

Copper(I)/ABNO-Catalyzed Aerobic Alcohol Oxidation: Alleviating Steric and Electronic Constraints of Cu/TEMPO Catalyst Systems

Janelle E. Steves and Shannon S. Stahl*

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1101 University Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Cu/TEMPO catalyst systems promote efficient aerobic oxidation of sterically unhindered primary alcohols and electronically activated substrates, but they show reduced reactivity with aliphatic and secondary alcohols. Here, we report a catalyst system, consisting of $(^{MeO}$ bpy)Cu^I(OTf) and ABNO (MeO bpy =4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine; ABNO = 9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane *N*oxyl), that mediates aerobic oxidation of all classes of alcohols, including primary and secondary allylic, benzylic, and aliphatic alcohols with nearly equal efficiency. The catalyst exhibits broad functional group compatibility, and most reactions are complete within 1 h at room temperature using ambient air as the source of oxidant.

he oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds is one of - the most common classes of oxidation reactions in organic chemistry. Widespread interest has been directed toward the development of catalytic aerobic oxidation methods,¹ but few of these reactions approach the synthetic utility and/or scope of traditional stoichiometric oxidants,² such as chromium oxides, Dess-Martin periodinane, or activated DMSO methods. Copper/TEMPO catalyst systems have begun to address this limitation (TEMPO = 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxyl).³ We recently reported a (bpy)Cu^I/TEMPO/NMI catalyst system (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine; NMI = N-methylimidazole) that is highly effective for aerobic oxidation of primary alcohols to aldehydes (Scheme 1).^{3h} This method tolerates diverse functional groups and exhibits excellent steric discrimination between unprotected primary and secondary alcohols as well as primary alcohols in different steric environments (cf. Scheme 1). This steric sensitivity addresses important chemoselectivity challenges, but it also limits the scope of the method to primary alcohols. Here, we report a complementary copper/ABNO catalyst system (ABNO = 9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane N-oxyl) that displays excellent reactivity and functional group compatibility with all classes of alcohols.

The (bpy)Cu^I/TEMPO/NMI catalyst system is significantly more reactive with allylic, benzylic, and other activated alcohols than with aliphatic substrates. Activated alcohols often reach completion within 2–3 h at room temperature, while aliphatic alcohols can require up to 24 h and/or elevated reaction temperatures. Recent mechanistic studies provide insights into the origin of these results.⁴ Aliphatic alcohols undergo turnover-limiting C–H cleavage via a bimolecular reaction of TEMPO with a Cu^{II}-alkoxide intermediate (step 4, Scheme 2), as revealed by kinetic studies and isotope effects. In contrast,









the turnover-limiting step with activated alcohols is oxidation of Cu^I by O₂ (step 1, Scheme 2). This change arises from the substantially weaker α -C–H bonds of activated alcohols, leading to much more rapid C–H cleavage.

We speculated that enhanced catalytic activity could be achieved with aliphatic and/or secondary alcohols by replacing TEMPO with a nitroxyl cocatalyst having different electronic or steric properties. Diverse nitroxyl derivatives with different redox properties have been reported in the literature (Figure 1),^{5–8} and various bicyclic nitroxyls (Figure 1, top row) have a smaller steric profile than TEMPO analogs. Our studies commenced by testing various nitroxyl derivatives with (bpy)Cu¹/NMI for aerobic oxidation of cyclohexanemethanol.

The reactions were analyzed by monitoring O_2 consumption with a gas-uptake apparatus (Figure 2A). Testing of TEMPO derivatives revealed decreases in rate with more-oxidizing derivatives (Figure 2B). In contrast, no dependence on redox potential was observed with the less sterically encumbered bicyclic nitroxyl derivatives AZADO, ABNO, and ketoABNO, and the rates were uniformly higher than those with TEMPO

Received: September 6, 2013

Journal of the American Chemical Society



Figure 1. Diverse nitroxyl derivatives and their one-electron oxoammonium/nitroxyl redox potential (vs Fc/Fc^+ in MeCN).



