This article was downloaded by: [North Carolina State University] On: 28 February 2015, At: 00:10 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gtec20

# Phototransformation of resorcinol induced by excitation of nitrite and nitrate ions. I: Nitrite ions

F. Machado<sup>a</sup> & P. Boule<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Photochimie Moléculaire et Macromoléculaire, URA CNRS, 433 Université Blaise Pascal (Clermont-Ferrand), Aubière cedex, 63177, France Published online: 19 Sep 2008.

To cite this article: F. Machado & P. Boule (1994) Phototransformation of resorcinol induced by excitation of nitrite and nitrate ions. I: Nitrite ions, Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry, 42:3-4, 155-164, DOI: <u>10.1080/02772249409357999</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772249409357999

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

## PHOTOTRANSFORMATION OF RESORCINOL INDUCED BY EXCITATION OF NITRITE AND NITRATE IONS. I: NITRITE IONS

#### F. MACHADO and P. BOULE

Laboratoire de Photochimie Moléculaire et Macromoléculaire, URA CNRS 433 Université Blaise Pascal (Clermont-Ferrand) 63177 Aubière cedex, France

(Received 28 October 1992)

The excitation of nitrite ions in the presence of resorcinol (I) leads to the formation of 4-nitrosoresorcinol (II) as the major photoproduct. The reaction is inhibited by formate ions, but this inhibition is much more efficient in degassed solution than in air-saturated medium. In the absence of formate ions, the transformation is not affected by the presence of oxygen. From the influence of oxygen and formate ions, it is concluded that the formation of II results from a reaction of I with  $N_2O_3$ . Dinitrogen trioxide is formed by oxidation of nitrite ions by hydroxyl radicals resulting from the photolysis of nitrite ions:

 $NO_{2}^{-*} \xrightarrow{H_{2O}} NO^{+} \cdot OH + OH^{-}$  $OH + NO_{2}^{-} \xrightarrow{} NO_{2}^{+} + OH^{-}$  $NO_{2}^{+} + NO^{-} \xrightarrow{} N_{2}O_{3}$  $N_{2}O_{3} + I \xrightarrow{} II + NO_{2}^{-} + H^{+}$ 

KEY WORDS: Photoreactions, phenolic compounds, resorcinol, nitrite ions

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Nitrate ions are often present in natural water. Their excitation in sunlight (maximum absorption at 302 nm) leads to the formation of nitrite ions and hydroxyl radicals<sup>1</sup>. Nitrite ions absorb a larger part of solar energy than nitrate ions since their molar extinction coefficient at 352 nm is about three times higher than that of nitrate ions at 302 nm. Their photolysis leads also to the formation of hydroxyl radicals. Thus the excitation of nitrite and nitrate ions have a depolluting influence since hydroxyl radicals are able to oxidize most of the organic pollutants present in the aquatic medium<sup>2-4</sup>. But it was previously observed that the irradiation of aqueous solutions containing organic compounds and nitrite or nitrate ions also leads to the formation of nutagens was observed<sup>9,10</sup>. With aromatic compounds, the orientation of the reaction depends on the substitution on the ring since only hydroxylations were observed with chlorophenols and nitrophenols<sup>11,12</sup>, whereas nitration and nitrosation compete with oxidation in the case of phenol<sup>7</sup>.

It is, therefore, important to study the mechanisms of the reactions involved and to determine the influence of various parameters such as pH, concentration and substitution of the ring (in the case of aromatic substrates) on the orientation of the reaction. It is commonly admitted that the oxidations involve hydroxyl radicals, but till now the mechanisms of photonitrations and photonitrosations have not been elucidated.

