applied target protein had bound to the column. Fig. 1A also shows that insoluble protein recovered from the E. coli cells contained significant amounts of Z_{basic2}_HAD4, indicating that fusion to Z_{basic2} was not fully sufficient to prevent aggregation of HAD4 during recombinant production in E. coli. About 11 mg of pure Zbasic2-HAD4 were recovered from each L of bacterial cell culture. Purification with HiTrap SP FF resin was extremely effective, considering that the total protein amount present in the sample was almost 270 mg/L. It is interesting but currently not understood why the purification of Z_{basic2}_HAD4 was much more efficient than purification of His₆₋HAD4. The specific activity towards pNPP of Z_{basic2-}HAD4 was determined as 0.06 U/mg.

Stock solutions of purified Z_{basic2}-HAD4 were prepared by concentrating desalted protein in 50 mM MES buffer, pH 7.0, to about



Fig. 4. Selective hydrolysis of α Glc 1-*P* by Z_{basic2} -HAD4. Reaction mixtures contained (A) 20 mM α Glc 1-*P*(\circ) and 20 mM Glc 6-*P*(\bigcirc), (B) 180 mM α Glc 1-*P*(\bullet) and 180 mM Glc 6-*P*(\bigcirc), and (C) 20 mM α Glc 1-*P*(\bullet) and 180 mM Glc 6-*P*(\bigcirc). Reactions further contained purified Z_{basic2} -HAD4 at 3.2 μ M (A), 16 μ M (B) and 1.6 μ M (C). They were performed at 30 °C and pH 7.0, except in A where 37 °C was used. Note: the Glc 6-*P* concentration in panel C at 150 min was measured but includes effect of slight water evaporation.

10 mg/mL. After frozen storage at $-20 \degree$ C, we observed significant protein precipitation in thawed samples. Addition of 250 mM NaCl resulted in partial re-solubilization of the precipitate concomitant with recovery of some of the original phosphatase activity in solution, suggesting effect of ionic strength on solubility and stability of Z_{basic2}_HAD4. Supplementing the storage buffer with a combination of salts (250 mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂) prevented the precipitation of Z_{basic2}-HAD4 completely. Storage in liquid state at 4°C was preferred where the enzyme was stable for several weeks. Tentative explanation for tendency of Z_{basic2}_HAD4 to form insoluble aggregates at low ionic strength is the large difference in the calculated isoelectric points for Z_{basic2} (*pI* = 11.5) and HAD4 (*pI* = 5.18). Intramolecular and intermolecular domain-domain interactions driven by charge complementarity might promote a native-like protein aggregation that is partly reversible at high salt concentration. Mg²⁺ ions could have two effects in this context. First of all, Mg²⁺ is an active-site ligand of HAD4 [12]. Thus the structure of the catalytic center (and perhaps that of the whole protein) might be stabilized when Mg²⁺ is bound. Secondly, adsorption of Z_{basic2} fusions to negatively charged surfaces is strongly weakened in the presence of Mg²⁺ ions [28]. Capacity of Mg²⁺ to perturb charged interactions of the Z_{basic2} module are much more pronounced than that of Na⁺ (each as chloride salt) [28].

3.2. Kinetic analysis of hydrolysis of α Glc 1-P, Glc 6-P and other sugar phosphates catalyzed by Z_{basic2} -HAD4

Initial reaction rates at steady state were obtained from timecourse measurements of substrate consumption and phosphate release. Disparity of initial rates determined from the different measurements would have indicated a phosphoryl transfer side reaction occurring next to the hydrolysis. Both the phosphorylated substrate and the glucose product could in principle function as acceptor of the phosphoryl group and thereby compete with water in the overall reaction. However, it was confirmed that initial rates of *substrate utilization* and *substrate hydrolysis* were identical within limits of experimental error.