Figure 2. Comparison of six different nitroxyl radicals in the oxidation of cyclohexanemethanol.

derivatives (Figure 2A and 2B). The mechanistic origin of these results is the focus of ongoing investigation, but several important preliminary observations can be made. The linear reaction time courses with bicylic nitroxyls (cf. Figure 2A) imply a zero-order dependence of the rate on [alcohol]. The lack of dependence of the rate on the nitroxyl redox potential suggests the nitroxyl is not involved in the turnover-limiting step. Consistent with this conclusion, the ABNO loading could be reduced to 1 mol % without affecting the rate. These kinetic features resemble Cu/TEMPO-catalyzed oxidation of activated alcohols (see above) and differ from Cu/TEMPO-catalyzed oxidation of aliphatic alcohols, which shows a dependence on both [TEMPO] and [alcohol]. These observations suggest that less sterically hindered nitroxyls significantly enhance the rate of C–H cleavage and lead to a new turnover-limiting step.

In order to explore the synthetic consequences of the results described above, we optimized a catalyst system with ABNO as the cocatalyst. Comparison of different Cu sources confirmed that Cu^I salts, particularly those with noncoordinating anions, were most effective (Table S1). Numerous mono- and bidentate nitrogen ligands were evaluated in combination with Cu^IOTf/ABNO (Tables S2 and S3), but the best results were still obtained with a bpy derivative in combination with NMI. Nearly identical results were obtained when NMI was replaced with 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP). Electron-rich



Figure 3. Comparison of five different bpy derivatives in the oxidation of cyclohexanemethanol.



Figure 4. Rate comparison of five different alcohols with $Cu^{I}/TEMPO$ and $Cu^{I}/ABNO$ alcohol oxidation systems.

bpy derivatives enhanced the reaction rate (Figure 3), and the best results were observed with 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (^{MeO}bpy).⁹

This new catalyst system was tested with a representative set of primary and secondary benzylic and aliphatic alcohols (Figure 4). Direct comparison of reactions with $Cu^I/TEMPO$ and $Cu^I/ABNO$ highlights significant differences in the substrate scope of these two catalyst systems. $Cu^I/TEMPO$ shows strong sensitivity to substrate electronic and steric properties (Figure 4A). Primary aliphatic and all secondary alcohols proceed with much slower reaction rates than benzyl

Journal of the American Chemical Society

alcohol; cyclohexanol and N-Boc-norephedrine are essentially unreactive under these conditions. In contrast, $Cu^{I}/ABNO$ shows excellent reactivity with all substrates (Figure 4B) and promotes complete conversion within approximately 10 min at room temperature in each case. These observations show that replacement of TEMPO with the less sterically encumbered ABNO alleviates the steric and electronic constraints of the Cu/TEMPO catalyst system.

Excellent product yields are obtained in the oxidation of a wide range of primary alcohols bearing diverse functional groups, including ethers, thioethers, heterocycles, amines, alkenes, and alkynes (Table 1). In nearly all cases, the reactions are complete within 1 h at room temperature under ambient air (i.e., in a reaction vessel open to the air). Consistent with the data in Figure 4, no significant differences are observed between benzylic, allylic, and aliphatic substrates. Somewhat slower rates are observed with 3-hydroxymethylindole, which affords aldehyde 9 in 3 h, and the highly electron-deficient 4-chloro-2-nitrobenzyl alcohol, which forms 2 in near-quantitative yield upon heating at 50 °C for 2 h.¹⁰ Some limitations of the

Table 1. Scope of $(^{MeO}bpy)Cu^I/ABNO-Catalyzed$ Aerobic Primary Alcohol Oxidation^{*a*}



^{*a*}Yields given are for isolated material. All reactions were performed with 1 mmol of alcohol in 10 mL of MeCN for 1 h in an open reaction vessel, unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*}Reaction performed at 50 °C for 2.2 h.¹⁰ ^c1.5% RSM by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*d*}Isolated as the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone due to product volatility. ^{*e*}Isolated as a solution in pentane. ^{*f*}Reaction run for 3 h. 4 % RSM by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*k*}O₂ balloon used.¹⁰ ^{*i*}>19:1 Z/E. ^{*j*}No loss in enantiopurity was observed (see Supporting Information (SI) for details). ^{*k*1}H NMR yield.

method resemble those observed with the Cu^I/TEMPO catalyst.^{3h} For example, reaction inhibition and/or poor yields are observed with phenols, primary homobenzylic alcohols, and substrates bearing terminal alkynes (data not shown). Nevertheless, the reaction tolerates many oxidatively sensitive functional groups, such as internal alkenes and alkynes as well as thioethers. Oxidation of Boc-protected β -aminoalcohols proceeds without epimerization of the stereocenter (cf. **18** and **19**), and a (*Z*)-allylic alcohol undergoes oxidation with complete retention of the *cis* double bond configuration (**13**).¹¹ These observations draw attention to the mildness of the catalytic reaction conditions.

