CHIRAL LEAVING GROUP: ASYMMETRIC SYNTHESIS OF LINONENE AND BISABOLENE

SOICHI SAKANE, JUNYA FUJIWARA, KEIJI MARUOKA, and HISASHI YAMAMOTO*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Nagoya University Chikusa, Nagoya 464, Japan

(Received in Japan 27 December 1985)

Abstract: The biogenetic-type asymmetric synthesis of limonene and bisabolenes is described. As model studies for the present asymmetric synthesis, the cyclization of catechol, biphenol and binaphthol mononeryl ethers 1, 4, and 5, with organoaluminum reagents are executed to furnish limonene as a major product. Since the reaction of 1, 4, and 5 has proved to proceed much faster than that of neryl phenyl ether under the similar conditions, the rate acceleration is attributed to the novel metalanchimeric assistance of the aluminum reagents bound with the neighboring hydroxyl group for effecting the generation of the allyl cation. This anchimeric effect is utilized for the enantioselective cyclization of (R)-(+)-1,1'-bi-2-naphtholmononeryl ether (§) upon treatment with modified aluminumreagent 9 to produce limonene with high optical purity (77% $ee). In a similar fashion, <math>(R)-(+)-binaphthol (\underline{Z},\underline{Z})-mono$ farnesyl ether 16a undergoes the enantioselective cyclization $to give <math>\beta$ -bisabolene in 76% ee.

The structural diversity found in terpene metabolism is mostly elabolated by olefinic cyclizations of five basic acyclic precursors, isoprene units.¹ The biological strategy for construction of new C-C bonds involves intramolecular electrophilic alkylation of remote double bonds to a cationic center generated by the ionization of allylic pyrophosphate,² in which the enzyme may require a divalent cation, Ng^{2+} or Nn^{2+} , for catalytic activity.³ Formation of limonene from geranyl and/or neryl precursors^{1b,4} seems to provide a simple, yet enticing model for related terpene biosynthesis, although the biogenetic route leading to limonene has not been elucidated so far. Actually, a number of regiochemical features of this simple cyclization have been delineated,⁵ but the crucial enantioface differentiation taking place at the enzyme active site as well as the important role of a divalent metal to assist with C-O heterolysis of the allylic substrate have received scant attention.⁶ Assuming that cyclisation of neryl pyrophosphate to limonene is initiated by bidentate coordination of the pyrophosphate molety to a metal (eq. 1), we have been intrigued for a number of years in the simulation of such a cyclization with asymmetric induction in organic chemistry. Here we wish to disclose the first asymmetric synthesis of monocyclic terpenes limponene and bisabolenes according to this line as illustrated in eq. 2.

The choice of leaving group would be crucial to realize our project. Among various neryloxy alcohols as substrates, neryloxy-substituted phenols seen to be



appropriate in view of the ready accessibility as well as the facile generation of the neryl cation through C-O bond scission by Lewis acids. Accordingly, mononeryl ether of catechol, biphenol, and binaphthol, $\underline{1}$, $\underline{4}$, and $\underline{5}$, were prepared from catechol, biphenol, and binaphthol, respectively, by simple monoalkylation with neryl bromide in the presence of base.

First, we have examined various metals for effecting the cyclization of catechol mononeryl ether (<u>1</u>). Treatment of <u>1</u> with ordinary Lewis acids such as $BF_3^{\circ}OEt_2$, $SnCl_4$, and $TiCl_4$ at -78 $^{\circ}C$ resulted in formation of complex reaction products. The use of $EtAlCl_2$ and Et_2AlCl gave similar results. However, when the neryl ether <u>1</u> was reacted with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAH) in CH_2Cl_2 at -78 $^{\circ}C$ to room temperature, a mixture of limonene (<u>2</u>) and terpinolene (<u>3</u>) was cleanly produced in 53% yield in a ratio of 18:1. None of the acyclic components were detected in the crude reaction mixture by GLC analysis. Other organoaluminum reagents such as Me₃Al, Me₂AlOTf, and dialkylaluminum aryloxide were found to be effecient in the cyclization of <u>1</u>, <u>4</u>, and <u>5</u>, as shown in Table I. Notably, the cyclization of neryl phenyl ether has proved to proceed much more slowly than



those of 1, 4, and 5, under similar conditions. Attempted reaction of neryl phenyl ether with diisobutylaluminum phenoxide in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature for 1 day resulted in 50% recovery of the starting material. Thus, the remarkable rate acceleration observed herein should be ascribed to the novel metal-anchimeric assistance of the aluminum reagents bound with the neighboring hydroxyl group for effecting the ionization of the allylic substrates.

With the demonstration of the anchimeric effect of metal in terpene synthesis accomplished, our attention has been focused on the enantioselective cyclization of neryl precursors, a reaction that would provide access to a variety of terpenes in enantiomeric form. Prior to the execution of the cyclization experiments, we needed enantiomerically pure limonene as standard to determine the enantiomeric excess of the chiral limonene product by the optical rotation value. Unfortunately, so far no evidence is presented in the literatures showing that the limonene standard is enantiomerically pure. Since many commercially available terpenes are not always enantiomerically pure, we checked the optical purity of commercial Dlimonene ($[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +115° (c 0.82, pentane); supplied from Wako Pure Chemical Indus-