Fig. 2 shows initial rates determined at different substrate concentrations for hydrolysis of α Glc 1-*P* (Fig. 2A) and Glc 6-*P* (Fig. 2 B). For each substrate, the *V*–[S] curve increased at low [S] to reach a distinct maximum value of *V* at intermediate [S], only to decrease again in the high-[S] range. The kinetic behavior indicates inhibition of Z_{basic2}_HAD4 by the phosphorylated substrate. Interestingly, His₆_HAD4 did not show substrate inhibition at high α Glc 1-*P* (data not shown), suggesting substrate inhibition to be a feature caused by introduction of the Z_{basic2} module.

 α Glc 1-P differed from Glc 6-P in affinity and reactivity for Z_{basic2}-HAD4-catalyzed hydrolysis. Maximum value of V was observed at around 10 mM for α Glc 1-P while for Glc 6-P the corresponding substrate concentration was about 100 mM (Fig. 2). Substrate inhibition also occurred at lower concentrations of α Glc 1-P as compared to Glc 6-P. An apparent k_{cat} was calculated from the maximum V value ($k_{cat_app} = V_{max_app}/[E]$), and $\alpha Glc \ 1-P (10.2 \text{ s}^{-1})$ was about 110-fold more active in k_{cat_app} terms than Glc 6-P (0.09 s⁻¹). By way of comparison, k_{cat_app} for hydrolysis of pNPP (4 mM) was 0.032 s⁻¹. For comparison with Z_{hasic2}-HAD4, we also examined the preparation of His6-HAD4 under otherwise identical conditions and measured its hydrolytic activity against α Glc 1-P (10 mM). Using correction for partial purity of the enzyme preparation used where only 30-40% of total protein was His6-HAD4 (Fig. S2), we calculated a $k_{cat.app}$ between 7.1 and 9.5 s^{-1} from the experimentally determined initial rate. Therefore, this result



Fig. 5. Selective hydrolysis of α Glc 1-*P* by Z_{basic2}.HAD4 immobilized on (A) Relisorb SP400 and (B) Fractogel EMD SO₃⁻. Reaction mixtures contained 15 mM α Glc 1-*P*(\bullet) and 20 mM Glc 6-*P*(\bigcirc). Reactions were performed at 30 °C and pH 7.0. Three mg of immobilizate prepared from *E. coli* cell extract as described under 2.7. *Z_{basic2}*.HAD4 *immobilization* were suspended in 500 μ L substrate solution. Agitation was applied to keep particles in suspension.

is important in showing that fusion to Z_{basic2} did not diminish the intrinsic activity of HAD4 relative to the His₆-HAD4 reference.

Eq. (1) could be used for data fitting by nonlinear least squares regression, as shown in Fig. 2; however, the resulting kinetic parameters (k_{cat} , K_{M} , K_{iS}) were not well defined statistically. Covariance analysis revealed strong interdependence of all parameters, but especially of $K_{\rm M}$ and $K_{\rm iS}$, implying that independent determination of each single parameter was not possible from the data available. An approximate ratio between $K_{\rm M}/K_{\rm iS}$ can be given however, and this was ${\sim}21~(60/2.82)$ for αGlc 1-P and ${\sim}0.15~(51/351)$ for Glc 6-P. To nevertheless obtain parameter of enzyme specificity, we determined k_{cat}/K_{M} from the part of the V–[S] curve where V was linearly dependent on [S]. The relationship $V = (k_{cat}/K_M)$ [S] [E] holds under these conditions. k_{cat}/K_M for hydrolysis of α Glc 1-P $(1.87 \pm 0.03 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$ exceeded the $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ for hydrolysis of Glc $6\text{-}P\,(3.2\pm0.1\times10^{-3}\,\text{mM}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1})$ by 565-fold. Z_{basic2} -HAD4 strongly discriminates between α Glc 1-P and Glc 6-P as substrate for hydrolysis.