The reactions induced by excitation of nitrite ions are first considered because they are less complex than the reactions induced by nitrate ions in which they are involved as secondary reactions. The knowledge of reactions induced by nitrite ions is thus useful to understand the reactions induced by nitrate ions which will be studied later on. Resorcinol was chosen as the substrate because it has many industrial applications, and its induced phototransformation by nitrate or nitrite ions involve several pathways, as it was previously observed with phenol. The aim of the present work is to analyse the photoproducts formed in the induced phototransformation and to determine the influence of oxygen, nitrogen oxides, pH and hydroxyl radicals quenchers to contribute to a better understanding of the reactions involved.

#### Notations



#### **2 EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

#### 2.1 Chemicals

Resorcinol (I) was provided by Merck (pro analysi grade >99%) and used without further purification.

4-nitrosoresorcinol (II) was obtained from the reaction of resorcinol with isopentyl nitrite according to<sup>13</sup>.

2,4-dinitrosoresorcinol (III) was prepared from reaction of resorcinol with sodium nitrite (1:2 mol/mol). It was controlled by mass spectrometry (m/z = 168) and NMR  $\delta$  ppm 6.58 [9] and 8.08 [d]).

#### 2.2 Irradiations

For analytical or preparative purposes, solutions were irradiated in polychromatic light using Duke Sun Lamps (20 W). The reactor was in pyrex to limit the irradiation range to 300–340 nm. In these conditions, the direct phototransformation of re-



Figure 1 Device used to study the influence of NO' on resorcinol.

sorcinol was negligible compared to the induced phototransformation. For determinations of quantum yields solutions were irradiated in monochromatic parallel beam at 313 or 366 nm.

The incident photon flow was evaluated using potassium ferrioxalate as actinometer. The values obtained were  $1.9 \times 10^{15}$  and  $0.43 \times 10^{15}$  photons cm<sup>-2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup> at 366 nm and at 313 nm respectively.

UV spectra were recorded on CARY 118C or CARY 3 instruments.

In most of the cases, specially for mass balances, solutions were buffered between pH = 7.0 and pH = 7.5 with phosphates, to eliminate the influence of nitrous acid (pKa = 3.37).

#### 2.3 Analyses

HPLC analyses were carried out on Beckman chromatograph (UV detection) or on Waters chromatograph equipped with a photodiode array detector. In any case, a classical  $C_{18}$  (250 × 4 mm) column was used.

#### 2.4 Reaction of resorcinol with nitrogen monoxide

A special device was used to study the behaviour of resorcinol in the presence of NO<sup>•</sup> (Figure 1).

The part A was filled with NO<sup>•</sup> gas provided by the company Alpha Gaz. A solution of resorcinol (pH = 7.2) was introduced and deoxygenated in the part B. The stopcock S<sub>1</sub> was opened and the solution was stirred during 48 hours. The unreacted NO<sup>•</sup> was eliminated by argon flow and the solution was analysed by HPLC.

#### **3 PHOTOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF NITRITE IONS**

The maximum of the UV absorption band of  $NO_2^-$  is located at 352 nm and the corresponding molecular extinction coefficient was evaluated to be 22 M<sup>-1</sup> · cm<sup>-1</sup>.

λ (nm)	Φ	Ref.	λ (nm)	Φ	Ref.
298.5	0.07 + 0.01	16	254	0.11 + 0.01	12
337.1	0.07 + 0.01	16	296	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	12
354.6	0.025 + 0.005	16	313	0.07 + 0.01	12
371.1	0.015 + 0.005	16	334	$0.050 \pm 0.008$	12
308	0.07 + 0.01	17	365	0.020 + 0.005	12
351	$0.046 \pm 0.009$	17		—	

Table 1 Quantum yield of photolysis of  $NO_2^-$  at various wavelengths

It is well known that the excitation of nitrite ions in aqueous solution leads to the formation of hydroxyl radicals and nitrogen monoxide<sup>14,15</sup>.

$$NO_2^{-*} \longrightarrow NO^{-} + O^{-} \qquad 1$$
$$O^{-} + H^+ \longrightarrow OH \qquad 2$$

It was independently shown by several teams that the quantum yield of the photolysis  $\phi$  increases with decreasing wavelengths as it appears in Table 1.

