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#### Abstract

Synthesis of ( $6 E$ )-8-thia-2,3-oxidosqualene (22) and (14E)-13-thia-2,3-oxidosqualene (34) as inhibitors of 2,3 -oxidosqualene-lanosterol cyclase are reported. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2 2}$ required the stereospecific generation of a vinyl sulfide. This was achieved by a new coupling of a benzenethiosulfonate (15) and a lithiated vinyl iodide (18). Synthesis of 34 involved similar coupling of benzenethiosulfonate 29 with lithium reagent obtained from vinyl iodide 33 . The required ( $E$ )-vinyl iodides 18 and 33 were prepared by zirconium-catalyzed carboalumination of 4 -pentyn-1-ol, (16) and 2,6-dimethyl$2(E), 6(E)$-dien-10-yne (32) respectively. Both 22 and 34 inhibited 2,3 -oxidosqualene-lanosterol cyclase from Candida albicans with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.68 and $45 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively.


## Introduction

2,3-Oxidosqualene-lanosterol cyclases (OSCs) play important roles in terpenoid biosynthesis through catalysis of the cyclization of ( $3 S$ )-2,3-oxidosqualene (1) to a number of tetracyclic triterpenes. ${ }^{1,2}$ OSC's are considered to initially bind ( $3 S$ )-2,3-oxidosqualene (1) in a chair-boatchair conformation and then mediate the sequential formation of four new C-C bonds leading to tetracyclic protosterol (2). Backbone rearrangement of 2 by OSC leads to lanosterol 3. There is direct ${ }^{3}$ and indirect evidence ${ }^{4-9}$ to suggest that the cyclization of 1 to 2 proceeds through conformationally rigid and enzymestabilized intermediates 4, 5, and 6 (Figure 1). One strategy to gain further insight into the involvement of cabocationic intermediates is the study of the inhibition of OSC by mimicks of the presumptive intermediates. This has been well developed by our laboratory ${ }^{9}$ and other groups. ${ }^{5 d, 6,8,10}$ In the present study we prepared oxi-

[^0]dosqualene analogs ( $6 E$ )-8-thia-2,3-oxidosqualene (22) and ( $14 E$ )-13-thia-2,3-oxidosqualene (34). These contain sulfur in skeletal positions $\beta$ or $\alpha$, respectively, to carbons considered to be cationic during OSC mediated cyclization of 1 (Figure 2). The underlying assumption is that these strategically placed heteroatoms will halt the cyclization through intra- or intermolecular stabilization of the carbocation intermediates. ${ }^{5 d, 6 a, b, 9}$

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis of oxidosqualene analogs 22 and 34 in which either C-8 or C-13 were replaced by sulfur required construction of trisubstituted $(E)$ vinyl sulfides. Retrosynthetic analysis reveals that both can be assembled from allylic sulfonium equivalents and vinyl anion synthons (Figure 3). Previous methodologies for preparation of ( $E$ )-vinyl sulfides have usually produced mixtures of $E$ and $Z$ stereoisomers. ${ }^{11,12,13 a}$ Stereospecific methodologies such as catalytic hydroboration-coupling ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$ or metalcatalyzed sulfenylation of alkenyl halides ${ }^{13 c, d}$ are not applicable to the present synthesis because of the highly unsaturated backbone of the target molecule. A stereospecific method to prepare ( $Z$ )-divinyl sulfides involves cross-coupling of cuprous thiolates with alkenyl halides but requires high temperature and gives relatively low yields. ${ }^{13 e, f}$ Although all of the above could be applied to the synthesis of the $(E)$-vinyl sulfides required, we expected problems to be associated with each route. To circumvent anticipated problems, we developed a new and convenient method to couple trisubstituted $E$-vinylic anions and arylthiosulfonates. ${ }^{14}$
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Figure 3.
Synthesis of 22 and 34. The synthesis of 22 commenced with conversion of commercially available ( $E, E$ )farnesol to farnesyl bromide (8) in $91 \%$ yield. ${ }^{15}$ Alkylation of 8 with the lithium enolate of ethyl acetate in the presence of CuI at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 9 in $91 \%$ yield according

