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#### Abstract

A variety of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons have been synthesized and structurally characterized in our laboratory. Phenanthrene derivatives were efficiently prepared in excellent yields and high purity via a two-step sequence. Heck coupling yielded the corresponding diarylethenes, followed by classical oxidative photocyclization to achieve the expected phenanthrenes. First, we envisioned to synthesize a variety of substituted phenanthrenequinones. Second, we investigated the possibility of a dibenz[a,c]phenazine formation by addition of $o$-phenylenediamine after completion of the oxidation process. Moreover, because phenanthrenequinones are available so simply, it is likely that other uses will be found for these compounds. For example, 9,10phenanthrenequinone can be sequentially reduced, alkylated, acetylated, and sulfonated. All the synthesized derivatives were evaluated for cytotoxic activity in vitro against the human epidermoid carcinoma epithelial cells Hep-2 and human colon carcinoma cells Caco-2 using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. From the structure-activity point of view, position and nature of the electron donating and electron withdrawing functional groups attached to the phenanthrene skeleton may contribute to the anticancer action. Interestingly, the analysis of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values suggests that most compounds exerted cytotoxic effects with selectivity against both cancer cells. Among them, methyl 8-methyl-9,10-phenanthrenequinone-3-carboxylate 11d showed the highest potency with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2.81 and $0.97 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Cancer continues to pose significant health problems worldwide. The importance of research of new robust anticancer agents is essential in improving the success rates

[^0]in treating cancer because chemotherapy used for the cancer victims constantly exhibits some undesirable side effects. The biological activity manifested by many polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons makes them attractive targets for organic chemists to synthesize medicinal motifs. Cytotoxicity is one of several important biological effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Therefore, many intensive efforts have been made for the discovery and development of new cytotoxic molecules [1,2]. As far as we
know, phenanthrene derivatives are one of the most stable fused aromatics [3-5]. Therefore, the synthesis and bioactivity evaluation of phenanthrenes received much attention and interests in medicinal chemistry [6-9]. They can be obtained by a near endless list of reactions and transformations [10]. The oxidative photochemical cyclization of stilbenes is an important methodology used to prepare a large number of differently substituted phenanthrenes [11]. By modifying the core phenanthrene structure, it is possible to obtain a wide variety of tricyclic compounds, which have become of particular interest to chemists because of their range of different biological properties [12]. Thus, the construction of an arylheteroatom bond is an important study, in particular, the formation of carbon-nitrogen [13] and carbon-oxygen bonds [14]. Such compounds have been demonstrated to possess various biological activities.

A literature survey shows that in phenanthrene $\mathbf{1}$ the electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions can be performed. However, this compound also gave rise to addition reactions on the double bond between carbons 9 and 10 (Fig. 1), which showed a strong olefinic character [15]. For instance, 9,10-phenanthrenequinone 2 can be prepared from phenanthrene $\mathbf{1}$ by several methods [16-18] (Fig. 1). Dicarbonylated compound 2 enables several types of chemical reactions of considerable importance in organic synthesis [19-21], especially electrophilic aromatic substitution and condensation, being an excellent starting material to prepare relatively simple and bioactive substances [22,23].

Quinones represent the second widest class of clinically approved antitumor agents [24]. Recently, Afrasiabi et al. [25] synthesized the phenanthrenequinone thiosemicarbazone 3 (Fig. 1) through the condensation reaction between 9,10phenanthrenequinone 2 and thiosemicarbazide. Compound 3 has been complexed with metals, copper, nickel, and cobalt, and evaluated for anticancer activity in human breast cell line T47D rich in progesterone receptors.

Phenazine derivatives have attracted much attention because of its biological actions [26]. Thus, with the significant advances in the field of molecular biology, Einat et al. [27] prepared the phenazine $\mathbf{4}$ via condensation reaction of o-diaminocyclohexane with 9,10-phenanthrenequinone 2 (Fig. 1). This compound presented an antileukemic activity in vitro against Philadelphia-positive cells in patients with Philadelphia-positive chronic myelogenous leukemia in chronic phase and blastic crisis.