This wavelength effect was attributed to the existence of two  $n \to \pi^*$  bands and one  $\pi \to \pi^*$  band in the UV spectrum<sup>16</sup>. This phenomenon does not appear with nitrous acid (pKa = 3.37). Its quantum yield of phototransformation is independent of the wavelength in the range 254–366 nm ( $\Phi = 0.45 \pm 0.10$ )<sup>12</sup>.

#### 4 RESULTS

#### 4.1 Dark reactions

#### 4.1a Nitrous acid

No reaction was observed in a solution of resorcinol  $(5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$  and nitrite ions  $(10^{-3} \text{ M})$  buffered at pH = 7.1, kept in the dark during 4 hours. In contrast at pH = 3.2, nitrosation occurs: 43% of resorcinol was converted after one hour.

In the induced phototransformation of resorcinol in air-saturated solution, no formation of trihydroxybenzene (THB) was observed, and only small amounts of 123-THB were detected in deoxygenated solution. To determine if this phenomenon can be attributed to an oxidation of THBs, their stabilities were controlled in our experimental conditions, i.e. at pH = 7.3 and in the presence of nitrite ions ( $10^{-3}$  M). In air-saturated medium, a solution of 124-THB  $10^{-3}$  M was 57% transformed in 45 minutes and a solution of 123-THB  $10^{-3}$  M was 15% transformed in 70 minutes. In deoxygenated solution, 124-THB was 9% transformed whereas the solution of 123-THB was unchanged after 70 minutes. Thus the oxidation of THBs can explain their absence only in aerated solutions.

#### 4.1b Nitrogen oxides

The device described in Section 2.4 was used to study the behaviour of I with NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup>. Only low percentages of I and NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> were consumed after 48 hours. Small amounts of II and traces of nitroresorcinol (III) were formed. It was concluded that pure NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> does not react with resorcinol. The limited reaction observed was attributed to traces of NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> contained in NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> (or residual traces of oxygen in the reactor).

To study the reaction of NO<sub>2</sub>, about 1 ml of NO<sub>2</sub> (gas) was injected in a solution of resorcinol  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  M (10 ml) buffered at pH  $\simeq 7.2$ . The formation of II and 2,4-dinitrosoresorcinol (IV) was observed by HPLC analysis. III was also indentified, but no formation of 123-THB or 124-THB was observed. The nitrosation and nitration are attributed to reactions of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> that compete with its disproportionation into nitrite and nitrate ions.

 $2NO_{2}^{2} \longrightarrow N_{2}O_{4} \qquad 3 \qquad k_{3} = 4.5 \times 10^{8} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{}^{20}$  $N_{2}O_{4} + H_{2}O \longrightarrow NO_{3}^{-} + NO_{2}^{-} + 2\text{H}^{+} \qquad 4 \qquad k_{4} = 10^{3} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{}^{20}$ 



A minor reaction of nitrous acid cannot be excluded because of the inhomogeneity of the pH during the dissolution of  $NO_2^*$ .

#### 4.2 Analytical and kinetic study of the induced phototransformation

Air saturated solutions of resorcinol  $(5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$  and sodium nitrite  $(5 \times 10^{-4}-5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$  buffered at pH = 7.2–7.3 were irradiated in the range 300–366 nm. In any case, the only primary photoproduct detected was 4-nitrosoresorcinol (II), but a minor formation of 2,4-dinitroresorcinol (IV) was also observed when the conversion rate overpassed c.a. 20%. The formation was attributed to a secondary reaction of II. Formation of 123-THB and 124-THB was not observed as it was in the phototransformation induced by excitation of nitrate ions<sup>18</sup>. The quantum yields of phototransformation of resorcinol (I) and of formation of II were evaluated by irradiating in monochromatic parallel beam at 366 and 313 nm for several concentrations of nitrite ions. It appears in Table 2 that the quantum yield of conversion of resorcinol is not significantly affected by the concentration of nitrite ions. This effect will be discussed later on.

