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#### Abstract

An end-on azido-bridged trinuclear cobalt(III) complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{1,1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OMe})(\mathrm{MeOH})\right]$ (I) and a phenolato-bridged dinuclear copper(II) complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NCS}_{2}\right]\right.$ (II), where $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ is the deprotonated form of 2-((2-(dimethylamino)ethylimino)methyl)-4-fluorophenol, and $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ is the deprotonated form of 2-((3-(dimethylamino)propylimino)methyl)-4-fluorophenol, have been prepared and characterized by elemental analyses, IR and UV-Vis spectra, and single crystal X-ray diffraction (CIF files CCDC nos. 1023376 (I); 1023377 (II)). The Co atoms in complex I are in octahedral coordination, and the Cu atoms in complex II are in square pyramidal coordination. The antibacterial properties have been tested on some bacteria and yeast.
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## INTRODUCTION

Schiff bases have been extensively used as ligands to construct complexes with various metal ions. To date, numerous Schiff bases and their complexes have been prepared and studied their properties, such as magnetic [1-5], catalytic [6-10], luminescence [11], as well as biological applications [12-15]. Considerable attention has been focused on the polynuclear transition metal complexes due to their wide applications in magnetic fields [16-19]. The preferred way to construct polynuclear complexes is the
use of suitable bridging groups, such as $\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{NCS}^{-}$, $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}^{-}$, dicarboxylate, 4,4'-Bipy, etc. [20-25]. Among the bridging groups, azide anions have the most versatile coordination modes, including $\mu_{1,1^{-}}$ (end-on, EO), $\mu_{1,3^{-}}$(end-to-end, EE), $\mu_{1,1,3^{-}}, \mu_{1,1,3,3^{-}}$, etc. As for the thiocyanate anions, the coordination modes are much simpler than azide anions, which usually adopt $\mu_{1,1^{-}}$and $\mu_{1,3}$-bridging modes. Schiff bases are among the most popular ligands in coordination chemistry. Polynuclear complexes with Schiff bases exhibit interesting magnetic properties [17, 18, $26-30]$. Tridentate Schiff bases with phenolate-O, imino-N, and amino-N donor atoms can block three coordination sites at the metal atoms, leaving the

[^0]others available for the interaction with various other ligands, such as azide or thiocyanate. In the present work, the syntheses and structures of an end-on azido-bridged trinuclear cobalt(III) complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{1}\right)_{2}\left(\mu_{1,1}-\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OMe})(\mathrm{MeOH})\right](\mathrm{I})$, and a phenolato-bridged dinuclear copper(II) complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}\right]$ (II), where $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ is the deprotonated form of 2-((2-(dimethylamino)ethylimino)methyl)-4-fluorophenol and $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ is the deprotonated form of 2-((3-(dimethylamino)propylimino)methyl)-4-fluorophenol, are presented.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and methods. Starting materials, reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a Jasco FT/IR-4000 spectrometer as KBr pellets in the $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. UV-Vis spectrum was recorded on a Lambda 900 spectrometer. Thermal stability analysis was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Pyris Diamond TG-DTA thermal analyses system. Single crystal X-ray diffraction was carried out on a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer.

Caution! Although our samples never exploded during handling, metal azide complexes are poten-
tially explosive. Only a small amount of material should be prepared and it should be handled with care.

Synthesis of I. 5-Fluorosalicylaldehyde ( 1.40 g , 0.01 mol ) and $N, N$-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine $(0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ were mixed in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min to give a yellow solution. To the solution was added an aqueous solution ( 10 mL ) of sodium azide $(1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ and a methanol solution $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ of cobalt chloride hexahydrate ( $4.76 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was further stirred for 30 min to give a brown solution. Single crystals of the complex, suitable for X-ray diffraction, were obtained after a week. The yield was 1.78 g ( $39 \%$ ) on the basis of 5-fluorosalicylaldehyde.

IR data ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3506 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{OH}), 2057 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mu_{1,1}-\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)$, $2019 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 1636 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1552 \mathrm{w}, 1469 \mathrm{~m}, 1392 \mathrm{w}$, $1296 \mathrm{~m}, 1147 \mathrm{w}, 1073 \mathrm{w}, 965 \mathrm{w}, 907 \mathrm{w}, 871 \mathrm{w}, 822 \mathrm{w}$, 778 w, $478 \mathrm{w}, 434 \mathrm{w} . \operatorname{UV}\left(\lambda, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right)$ : $250\left(1.67 \times 10^{4}\right)$, $339\left(6.08 \times 10^{3}\right), 407\left(3.98 \times 10^{3}\right)$, $500\left(1.99 \times 10^{3}\right)$.