Further testing of the Cu^I/ABNO protocol revealed a similarly broad scope and catalytic efficiency with diverse secondary alcohols, including allylic, benzylic, and aliphatic substrates (Table 2). The reactions display functional-group compatibility similar to that observed with primary alcohols, including tolerance of amines as well as sulfur and nitrogen heterocycles. Once again, most of the reactions afford the product within 1 h at room temperature under ambient air (Table 2). Various sterically encumbered substrates undergo oxidation in excellent yields (cf. **25**, **34–36**), a feature that likely reflects the steric accessibility of ABNO. Whereas primary homobenzylic alcohols lead to overoxidation with Cu^I/TEMPO and Cu^I/ABNO conditions, the secondary homobenzylic alcohol proceeds in excellent yield to ketone **27** without side products.





^{*a*}Yields given are for isolated material. All reactions were performed on a 1 mmol scale in 10 mL of MeCN for 1 h in an open reaction vessel, unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*}>99% ee. 0.75 h reaction time. ^{*c*}Benzoin used as starting material. ^{*d*}Reaction performed at 70 °C with O₂ balloon.¹⁰ ^{*c*}2 h reaction time; 2 mol % catalyst added after 1.5 h (see SI for details). ^{*f*1}H NMR yield. ^{*g*}Isolated as the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone due to product volatility. ^{*h*}Isolated as the HCl salt. ^{*i*}Reaction performed at 60 °C.¹⁰ ^{*j*}0.5 h reaction time. ^{*k*}0.2 M substrate concentration.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

This Cu^I/ABNO protocol is readily amenable to larger-scale application. The oxidation of cyclohexanemethanol, 2-octanol, and *N*-Boc-valinol were performed on a 10 mmol (1-2 g) scale and afforded products **16**, **18**, and **30** in \geq 90% yield. In each case, the reaction was carried out in an open reaction flask at room temperature and were complete within 1 h. The *N*-Boc-azetidinone product **37** was prepared on a 50 mmol scale (approximately 9 g) in a similar manner, albeit with a somewhat longer reaction time (3 h). Reactions with a 1 mol % ^{MeO}bpy/Cu loading suggest that the catalyst deactivates before reaching full conversion of the substrate (cf. Table S1). The origin of this deactivation and efforts to overcome it are the focus of ongoing work.

In summary, we have identified a highly effective, broadscope catalyst system for aerobic alcohol oxidation. Numerous practical features associated with this method should facilitate its use in synthetic chemistry, including fast reaction rates, mild reaction conditions, compatibility with air as the source of oxidant, and use of a common organic solvent (acetonitrile). The Cu^I/ABNO catalyst complements the recently developed Cu^I/TEMPO catalyst system. Whereas Cu^I/TEMPO shows high chemoselectivity for primary alcohols, Cu^I/ABNO is equally effective with all classes of alcohol substrates. Together, these catalyst systems provide compelling aerobic alternatives to traditional alcohol oxidation methods.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Full catalyst screening data, experimental procedures, product characterization data for all products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

stahl@chem.wisc.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this work was provided by the DOE (DE-FG02-05ER15690), ACS GCI Pharmaceutical Roundtable, a consortium of pharmaceutical companies (Eli Lilly, Pfizer, and Merck), and the NSF (predoctoral fellowship to J.E.S.). NMR spectroscopy facilities were partially supported by the NSF (CHE-9208463) and NIH (S10 RR08389).

REFERENCES

 (1) For reviews, see: (a) Sheldon, R. A.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; ten Brink, G.-J.; Dijksman, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 774–781.
 (b) Mallat, T.; Baiker, A. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3037–3058. (c) Zhan, B.-Z.; Thompson, A. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 2917–2935. (d) Schultz, M. J.; Sigman, M. S. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 8227–8241.
 (e) Matsumoto, T.; Ueno, M.; Wang, N.; Kobayashi, S. Chem.— Asian J. 2008, 3, 196–214. (f) Parmeggiani, C.; Cardona, F. Green Chem. 2012, 14, 547–564.

(2) (a) Tojo, G.; Fernández, M. Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones; Springer: New York, 2010. (b) Tojo, G.; Fernández, M. Oxidation of Primary Alcohols to Carboxylic Acids; Springer: New York, 2010.