[^2]to the procedure described by Coates. ${ }^{16}$ Reduction of 9 with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ followed by Swern oxidation ${ }^{17}$ gave $11^{16}$ in $86 \%$ yield over two steps (Scheme 1). Aldehyde 11 was then treated with (carbethoxyethylidene)triphenylphosphorane in refluxing methylene chloride to generate the desired ( $E$ )- - , $\beta$-unsaturated ester 12 in $90 \%$ yield ( $E$ isomer $>97 \%$ ). The $E$-geometry of 12 was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, which revealed a triplet of quartets at $\delta 6.76$ ( $J=7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) for one hydrogen attached to C-3. Reduction of 12 with DIBAL-H gave an allylic alcohol which was converted with NCS-DMS ${ }^{18}$ to allylic chloride 14 in $74 \%$ yield over two steps. The latter was converted to 15 in excellent yield by reaction with potassium 4-methylbenzenethiosulfonate in DMF. ${ }^{14}$ a The required vinyl anion synthon 17 was prepared by zirconiumcatalyzed carboalumination of 4-pentyn-1-ol (16) followed by iodine trapping ( $82 \%$ ). ${ }^{19}$ Capillary GC analysis of 17 revealed a single product. This reaction allowed introduction of the desired $E$-geometry. Reaction of 17 with tert-butyldimethysilyl chloride and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ gave 18 in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme 2). Analysis of 18 by GC/MS revealed two components with an $E: Z$ ratio of $96: 4$. Conversion of 18 to 19 ( $91 \%$ ) was effected via the vinylic lithium ( $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) followed by addition of 15 . This new coupling reaction is the key step in the generation of the $E$-vinylic sulfide of 22 . The structure of 19 was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, which revealed a quartet at $\delta 5.58(J=1.0$ Hz ) for the vinyl sulfide hydrogen (C-5) and a singlet at $\delta 3.19$ for the two hydrogens on the methylene carbon (C-7) adjacent to sulfur. Assignments were confirmed by decoupling experiments. Deprotection of 19 with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4}$ NF in methylene chloride followed by Swern oxidation ${ }^{17}$ gave 21 in $85 \%$ over the two steps. The NOE difference
(16) Coates, R. M.; Ley, D. A.; Cavender, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4915
(17) Mancuso, A. J.; Swern, D. Synthesis 1981, 165
(18) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 42, 4339.
(19) (a) Rand, C. L.; Van Horn, D. E.; Moore, M. W.; Negishi, E. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4093. (b) Negishi, E. Pure Appl. Chem. 1981, 53, 2333.
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${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, CuI, LDA, THF, $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) LAH, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (d) Swern oxidation; (e) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2}{\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text {; (f) }}^{\text {(f) }}$ DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (g) NCS, DMS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (h) $\mathrm{KSSO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, DMF.

## Scheme 2


(a) $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{AlMe}_{3}\right.$, then $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{TBDMSCl}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) $n-\mathrm{BuLi},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $15, \mathrm{THF}$; (d) $\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{NF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}$; (e) Swern oxidation; (f) $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(i$ - Pr$) \mathrm{BF}_{4}, t$-BuLi, THF.

$R=(E),(E)$-Farnesyl chain
(21)

Figure 4.
spectrum of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 21 confirmed the stereochemistry of the vinyl sulfide. When the C-5 vinyl hydrogen ( $\delta 5.62$, $J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was irradiated, no enhancement of the methyl attached to C-4 ( $\delta 1.72, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was observed. In this experiment enhancement of the signal attributed to the C-3 hydrogens ( $\delta 2.37, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was observed (Figure 4). These results indicate that the methyl attached to C-4 and the C-5 vinyl hydrogen are trans to each other while the C-5 vinyl hydrogen and the C-3 hydrogens are cis. ${ }^{20}$ Generation of the sulfonium ylide of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(i-\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ by treatment with $t-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in THF at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon followed by reaction with 21 gave 22 in $90 \%$ yield. ${ }^{21}$ The generation of 22 from 7 in 12 steps proceeded with an overall yield of $27.7 \%$.