Unfortunately, the number of chemical and pharmacological studies on phenanthrene derivatives is limited, despite being very important with good works. To prepare
new compounds with interesting biological activity it is necessary to improve research about synthesis and evaluation of derivate compounds from phenanthrene.

Thereby, with the aim of developing our ongoing research for synthesis of biologically active compounds containing a core phenanthrene structure as promising derivatives for the development of anticancer agents, herein we describe the results of an exploratory study using a simpler procedure for effecting transformations in a variety of phenanthrenes and in vitro cytotoxic activity against two tumor cell lines, including Hep-2 and Caco-2 cell lines. The present study is an attempt to investigate whether the different substituents in the phenanthrene skeleton can change or improve the activity to discern structure-activity relationships. Most compounds exerted cytotoxic effects with selectivity against both of the cell lines.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis

The starting phenanthrenes $\mathbf{9 a}-\mathbf{d}$ have been prepared through a two-step sequence involving the Mizoroki -Heck coupling reaction followed by oxidative photocyclization (see Scheme 1). With respect to the Mizoroki -Heck reaction, this coupling reaction offers a simple and direct approach toward a series of stilbene as precursors for phenanthrene derivatives [28,29]. A great variety of literature conditions for the Mizoroki-Heck reactions can be performed [30,31]. The use of sodium acetate acting as a base, $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Herrmann's palladacycle \{trans-di( $\mu$ -acetato)-bis[o-(di-o-tolylphosphino)benzyl]dipalladium\} as a catalyst, and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide as a solvent allows the straightforward and economic synthesis of substituted stilbenes mainly of $(E)$ configuration (Scheme 1) $[32,33]$.

First of all, Wittig reaction of suitable commercially aryl aldehydes $\mathbf{5 a - d}$ with methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide [34] in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DME, provided the matching styrene derivatives $\mathbf{6 a - d}$ as starting materials (Scheme 1). Their palladium promoted Mizoroki-Heck coupling with various bromo benzenes $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ gave the trans-stilbene analogues $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ in good yields.

Having obtained the stilbenes precursors, we were able to complete the convergent synthesis of the starting phenanthrenes skeleton $\mathbf{9 a - d}$. Therefore, each olefin underwent photocyclization in the presence of a catalytic amount of iodine as the oxidizing agent [35]. Photolysis of $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{d}$ was performed in toluene on a 500 mg scale per run
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Fig. 1. Chemical structure of the reported phenanthrene derivatives (1-4).
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Scheme 1. The photoconversion of stilbenes ( $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{d}$ ) to phenanthrenes ( $\mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}$ ).
in a 1.5 L reactor for about 4 h to afford the photoproducts $\mathbf{9 a - d}$ in $75-88 \%$ yields, after purification by column chromatography.

Afterward, the synthetic pathway for the synthesis of the derivate compounds from phenanthrene 10a-d and 11a-d exhibiting cytotoxic activity is shown in Scheme 2. The phenanthrene derivatives were converted into the corresponding ortho-quinones 10a-d, in 75-94\% yields, by oxidation using chromium trioxide in glacial acetic acid [ 10,36$]$. The dicarbonylated compounds react with o-diaminobenzene in ethanol/acetic acid to give the dibenz[a,c]phenazine derivatives 11a-d in 85-96\% yields [37].

To prepare other new tricyclic systems, 9,10phenanthrenequinone 10a was chosen as the suitable starting material. As summarized in Scheme 3, the key to the procedure is the discovery of a way to prepare the 3,6 -diacetyl-9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $91 \%$ yield by the Friedel-Crafts acetylation, in the presence of 2 equiv of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, to introduce acetyl groups specifically at both 3 - and 6 -
positions of 9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene 12. The latter compound can be obtained from 9,10-phenanthrenequinone 10a. Reduction of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ followed by an in situ $O$-ethylation using bromoethane afforded the 9,10diethoxyphenanthrene $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $86 \%$ yield [38]. The methyl ketone $\mathbf{1 4}$ can also be obtained in $78 \%$ yield from the 9,10diethoxyphenanthrene $\mathbf{1 2}$ by acetylation. We have found that when 1 equiv of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ was used, no diacetylated product was formed [39]. On the other hand, sulfonation of phenanthrenequinone 10a with concentrated sulfuric acid, followed by neutralization with sodium hydroxide, gave the phenanthraquinone-2-sulfonic acid (sodium salt) 15 in $72 \%$ yield. The residual amount of quinone 10a was monitored by the solubility of a sample of the reaction mixture in water [40].