Table I. Cyclysation of the Mononeryl Ether 1, 4, and 5^a

entry 1 2	ether	aluminum reagent	conditions ^b	chemical yield % ^C (ratio, <u>2</u> : <u>3</u>)					
	1	1	1 <u>1</u> DIBAH 2 Me ₃ Al Y	λ	53 (18 : 1) '50 (12 : 1)				
3				35 (17 : 1)					
4		Me ₂ λlOTf		50 (5 : 1)					
5 6	4	DIBAH ^{Me} 3 ^{A1}	В	81(8:1) 76(7:1)					
7 8		<u>6</u> Me ₂ AlOTf		63 (5 : 1) 69 (4 : 1)					
9 10	5	DIBAH Me ₃ al	С	77 (9 : 1) 72 (7.5 : 1)					
11		<u>ه</u> حر		60 (7:1)					
12		<u>i</u> -Bu ₂ Alo	-	69 (8:1)					

^aAll reactions were run on a 1 mmol scale using 1.2 equiv of aluminum reagent. ^bConditions ($^{\circ}C$ (h)), A: -78 (0.5), room temperature (24); B: -78 (0.5), room temperature (10); C: -78 (0.5), room temperature (5). ^CDetermined by capillary GLC (20-m OV-101, 100 $^{\circ}C$) using 1-dodecene as an internal standard.

tries, Ltd.), which was found to be >98% enantiomerically pure. Its optical purity was substantiated by ¹H NMR analysis with Sievers' reagent⁷ after converting to α -terpineol and then to the (-)-MTPA ester <u>7</u>.



With the limonene standard at hand, we set out the enantioselective cyclization experiments. Thus, (R)-(+)-binaphthol mononeryl ether <u>8</u> ($[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +39.4^o (c 0.77, THF)) was prepared in 45-50% yield by the monosilylation and alkylation of (R)-(+)-binaphthol as the chiral auxiliary.⁸ Reaction of <u>8</u> with DIBAH under the standard conditions gave naturally occurring D-limonene (<u>2</u>) and <u>3</u> (ratio, 5:1) in



58% yield with only moderate asymmetric induction (~12% ee). Since the optical yield generally tends to increase by lowering the reaction temperature, we have then sought to design more oxygenophilic aluminum reagents than DIBAH in order to conduct the reaction at low temperature. A trifluoromethanesulfonyl group was quickly found to be promising as a ligand of modified organoaluminum reagents to withdraw the electron on aluminum. The bulky 2,6-di-text-butyl-4-alkylphenoxy group was also incorporated to make a monomeric aluminum species in solution, which should additionally enhance its oxygenophilicity. The highest mantioface

differentiation was finally achieved by the use of (2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenoxy)isobutylaluminum trifluoromethanesulfonate $(\underline{9})^9$ (3 equiv)¹⁰ in fluorotrichloromethane (CCl₃F) at -130 °C for 3 h, producing D-limonene (54% yield) in 77% ee (Table II).

				D-Limonene					
entry	aluminum reagent	conditions ^b	(ratio, $\underline{2} : \underline{3}$) ^d	$[\alpha]_{D}$ optical yield deg (c) ^e % ee					
1	<u>i</u> -Bu ₂ AlOTf	λ	36 (3 : 1)	+45 (0.96) 39					
2	<u>i</u> -BuAl(Off) ₂	B	27 (4 : 1)	+46 (0.46) 40					
3	i-Bual OTf	c	29 (5.2 : 1)	+74 (0.36) 64					
4	i-Bual OTf	D	58 ^f (14:1)	+88 (1.44) 77					

Table II. Asymmetric Synthesis of D-Limonene^a

^aAll reactions were carried out on a 1 mmol scale using 3 equiv of aluminum reagent in CCl₃F. ^bConditions (^oC (h)), A: -130 (1), -100 (3), -94 (3), -78 (4); B: -130 (4), -100 (5), -94 (1), -78 (3); C: -130 (1), -78 (9); D: -130 (3). ^cIsolated by column chromatography on silica gel, unless otherwise specified. ^dDetermined by capillary GLC analysis (20-m OV-101, 100 ^oC). ^eIn pentane. ^fDetermined by capillary GLC (100 ^oC) using veratrol as an internal standard.

The high sense of asymmetric induction observed herein may be envisioned as occurring through an intermediate <u>10</u>, in which the cyclization of neryl cation would arise from an preferential <u>anti-endo</u> conformation.¹¹



The present study has been successfully extended to the synthesis of bisabolenes¹² from the following ethers: catechol ($\underline{Z},\underline{S}$)-monofarnesyl ether (<u>11a</u>), its $\underline{Z},\underline{E}$ isomer <u>11b</u>, biphenol ($\underline{Z},\underline{Z}$)-monofarnesyl ether <u>15a</u>, its $\underline{Z},\underline{E}$ isomer <u>15b</u>, and (R)-(+)-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol ($\underline{Z},\underline{Z}$)-monofarnesyl ether (<u>16a</u>), and its $\underline{Z},\underline{E}$ isomer <u>16b</u>. These ethers were obtained by procedures similar to those in the preparation of <u>1</u>, <u>4</u>, and <u>8</u>, respectively.¹³ Reaction of these ethers with several organoaluminum reagents yielded a mixture of α -, β -, and τ -bisabolenes as listed in Table III. Noteworthy is the preferential formation of <u>12</u> from the <u>Z,Z</u> isomer <u>11a vs. 11b</u>, since it implies that during deprotonation the aluminum reagents may be responsible for the discrimination of the stereochemistry of the farnesyl moiety. A similar tendency was also observed in the ratio of bisabolene products (Table III).