[^3]The synthesis of 34 commenced with conversion of 7 to 24 in $95 \%$ yield. Reaction of the latter with NBS in THF $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ followed by treatment with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in methanol gave 26 in $37 \%$ yield over two steps (Scheme 3). Deprotection of 26 with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}$ in THF gave 27, which was converted to the allylic chloride 28 by reaction with NCS-DMS complex ${ }^{18}$ ( $72 \%$ over two steps). Reaction of 28 with potassium 4-methylbenzenethiosulfonate in DMF gave epoxy thiosulfonate 29 in $85 \% .^{14 a}$ Reaction of geraniol (30) with NCS-DMS complex ${ }^{18}$ gave geranyl chloride (31) ${ }^{22}$ in $80 \%$. The latter was then converted to 32 in $78 \%$ yield according to the procedure of Hooz. ${ }^{23}$ Treatment of 32 with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{Cp})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by treatment with iodine gave 33 in $81 \%^{19}$ (Scheme 4). Reaction of 33 with $n$-BuLi in THF at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon followed by addition of 29 gave 34 in $28 \%$ yield ((7) steps from 7, overall yield, $6.1 \%$ ). We believe that the lower yield in this coupling reaction is due to epoxide cleavage by the vinyl anion. The $E$-geometry of vinyl sulfide of 34 was again confirmed by an NOE difference experiment. Irradiation of the C-14 vinyl hydrogen ( $\delta 5.64$ ) enhanced the signal of the hydrogens attached $\mathrm{C}-16$ hydrogens ( $\delta 2.07$ ) while no enhancement of the signal due to the methyl attached to C-15 ( $\delta 1.73$ ) was observed ${ }^{20}$ (Figure 5).
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## Scheme 3
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(a) TBDMSCl, DAMP, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) NBS, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (d) $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF; (e) NCS, DMS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) $\mathrm{KSSO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, DMF.

(a) NDS, DMS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}, n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$, then $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 29, THF.
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Figure 5.
In summary, we achieved the total synthesis of ( $6 E$ )8 -thia and ( $14 E$ )-13-thia-2,3-oxidosqualenes. The key to the syntheses was a new methodology for generation of ( $E$ )-vinyl sulfides involving stereospecific coupling of trisubstituted vinyl anions with alkyl 4-methylbenzenethiosulfonates. The commercial availability of potassium 4 -methylbenzenethiosulfonate and the convenient generation of vinyl anions by reaction of vinyl iodides with alkyllithiums make this a useful method.

Biological Results. Both 22 and 34 inhibited cell-free OSC of C. albicans with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.68 and $45 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The comparison $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of these two compounds reveals that 34 is $\sim 60$-fold less effective than 22. The inhibition and the kinetic behavior of related sulfur-substituted 2,3-oxidosqualene analogues ${ }^{24}$ lead us suggest that 22 and 34 most likely act as competitive
inhibitors of fungal OSC. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $22\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.68 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) is comparable with 4 -\{[6-( $N, N$-dimethylamino)hexyl $]$ oxy $\}$ - $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-dichlorobenzophenone ${ }^{25}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.66 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, C. albicans), a good inhibitor of 2,3-oxidosqualene-lanosterol cyclase.