Solutions of same concentrations were deoxygenated and irradiated in the same conditions. No influence of oxygen was observed for  $NO_2^- = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ . With  $NO_2^- = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ , the quantum yield was only slightly reduced by deoxygenation.

 Table 2
 Quantum yields of induced phototransformation of resorcinol and formation of 4-nitrosoresorcinol (II) at 366 nm evaluated by HPLC at 10% of conversion, in air saturated solution

[N0 <sup>-</sup> <sub>2</sub> ]	$\Phi_{\rm disp} \times 10^{-2}$	Yield of II	$\Phi_{\rm form} \ of \ II \times 10^{-2}$
$5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$ 10 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> M 50 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> M	$\begin{array}{c} 1.21 \pm 0.15 \\ 1.15 \pm 0.15 \\ 1.05 \pm 0.15 \end{array}$	0.44 0.65 0.89	$0.53 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.75 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.93 \pm 0.13$

Besides, a significant wavelength effect was observed by comparison of the quantum yields of phototransformation obtained at 366 and at 313 nm: the transformation was about twice as fast at 313 nm as at 366 nm. This effect is in good agreement with the wavelength effect observed in the photolysis of  $NO_2^-$  (Table 1).

#### 4.3 Influence of formate ions

Formate ions and ethanol were used as 'OH quenchers to determine the influence of hydroxyl radicals on the formation of nitrosoresorcinol, since formate ions can compete the reactions of nitrite ions and resorcinol with these radicals. The reaction of 'OH with phosphate ions (0.013 M) used to buffer the solution can be neglected.

•OH + I →	•••	7	$k_7 = 1.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$
$\cdot$ OH + NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> $\longrightarrow$	$NO_2 + OH^-$	8	$k_8 = 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{. s}^{-1}$
$OH + HCO_2^- \longrightarrow$	$CO_2^{-} + H_2O$	9	$k_9 = 3.2 \times 10^9 \mathrm{M^{-1}.s^{-1}}$
$OH + EtOH \longrightarrow$	$C_2H_4OH + H_2O$	10	$k_{10} = 1.9 \times 10^9 \mathrm{M^{-1}.\ s^{-1}}$
$OH + HPO_4^{2-} \longrightarrow$	•••	11	$k_{11} = 1.5 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{. s}^{-1}$

From the values of rate constants given in literature<sup>19</sup>, the quenching of 'OH radicals by formate ions  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M and by EtOH 0.17 M can be evaluated to be 91% and 95% respectively. The kinetic evolutions in the presence of formate ions and in the absence of 'OH quencher are compared in Figure 2. It appears in Table 3 that formate ions have much more influence on the formation of product II in deoxygenated solution than in air-saturated medium.

#### 5 DISCUSSION AND MECHANISM

It could be expected that 4-nitrosoresorcinol is formed by reaction of NO<sup>•</sup> with a radical resulting from the oxidation of resorcinol by hydroxyl radicals according to:





Figure 2 Kinetic study of a solution of resorcinol (5 ×  $10^{-4}$  M) and sodium nitrite ( $10^{-3}$  M) buffered at pH = 7.3, irradiated in the absence of formate ions (——) and in the presence of formate ions 5 x  $10^{-2}$  M (---).

$$\begin{array}{c} R_1 + \text{NO}^{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{-\text{H}_2\text{O}} \\ R_2 + \text{NO}^{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{-\text{H}_2\text{O}} \end{array} \right\} \text{II}$$

But this mechanism can be ruled out for several reasons:

i) In the absence of OH<sup>•</sup> quencher, the formation of II is only slightly affected by oxygen. Thus it might be admitted that the oxidations of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  do not compete with their reaction with NO<sup>•</sup>.

ii) In air-saturated solution, NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> can be oxidized into NO<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> or N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. It might be supposed that this oxidation does not induce the formation of nitroresorcinol.

iii) This mechanism cannot explain the influence of oxygen in the presence of formate ions.

iv) In air-saturated solution, the formation of 4-nitrosoresorcinol is about 60% inhibited by formate ions (5  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> M) whereas hydroxyl radicals are more than 90% quenched, as it can be calculated using the rate constants given in Section 4.3.

