pubs.acs.org/joc

Oxidation of Electron-Rich Arenes Using HFIP-UHP System

Natalia Llopis and Alejandro Baeza*



Quinone derivatives constitute an important class of organic compounds present in several natural products that exhibit a wide range of applications in medicinal chemistry or biochemistry,¹ also playing an important role in some biological redox processes.² For example, some of these derivatives exhibit a demonstrated biological activity as it is the case of those representative examples shown in Figure 1.

Among different strategies employed for the synthesis of quinones, the most simple and straightforward method is the oxidation of arenes and/or phenols.³ This fundamental organic reaction has been largely studied, and therefore, a myriad of synthetic procedures are nowadays available in the literature in order to carry out this transformation. However, in the majority of them, the use of oxidants such as organic peroxides,⁴ hypervalent iodine compounds,^{5,6} or organic/ inorganic salts is required, hence generating a stoichiometric amount of waste in a low atom-economy process." Consequently, the use of more environmentally benign oxidation methods that minimize such drawbacks would be desirable. In this regard, the employment of H₂O₂ and O₂ as oxidants has emerged as a green alternative methodology due to the high atom-economy achieved, reducing not only the amount but also the environmental impact of such waste.⁵ Thus, despite the great progress already achieved in the use of these oxidants for the synthesis of quinones, the presence of a catalyst (metal-based or Lewis acids) is still necessary for the reaction to happen.⁹

Continuing with our studies in the use of fluorinated alcohols as solvents and mediators of reactions,¹⁰ being able to replace metal catalysts,¹¹ and inspired by a pioneer study by Neumann group describing the electrophilic activation of H_2O_2 by means of these alcohols,^{12,13} we decided to test the oxidation of phenols, naphthols, and other electron-rich arenes using this combination. The results of this study are herein disclosed.

First, the search for the optimal conditions was performed by using 1-naphthol as a model substrate (Table 1). As oxidizing agents, H_2O_2 (30% aqueous solution) or UHP (urea- H_2O_2 adduct), which is considered a water-free source of H_2O_2 , easier to handle, and more stable than hydrogen peroxide solution, were used indistinctly in order to evaluate their performance in different solvents. Thus, the reaction barely worked after 24 h at 45 °C when both oxidants were employed in H_2O and 2-propanol. The use of 2,2,2trifluoroethanol (TFE) also gave low conversions (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). However, when 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) was the solvent of choice, modest conversions were observed for the formation of naphthoquinone (1) (Table 1, entries 3 and 5). Increasing the amount of oxidant up to 5 or 7.5 equiv resulted in a substantial amelioration of the results when UHP was the oxidant employed (Table 1, entries 6 and 8). However, no improvement was observed with H_2O_2 (Table 1, entry 4). The reaction carried out in the absence of a solvent produced low conversion (Table 1, entry 7).

R = H, Alkyl, OMe

With the optimal conditions in hand, HFIP as a solvent, and UHP (7.5 equiv) as an oxidant, different phenols and naphthols were essayed (Table 2). A good yield was obtained in the oxidation of 1-naphthol to the corresponding naphthoquinone (1) (Table 2, entry 1). However, when 2naphthol was essayed, a complex mixture of oxidation compounds was obtained, observing that no starting material remained unreacted by GC-MS neither by NMR (Table 2, entry 2). Better results were achieved when the reaction was performed with 8-hydroxy-1-naphthol, obtaining juglone (2) in high yields (Table 2, entry 3). Next, phenol derivatives were taken into account. Thus, when hydroquinone and 3,5dimethylphenol were tested, good yields were obtained in benzoquinones 3 and 4 (Table 2, entries 4 and 5). However, 2,3-dimethylphenol and 2-tert-butyl-5-methylphenol rendered the corresponding quinones (5 and 7) in low conversions

Received: January 28, 2020 Published: April 10, 2020



Figure 1. Representative examples of biologically active quinones.