Futhermore, by switching the catechol or biphenol moiety to a chiral auxiliary and manipulating the modified organoaluminum reagents, asymmetric synthesis of bisabolenes appears feasible. Thus, exposure of (R)-(+)-binaphthol $(\underline{Z},\underline{Z})$ mononeryl ether <u>16e</u> $([\alpha]_D^{20} + 28.6^{\circ} (c 1.02, THF))$ to the aluminum reagent <u>9</u> (3 equiv) in CCl₃F at -130 °C for 3 h led to the formation of bisabolenes (ratio of $\underline{Z}-\alpha/\beta/\underline{Z}-\gamma/\underline{E}-\gamma/\underline{E}-\alpha = 3:74:1:1:21$) in 52% yield, from which $(+)-\beta$ -bisabolene was

ether <u>11a</u>	aluminum reagent ^b	conditionsd	yield [®]	rat (<u>E</u>	10 -0	0 01 4 <i>f</i>	: 1	2- 2-	a) γ,	20] 2-	у.,	205 ^f E-0)
	11a DIBAH	λ	42	3	:	84	:	1	:	2	:	10
	£		27	3	1	84	:	1	:	2	•	10
<u>11b</u>	DIBAH		22	11	:	52	:	2	:	2	:	30
	<u>6</u>		23	11	1	55	:	1	:	5	:	28
15a	DIBAH	В	85	5	:	77	:	1	:	3	:	14
	6		64	4	:	80	1	1	:	3	:	12
165	กรุริมศ		71	11	1	50		2	1	6	:	31
	<u>6</u>		79	9	:	48	:	3	:	9	:	31
15a	9	С	62	4	:	69	:	2	:	2	:	23
	<u>e</u> c	D	61	6	:	58	:	2	:	4	:	30
15h	র্ব	Ē	67	8	•	45		2	:	9	:	36
	á c	_	63	Ř		46		Ā	÷	Q.	•	34
	ether <u>11a</u> <u>11b</u> <u>15a</u> <u>15b</u> <u>15b</u>	ether aluminum reagent ^b <u>11a</u> DIBAH <u>6</u> <u>11b</u> DIBAH <u>6</u> <u>15a</u> DIBAH <u>6</u> <u>15a</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>15b</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u> <u>9</u>	ether aluminum conditions ^d <u>11a</u> DIBAH A <u>11b</u> DIBAH A <u>11b</u> DIBAH <u>6</u> <u>15a</u> DIBAH B <u>15b</u> DIBAH <u>6</u> <u>15a</u> 9 C <u>15a</u> 9 C <u>9</u> C <u>9</u> C <u>15b</u> <u>9</u> C	etheraluminum reagentbconditionsdyield11aDIBAHA4211bDIBAHA2711bDIBAH2262315aDIBAHB66415bDIBAH7167915a9C9C15b99C66415b915a96015b990663	ether aluminum conditionsd yieldrate11aDIBAHA42311bDIBAHA42311bDIBAH27311bDIBAH2211 $\underline{6}$ 231115aDIBAHB85564415bDIBAH71 $\underline{6}$ 79915a9C62 $\underline{9}^{C}$ D61 $\underline{15b}$ $\underline{9}^{C}$ D $\underline{6}$ 23	ether aluminum conditionsyieldratio (\underline{Z} -a)11aDIBAHA423 : (\underline{Z} -a)11bDIBAHA423 : 273 : 3 : 2711bDIBAHA2211 : 2 $\underline{6}$ 2311 : 211 : 215aDIBAHB855 : 6415bDIBAHB644 : 7115a9C624 : 7915a9C624 : 515b $\overline{9}^{C}$ D616 : 515b $\overline{9}^{C}$ D616 : 515b $\overline{9}^{C}$ D616 : 5	ether aluminum conditioned yield ratio of (\underline{s} - α_r , β) 11a DIBAH A 42 3 : 84 11b DIBAH A 42 3 : 84 11b DIBAH A 42 3 : 84 11b DIBAH A 27 3 : 84 11b DIBAH 22 11 : 52 6 23 11 : 52 6 23 11 : 55 15a DIBAH B 85 5 : 77 6 6 71 11 : 50 6 71 11 : 50 6 79 9 : 48 15b DIBAH 71 11 : 50 6 79 9 : 48 15a 9 C 62 4 : 69 9° D 61 6 : 58 58 15b 9 E 67 8 : 45	ether aluminum conditioned yield* ratio of 1 11a DIBAH A 42 3:84: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84: 11b DIBAH 27 3:84: 27 6 23 11:55: 15:5: 11:55: 15b DIBAH B 85:5:77: 5:6:4:4:80: 15b DIBAH B 64:4:80: 4:80: 15b DIBAH 71:11:50: 6:7:9:9:48: 79:9:48: 15b DIBAH 71:11:50: 6:58: 79:9:48: 15b 9° D 61:6:58: 6:58: 15b 9° D 61:6:58: 6:58: 15b 9° E 67:8:45: 6:3:8:45:	ether aluminum conditioned yield* ratio of bis 11a DIBAH A 42 3:84:1 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1 11b DIBAH 27 3:84:1 1 11b DIBAH 22 11:52:2 2 6 23 11:55:1 1 15a DIBAH B 85 5:77:1 15b DIBAH B 64 4:80:1 15b DIBAH 71 11:50:2 2 6 79 9:48:3 3 15a 9 C 62 4:69:2 15b 3 [°] D 61 6:58:2 15b 3 E 67 8:45:2 9 [°] D 63 8:46:54	ether aluminum conditioned yield* ratio of bisal 11a DIBAH A 42 3:84:1: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1: 11b DIBAH 27 3:84:1: 1: 11b DIBAH 22 11:55:2:2: 2: 6 23 11:55:1: 1: 15a DIBAH B 85 5:77:1: 15b DIBAH 7 11:50:2: 2: 6 79 9:48:3: 3: 15b DIBAH 71 11:50:2:<:	ether aluminum conditioned yield* ratio of bisabol 11a DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2 11b DIBAH 27 3:84:1:2 2 11b DIBAH 22 11:52:2:2:5 6 23 11:55:1:5 1:5 1 3 15b DIBAH B 85 5:77:1:3 3 15b DIBAH 7 11:50:2:2:6 7 3:9 15b DIBAH B 85 5:77:1:3 3 15b DIBAH 7 11:50:2:2:6 7 9:48:3:9 15a 9 C 62 4:69:2:2 2 15b 9 C 62 4:69:2:2 2 9C D 61 6:58:2:4 9 9C D 63 8:45:2:9 9 <td>ether aluminum conditioned yield* ratio of bisaboles 11a DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2: 11b DIBAH 27 3:84:1:2: 5: 6 23 11:55:1:5: 1:5: 5: 15a DIBAH B 85 5:77:1:3: 1: 15b DIBAH B 64 4:80:1::3: 1: 15b DIBAH 71 11:50:2:2:6: 1: 3: 15b DIBAH 71 11:50:2:6: 1: 3: 1: 15b G 79 9:48:3:9: 1: 3: 9: 15a 9 C 62 4:69:2:2:2: 1: 1: 15b 3 C 62 4:6:4:9:2: 1: 1: 15b 3 E 67 8:45:2:9:9:</td>	ether aluminum conditioned yield* ratio of bisaboles 11a DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2: 11b DIBAH A 42 3:84:1:2: 11b DIBAH 27 3:84:1:2: 5: 6 23 11:55:1:5: 1:5: 5: 15a DIBAH B 85 5:77:1:3: 1: 15b DIBAH B 64 4:80:1::3: 1: 15b DIBAH 71 11:50:2:2:6: 1: 3: 15b DIBAH 71 11:50:2:6: 1: 3: 1: 15b G 79 9:48:3:9: 1: 3: 9: 15a 9 C 62 4:69:2:2:2: 1: 1: 15b 3 C 62 4:6:4:9:2: 1: 1: 15b 3 E 67 8:45:2:9:9:

Table III. Cyclization of the Monofarnesyl Sther 11a, 11b; 15a, and 15b

^aAll reactions were performed on a 1 mmol scale. ^bUsing 1.2 equiv of aluminum reagent, unless otherwise indicated. ^CUsing 3 equiv of the aluminum reagent. ^dConditions (solvent, ^oC (h)), A: CH₂Cl₂, -78 (0.5), room temperature (24); B: CH₂Cl₂, -78 (0.5), room temperature (4); C: CCl₃F, -130 (0.5), -40 (1), -20 (2); D: CCl₃F, -130 (0.5), -100 (2), -78 (2); E: CCl₃F, -130 (0.5), -40 (6), -20 (2). ^eIsolated by column chromatography on silica gel. ^fDetermined by capillary GLC (20-m OV-101, 150 ^oC).



separated by preparative TLC on $AgNO_3$ -impregnated silica gel. This product was 76% enantiomerically pure, as determined by the comparison of authentic sample.¹⁶ Unfortunately, attempted isolation of (+)-(E)- α -bisabolene was unsuccessful. The <u>Z,E</u> isomer <u>16b</u> ([α]_D²⁰ +34.3^o (c 0.91, THF)) was also subjected to the analogous cyclization conditions providing (+)- β -bisabolene in 62% ee, as summarized in Table IV.



The terpene syntheses described above provide a new body of results that, coupled with certain other considerations, (1) indicate that six-membered ring is formed with a high degree of neighboring π -bond participation during C-O heterolysis of $\underline{7}$, thus allowing the remote chiral transfer efficiently and (2) suggest that overall process may involve conformationally rigid cationic structures.

entry	ether	aluminum reagent (equiv)	conditions ^b	yield ^{c1}	ratio (<u>z</u> -o	ο οί ×, β	[] , <u>2</u>	Dis <u>1</u> -γ,	abo ミーク	lenes ', <u>Ε</u> -α)	d (æ) leg	$-\beta$ -bisat opt (c)	ical yield
1 2 3	<u>16a</u> 16b	<u>9</u> (1.2) (3) (3)	A B B	56 52 60	6: 3: 10:	63 74 39	: :	3: 1: 2:	4: 1: 2:	23 21 47	+47 +56 +47	(0.92) (2.94) (1.50)	62 76 62

Table IV. Asymmetric Synthesis of Bisabolenesa

^aAll reactions were carried out on a 1 mmol scale in CCl₃F. ^bConditions (^oC (h)), A: -130 (0.5), -100 (1); B: -130 (3). ^CIsolated by column chromatography on silica gel. ^CDetermined by capillary GLC (20-m OV-101, 150 ^oC); ^CIn EtOH, see ref 12a.

Experimental Section

General. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 260-10 spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were measured on a JNM-PMX 60 spectrometer. Carbon tetrachloride was used as the MMR solvent. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million downfield from internal tetramethylsilane (δ =0). Splitting patterns are indicated as s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad peak. Analytical gas-liquid phase chromatography (GLC) was performed on a Hitachi 164 instrument equipped with a flame ionization detector with nitrogen as carrier gas and using a capillary column (20-m OV-101). Optical rotations were determined on JASCO DIP-140 digital polarimeter. For thin layer chromatographic (TLC) analysis, Merck precoated TLC plates (silica gel 60 GF₂₅₄) were used. The products were purified by preparative column chromatography on silica gel E. Merck Art 9385.