## Experimental Section

A. General Chemical Procedures. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ and dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ were freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use. $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ Dimethylformamide (DMF) was dried over 4A molecular sieves. $N$-Bromosuccinimide (NBS) and $N$-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) were recrystallized from glacial acetic acid, washed with ice-water, and dried under high vacuum before use. Iodine was purified by sublimation. Chemicals obtained from commercial sources were used without further purification. All moisture- and air-sensitive reactions were conducted under argon in vacuum-dried glassware. A nitrogen glovebag was used to weigh all the moisture-sensitive compounds. Syringes and canulas were used to transfer air-sensitive reagents.

[^5]Unless otherwise stated, standard workup refers the combination of organic extracts, washing with ice-cold brine, drying over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentration in vacuo.
B. Assay of Enzyme Inhibition. As described in ref 26, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were measured using a cell-free preparation of $C$. albicans. Cells collected from an 8 h culture in TYG medium were digested for 30 min with zymolase 100T (Seikagaku Kogyo, Japan). For each gram of cell mass were used 1 mg of zymolase, $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 -mercaptoethanol, and 5 mL of digestion buffer ( 50 mM phosphate pH 7.4 containing 1 M mannitol). The resulting protoplasts were collected by centrifugation and lysed in 100 mM phosphate buffer at pH 6.9. After centrifugation at 15000 g , the supernatant is a cell-free extract which retains full cyclase activity as shown by a $42 \%$ incorporation of racemic $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]-2,3$-oxidosqualene in the presence of the nonionic detergent Decyl Poe ( $n$-decylpentaoxyethylene, Bachem, Switzerland). This detergent inhibits the further metabolism of lanosterol to fungal sterols by the cell-free preparation and thus allows an accurate measurement of the inhibitory activity of test compounds. The nonsaponifiable lipids were extracted and applied to TLC plates (silica Gel F-254, Merck, Germany) which were developed twice in dichloromethane. The radiolabeled spots, in this case only oxidosqualene and lanosterol, were quantified with an automatic TLC scanner (Rita 3200, Raytest, Germany). The \% activity was plotted against log inhibitor concentration to determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$.

Ethyl 5,9,13-Trimethyl-4(E),8(E),12-tetradecatrienoate (9). This was prepared according to the procedure of Coates et al. ${ }^{16}$ in $91 \%$ yield. IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are in agreement with those reported in ref 16.

5,9,13-Trimethyl-4(E),8(E),12-tetradecatrien-1-ol (10). This was prepared according to the procedure of Coates et al. ${ }^{16}$ in $92 \%$ yield. IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are in agreement with those reported in ref 16.

5,9,13-Trimethyl-4(E),8(E),12-tetradecatrien-1-al (11). This was prepared by Swern oxidation ${ }^{17}$ of 10 in $93 \%$ yield. IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are in agreement with those reported in ref 16.

Ethyl 2,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2(E),6(E),10(E),14-hexadecatetraenoate (12). To powdered (carbethoxyethylidene)triphenylphosphorane ( $3.90 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under argon was added a solution of $11(2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was refluxed under argon for 12 h . Water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the cooled mixture and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (5/95) as eluant gave geometrically pure $12(2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield): IR (film) 1711, $1649 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS m/z (isobutane, rel intensity) $333\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right.$, 100 ), 287 (24.3), 259 (43.1), 251 (53.5), 237 (21.9), 223 (30), 209 (38.3), 205 (23.8), 197 (37.0), 193 (20.6), 183 (31.7), 177 (24.2), 149 (31.8), 137 (73.0), 123 (41.1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 6.76 (tq, $J=7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 168.14,141.76,136.16,134.93,131.11,127.87$, $124.36,124.08,123.13,60.25,39.66,28.96,26.92,26.73,25.60$, 17.58, 15.97, 15.91, 14.23, 12.23. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 79.46; H, 10.91. Found: C, 79.59; H, 10.68 .