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Parameters^a



Entry	Solvent	Oxidant (equiv.)	Conv. (%) ^b
1	TFE	$H_2O_2(3)$	10
2	TFE	UHP (3)	5
3	HFIP	H ₂ O ₂ (3)	37
4	HFIP	H ₂ O ₂ (5)	30
5	HFIP	UHP (3)	43
6	HFIP	UHP (5)	71
7	Neat	H ₂ O ₂ (5)	18
8	HFIP	UHP (7.5)	>95 (87) ^c

^{*a*}All reactions were carried out using 0.15 mmol of 1-naphthol and the corresponding amount of oxidant in 150 μ L of the solvent at 45 °C for 24 h. ^{*b*}Conversion toward the formation of 1, determined by GC–MS. ^{*c*}Isolated yield after preparative TLC.

(Table 2, entries 6 and 8). The yield increased up to 76% when 2,3,5-trimethylphenol was the substrate (Table 2, entry 7). Finally, phenols containing electron-donating groups (MeO) were selected as substrates. Thus, whereas 3-methoxyphenol gave low conversion toward the formation of quinone 8 (Table 2, entry 9), to our delight, a high yield was obtained when the more electron-rich 3,5-dimethoxyphenol was employed (Table 2, entry 10). It is also worth mentioning that other aromatic alcohols, such as phenol, catechol, resorcinol, phloroglucinol, or guaiacol were essayed, too; however, no reaction was observed.

Next, anisole derivatives were also taken into account (Table 3). Thus, 1-methoxynaphthalene was first tested, obtaining naphthoquinone (1) in good yield (Table 3, entry 1). As in the previous case, the reaction performed with 2-methoxynaphthalene produced a complex mixture of oxidation products, identifying 1,2-naphthoquinone derivative 10 among them (Table 3, entry 2). *p*-Methoxyanisole was next examined, observing a very low conversion toward the formation of benzoquinone (3) by GC-MS (Table 3, entry 3). The same situation was found when 4-methyl-1,2-dimethoxybenzene was tested (Table 3, entry 5). However, better results were reached when 3,5-dimethylanisole was employed (Table 3, entry 4). Modest conversions were only achieved when *m*-dimethoxybenzene was checked, not observing the formation of the quinone, but the corresponding phenol 12 (Table 3, entry 6).

Table 2. Oxidation of Naphthols and Phenols^a

ArOH	UHP (7.5 equiv.)	R	
	HFIP 45 °C, 24-45 h	R O	
		R = H, Me, OMe	

Entry	ArOH	Product	%Yield ^b
1	1-Naphthol	Naphthoquinone (1)	87
2	2-Naphthol	Unidentified products	> 95% conv. ^c
3	ОН ОН		83
4	Hydroquinone	1,4-Benzoquinone (3)	62
5	>-он		67
6	но	0=0	15 ^d
7	но		76
8	HO-		18 ^d
9	но		17 ^d
10	МеО МеО	MeO O MeO 9	84

^{*a*}All reactions were carried out using 0.15 mmol of arene and 7.5 equiv of UHP in 150 μ L of the solvent at 45 °C for 24–45 h. ^{*b*}Yield of the isolated compound after preparative TLC. ^{*c*}No starting material was observed by GC–MS. ^{*d*}Conversion toward the formation of quinone, determined by GC–MS.

Finally, to our delight, 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene rendered the quinone 9 in 87% isolated yield (Table 3, entry 7). Other methoxy-containing benzenes, such as anisol, *p*-methoxyanisole, 2-methoxy-4-methylanisole,, and 2,6- and 5,6-dimethylanisole, were also tested but did not produce satisfactory results.

Finally, taking advantage of the UHP-mediated Dakin oxidation described by Varma's group, for the obtention of phenols from benzaldehydes,¹⁴ we envisioned the possibility of







^{*a*}All reactions were carried out using 0.15 mmol of arene and 7.5 equiv of UHP in 150 μ L of the solvent at 45 °C for 24–45 h. ^{*b*}Yield of the isolated compound after preparative TLC. ^{*c*}10 equiv of UHP was used. ^{*d*}No starting material was observed by GC–MS. ^{*e*}Conversion toward the formation of quinone, determined by GC–MS.