In experiments requiring dry solvents, ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium-benzophenone. Benzene and hexane were dried over sodium metal. Dichloromethane was distilled from phosphorus pentoxide and stored over 4-Å molecular sieves. Pyridine and triethylamine were stored over potassium hydroxide pellets. Diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAH) in hexane (1.0 M) was a commercial product.

commercial product. **Preparation of Neryl Bromide.** To a stirred solution of 7.71 g of nerol (50 mmol) in 150 mL of ether at -78 °C under N₂ was added 1.69 mL of phosphorus tribromide (18 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and stood at 0 °C for 10 h. The mixture was poured onto ice-water and extracted with hexane. The organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to give a crude neryl bromide (10.85 g, quantitative yield): ¹H NMR δ 1.61, 1.67, 1.77 (9H, CH₃-C=C), 3.90 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz, CH₂-Br), 5.07-5.62 (2H, m, CH=C). This was used without any purification. **Preparation of Catechol Mononeryl Ether** (1). To a stirred suspension of 2.11 g of sodium hydride (50% in oil, 44 mmol) in 200 mL of THF at room temperature under amount of hydroquinone. The mixture was stirred for 30 min. Twenty mL of hexa-

Preparation of Catechol Mononeryl Ether (1). To a stirred suspension of 2.11 g of sodium hydride (50% in oil, 44 mmol) in 200 mL of THF at room temperature under N_2 was added 4.40 g of catechol (40 mmol) portionwise followed by a catalytic amount of hydroquinone. The mixture was stirred for 30 min. Twenty mL of hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) and 8.68 g of neryl bromide (40 mmol) were successively added. The whole mixture was stirred for 1 day. After decomposition of excess sodium hydride with 5 mL of methanol, the mixture was poured onto ice-water and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (20:1, hexane-ether as eluant) to give mononeryl ether 1 as an oil (4.40 g, 45%): TLC, R_f 0.33 (5:1, hexane-ether); ¹H NMR § 1.58, 1.66, 1.77 (9H, CH₃-C=C), 4.35 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 5.20-5.44 (2H, m, CH=C), 6.63 (4H, m, Ar-H).

Preparation of Biphenol Mononeryl Ether (<u>4</u>). To a solution of 3.72 g of biphenol (20 mmol) in 100 mL of 2-propanol in the presence of 2.47 g of potassium tert-butoxide (22 mmol) at room temperature was added 4.34 g of neryl bromide (20 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 day, poured onto saturated NaCl solution, and extracted with ether. The combined organic extracts were dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (10:1, hexane-ethyl acetate as eluant) to give mononeryl ether <u>4</u> (6.44 g, 504) as an oil: TLC, R_f 0.40 (5:1, hexane-ethyl acetate); ¹H NMR δ 1.59, 1.63, 1.73 (9H, CH₃-C=C), 4.49 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 4.98-5.46 (2H, m, CH=C), 6.75-7.37

Preparation of Racemic 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol Mononeryl Ether (5). An analogous procedure described for the preparation of catechol mononeryl ether (1) was utilized. To a stirred suspension of 1.06 g of sodium hydride (50% in oil, 22 mmol) in 100 mL of THF at room temperature under N₂ was added 5.73 g of racemic binaphthol (20 mmol) portionwise. After 30 min, 20 mL of HNPA followed by 4.34 g of neryl bromide (20 mmol) were added. The whole mixture was kept on stirring for 1 day. After excess sodium hydride was destroyed by addition of 5 mL of methanol at 0 °C, the mixture was poured onto iced-1 N HCl solution and extracted with benzene. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (5:1, hexane-ether as eluant) to afford the

desired binaphthol mononeryl ether 5 in 25% yield (2.10 g) in addition to bi-naphthol dineryl ether (4.37 g, 39%), and unreacted binaphthol was recovered (1.71 g, 30%); binaphthol dineryl ether: TLC, R_f 0.57 (5:1, hexame-ether); 5: TLC, R_f 0.27 (5:1, hexame-ether), H MR & 1.49 (3H, s, CH₃+C=C), 1.56 (6H, s, CH₃-C=C), 4.39 (2H, d; J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 4.71-5.19 (2H, m, CH=H), 6.77-7.83 (12H, m, År-H). Preparation of Dimethylaluminem 2,6-Di-text-butyl-4-methylphenoxide (6). To a solution of 264 mg of 2,6-di-text-butyl-4-methylphenol (1.2 mmol) in 10 mL of dichloromethane at 0 °C under N₂ was added 1.2 mL of Me₃Al (1 M in hexame, 1.2 mmol). This mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min to yield dimethylaluminum 2,6-

di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenoxide (6), which was directly used for the cyclization experiments.

Preparation of Dimethylaluminum Trifluoromethanesulfonate. To a solution of 1.2 mL of Me₃Al (1 M in hexane, 1.2 mmol) in 10 mL of dichloromethane at -78 °C was added 105 sL of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (1.2 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and at 0 °C for 30 min under N₂, producing dimethylaluminum trifluoromethanesulfonate.