(3) For leading references, see: (a) Semmelhack, M. F.; Schmid, C. R.; Cortés, D. A.; Chou, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 3374–3376. (b) Ragagnin, G.; Betzemeier, B.; Quici, S.; Knochel, P. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, 58, 3985–3991. (c) Gamez, P.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Reedijk, J.; Sheldon, R. A. Chem. Commun. **2003**, 2414–2415.

(d) Gamez, P.; Arends, I. W. C. E.; Sheldon, R. A.; Reedijk, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 805–811. (e) Jiang, N.; Ragauskas, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7087–7090. (f) Kumpulainen, E. T. T.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 10901–10911. (g) Figiel, P. J.; Sibaouih, A.; Ahmad, J. U.; Nieger, M.; Räisänen, M. T.; Leskelä, M.; Repo, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2625–2632. (h) Hoover, J. M.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16901–16910. (i) Könning, D.; Hiller, W.; Christmann, M. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5258–5261. (j) Hoover, J. M.; Steves, J. E.; Stahl, S. S. Nat. Protoc. 2012, 7, 1161–1166.

(4) (a) Hoover, J. M.; Ryland, B. L.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 2357–2367. (b) Hoover, J. M.; Ryland, B. L.; Stahl. S. S. ACS Catal., in press (DOI: 10.1021/cs400689a).

(5) (a) Bobbitt, J. M.; Brückner, C.; Merbouh, N. Org. React. 2009, 74, 106–132. (b) Tebben, L.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5034–5068.

(6) For early reports of bicylic nitroxyl derivatives, see: (a) Bowman, D. F.; Gillan, T.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 6555-6561.
(b) Malatesta, V.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6404-6407. (c) Dupeyre, R.-M.; Rassat, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 16, 1839-1840. (d) Keana, J. F. W. Chem. Rev. 1978, 78, 37-64.
(e) Nelsen, S. F.; Kessel, C. R.; Brien, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 702-711.

(7) For representative applications of bicyclic nitroxyl radicals in other alcohol and amine oxidation reactions, see: (a) Graetz, B.; Rychnovsky, S.; Leu, W.-H.; Farmer, P.; Lin, R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 3584-3598. (b) Shibuya, M.; Tomizawa, M.; Suzuki, I.; Iwabuchi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8412-8413. (c) Demizu, Y.; Shiigi, H.; Oda, T.; Matsumura, Y.; Onomura, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 48-52. (d) Shibuya, M.; Sato, T.; Tomizawa, M.; Iwabuchi, Y. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1739-1741. (e) Tomizawa, M.; Shibuya, M.; Iwabuchi, Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1829-1831. (f) Shibuya, M.; Osada, Y.; Sasano, Y.; Tomizawa, M.; Iwabuchi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6497-6500. (g) Hayashi, M.; Shibuya, M.; Iwabuchi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3005-3009. (h) Shibuya, M.; Doi, R.; Shibuta, T.; Uesugi, S.-i.; Iwabuchi, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5006-5009. (i) Sonobe, T.; Oisaki, K.; Kanai, M. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3249-3255. (j) Kim, J.; Stahl, S. S. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1652-1656. (k) Lauber, M. B.; Stahl, S. S. ACS Catal., in press (DOI:10.1021/cs400746m).

(8) We have recently measured the redox potentials for each of the nitroxyls in Figure 1 under a uniform set of conditions. See ref 7k. A number of other nitroxyl redox potentials are reported in: Shibuya, M.; Pichierri, F.; Tomizawa, M.; Nagasawa, S.; Suzuki, I.; Iwabuchi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 2070–2073.

(9) As shown in refs 3d and 3i, Cu/TEMPO-catalyzed oxidation of activated alcohols benefit from electron-rich bpy derivatives. In contrast, Cu/TEMPO-catalyzed oxidation of aliphatic alcohols exhibit negligible bpy-based electron effects (see Figure S1 and Table S9).

(10) When more challenging substrates were encountered, we first tested whether the reaction was successful at elevated temperatures (50–70 °C). If this approach failed to provide satisfactory results, we tested the use of pure O_2 or the use of both elevated temperature and pure O_2 .

(11) Aldehydes obtained from *cis* allylic alcohols are prone to alkene isomerization, even in the presence of a mild base. See ref 3i and the following: Clarke, P. A.; Rolla, G. A.; Cridland, A. P.; Gill, A. A. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 9124–9128.