For $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{3}$
anal. calcd., \%
C, 31.7
H, 3.9
N, 33.8
Found, \%
C, 31.5
H, 4.0
N, 34.0

Synthesis of II. 5-Fluorosalicylaldehyde ( 1.40 g , 0.01 mol ) and $N, N$-dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine $(0.102 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ were mixed in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min to give a yellow solution. To the solution was added an aqueous solution ( 10 mL ) of ammonium thiocyanate $(2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ and a methanol solution $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ of copper acetate monohydrate ( 3.98 g , $0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$. The mixture was further stirred for 30 min to give a blue solution. Single crystals of the complex, suitable for X-ray diffraction, were obtained after a week. The yield was $1.53 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%)$ on the basis of 5-fluorosalicylaldehyde.

IR data ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $2098 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{NCS}), 1632 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, $1553 \mathrm{~m}, 1465 \mathrm{~s}, 1386 \mathrm{w}, 1287 \mathrm{~m}, 1245 \mathrm{w}, 1213 \mathrm{w}$, 1145 w, 1056 w, 1010 w, 957 w, 872 w, 821 w, 795 m, $554 \mathrm{w}, 518 \mathrm{w}, 450 \mathrm{w} . \operatorname{UV}\left(\lambda, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right)$ : $240\left(2.16 \times 10^{4}\right), 269\left(1.54 \times 10^{4}\right), 305\left(6.30 \times 10^{3}\right)$, $385\left(7.28 \times 10^{3}\right)$.

For $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$

| anal. calcd., $\%$ | C, 45.3 | H, 4.7 | N, 12.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Found, $\%$ | C, 45.1 | H, 4.6 | N, 12.3 |

X-ray crystallography. Single crystal X-ray data for the complexes were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using the SMART/SAINT software [31]. Intensity data were collected using graphite-monochromatized $\mathrm{Mo} K_{\alpha}$ radiation $(0.71073 \AA)$ at $298(2) \mathrm{K}$. The structures were
solved by direct methods using SHELX-97 [32]. Empirical absorption corrections were applied with SADABS [33]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement coefficients. The methanol H atom of complex I was located from a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically, with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distance restrained to $0.85 \AA$. The remaining hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon and nitrogen were included in geometric positions and given thermal parameters equivalent to 1.2 times those of the atom to which they were attached. Crystallographic data and refinement parameters are given in Table 1, and important interatomic distances and angles are given in Table 2.

Supplementary material for structures have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC nos. 1023376 (I); 1023377 (II); deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or http://www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk).

Biological assay. The antibacterial property of the complexes was evaluated by a macro-dilution method using Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and the yeasts Candida parapsilosis. The cultures of bacteria and yeasts were incubated under vigorous shaking. The compounds were dissolved in small amount of DMSO. Concentration of the tested compounds ranging from 0.01 to $2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ for the bacteria and yeasts was used in all experiments. The antibacterial activity was characterized by $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and MIC values. MIC experiments on subculture dishes were used to assess the minimal microbicidal concentration (MMC). Subcultures were prepared separately in Petri dishes containing competent agar medium and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The MMC value was taken as the lowest concentration, which showed no visible growth of microbial colonies in the subculture dishes.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The molecular structure of complex $\mathbf{I}$ is shown in Fig. 1a. The complex is an end-on azido-bridged trinuclear cobalt(III) species. The $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ atom is hexacoordinated with an octahedral geometry, the axial positions being occupied by one methanol $\mathrm{O}(3)$ atom and one azido $\mathrm{N}(8)$ atom. The equatorial plane is defined by three azido atoms $\mathrm{N}(5), \mathrm{N}(11), \mathrm{N}(14)$ and one deprotonated methanol $\mathrm{O}(4)$ atom. Both $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ atoms are also hexa-coordinated with an octahedral geometry, the axial positions being occupied by one bridging azido $\mathrm{N}(5)$ atom for $\mathrm{Co}(2), \mathrm{N}(11)$ for $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ and one terminal azido $\mathrm{N}(17)$ atom for $\mathrm{Co}(2), \mathrm{N}(20)$ for $\mathrm{Co}(3)$. The equatorial planes are defined by three donor atoms of the Schiff base ligands and the other bridging azido N atoms. The distances of $\mathrm{Co}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Co}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Co}(3)$ are about $3.26 \AA$. The axial band angles of the octahedral coordination are vary from $170.1(4)^{\circ}$ to $172.1(5)^{\circ}$, indicating the octahedral coordination are distorted. This is mainly caused by the strain created by the four-membered