### 2.2. In vitro cytotoxic activity

In vitro cytotoxicity of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{1 5}$ was evaluated using the (MTT) colorimetric assay [41,42] against the
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Scheme 2. The synthesis of ortho-quinones (10a-d) and dibenz[a,c]phenazines (11a-d).
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Scheme 3. The synthesis of new phenanthrenes (12-15) from 9,10-phenanthrenequinone.
human epidermoid carcinoma epithelial cells Hep-2 [43] and the human colon carcinoma cells Caco-2 [44].

The cytotoxic activity data of the derivate compounds from phenanthrene, which have been treated with test compound at various concentrations (1.25, 2.5, 5, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ mL ), are shown in Fig. 2a and b. The cell growth-inhibitory potencies against both the cell lines, expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are summarized in Table 1.

Overall results suggested that nature and position of the substituents attached to the phenanthrene skeleton had a considerable impact of the anticancer effect of tested molecules. As shown in Table 1, most compounds exhibited
significant cytotoxic activity with selectivity against both cell lines. We have made an effort to establish a correlation between the observed cytotoxicity of these compounds with the nature of substituents present on peripheral positions of the phenanthrene skeleton. In this context, unsubstituted compounds 10a and 11a show no selectivity for cancer cell lines ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The difference in the biological activity might be linked with the following structural features: (1) derivatives bearing the electron donor substituents attached directly to the phenanthrene skeleton, and (2) the presence of substituent that displays mesomeric effects in the molecule [45]. It was clear from


Fig. 2. Cytotoxicity at different concentrations of phenanthrene derivatives against (a) Hep-2 and (b) Caco-2 cell lines (values were expressed as the means $\pm$ standard deviation of three experiments).

Table 1
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for phenanthrene derivatives against Hep-2 and Caco-2 cancer cells.

| Entry | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Hep-2 | Caco-2 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ | 5.50 | 4.93 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ | 1.06 | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 d}$ | 2.81 | 0.97 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ | 6.75 | 5.64 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 c}$ | 4.24 | 3.93 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ | 4.75 | 1.09 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $>10$ | 7.51 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 8.88 | 6.93 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 3.55 | 5.33 |

the aforementioned results that when these substituents were abolished the cytotoxic activity against both tested human cancer cell lines was diminished drastically.

Interestingly, new quinone 10d exhibited potent cytotoxicity against both Hep-2 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.81 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and Caco-2 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.97 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) cell lines. The cytotoxicity can be enhanced by the electrophilic methyl group at position 8 of the quinone. On the other hand, new phenazine 11d was found extremely active against Caco-2 cell line with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.09 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Consequently, we can conclude that the derivates (10d and 11d) might serve as powerful new cytotoxic agents and need to be investigated further. In addition, the cytotoxicity of 10b and 11b has a noticeable decrease comparing with that of 10d and 11d against the two cancer cell lines used. It appears that the presence of ester functionality attached at the position 3 of compounds 10d and 11d may explain their promising activity compared to that of the rest of analogues.

Interestingly, derivates $10 \mathrm{c}, 11 \mathrm{c}$, and 15 display a positive mesomeric effect. By taking into account the influence of both bromine atoms at the 3 - and 6 -positions of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ c and 11c and the $-\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ group at position 2 of
sulfonated quinone $\mathbf{1 5}$ can be quite favorable for cytotoxic activity. Despite this, quinone 10c did not display activity against Caco- 2 cell line ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). On the other hand the data (Table 1) suggest obviously that 10c showed the best cytotoxicity against Hep-2 cell line with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, whereas phenazine 11c displayed the better cytotoxic effect whose $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for Caco-2 was $3.93 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ / mL .