2,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2(E),6(E),10(E),14-hexadecatet-raen-1-ol (13). To a solution of $12(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous diethyl ether ( 80 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added DIBALH ( $19 \mathrm{~mL}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF). The mixture was allowed to warm to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h . Excess DIBALH was destroyed by addition of distilled water ( 2 mL ) and the mixture was poured into ice-cold $5 \%$ aqueous solution of tartaric acid ( 20 mL ). The mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (2/ 8) gave 13 ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield): CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $291\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,8.7\right), 290\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4.3\right), 273$ (8.7), 217 (19), 205 (41), 191 (36), 177 (26.2), 163 (35.5), 149 (71.1), 137 (100),

[^6]123 (76); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.45-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.06$ (m, 3H), 3.98 (s, 2 H ), 2.12-1.92 (m, 12H), 1.68 (s, 3 H ), 1.66 (s, 3 H ), $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $135.50,134.95,134.91,131.20,126.15,124.44,124.24,123.95$, $69.03,39.72,27.95,27.88,26.80,26.66,25.61,17.62,16.03$, 15.99, 13.64. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 82.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.80$. Found: C, 82.47; H, 11.69.

1-Chloro-2,7,11,15-tetramethyl-2(E),6(E),10(E),14-hexadecatetraene (14). To a solution of $N$-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) ( $0.735 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added dropwise dimethyl sulfide (DMS) $(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. This mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $13(1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 4.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture over 5 min . The mixture was allowed to warm to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 1 h , and then poured into ice-cold brine. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/ hexane (1/9) gave pure 14 ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ yield): IR (film) 1667, 1264, and $685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $\mathrm{m} / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) 309 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,10.3\right), 308\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3.1\right), 273(35.2), 217(14.2), 205(34.3)$, 191 (29.0), 177 (18.1), 163 (23.6), 149 (53.0), 137 (100), 123 (72.8); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.57-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68$ (s, 3 H ), 1.60 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 135.84, 134.99, $131.82,131.21,130.68,124.45,124.23,123.57,52.48,39.74$, $28.36,27.53,26.83,26.65,25.63,17.65,16.06,16.00,14.12$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl}$ : C, 77.76; H, 10.77. Found: C, 77.48; H, 10.69 .
2,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2(E),6(E),10(E),14-hexadecatetraenyl 4-Methylbenzenethiosulfonate (15). To a solution of potassium 4-methylbenzenethiosulfonate ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 20.0 mL ) was added a solution of $14(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then poured into ice-cold water ( 30 mL ). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the ethereal solution was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (15/85) gave pure 15 ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield): IR (film) $1666,1595,1329,1142$, and $812 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) 461 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}+1$, 2.2 ), 305 (14.0), 295 (8.7), 279 (18.0), 273 (17.2), 157 (100), 156 (2.9), 139 (16.2), 123 (4.5); $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}{ }_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.78-7.32$ $\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.38-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 144.40$, $142.62,135.81,135.00,131.42,131.21,129.63$ (2C), 127.71, 127.06 (2C), 124.42, 124.19, 123.50, 45.69, 39.73, 28.48, 27.50, $26.81,26.65,25.64,21.57,17.66,16.06,16.00,15.00$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 70.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.76$. Found: C, $70.11 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.88 .

5-Iodo-4-methyl-4(E)-penten-1-ol (17). To a slurry of $\mathrm{ZrCp}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 8.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}(10.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 105 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise over 5 min . 4-Pentyn-1-ol (16) ( $3.01 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added dropwise. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 15 h . The mixture was then cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and iodine ( $10.15 \mathrm{~g}, 40$ mmol ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was added slowly. Twenty minutes after addition of iodine, excess $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ was destroyed (caution!!) by the addition of 5 mL of distilled water under argon at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The slurry was diluted with 100 mL of hexane and the precipitated salt was filtered through a pad of Celite. The pad was rinsed thoroughly with 50 mL of hexane. Standard workup of the filtrate followed by flash chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (3/7) as the eluant gave pure $17(6.49 \mathrm{~g}$, $82 \%$ yield): IR (film) $3347,1617,1062$, and $769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 147.46,74.90,62.04,35.78,30.61,23.84$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{IO}: \mathrm{C}, 31.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.91$. Found : C, $32.13 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.04 .