Table 1. Crystallographic data and refinement parameters for complexes I and II

| Parameter | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II |
| Fw | 910.5 | 689.8 |
| Crystal shape; colour | Block; brown | Block; blue |
| Crystal size, mm | $0.17 \times 0.13 \times 0.12$ | $0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$ |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Triclinic |
| Space group | Pca2 ${ }_{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| $a, ~ \AA$ | 15.285(2) | 11.2952(7) |
| $b, \AA$ | 8.330(1) | 12.0782(7) |
| $c, \AA$ | 28.737(2) | 12.1290(7) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 75.379(2) |
| $\beta$, deg | 90 | 66.515(2) |
| $\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$ | 90 | 84.690(2) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 3658.8(7) | 1468.4(2) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 |
| $\mu\left(\operatorname{Mo} K_{\alpha}\right), \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 1.420 | 1.638 |
| $T_{\text {min }} / T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.7943/0.8480 | 0.7044/0.7353 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.653 | 1.560 |
| Collected reflections | 5467 | 6043 |
| Unique reflections | 4239 | 4776 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0701 | 0.0293 |
| Restraints/parameters | 38/506 | 0/365 |
| GOOF | 1.093 | 1.043 |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}(I \geq 2 \sigma(I))$ | 0.0757, 0.1813 | 0.0403, 0.0887 |
| $R_{1}, w R_{2}$ (all data) | 0.1015, 0.1928 | 0.0566, 0.0975 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\max } / \Delta \rho_{\min }, e \AA^{-3}$ | 1.279/-0.748 | 0.997/-0.575 |

chelate rings $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(1)-$ $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{N}(14)$. The coordinate bond lengths in the complex are comparable to those observed in the azido-bridged cobalt(III) complexes with Schiff bases [34-36]. The azido ligands are nearly linear, with NNN angles of $173.9(15)^{\circ}-179.0(14)^{\circ}$.

The molecular structure of complex II is shown in Fig. 1b. The complex is a phenolate-bridged dinuclear copper(II) species. Molecule of the complex possesses a crystallographic inversion center symmetry, with the $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ distances of $3.13 \AA$. Each Cu atom is pentacoordinated with a square pyramidal geometry, the apical position being occupied by one phenolate O atom. The basal plane is defined by three donor atoms of the Schiff base ligand and one thiocyanate N atom. The distortion of the square pyramidal coordination can be observed by the bond angles among the apical and basal donor atoms, varying from $84.8(1)^{\circ}$ to 103.2(1) ${ }^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ and from $86.7(1)^{\circ}$ to $102.8(1)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$. The distortion is mainly arising from the strain created by the four-membered chelate rings $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-$
$\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}(1 A)-\mathrm{O}(1 A)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}(2 A)-$ $\mathrm{O}(2 A)$ (symmetry code for $A:-x,-y, 1-z$ ). The coordinate bond lengths in the complex are comparable to those observed in the phenoalte-bridged copper(II) complexes with Schiff bases [37-39].

The medium and broad absorption centered at $3506 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the spectrum of complex I substantiates the presence of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ groups. The intense bands indicative of the azide vibrations of I are observed at 2057 and $2019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating there exist two different azide groups [40]. The intense band indicative of the thiocyanate vibrations of $\mathbf{I I}$ is observed at $2098 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [41]. The strong absorption bands at $1636 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for complex I and $1632 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for complex II are assigned to the azomethine groups, $v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$ [42].

The electronic spectra of complexes I and II measured in methanol are shown in Fig. 2. The intense bands observed at about $230-280 \mathrm{~nm}$ for the complexes are assigned to intraligand $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transitions. The complexes displayed bands centered in the range