Furthermore, the selectivity of the cytotoxicity of derivates 12 and 13 was also observed; they showed a moderate effect against Caco-2 cell line. Namely, diacylation of derivate $\mathbf{1 2}$ could slightly increase the cytotoxic activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.51 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 12 and $6.93 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 13 ). The carbonyl carbon atom incorporated at positions 3 and 6 in ketone 13 was identified as an electron deficient [46]. The presence of electron donor substituents attached to the phenanthrene skeleton at positions 9 and 10 (ethoxy groups) serves to reduce the electron deficiency of the carbonyl, they can also act as stabilizing charges generated at the phenanthrene skeleton by a mesomeric effect ( +M ) and thus would enhance the usefulness of these compounds as anticancer agents. Despite all that, ketone 14 showed no selectivity against the two cancer cell lines used ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ).

On the basis of the aforementioned results, we can conclude that although cytotoxicity is undoubtedly influenced by many considerations, a contributing factor is the extent to which a compound receives or donates electrons in the cells. This observation suggests that the incorporation of electron-donating substituents into phenanthrene skeleton may lead to derivates with acceptable cytotoxicity.

## 3. Conclusions

To study the significant importance of different polyaromatic hydrocarbons on cytotoxicity, we have successfully synthesized a wide range of phenanthrene derivatives. The synthetic methods are very effective, allowing the design and preparation of new potential cytotoxic agents.

Most of the prepared derivatives were screened for in vitro anticancer activity against two cell lines such as Hep-2 and Caco- 2 by the MTT assay. Furthermore, our study is an attempt to explore whether the different substituents attached to the phenanthrene skeleton can change or enhance the activity to discern structure-activity relationships. Interestingly, esters 10d and 11d were found remarkably active against both the cell lines and appeared to be more potent as new cytotoxic agents.

## 4. Experimental section

### 4.1. Material and physical measurements

All the chemicals used in this work were of laboratory grade available from various commercial sources and used without further purification. Solvents were carefully dried and freshly distilled according to common laboratory techniques. All reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere and were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) Merck 60 F254 silica-gel plates (layer thickness 0.25 mm ). Spots on the TLC plates were visualized using ultraviolet light ( 254 and 365 nm ). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (70 -230 mesh) using a cyclohexane and ethyl acetate mixture as eluents. Melting points were determined on an Electrothermal 9002 apparatus and were reported uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 300 instrument in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ [ $300 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ) and $75 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ]. All chemical shifts were reported as $\delta$ values ( ppm ). Photochemical reactions were performed using a falling-film photoreactor and a high-pressure Hg-vapor lamp (500 W, Hanovia). Time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$) was carried out using a Micromass, UK and Manchester.

### 4.2. Cell lines and culture medium

The human colon carcinoma cells (Caco-2; ECACC. 86010202) and the human epidermoid carcinoma epithelial cells (Hep-2; ATCC CCL-23) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ nonessential amino acids, and $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen). At 85-90\% confluence, cells were harvested using $0.25 \%$ trypsin/EDTA solution and subcultured onto 96 -well plates according to the experimental requirements.

### 4.3. Cytotoxicity screening assay

The MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl2 H -tetrazolium bromide) colorimetric assay is commonly used to determine mitochondrial reductive function and hence is a good indicator of cell death or inhibition of growth. After incubation of cells with a range of concentrations of each compound the MTT assay in combination with cell viability of controls containing no compound can be used to obtain an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value. This is the concentration of compound where $50 \%$ of cells are viable.

Briefly, the Caco-2 and Hep-2 cell lines ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells/ well) were grown overnight on 96 -well flat bottom cell culture plates and incubated for 24 h . When a partial
monolayer was formed, the supernatant was flicked off, the monolayer washed once with medium and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of different concentrations ( $10,5,2.5$, and $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of phenanthrene derivatives were added to each cell in the microtiter plates. After 24 h , the cells were washed and treated with 0.01 mL MTT reagent (Invitrogen) prepared in $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of phosphate-buffered saline per well. Plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere for 4 h , and 0.1 mL of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was added. After an overnight incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the absorbance was measured at 550 nm using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reader (Thermo scientific Multiskan FC) and was compared with the control cultures without compounds. Results were determined from three independent experiments, and each experiment was performed in triplicate. Percent cytotoxicity was calculated using the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\% \text { Cytotoxicity }= & 100-[(\text { absorbance of treated sample }) \\
& \times /(\text { control absorbance })] \times 100
\end{aligned}
$$

Stock solutions ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of pure compounds were prepared in DMSO, and the final concentration of this solvent was kept constant at $0.25 \%$. Serial dilutions with culture media were prepared just before addition to test.