5-Iodo-4-methyl-4(E)-pentenyl tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether (18). To a solution of tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride $(4.04 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(27.3 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added 17 ( $5.65 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4,6 -(dimethy-
lamino)pyridine ( 0.05 g ). This was stirred at room temperature for 6 h and the mixture was poured into water ( 20 mL ). The organic phase was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/ hexane ( $5 / 95$ ) as eluant gave 18 ( $8.08 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield) as colorless liquid: IR (film) $1618,1105,836$, and $775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,28.0\right), 283$ (27.6), 251 (3.6), 210 (7.2), 209 (100), 123 (10.4); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $5.88(\mathrm{q}, J=1.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=6.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.045(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 147.79,74.60$, $62.15,35.85,30.84,25.93,23.87,18.33,-5.32$.

6-Thia-4,8,13,17,21-pentamethyl-4( $E$ ), $8(E), 12(E), 16(E),-$ 20-docosapentaenyl tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether (19). To a stirred solution of $18(0.558 \mathrm{~g}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 40 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added dropwise $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $0.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in hexane), and the mixture was stirred for 20 min . To this mixture, at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, was added dropwise a solution of $15(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63$ mmol ) in THF ( 3 mL ) over 3 min . The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , and water ( 10 mL ) was added. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (5/95) as eluant gave pure 19 ( $0.767 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield): IR (film) 1668,1104 , and $836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $519\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right.$, 100 ), 518 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7.0$ ), 461 (2.1), 387 (3.8), 305 (11.1), 303 (51.8), 273 (42.3), 247 (18.0), 231 (20.0), 191 (16.2), 149 (15.0), 137 (33.0), $123(23) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.58(\mathrm{q}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $5.35-5.28$ (m, 1H), $5.17-5.06$ (m, 3H), $3.57(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.042(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 136.38$, $135.40,134.88,131.43,131.10,128.22,124.46,124.27,123.96$, $117.75,62.59,43.33,39.75,35.53,31.12,28.47,28.06,26.69$, $26.59,26.04,25.87,25.84,18.29,18.05,17.64,16.05,15.99$, $14.90,-5.27$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{SiOS}: \mathrm{C}, 74.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.28$. Found: C, 74.25; H, 11.49.
6-Thia-4,8,13,17,21-pentamethyl-4(E),8(E),12(E),16(E),-20-docosapentaen-1-ol (20). To a solution of $19(0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 1.29$ mmol ) in THF ( 15.0 mL ) at room temperature was added tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h and then poured into ice-cold water ( 5 mL ). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/ hexane ( $3 / 7$ ) as eluant gave pure $20(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield): IR (film) 3348,1666 , and $1063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $405\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,100\right), 404\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6.3\right), 307(14.4), 273$ (38.3), 205 (6.7), 191 (12.1), 173 (16.5), 149 (12.2), 137 (19.9), $123(12.9) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.61(\mathrm{q}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.35-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.70-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (br, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) $136.09,135.45,134.91,131.40$, $131.11,128.25,124.41,124.22,123.88,118.19,62.45,43.30$, $39.69,35.53,30.79,28.40,27.99,26.78,26.65,25.56,17.90$, 17.57, 15.99, 14.84. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 77.17$; H, 10.97. Found: C, 77.48 ; H, 11.20 .