Table 2. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg) for complexes I and II*

| Bond | $d, \AA$ | Bond | $d, \AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.067(9) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2.086(9) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 2.096(12) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 2.123(10) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 2.219(10) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.223(10) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.878(11) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.905(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(17)$ | 1.946(11) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(8)$ | 1.995(10) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.022(11) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.040(11) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.885(12) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.901(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{N}(20)$ | 1.929(13) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{N}(14)$ | 1.985(10) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 1.989(10) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 2.028(9) |
| II |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 1.959(3) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.9659(19) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.987(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 2.098(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 2.266(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $1.955(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.963(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.983(2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 2.092(2) | $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 2.328(2) |
| Angle | $\omega$, deg | Angle | $\omega$, deg |
| I |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{O}(3)$ | 86.5(3) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 170.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 87.8(4) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 88.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 170.1(4) | $\mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 98.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 99.6(4) | $\mathrm{O}(3) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 85.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 72.0(4) | $\mathrm{N}(8) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 104.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 83.6(4) | $\mathrm{O}(3) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 98.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 105.0(4) | $\mathrm{N}(8) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 72.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{Co}(1) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 175.7(3) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 93.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(17)$ | 91.3(6) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(17)$ | 90.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 176.3(5) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 87.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(17) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(8)$ | 92.2(5) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 96.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 87.6(4) | $\mathrm{N}(17) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 172.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(5)$ | 79.9(4) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 87.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 179.1(4) | $\mathrm{N}(17) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 88.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(8) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 91.8(4) | $\mathrm{N}(5) \mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 93.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 92.8(4) | $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(20)$ | 90.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(20)$ | 90.0(5) | $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 176.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 86.7(4) | $\mathrm{N}(20) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(14)$ | 92.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 97.0(5) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 88.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(20) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 172.1(5) | $\mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 79.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 86.8(5) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 176.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(20) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 86.6(5) | $\mathrm{N}(14) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 93.9(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{Co}(3) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 94.5(4) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(5) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 86.20(10) | $\mathrm{N}(5) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{N}(1)$ | 162.81(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{N}(1)$ | 88.28(9) | $\mathrm{N}(5) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 90.50(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 172.97(9) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{N}(2)$ | 93.12(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 103.22(11) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 84.84(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 92.46(9) | $\mathrm{N}(2) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 101.96(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 87.13(11) | $\mathrm{N}(6) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(3)$ | 168.33(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(3)$ | 88.05(9) | $\mathrm{N}(6) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 90.95(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 170.48(9) | $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(4)$ | 92.06(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 100.03(11) | $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 86.70(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 90.29(9) | $\mathrm{N}(4) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 102.82(9) |

[^1](a)

(b)


Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecular structure of complexes I (a) and II (b) with the atom labeling scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level. The carbon hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Atoms labelled with the suffix $A$ or unlabelled are at the symmetry position $-x,-y, 1-z$.


Fig. 2. UV spectrum of complexes I (a) and II (b).
$300-350 \mathrm{~nm}$, which can be assigned to the $n-\pi^{*}$ transition. The charge transfer LMCT bands are located in the range of $380-500 \mathrm{~nm}$.

Differential thermal (DT) and thermal gravimetric (TG) analyses were conducted to examine the stability of the complexes. For complex I (Fig. 3a), it decomposed from $139^{\circ}$ to $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The observed weight loss of $75.8 \%$ is close to the calculated value of $75.3 \%$ with
(a)

(b)


Fig. 3. DT-TG curves of complexes I (a) and II (b).

CoO as the final product. For complex II (Fig. 3b), it decomposed from $160^{\circ}$ to $725^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The observed weight loss of $77.3 \%$ is close to the calculated value of $77.0 \%$ with CuO as the final product.

The antibacterial results are summarized in Table 3. The Schiff bases show strong activity against S. aureus, and medium activity against $E$. coli and C. parapsilosis. The cobalt and copper complexes show

Table 3. Antibacterial property of the Schiff bases and complexes I and II

| Compound | S. aureus |  | E. coli |  | C. parapsilosis |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{*}$ | $\mathrm{MIC}^{*}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | MIC | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | MIC |
| $\mathrm{HL}^{1}$ | 0.56 | $>2.5$ | 1.35 | $>2.5$ | 3.72 | $>2.5$ |
| $\mathrm{HL}^{2}$ | 0.71 | $>2.5$ | 1.63 | $>2.5$ | 2.81 | $>2.5$ |
| I | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 1.03 | 1.12 | $>2.5$ |
| II | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 1.81 |

[^2]more effective activity against $S$. aureus, $E$. coli and C. parapsilosis than the Schiff bases. It is obvious that the copper complex is more effective than the cobalt complex for the bacteria. The copper complex has the most activity against $S$. aureus with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and MIC values of 0.17 and $0.28 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, which deserve further study.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The article is published in the original.

[^1]:    * Symmetry code: (A) $-x,-y, 1-z$.

[^2]:    * $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$.