### 4.4. Synthesis and spectral data

4.4.1. General procedure of the preparation of styrenes $\mathbf{6 a}-\boldsymbol{d}$

To a solution of methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide ( 22.61 mmol ; 1.2 equiv) in dry DME ( 50 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 28.26 mmol ; 1.5 equiv) was added in several portions, and stirring under argon was continued for 1 h . Then the aldehyde ( $18.84 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1$ equiv) was added and stirring was continued for overnight at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, 30 mL of diethyl ether was added to precipitate the insoluble salts. The mixture was collected by suction filtration, washed with ether, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel using cyclohexane as an eluent.
4.4.1.1. Styrene 6a. Following the general procedure, $\mathbf{6 a}$ was obtained from benzaldehyde 5a as a colorless oil; yield: 90\% [47a].
4.4.1.2. 4-Methylstyrene $\boldsymbol{6}$ b. Following the general procedure, $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}$ was obtained from 4-tolualdehyde $\mathbf{5 b}$ as a colorless oil; yield: 80\% [47b].
4.4.1.3. 4-Bromostyrene 6c. Following the general procedure, $\mathbf{6 c}$ was obtained from 4-bromobenzaldehyde $5 \mathbf{c}$ as a colorless oil; yield: 91\% [47c].
4.4.1.4. Methyl 4-vinylbenzoate 6d. Following the general procedure, $\mathbf{6 d}$ was obtained from methyl 4-formylbenzoate 5d as a white solid; mp $35-36{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; yield: $85 \%$ [47d].
4.4.2. Experimental procedure for the Heck coupling reaction
A solution of aryl halide $7 \mathbf{7 a - c}(6.37 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1$ equiv)
and dry $\mathrm{NaOAc}(7.64 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1.2$ equiv) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$
dimethylacetamide ( 3 mL ) was placed in a Schlenk tube and repeatedly degassed and purged with argon five times. The styrene derivative $\mathbf{6 a -}-\mathbf{d}$ (1.4 equiv) was added and the mixture was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, a solution of Herrmann's catalyst ( $59.68 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide ( 2 mL ) was added and the mixture was heated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 48 h . The product was worked up by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the organic phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with cyclohexane/EtOAc (98:02) as the eluent.
4.4.2.1. (E)-Stilbene $\mathbf{8 a}$. Following the general procedure, 8a was obtained from $\mathbf{6 a}$ and bromobenzene; yield: $90 \%$; white solid; mp $125-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [36].
4.4.2.2. (E)-p-Methylstilbene $\mathbf{8 b}$. Following the general procedure, $\mathbf{8 b}$ was obtained from $\mathbf{6 b}$ and bromobenzene; yield: $81 \%$; white solid; mp $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [36].
4.4.2.3. (E)-4,4'-Dibromostilbene 8c. Following the general procedure, $8 \mathbf{c}$ was obtained from $\mathbf{6 c}$ and 1,4 -dibromobenzene; yield: $93 \%$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 212-213^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [48].
4.4.2.4. (E)-Methyl 1-[4-(o-tolylstyryl)]benzoate 8d. Following the general procedure, 8d was obtained from $\mathbf{6 d}$ and 1 -bromo-toluene; yield: $70 \%$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 151-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [49].