6-Thia-4,8,13,17,21-pentamethyl-4(E),8(E),12(E),16(E),-20-docosapentaen-1-al (21). To a vigorously stirred solution of oxalyl chloride ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon was added dimethyl sulfoxide $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.25 mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 5 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then a solution of $20(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. After the solution was stirred for 30 min , triethylamine ( $0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added over 2 min , and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Water ( 10 mL ) was added and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (1/9) as eluant gave 21 ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield): IR (film) 1727, 1667, and $1069 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $403\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,100\right), 402\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5.1\right), 273(47.7), 205$ (6.4), 191 (11.0), 171 (12.2), 149 (12.3), 137 (12.0); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
${ }_{\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)} 9.74$ (t, $\left.J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.62(\mathrm{q}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $5.35-5.27$ (m, 1H), $5.17-5.05$ (m, 3H), 3.20 (s, 2H), 2.55$2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4, \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, 1.72 (d, J = $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59$ ( s , $9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 201.66,135.53,134.96,133.71$, 131.22, 128.54, 124.41, 124.20, 123.84, 119.31, 43.21, 42.04, $39.72,31.48,28.42,28.01,26.79,26.65,25.64,18.07,17.64$, 16.05, 15.98, 14.84. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 77.55 ; \mathrm{H}$, 10.51. Found: C, 77.28 ; H, 10.74 .
(6E)-8-Thia-2,3-oxidosqualene (22). To a stirred solution of diphenylisopropylsulfonium fluoborate ( $0.167 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 15 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under argon, was added dropwise $t-\operatorname{BuLi}(0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane). This mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 1 h , and then a solution of $21(0.209 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise. The mixture was maintained at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and between -70 and $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was then treated with distilled water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (5/95) as eluant gave 22 ( $0.208 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield): IR (film) 1669,1247 , and 1122 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $445\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,65.5\right.$ ), 444 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3.9$ ), 403 (35.1), 305 (19.5), 273 (45.2), 191 (12.3), 173 (12.5), 149 (11.7), 139 (100), $123(12.0) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.92$ (m, 12H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.66-1.61 (m, 2H), $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $135.51,135.25,134.97,131.35,128.38,124.42$, $124.23,123.87,118.53,63.90,58.29,43.29,39.74,36.01,28.45$, $28.04,27.49,26.81,26.68,25.64,24.84,18.72,18.07,17.65$, $16.00,14.99$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 78.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.89$. Found: C, 78.22 ; H, 11.02.
3,7,11-Trimethyl-2(E),6(E),10-dodecatrienyl tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether (24). This was prepared in $95 \%$ yield by same procedure as described for 18. 24: IR (film) 1669 , 1110 , and $1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.31(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 0.07 (s, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 136.89, 135.16, $131.23,124.47,124.40,124.01,60.35,39.72,39.56,26.78$, $26.35,26.03,25.65,18.41,17.65,16.35,15.98,-5.04$.
10-Bromo-11-hydroxy-3,7,11-trimethyl-2(E),6(E)-dodecadienyl tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether (25). To a vigorously stirred solution of 24 ( $3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 9.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 200 mL ) and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 9.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a period of 30 min . The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then THF was removed in vacuo. The mixture was extracted with $10 \%$ ether/hexane mixture (4 $\times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane ( $15 / 85$ ) as eluant afforded unreacted TBS ether (24) (1.53 g) and $25(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ yield): IR (film) 3452,1668 , and $1064 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{ppm}) 5.30(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (dd, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.03 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.064(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$, ppm) 136.63, 133.29 , $125.73,124.63,72.45,70.79,60.35,39.41,38.17,32.17,26.63$, $26.30,26.03,25.89,18.43,16.35,15.85,-5.03$.
10,11-Epoxy-3,7,11-trimethyl-2 ( $E$ ), $6(E)$-dodecadienyl tert-Butyldimethylsilyl Ether (26). To a solution of 25 (1.09 $\mathrm{g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 30 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.693$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This mixture was stirred for 1 h after which time most methanol was removed in vacuo. The resulting slurry was then diluted with water ( 20 mL ), and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Standard workup followed by chromatography using ethyl acetate/ hexane ( $1 / 9$ ) as eluant gave 26 ( $0.861 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ yield): IR (film) $1668,1253,1110,1065$, and $835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $5.30(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1,25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.046$ (s, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 136.70,134.27,124.64,124.55$, $64.16,60.30,58.23,39.44,36.30,27.48,26.31,26.01,24.86$, $18.73,18.40,16.33,15.97,-5.06$.