Reaction of Catechol Mononeryl Ether (1) with Organoalusinum Reagents. To a solution of 246 mg of 1 (1 mmol) in 10 mL of dichloromethane at -78 °C under N₂ was added 1.2 mL of DIBAH (1.2 mmol). The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and at room temperature for 1 day. After 200 μ L of 1-dodecene was added as an internal standard by GLC analysis, the reaction mixture was poured onto 1 N HCl solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated and purified by short-path column chromatography on silica gel (pentane as eluant) to give a mixture of $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$ (53% yield, TLC, R_f 0.58 (hexane)) in a ratio of 18:1 (limonene ($\underline{2}$) ($t_r = 2.77$ min), terpinolene ($\underline{3}$) ($t_r = 3.25$ min), and 1-dodecene ($t_r = 5.73$ min), by GLC analysis (100 °C)). The reaction of $\underline{1}$, $\underline{4}$, and $\underline{5}$, with other organoaluminum reagents were carried out in like manners as described above, and the results were listed in Table I. **Preparation of (R)**-(+)-1,1⁴-Bi-2-maphthol Monomeryl Ether ($\underline{6}$). To a solution of 5.73 g of (R)-(+)-binaphthol (20 mmol) in 200 mL of THF in the presence of 4.18 mL of triethylamine (30 mmol) at 0 °C under N₂ was added 2.80 mL of chlorotrimethyl-silane (22 mmol). After stirring at 0 °C for 3 h, the mixture was poured onto cold-ag NaHCO₂ solution and extracted with benzene. The combined organic layers

cold-aq NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with benzene. The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated to afford (R)-(+)-binaphthol monosilyl ether almost exclusively. This crude ether was diluted with 390 mL of THF and then 1.06 g of sodium hydride (50% in oil, 22 mmol) was added portionwise at 0 °C under N₂. After stirring at 0 °C for 30 min, a solution of 4.77 g of neryl bromide (22 mmol) in 20 mL of THF followed by 30 mL of HMPA were added at 0 °C. The whole mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 day. After remaining sodium hydride was destroyed with addition of 5 mL of methanol, the mixture was poured onto cold-1 N HCl solution and extracted with benzene. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (5:1, hexane-ether as eluant) to give mononeryl ether <u>8</u> (3.96 g, 47%) as an oil: $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +39.4° (c 0.77, THF).

Reaction of (R)-(+)-1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol Mononeryl Ether (8) with Diisobutylaluminum Trifluoromethanesulfonate. To a solution of 3 mL of DIBAH (3 mmol) in 30 mL of CCl₃F at -78 °C under N₂ was added 265 μ L of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (3 mmol). The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and at 0 °C for 30 min, producing diisobutylaluminum trifluoromethanesulfonate. After cooling to -130 °C producing dissoury aluminum trifluoromethanesulfonate. After cooling to -130 °C (external temperature, pentane-liquid N₂ bath), a solution of 422 mg of mononeryl ether 8 in 3 mL of CCl₃F was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at -130 °C for 1 h, at -100 °C (methanol-liquid N₂ bath) for 3 h, at -94 °C (hexane-liquid N₂ bath) for 3 h and -78 °C for 4 h. This was poured onto 1 N HCl solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried, conbath) for 3 h and -/8 °C for 4 h. This was pouled onco is not solution the extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pentane as eluant) to afford a mixture of limonene and terpinolene (48.8 mg, 36% yield, ratio, 3:1) which was determined by GLC analysis. The products were separated by pre-packed column chromatography (LiChroprep S40 60 by Merck, pentane as eluant) to give D-(+)-limonene (2) (9.6 mg): $(\alpha)_D^{-0} + 45^{\circ}$ (c 0.96, pentane). Reaction of (R)-(+)-Binsphthol Mononeryl Ether 5 with (2,4,6-tri-tert-butyl-

phenoxy)isobutylaluminum Trifluoromsthanesulfonate (9). To a solution of 787 mg of tri-<u>tert</u>-butylphenol (3 mmol) in 30 mL of CCl₃F at 0 °C under N₂ was added 3 mL of DIBAH (3 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min. After cooling to -78 DIBAH (3 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. After cooling to -/o $^{\circ}$ C, 265 µL of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (3 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at -78 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min and at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. The aluminum reagent 9 was cooled to -130 $^{\circ}$ C (external temperature, pentane-liquid N₂ bath) and a solution of 422 mg of mononeryl ether <u>6</u> (1 mmol) in 3 mL of CCl₃F was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred at -130 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h. After addition of 40 µL of veratrole (<u>o</u>-dimethoxybenzene) as an internal standard by GLC analysis, the mixture was poured onto 1 N HCl solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The mixture was poured onto 1 N HCl solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated to furnish a colorless oil (58% yield, limonene:terpinolene== 14:1, veratrole (t_ = 4.19 min)). Chromato-graphy on silica gel (pentane as eluant) and subsequent separation of the products

(2) (14.4 mg): [α]⁰/₂ +88° (c 1.44, pentane).
H NNR Analysis of NTPA Ester of α-Terpineol with the Chiral Shift Reagnt. A published procedure was used for the preparation of α-terpineol. acetate (637 mg, 2 mmol) was added to a solution of 272 mg of $D_{-}(+)$ -limonene (2

mmol) (supplied from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) in 8 mL of THF and 2 mL of water at 0. $^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction was then completed by adding 2 mL of a 3 N NaOH solution followed by 2 mL of a 0.5 M sodium borohydride solution in 3 M NaOH at room temperature. After stirring at room temperature for 45 min, the solution was saturated with potassium carbonate and then extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (2:1, hexane-ether as eluant) to give a colorless oil of α -terpineol (112 mg, 368): TLC, R, 0.45 (3:1, hexane-ethyl acetate); ¹H NMR δ 1.11 (6H, s, CH₃-C-O), 5.19-5.45 (1H, br, CH=C).