### 4.4.3. Photoconversion of stilbenes to phenanthrenes

To a solution of the diarylethene $\mathbf{8 a - d}(500 \mathrm{mg})$ in toluene ( 1.5 L ) was added a catalytic amount of iodine. Irradiation was performed using a falling-film photoreactor and a high-pressure Hg-vapor lamp (500 W, Hanovia). The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with cyclohexane/EtOAc (99:01) as the eluent.
4.4.3.1. Phenanthrene 9a. Following the general procedure, 9a was obtained from 8a; yield: 85\%; crystalline powder; $\mathrm{mp} 98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.53-7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ and $\mathrm{H}-10$ ), $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.63$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=120.20(\mathrm{CH})$, $120.61(2 \mathrm{CH}), 120.64(4 \mathrm{CH}), 120.65(2 \mathrm{CH}), 130.10(\mathrm{C}), 130.22$ (C) [36].
4.4.3.2. 3-Methylphenanthrene 9b. Following the general procedure, $\mathbf{9 b}$ was obtained from $\mathbf{8 b}$; yield: $88 \%$; crystalline powder; mp $62-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.63$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 8.68$ (d, J = $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.07$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 122.34(\mathrm{CH}), 122.55(\mathrm{CH}), 125.89(\mathrm{CH}), 126.25(\mathrm{CH})$, 126.66 (CH), 128.23 (CH), 128.33 (CH), 128.45 (CH), 129.68 (CH), 129.95 (C), 130.25 (C), 132.12 (C), 136.22 (C) [36].
4.4.3.3. 3,6-Dibromophenanthrene 9c. Following the general procedure, $9 \mathbf{c}$ was obtained from $\mathbf{8 c}$; yield: $80 \%$; colorless solid; mp 188-189 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.69$
(s, 2H, H-9 and H-10), 7.71-7.76 (m, 4H), 8.69 (d, J=1.5 Hz, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=120.69$ (2C-Br), 125.02 (2CH), 126.23 (2CH), 129.57 (2CH), 129.88 (2CH), $130.09(2 \mathrm{C}), 130.21$ (2C) [11].
4.4.3.4. Methyl 8-methyl-3-phenanthrylcarboxylate 9d. Following the general procedure, $9 \mathbf{d}$ was obtained from 8d; yield: 75\%; white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 83-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.77$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}-2), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 9.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=19.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.37\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 121.08(\mathrm{CH})$, 125.49 (CH), 125.59 (CH), 126.09 (CH), 126.34 (CH), 126.86 (CH), 127.73 (C), 128.33 (CH), 128.62 (CH), 130.07 (C), 130.63 (C), 130.88 (C), 134.47 (C), 135.15 (C), $167.51(\mathrm{C}=0)$ [49].
4.4.4. General procedure for synthesis of phenanthrenequinone derivatives

The oxidation was carried out using 0.4 g of chromium trioxide ( 4 mmol ), which was added to a solution of 0.2 g of the phenanthrene $\mathbf{9 a}-\mathbf{d}$ ( 1.12 mmol ; 1 equiv) in 20 mL of glacial acetic acid. The resulting mixture was warmed gently until no material remained undissolved and then the solution was heated at reflux for 1 h , cooled to room temperature, and then poured into water. The mixture was filtered, washed with water, and then crystallized from hexane.
4.4.4.1 9,10-Phenanthrenequinone 10a. Following the general procedure, 10a was obtained from 9a; yield: 83\%; orange needles; mp 207-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=123.49$ (2CH), 129.07 (2CH), 129.95 (2CH), 130.50 (2C), 135.32 (2C), 135.54 (2CH), 179.77 (2C=O) [36].
4.4.4.2. 3-Methyl-9,10-phenanthrenequinone 10b. Following the general procedure, 10b was obtained from 9b; yield: $94 \%$; orange needles; mp 206-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{H}-7$ ), $7.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{H}-7$ ), 7.77 (s, 1H, H-4), 7.99 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.30\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 123.81(\mathrm{CH}), 124.51(\mathrm{CH}), 128.99(\mathrm{C})$, $129.40(\mathrm{CH}), 130.35(\mathrm{CH}), 130.44(\mathrm{CH}), 130.70(\mathrm{CH}), 131.21$ (C), 135.74 (CH), 135.79 (C), 135.92 (C), 147.28 (C), 179.85 $(\mathrm{C}=0), 180.60(\mathrm{C}=0)[36]$.
4.4.4.3. 3,6-Dibromo-9,10-phenanthrenequinone 10c. Following the general procedure, 10c was obtained from 9 c ; yield: $78 \%$; orange needles; $\mathrm{mp} 260-261^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-7)$, $8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-8), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=127.38$ (2C-Br), 129.87 (2C), 132.08 (4CH), 133.42 (2CH), 135.94 $(2 \mathrm{C}), 178.85(2 \mathrm{C}=0)$ [11].
4.4.4.4. Methyl 8-methyl-9,10-phenanthrenequinone-3-carboxylate 10d. Following the general procedure, 10d was
obtained from 9d; yield: 75\%; orange needles; mp 203 $-204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; $4.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.61(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=22.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.29\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 122.47 (CH), 125.42 (CH), 128.69 (C), 129.17 (CH), 129.32 (CH), 132.62 (C), 133.74 (CH), 134.58 (CH), 135.63 (C), 135.77 (C), 136.38 (C), 145.02 ( C$), 165.21(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 180.81(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $182.02(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 281.0814$. Found: 281.0812 .
4.4.5. General procedure for synthesis of phenazine derivatives