Besides α Glc 1-*P* and Glc 6-*P* we examined other sugar phosphates as substrates of Z_{basic2}_HAD4, focusing in particular on the enzyme's ability to discriminate between the α -configured 1-phosphate and the corresponding 6-phosphate. Fig. 3 shows the results. All sugar 6-phosphates (D-Glc, D-Man, D-Gal) were slow enzyme substrates, exhibiting turnover rates of about 1 × 10⁻¹ s⁻¹. Activity with α Man 1-*P* and α Gal 1-*P* was enhanced by about one magnitude order in comparison (Fig. 3), yet the activity with α Glc 1-*P* stood out among all substrates tested. Z_{basic2}-HAD4 can

3.3. Effects of pH and temperature on activity and stability of Z_{basic2} -HAD4

Results are shown in Figs. S3 (pH effects) and S4 (temperature effects). The pH-profile of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 activity at 37 °C was bell-shaped with a broad plateau between pH 5.0 and pH 7.0 where enzyme showed at least 85% of its maximum activity at pH 6.0. pH dependence of the activity is similar for Z_{basic2} -HAD4 and His₆-HAD4 [21]. Recorded at 25 °C, the enzyme was fully stable for up to 20 h at all pH values in the range 4.0–9.0. Temperature profile at pH 7.0 showed optimum activity of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 at 40 °C, with rapid decline of activity at higher temperatures. Activity was fully stable for 20 h at or below 30 °C while at the higher temperatures (\geq 40 °C) the activity was very rapidly lost.

3.4. Substrate-selective hydrolysis of α Glc 1-P in a mixture of α Glc 1-P and Glc 6-P

High selectivity of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 for hydrolyzing α Glc 1-*P* as compared to Glc 6-*P*, as implied by the ratio of the corresponding $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ values, was put to critical test in a biotransformation experiment in which both sugar phosphates were offered as substrate. The substrate concentrations (20 mM; 180 mM) and also the molar ratio of α Glc 1-*P*/Glc 6-*P* were varied. Time courses of the enzymatic conversions are shown in Fig. 4 (panels A–C). In all cases, α Glc 1-*P* was effectively depleted from the substrate mixture while Glc 6-*P* was not utilized within error limit.

 Z_{basic2} -HAD4-catalyzed selective hydrolysis of α Glc 1-P thus provided effective mean for separation of the two sugar phosphates. Specialized separation procedures such as borate complex ion exchange chromatography [29] would otherwise be needed to isolate the Glc 6-P. In the case of the alternative separation problem to remove Glc 6-P from α Glc 1-P, another HAD-like phosphatase, namely the enzyme BT4131 from Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, could be of interest [9]. The phosphatase is highly active in hydrolysis of hexose 6-phosphates and pentose 5-phosphates, but exhibits barely any activity with hexose 1-phosphates. Taken together, these results suggest that HAD-like phosphatases present a potentially valuable source of selective sugar phosphate hydrolases that could be applied to resolution of mixtures of sugar phosphates that are otherwise very difficult to separate. In addition to phosphatases that exhibit broad substrate spectrum and are already available commercially, development of a toolbox of selective phosphomonoester hydrolases could provide interesting opportunities for biocatalysis.

3.5. Immobilization of Z_{basic2}_HAD4 and repeated use of immobilizates

Immobilization on Fractogel and Relisorb carriers was first done with the purified enzyme that was offered in a protein/wet carrier mass ratio of 0.01. Nearly all (\geq 95%) of the offered protein and phosphatase activity was bound to each carrier under the conditions used. An effectiveness factor (η) of 0.9 (\pm 0.1) was determined for both immobilizates, indicating that immobilized Z_{basic2}-HAD4 was nearly as active as the corresponding soluble enzyme preparation. It was pointed out in earlier studies of Z_{basic2}-enzyme fusions [20,23] and is confirmed here using Z_{basic2}-HAD4, that highly directed ("oriented") immobilization via the Z_{basic2} module offers the particular advantage of preparing carrier-bound insoluble biocatalyst with optimized values of η . Note also that despite its noncovalent character, the immobilization is quite stable [24,27], as will be shown later for Z_{basic2}-HAD4 immobilizates in biocatalyst recycling experiment.