 $(S)-(-)-\alpha$ -Methoxy- $(\alpha$ -trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride $((S)-(-)-\text{MTPA-Cl}, 100 \,\mu\text{L})$ was added to a solution of 23 mg of α -terpineol (0.15 mmol) in 1.5 mL of 1.2-dichloroethane in the presence of 0.1 g of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 0.82 mmol) at room temperature. The solution was heated at 70 °C for 12 h. After confirming the completion of the reaction by TLC, the solution was poured onto 1 N HCl solution and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on silica.gel (10:1,

dried, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography on slitca:gel (10:1, hexane-ether as eluant) to afford an oil of (S)-(-)-MTPA ester 7 (51 mg, 92%): TLC, R_f 0.65 (3:1, hexane-ethyl acetate); 'H NMR & 1.57 (6H, s, CH₃-C-O), 3.54 (3H, s, CH₃-O), 5.19-5.41 (1H, br, CH=C), 7.25-7.66 (5H, m, Ar-H). The diastereomeric ester derived from the racemic limonene was also syn-thesized in a similar manner as described above. Upon addition of the chiral shift reagent Eu(hfc)₃ (Sievers' reagent),' the methoxyl absorption in 'H BMR spectrum split into two peaks at § 9.20 and 9.57 with equal intensities. The MTPA ester 7. On the other hand, cave still a signal even if the signal even if the signal. ester 7, on the other hand, gave still a single methoxy signal even if the signal shifted down toward lower field than δ 9. Thus, commercial D-(+)-limonene has Thus, commercial D-(+)-limonene has proved to be >98% enantiomerically pure.

shifted down toward lower field than 89. Thus, commercial D-(+)-limonene has proved to be >98% enantiomerically pure. Preparation of $(\underline{Z},\underline{Z})$ - and $(\underline{Z},\underline{E})$ -Parnesyl Bromide. $(\underline{Z},\underline{Z})$ - and $(\underline{Z},\underline{E})$ -farnesyl bromide; 91% yield; 'H NMR δ 1.61 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 1.66 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 1.77 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 3.88 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz, CH₂-Br), 5.04-5.59 (3H, m, CH=C); (\underline{Z},\underline{Z})-farnesyl bromide; 91% yield; 'H NMR δ 1.61 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 1.66 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 1.77 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 3.88 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz, CH₂-Br), 5.04-5.59 (3H, m, CH=C); (\underline{Z},\underline{E})-isomer: 97% yield; 'H NMR δ 1.61-1.79 (12H, CH₃-C=C), 3.97 (2H, d, J = 8 Hz, CH₂-Br), 5.06-5.61 (3H, m, CH=C). Preparation of Monofarnesyl Ethers 11a, 11b, 15a, 15b, 16a, and 16b. Monofarnesyl ethers 11a, 11b, 15a, 15b, 16a, and 16b. Monofarnesyl ethers 11a, 11b, 15a, 15b, 16a, and 16b. Monofarnesyl ethers 11a, 11b, 15a, 15b, 16a, and 16b. Monofarnesyl ethers 11a, 11b, 15a, 15b, 16a, and 16b. Monofarnesyl ethers 11a, 11b, 15a, 15b, 16a, and 16b. Monofarnesyl ethers 11a, 50% yield; TLC, R, 0.35 (8:1, hexane-ethyl acetate); 'H NMR δ 1.57 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 1.77 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 4.48 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 5.52 (3H, m, CH=C), 6.50-6.97 (14H, m, Ar-H); 11b: 55% yield; TLC, R, 0.35 (8:1, hexane-ethyl acetate); 'H NMR δ 1.55 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 1.63 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 1.76 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 1.64 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 1.456 (2H, m, CH₃-C=C), 1.76 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 1.64 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 4.48 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 4.95-5.4 (3H, m, CH=C), 6.96-7.93 (12H, m, Ar-H); 15b: 95% yield; TLC, R, 0.37 (8H, m, Ar-H); 15b: 95% yield; TLC, R, 0.37 (8H, m, Ar-H); 15b: 95% yield; TLC, R, 0.37 (8H, m, Ar-H); 16H, CH₃-C=C), 1.66 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 4.48 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 4.83-5.34 (3H, m, CH=C), 6.96-7.93 (12H, m, Ar-H); 16H, 5.56 (C 1.02, THF); TR AK 0.32^{-}C=C), 1.58 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 1.66 (3H, CH₃-C=C), 4.47 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂-O), 4.83-5.34 (3H, m, CH=C), 6.96-7.93 (12H, m, Ar-H); 16H, 5.96 (C 1.02, THF); TR AK 0.59 (6H, CH₃-C=C), 1.66 (3H, CH

The authentic $\underline{12}$ and $\underline{14}$ were prepared according to the literature procedures.^{124,C} The synthesis of authentic $\underline{13}$ was made by the analogous method as

described in the reference 12c. Reaction of $(R)-(+)-1, 1^{\circ}-Bi-2$ -naphtbol $(\underline{z},\underline{z})$ -Nonofarnesyl Ether (<u>16a</u>) with 9. To the aluminum reagent 9 (3 mmol), prepared as stated previously, in 30 mL of CCl_3F at -130 °C under N₂ was added a solution of 490 mg of monofarnesyl ether <u>16a</u> (1 mmol) in 3 mL of CCl_3F dropwise. The mixture was stirred at -130 °C for 3 h, poured onto 1 N HCl solution, and extracted with dickloromathane. The combined organic layers were dried, concentrated, and purified by gelumn chromatography on silica gel (hexane as eluant) to afford a mixture of bisabolenes (106 mg, 52% yield, ratio of $\underline{Z}-\underline{13}/\underline{12}/\underline{Z}-\underline{14}/\underline{E}-\underline{14}/\underline{E}-\underline{13} = 3:74:1:1:2:1$ by GLC analysis (150 °C)) as an oil. Separation of bisabolenes by preparative TLC on AgNO₃-impregnated silica gel (10:1, hexane-ether as eluant) gave β -bisabolene (<u>12</u>) (29.4 mg): AgNO₃-TLC, R_f 0.35 (10:1, hexane-ether); $[\alpha]_{2}^{\beta}$ +56° (c 2.94, EtOH). Attempted isolation of (+)-(<u>E</u>)- α -bisabolene ((<u>E</u>)-<u>16</u>) was unsuccessful.