Phenanthrenequinone 10a-d ( $0.48 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1$ equiv) and 1,2-diaminobenzene ( 0.52 mmol ; 1.1 equiv) were suspended onto 1.64 mL of a 1:2 glacial AcOH/anhydrous EtOH solution. After heating to reflux for 3 h , the color of the mixture changes from orange to yellow. Once cooled to room temperature, the solids were transferred to 20 mL of water and then suction-filtered, copiously washed with water, then EtOH , and finally hexane.
4.4.5.1. Dibenzo[a,c]phenazine 11a. Following the general procedure, 11a was obtained from 10a; yield: $85 \%$; pale yellow needles; mp $225-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.69-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.28-8.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{2}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 9.38\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=122.87$ (2CH), 126.25 (2CH), 127.88 (2CH), 129.43 (2CH), 129.70 (2CH), 130.26 (2CH), 130.28 (2C), $132.02(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 142.15(2 \mathrm{C}), 142.40(2=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$ [37a].
4.4.5.2. 3-Methyldibenzo[a,c]phenazine 11b. Following the general procedure, 11b was obtained from 10b; yield: 88\%; pale yellow powder; mp 206-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=2.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70$ $-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=22.09\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 122.79(\mathrm{CH}), 123.06(\mathrm{CH})$, 126.27 (CH), 127.72 (2CH), 127.98 (C), 128.67 (CH), 129.25 (CH), $129.35(\mathrm{CH}), 129.44(\mathrm{CH}), 129.56(\mathrm{CH}), 130.13(\mathrm{CH})$, 130.43 (C), 132.03 (2C), 140.42 (C), 142.00 (C), 142.21 (C), $142.30(=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 142.59(=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 295.1235. Found: 295.1230 [37b].
4.4.5.3. 3,6-Dibromodibenzo[a,c]phenazine 11c. Following the general procedure, 11c was obtained from 10c; yield: $96 \%$; lemon yellow powder; mp 319-320 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 2 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{1}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-7\right), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5), 9.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, 2 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=122.68$ (2C-Br), 125.03 (2CH), 126.79 (2CH), 127.65 (2CH), 129.89 (2C), $132.80(2 \mathrm{C}), 133.14(2 \mathrm{CH}), 134.67$ (2CH), 136.13 (2C), $138.30(2=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})[37 \mathrm{c}]$.
4.4.5.4. Methyl 8-methyldibenzo[a,c]phenazine-3-carboxylate 11d. Following the general procedure, 11d was obtained from 10d; yield: $91 \%$; pale yellow powder; $\mathrm{mp} 200-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,

H-6), 7.83-7.84 (m, 2H), 8.20-8.30 (m, 3H), 8.52 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), 9.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), $9.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-5)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=27.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.32$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 121.62(\mathrm{CH}), 125.32(\mathrm{CH}), 126.34(\mathrm{CH}), 127.77(\mathrm{CH})$, 128.59 (C), 129.29 (2CH), 129.46 (CH), 129.80 (CH), 129.86 (CH), 131.29 (C), 132.46 (C), 132.80 (C), 133.01 (CH), 133.72 (C), 140.43 (C), 140.98 (C), 141.46 (C), 141.89 (C), 145.43 (C), $167.01(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 353.1290. Found: 353.1284.