10,11-Epoxy-3,7,11-trimethyl-2(E),6(E)-dodecadien-1-ol (27). This was prepared by the same procedure as described for 20 . Flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (6/4) as eluant gave 27 in $91 \%$ yield as a colorless liquid: IR (film) 3424, 1642, 1249, 1120, and 836 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $\mathrm{m} / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $239\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,1.6\right)$, 221 (100.0), 203 (59.3), 186 (18.0), 153 (45.9), 135 (35.1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.40(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), $1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) 139.17, 134.36, $124.55,123.77,64.15,59.29,58.30,39.40,36.33,27.34,26.20$, 24.83 , 18.75, 16.20, 15.96.

1-Chloro-10,11-epoxy-3,7,11-trimethyl-2(E),6(E)-dodecadiene (28). This was obtained by the same procedure as described for 14. Flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (15/85) as eluant gave 28 in $79 \%$ yield: IR (film) $1662,1252,874$, and $678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $257\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,88\right), 239(53.2), 221(100), 203(47.3)$, 153 (43.2), 135 (36.9); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.43(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.71 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $142.51,134.76,124.09,120.52,64.12,58.20,41.01,39.34$, $36.31,27.49,26.11,24.88,18.75,16.04$; HRMS $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClO} 256.1594$, found 256.1598.

10,11-Epoxy-3,7,11-trimethyl-2(E),6(E)-dodecadienyl 4-Methylbenzenethiosulfonate (29). This was prepared in $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ yield by same procedure as described for 15. For 29: IR (film) $1659,1594,1326,1142$, and $813 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,3.5\right), 222$ (6.7), 201 (3.1), $157(100), 155(6.1), 141(15.8), 127(10.5) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32-7.84\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ); ${ }^{3}{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ppm) 144.51, 143.32, 142.44, 134.78, $129.73,127.04,124.00,115.47,64.10,58.20,39.34,36.30$, 34.22, 27.52, 26.07, 24.88, 21.57, 18.76, 16.24, 16.01; HRMS
$m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 408.1792$, found: 408.1786. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, $64.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.89$. Found: C, $64.84 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.78.

1-Iodo-2,6,10-trimethyl-1 $(E), 5(E), 9$-undecatriene (33). This was prepared by the same procedure as described for 17 except $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ and 32 were used in a $2: 1$ molar ratio. Flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane (1/9) as eluant gave 33 in $81 \%$ yield: CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $319\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,3.9\right), 263(2.6), 249$ (1.9), $235(2.4), 192$ (16.2), 191 (100), 178 (1.6), 163 (1.8); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 147.81$, 136.07 , 131.37, 124.26, 123.00, 74.65, 39.68, 39.50, 26.73, 26.31, 25.66, 23.94, 17.68, 16.00.
(14E)-13-Thia-2,3-oxidosqualene (34). This was prepared in $28 \%$ yield by the same procedure as described for 19. For 34: IR (film) 1663, 1248, and $1122 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $m / z$ (isobutane, rel intensity) $445\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,48.4\right), 237$ (11.5), 221 (100), 204 (10.3), 191 (44.6), 153 (28.5), 135 (22.6); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 5.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ (s, 3H), $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 138.71,137.38,135.44$, 134.42, 131.26, 124.52, 124.38, 123.77, 120.57, 117.62, 64.15, $58.20,39.72,39.56,39.38,36.33,31.50,27.53,26.81,26.54$, $25.63,24.88,18.75,18.07,17.65,16.17,16.00$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{OS} 444.3426$, found 444.3425. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 78.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.88$. Found: C, $78.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.79$.
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