Acknowledgment. Support for this research from the Ministry of Education, Japanese Government (Grand-in-aid 57102008), and Kuraray Co., Ltd., is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Professor R. Noyori for valuable discussion.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Johnson, W. S. <u>Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.</u> 1976, <u>15</u>, 9. (b) Cane, D. E. <u>Tetrahedron</u> 1980, <u>36</u>, 1109.
- (2) For the intermolecular version in terpene biosynthesis, see: Coates, R. M. Fortschr. <u>Chem. Og. Naturst.</u> **1976**, <u>33</u>, 73. Poulter, C. D.; Rilling, H. C. <u>Acc. Chem. Res.</u> **1978**, <u>11</u>, 307. Poulter, C. D.; Rilling, H. C. In "Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds"; Porter, J. W., Ed.; Wiley: New York,

- "Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Compounds"; Porter, J. W., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1981; Vol. 1, pp 161-224.
 (3) For the metal effect to ionize allylic substrates, see: George-Nascimento, C.; Pont-Lizica, R.; Cori, O. <u>Biochemi. Biophys. Res. Commun.</u> 1971, <u>45</u>, 119. Brems, D. N.; Rilling, H. C. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1977, <u>99</u>, 8351. King, H. L., Jr.; Rilling, H. C. <u>Biochemistry</u> 1977, <u>16</u>, 3815.
 (4) Suga, T.; Hirata, T.; Okita, H.; Tsuji, K. <u>Chem. Lett.</u> 1983, 1491.
 (5) Previous limonene syntheses: Heley, R. C.; Miller, J. A.; Wood, H. C. S. J. <u>Chem. Soc. C</u> 1969, 264. Rittersdorf, W.; Cramer, F. <u>Tetrahedron 1967, 23</u>, 3015, 3023; 1968, <u>24</u>, 43. Valenzuela, P.; Cori, O. <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 1967, 3089. Kitagawa, Y.; Hashimoto, S.; Iemura, S.; Yamamoto, H.; Nozaki, H. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1976, 98, 5030. Kobayashi, S.; Tsutsui, M.; Mukaiyama, T. <u>Chem. Lett.</u> 1976, 1137.
 (6) Enantioselective syntheses of α-terpineol from neryl and linalyl precursors were reported previously. See: (a) Stephan, W. <u>J. Prakt. Chem.</u> 1898, <u>58</u>, 109.
- (6) Enantioselective syntheses of *α*-terpineol from nergi and linaly precursors were reported previously. See: (a) Stephan, W. J. Prakt. Chem. 1898, 58, 109. (b) Winstein, S.; Valkanas, G.; Wilcox, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2286. (c) Godtfredsen, S.; Obrecht, J. P.; Arigoni, D. Chimia 1977, 31, 62. (d) Poulter, C. D.; King, C.-H. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1420, 1422.
 (7) Tris(6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptafluoro-2,2-dimethyl-3,5-octanedionato)europium.
- This compound is commercially avairable from Nakarai Chemicals, Ltd.
- (8) Cram, D. J.; Cram, J. M. <u>Acc. Chem. Res.</u> 1978, <u>11</u>, 8. Recently a highly enantioselective reducing agent using chiral binaphthol was reported: Noyori, R,; Tomino, I.; Tanimoto, Y.; Nishizawa, M. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1984, <u>106</u>, 6709. Noyori, R.; Tomino, I.; Yamada, M.; Nishizawa, M. <u>Ibid</u>. 1984, <u>106</u>, 6717. 6717.
- (9) For preparation of the reagent 9, see: Yamamura, Y.; Umeyama, K.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 1982, 23, 1933.
 (10) The use of 1.2 equiv of 9 gave the unreproducible results.
- (11) See ref 6d.
- (11) See ret 6d.
 (12) Recent bisabolene syntheses: (a) Crawford, R. J.; Erman, W. F.; Broaddus, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4298. (b) Faulkner, D. J.; Wolinsky, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 389. (c) Wolinsky, L. E.; Faulkner, D. J.; Finer, J.; Clardy, J. <u>Ibid.</u> 1976, 41, 697. (d) Larkin, J. P.; Nonhebel, D. C.; Wood, H. C. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1976, 2524. (e) Kobayashi, S.; Tsutsui, M.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1977, 1169. (f) Delay, F.; Ohloff, G. <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u> 1979, <u>62</u>, 369. (g) Becker, M.; Weyerstahl, P. <u>Ibid.</u> 1979, <u>62</u>, 2724.
- (13) $(\underline{Z},\underline{Z})$ -Farnesol and its $\underline{Z},\underline{E}$ isomer were kindly provided from Kuraray Co., Ltd. (14) Brown, H. C.; Geoghegan, P. J., Jr.; Lynch, G. J.; Kurek, J. T. J. Org. Chem. 1972, <u>37</u>, 1941.
- (15) Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543.
- (16) See reference 12a.
- (17) We thank Professor J. J. Brophy for providing mass spectra of bisabolenes.