### 4.4.6. Synthesis of 9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene $\mathbf{1 2}$

A mixture of 9,10-phenanthrenequinone 10a ( 2.4 mmol ; 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}(1.53 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(13.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 10 mL ) was shaken for 5 min . Then, 0.53 mL of bromoethane ( 7.20 mmol ; 3 equiv) was added dropwise, followed by aqueous solution of KOH ( 35.66 mmol , in 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The resulting mixture was shaken for about 2 days, poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc. The extracts were washed twice with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure giving 9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene 12 as a yellow oil in $86 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.64\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.44\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $7.65-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-8), 8.71$ (dd, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ ); $\mathrm{NMR}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=15.55\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 68.60\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 121.96$ (2CH), 122.19 (2CH), $125.28(2 \mathrm{CH}), 126.32(2 \mathrm{CH}), 128.24(2 \mathrm{C})$, 129.34 (2C), 142.69 ( $2 \mathrm{C}=0$ ).

### 4.4.7. Synthesis of 3,6-diacetyl-9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene 13

In a 50 mL three-necked flask fitted with an HCl trap, the 9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene 12 ( 0.37 mmol ; 1 equiv) was dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and acetyl chloride. The mixture was stirred for 5 min and cooled in an ice bath. The cooling bath was removed, then $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( 0.75 mmol ; 2 equiv) was added in portions to the stirred solution. Thereafter, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min and carefully poured onto crushed ice. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined organic layers were washed successively with water and aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was evaporated, and the residual solid was shaken with MeOH , filtered, and washed with MeOH to give the 3,6-diacetyl-9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene 13 in $91 \%$ yield as a pale yellow solid; mp $164-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.54\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.38(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz} 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 8.20-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-7), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-8$ ), $9.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-5$ ); NMR ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=15.35\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.47\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 68.80$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 122.56(2 \mathrm{CH}), 123.08(2 \mathrm{CH}), 125.70(2 \mathrm{CH}), 127.96(2 \mathrm{C})$, 132.69 (2C), 134.11 (2C), $144.27(2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 197.49(2 \mathrm{C}=0)$.

### 4.4.8. Synthesis of 3-acetyl-9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene $\mathbf{1 4}$

$\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( $0.37 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1$ equiv) was added carefully to a solution of 9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene 12 ( 0.37 mmol ; 1 equiv) and acetyl chloride in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and then poured onto crushed ice. After washing with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, then drying with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and after evaporation of the solvent, the 3-acetyl-

9,10-diethoxyphenanthrene $\mathbf{2 0}$ was obtained in $78 \%$ yield as a light yellow solid; mp $84-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.54\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.37$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4) ; \mathrm{NMR}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=15.39\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.51\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 68.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 122.57$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 123.08(\mathrm{CH}), 123.45(\mathrm{CH}), 125.72(\mathrm{CH}), 127.93(\mathrm{C})$, 129.06 (CH), 129.96 (CH), 130.48 (C), 132.67 (C), 134.05 (C), 135.33 (C), $135.51(\mathrm{CH}), 144.26(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 197.55(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.
4.4.9. Synthesis of phenanthraquinone-2-sulfonic acid (sodium salt) 15

Phenanthrenequinone 10a ( $0.33 \mathrm{mmol} ; 1$ equiv) was melted on heating to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under vigorous stirring, 0.028 mL of concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 0.53 mmol ; 1.6 equiv) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 20 min at $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and poured into 5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solution was neutralized to $\mathrm{pH}=7$ by an aqueous solution of NaOH and then cooled in an ice bath. The precipitate was filtered off, thoroughly squeezed, washed with brine to remove sodium disulfonate, and dried. Phenanthraquinone-2-sulfonic acid (sodium salt) 15 was obtained in $72 \%$ yield as red brick powder; mp 209-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=7.42-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-6 / \mathrm{H}-7), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ $6 / \mathrm{H}-7), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; NMR ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta=110.74$ (CH), 116.88 (CH), 123.31 (C), 124.21 (CH), 124.47 (CH), 129.11 (CH), $129.34(\mathrm{CH}), 131.17$ (C), 132.65 (C), 135.48 (CH), 137.71 (C), 165.05 (C-S), $177.33(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 179.01(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 287.0014$. Found: 287.0010 .
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