A reaction of resorcinol with nitrogen monoxide was experimentally excluded and a nitrosation by nitrous acid cannot explain the observed reaction at pH > 7.

On the other hand, the reaction can be related to the formation of nitrogen dioxide, because resorcinol is converted into nitroso derivative only if NO<sub>2</sub>, and consequently  $N_2O_3$ , can be formed in the solution. The following mechanism in which the nitrosation of resorcinol competes with hydrolysis of  $N_2O_3$  is suggested:

In the absence of formate ions, the influence of  $NO_2^-$  concentration on the yield of II can be explained by the competition between reactions 7 and 8. Assuming that the formation of II from  $NO_2^-$  is quantitative and that the reaction 7 leads to the disappearance of one molecule of resorcinol, the yield of II is given by:

$y = \frac{k_8[\text{NO}_2^-]}{k_8[\text{NO}_2^-] + k_7[\text{I}]}$	$[NO_2^-](10^{-4} \text{ M})$	5	10	50
	y	0.44	0.62	0.89

The values of y deduced from the values of  $k_7$  and  $k_8$  given in literature are in very good agreement with the experimental results given in Table 2. It can be deduced that the hydrolysis of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (reaction 14) is not a major pathway compared to the nitrosation (reaction 13). According to this mechanism, no effect of oxygen is expected. However a slight inhibition was observed. It may be attributed to a minor formation of a complex NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-...</sup> resorcinol, as it is suggested in the phototransformation induced by excitation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. But in the present work, the effect of oxygen is not important enough to assert this pathway.

In the presence of formate ions  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M, more than 90% of the OH react with these ions; nevertheless, in air-saturated solution, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> can be formed by

		Formation of II (10 <sup>-s</sup> M)
	Aerated	6.1
without OH quencher	Deoxygenated	7.0
Eormata iona 5 y 10-2 M	Aerated	2.3
	Deoxygenated	0.27
EtOH 0.17 M	Deoxygenated	0.32

**Table 3** Influence of formate ions and ethanol on the formation of 4nitrosoresorcinol (II) in a solution of resorcinol  $(5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$  and NaNO<sub>2</sub>  $(10^{-3} \text{ M})$  irradiated during two hours at 313 nm

oxidation of NO<sup>•</sup> by  $O_2$  or by  $H_2O_2$  resulting from the quenching of <sup>•</sup>OH:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} HCO_{2}^{-} + OH & \longrightarrow & CO_{2}^{--} + H_{2}O & 9 \\ CO_{2}^{-} + O_{2} & \longrightarrow & CO_{2} + O_{2}^{--} & 16 & k_{15} = 2.4 \times 10^{9} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{. s}^{-1} \ ^{23} \\ HO_{2}^{-} & \bigoplus & O_{2}^{--} + H^{+} & 17 & pKa = 4.8^{23} \\ HO_{2}^{+} + O_{2}^{+} + H^{+} & \longrightarrow & H_{2}O_{2} + O_{2} & 18 \end{array}$$

In deoxygenated solutions, the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> is drastically reduced. From the values of  $k_7$ - $k_{10}$  given in reference 19, the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> can be evaluated to be 21-times lower in the presence of EtOH 0.17 M and 11-times lower in the presence of formate ion  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M, than in the absence of 'OH quencher. In the case of EtOH, the calculated value is in good agreement with experimental results presented in Table 3. With formate ions, the observed inhibition is about twice higher than the calculated one. This phenomenon can tentatively be explained by a reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> by CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, that increases the inhibiting effect.