When Fractogel or Relisorb carrier was charged with *E. coli* cell extract at protein/carrier mass ratio of 0.1, about 12–18% of total protein was bound to the solid support. Due to high background phosphatase activity in cell extract, balance for Z_{basic2} _HAD4 activity was not possible under these conditions. However, from the phosphatase activity of the immobilizate and the protein eluted from the carrier at high salt concentration, we determined a specific activity of immobilized Z_{basic2} -HAD4 of about 0.05 U/mg which is close to the specific activity of the purified soluble enzyme.

Enzyme immobilizates thus prepared were applied to repeated batchwise hydrolysis of α Glc 1-P from a substrate mixture that contained 20 mM Glc 6-P and 15 mM of α Glc 1-P. Heterogeneous biocatalyst was recycled two times in each experiment, as shown in Fig. 5 where panels A and B display results for the Relisorb and Fractogel immobilizate, respectively. The Relisorb immobilizate was re-used without significant loss of activity over three reaction cycles where α Glc 1-P was degraded almost completely and the Glc 6-P present was not affected. Using the Fractogel immobilizate, by contrast, the rate of conversion of α Glc 1-P decreased about twofold from cycle 1 to 3 (Fig. 5, panel B). Assuming that Zhasic2-HAD4 was stable under the conditions used (cf. Fig. S4), gradual elution of enzyme from this carrier is a plausible reason for the observed decay of the reaction rate and thus the space-time yield. However, further examination of the operational stability of the Fractogel immobilizate of Zbasic2_HAD4 was beyond the scope of this study.

4. Conclusions

First-time functional expression, isolation, and characterization of *E. coli* HAD4 as fusion protein harboring the charged module Z_{basic2} at the N-terminus is reported. Single-step capture and polishing of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 by cation exchange chromatography was notably efficient to obtain multi-mg amounts of highly purified enzyme. Kinetic evidence and results from mixed sugar phosphate conversion experiments reveal that Z_{basic2} -HAD4 is highly selective for hydrolysis of α Glc 1-*P*. Z_{basic2} -HAD4 is proposed to be a useful catalyst for hydrolytic transformation (e.g. removal) of α Glc 1-*P* from complex substrate solutions containing multiple sugar phosphates. Immobilization of Z_{basic2} -HAD4 on porous carriers containing surface sulfonate groups provides a recyclable and highly effective heterogeneous biocatalyst. Z_{basic2} -HAD4 complements the current portfolio of phosphatases for use in biocatalysis by adding new characteristics of specificity and selectivity.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge financial support from the Austrian Science Funds FWF (project L586-B03); Alexander Yakunin (Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto, Canada) for plasmid vector pCA24N-yihx; and Sophia Hober (Department of Biotechnology, Alba Nova University Center, Stockholm, SE) for the plasmid vector containing the Z_{basic2} gene.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcatb.2014. 09.004.

References

- [1] I. Nobeli, H. Ponstingl, E.B. Krissinel, J.M. Thornton, J. Mol. Biol. 334 (2003) 697–719.
- [2] K. Papenfort, Y. Sun, M. Miyakoshi, C.K. Vanderpool, J. Vogel, Cell 153 (2013) 426–437.
- [3] T. Hisano, Y. Hata, T. Fujii, J.-Q. Liu, T. Kurihara, N. Esaki, K. Soda, J. Biol. Chem. 271 (1996) 20322–20330.
- [4] I.S. Ridder, H.J. Rozeboom, K.H. Kalk, D.B. Janssen, B.W. Dijkstra, J. Biol. Chem. 272 (1997) 33015–33022.
- [5] E.V. Koonin, R.L. Tatusove, J. Mol. Biol. 244 (1994) 125-132.
- [6] K.N. Allen, D. Dunaway-Mariano, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 19 (2009) 658–665.
- [7] A.M. Burroughs, K.N. Allen, D. Dunaway-Mariano, L. Aravind, J. Mol. Biol. 361 (2006) 1003–1034.
- [8] S.D. Lahiri, G. Zhang, D. Dunaway-Mariano, K.N. Allen, Biochemistry 41 (2002) 8351–8359.
- [9] Z. Lu, D. Dunaway-Mariano, K.N. Allen, Biochemistry 44 (2005) 8684–8696.
 [10] M.C. Morais, W. Zhang, A.S. Baker, G. Zhang, D. Dunaway-Mariano, K.N. Allen,
- Biochemistry 39 (2000) 10385–10396. [11] H.H. Nguyen, L. Wang, H. Huang, E. Peisach, D. Dunaway-Mariano, K.N. Allen, Biochemistry 49 (2010) 1082–1092.
- [12] E. Kuznetsova, M. Proudfoot, C.F. Gonzalez, G. Brown, M.V. Omelchenko, I. Borozan, L. Carmel, Y.I. Wolf, H. Mori, A.V. Savchenko, C.H. Arrowsmith, E.V. Koonin, A.M. Edwards, A.F. Yakunin, J. Biol. Chem. 281 (2006) 36149–36161.
- [13] S.D. Lahiri, G. Zhang, J. Dai, D. Dunaway-Mariano, K.N. Allen, Biochemistry 43 (2004) 2812–2820.
- [14] G. Zhang, J. Dai, L. Wang, D. Dunaway-Mariano, L.W. Tremblay, K.N. Allen, Biochemistry 44 (2005) 9404–9416.
- [15] S.D. Lahiri, G. Zhang, D. Dunaway-Mariano, K.N. Allen, Bioorg. Chem. 34 (2006) 394–409.
- [16] T. van Herk, A.F. Hartog, A.M. van der Burg, R. Wever, Adv. Synth. Catal. 347 (2005) 1155–1162.
- [17] K. Faber, Biotransformations in Organic Chemistry, Springer, New York, 2011.[18] K. Buchholz, Biocatalysts and Enzyme Technology, 2nd ed., Wiley-Blackwell,
- Weinheim, 2012. [19] M. Hedhammar, S. Hober, J. Chromatogr. A 1161 (2007) 22–28.
- [20] D.G. Gibson, L. Young, R.-Y. Chuang, J.C. Venter, C.A. Hutchison, H.O. Smith, Nat. Methods 6 (2009) 343–345.
- [21] E. Gasteiger, C. Hoogland, A. Gattiker, M.R. Wilkins, R.D. Appel, A. Bairoch, The Proteomics Protocols Handbook, Springer, Totowa, 2005, pp. 571–607.
- [22] S. Saheki, A. Takeda, T. Shimazu, Anal. Biochem. 148 (1985) 277–281.
- [23] C. Eis, B. Nidetzky, Biochem. J. 341 (1999) 385-393.
- [24] J. Wiesbauer, J.M. Bolivar, M. Mueller, M. Schiller, B. Nidetzky, ChemCatChem 3 (2011) 1299–1303.
- [25] T. Graslund, G. Lundin, M. Uhlén, P.-A. Nygren, S. Hober, Protein Eng. 13 (2000) 703–709.
- [26] E. Samuelsson, T. Moks, M. Uhlen, B. Nilsson, Biochemistry 33 (1994) 4207-4211.
- [27] J.M. Bolivar, B. Nidetzky, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 109 (2012) 1490–1498.
- [28] J.M. Bolivar, B. Nidetzky, Langmuir 28 (2012) 10040-10049.
- [29] M.J. Lefebvre, N.S. Gonzalez, H.G. Pontis, J. Chromatogr. A 15 (1964) 495–500.