An alternative mechanism should be suggested for the formation of 4-nitrosoresorcinol (II): a reaction of  $N_2O_4$  (or two  $NO_2^*$ ) with resorcinol, since product II can be obtained by excitation of nitrate ions in the presence of resorcinol<sup>18</sup>. But this reaction also leads to 4-nitroresorcinol, and, in the present work, the formation of this product was not observed. Besides, the formation of  $N_2O_4$  is less probable than the formation of  $N_2O_3$ , because  $NO_2^*$  is formed from NO<sup>\*</sup> and the rate constant of formation of  $N_2O_4$  ( $k_3 = 4.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) is lower than the rate constant of  $N_2O_3$  ( $k_{12} = 1.1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ). Thus the formation of II from  $N_2O_4$  is most likely a minor pathway.

#### 6 CONCLUSIONS

4-nitrosoresorcinol is the main product formed in the phototransformation of resorcinol induced by excitation of nitrite ions. The specificity of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of  $NO_2^-$ .

The quantum yield of the nitrosation is wavelength dependent.

The transformation does not involve a phenoxyl radical, but results from a reaction of a nitrogen oxide (most probably  $N_2O_3$ ) on resorcinol.

#### References

- 1. M. Daniels, R. V. Meyers, and E. V. Belardo, J. Phys. Chem. 72, 389 (1968).
- 2. D. Kotzias, M. Parlar, and F. Korte, Naturwissenschaften 69, 444 (1982).
- 3. H. Russi, D. Kotzias, and F. Korte, Chemosphere 11, 1041 (1982).
- 4. R. G. Zepp, J. Hoigné, and H. Bader, Environ. Sci. and Tech. 21, 443 (1987).
- 5. T. Ohta, J. Suzuki, Y. Iwano, and S. Suzuki, Chemosphere 11, 797 (1982).
- 6. N. J. Bunce, S. R. Cater, and J. M. Willson, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II, 2013 (1985).
- 7. R. Niessen, D. Lenoir, and P. Boule, Chemosphere 17, 1977 (1988).
- 8. D. Guillaume, J. Morvan, and G. Martin, Environ. Tech. Letters 10, 491 (1989).
- 9. J. Suzuki, H. Okazaki, Y. Nishi, and S. Suzuki, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 29, 511 (1982).
- 10. J. Suzuki, H. Okazaki, T. Sato, and S. Suzuki, Chemosphere 11, 437 (1982).
- 11. G. Schedel, D. Lenoir, and P. Boule, Chemosphere 22, 1063 (1991).
- 12. A. Alif and P. Boule, J. Photochem. Photobiol A. 59, 357 (1991).
- 13. Beilsteins Handbuch Org. Chem. 8, Berlin 1925, p. 235 (Sys. N 771).
- 14. A. Treinin and E. Hayon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 5821 (1970).
- 15. H. Strehlow and I. Wagner, Z. Phys. Chem. 132, 151 (1982).
- 16. O. C. Zafiriou and R. Bonneau, Photochem. Photobiol. 45, 723 (1987).
- 17. R. Zellner, M. Exner, and H. Herrmann, J. Atmos. Chem. 10, 411 (1990).
- 18. F. Machado and P. Boule, J. Photochem. Photobiol., next article.
- 19. G. V. Buxton, C. L. Greenstock, W. Ph. Helman, and A. B. Ross, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 17, 513 (1988) and references cited therein.
- 20. M. Grätzel, A. Henglein, J. Lilie, and G. Beck, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 73, 646 (1969).
- 21. M. Grätzel, S. Taniguchi, and A. Henglein, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 74, 488 (1970).
- 22. M. K. Hofmeister and R. Kohlhaas, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 69, 232 (1965).
- 23. B. H. J. Bielski, D. E. Cabelli, R. L. Arudi, and A. B. Ross, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 14, 1041 (1985).