performing a Dakin reaction-oxidation of phenol sequences obtaining the corresponding quinones directly from benzaldehydes (Table 4). First, 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde was submitted to the optimized oxidation conditions obtaining quinone 9 in high yields (Table 4, entry 1). Next, 2,5- and 2,6dimethoxybenzaldehyde were also tested. Whereas 67% yield was achieved for compound 13, quinone 9 was again isolated in a high yield (Table 4, entries 2 and 3). 2,5-Dimethoxyterephthalaldehyde was also essayed, obtaining product 13 in a modest yield (Table 4, entry 4). Unfortunately, 3,4dimethoxybenzaldehyde and ortho- and para-methoxybenzaldehyde did not produce the corresponding quinone, and only the corresponding phenols were isolated in excellent yields (Table 4, entries 5, 6, and 7). These results are in agreement with those observed when ortho- and para-guaicol were submitted to the oxidation reaction, not producing the desired quinone as mentioned above. Finally, benzaldehyde produced the corresponding benzoic acid (17) in excellent yield (Table 4. entry 8).

Regarding the reaction mechanism and based on literature precedents, where the electrophilic activation of H_2O_2 by means of fluorinated solvents has been proposed, the reaction pathway depicted in Figure 2 was conceived. First, the nucleophilic attack of an electron-rich arene onto activated

Table 4. Quinones through	Dakin	Reaction-	Oxidation of
Phenol Sequences ^a			

Entry	ArCHO	Product	%Yield ^b
1	MeO MeO OMe	MeO O MeO 9	84
2	OHC MeO-OMe	MeO 13	67°
3	OMe CHO OMe	MeO MeO 9	76
4	MeO CHO OHC OMe	MeO 13	51°
5	MeO CHO	OMe HO-OMe 14	88
6	p-MeOPhCHO	<i>p</i> -Guaicol (15)	91
7	o-MeOPhCHO	o-Guaicol (16)	91
8	PhCHO	PhCOOH (17)	93

^{*a*}All reactions were carried out using 0.15 mmol of aldehyde and 7.5 equiv of UHP in 150 μ L of the solvent at 45 °C for 24–45 h. ^{*b*}Yield of the isolated compound after preparative TLC. ^{*c*}Not purely isolated; estimated yield by H NMR.



Figure 2. Proposed reaction mechanism.

 H_2O_2 , in a S_EAr-type reaction, would take place, giving rise to the formation of intermediate A, which is prone to be further oxidize, rendering the corresponding quinone.

Finally, to further demonstrate the applicability of this methodology, we decided to carry out a large-scale experiment (Scheme 1). A 6 mmol reaction was performed using 1-naphthol under the optimal reaction conditions but reducing the amount of HFIP. After 30 h, naphthoquinone (1) was obtained in a 73% yield. This result, although slightly inferior to the obtained previously, shows the feasibility of scaling-up the procedure.

In conclusion, in this work, we have developed a new, straightforward, and simple methodology for the synthesis of quinones through oxidation of electron-rich arenes. The oxidation protocol herein described is based on the use of

Scheme 1. Large-Scale Reaction



UHP as a source of H_2O_2 and HFIP as a solvent and reaction promoter, and its success relies on the electrophilic activation of H_2O_2 by means of the fluorinated alcohol. The whole process can be considered as environmentally benign since it avoids the use of metal and/or organic oxidants. In addition, it has a high atom economy, and the only byproducts and waste formed (H_2O and urea) are considered biodegradable. In the majority of cases, the yields obtained vary from moderate to high. Although there is not a clear trend in reactivity, it can be asserted that naphthalene derivatives and highly substituted electron-rich arenes seem to perform better under the reaction conditions described. Additionally, it was observed that quinones bearing electron-donating substituents on both double bonds were obtained with higher yields.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. All reagents and solvents were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

NMR spectra were performed on a Bruker AV-300 or Bruker AV-400 (Bruker Corporation) using CDCl₃ as a solvent and TMS as an internal standard unless otherwise stated.

Low-resolution mass spectra (MS) were recorded in the electron impact mode (EI, 70 eV, He as carrier phase) using an Agilent GC/MS 5973 Network Mass Selective Detector spectrometer apparatus equipped with an HP-5MS column (Agilent technologies, 30 m \times 0.25 mm) and giving fragment ions in m/z with relative intensities (%) in parentheses. Low-resolution electron impact (EI) mass spectra were obtained at 70 eV on an Agilent GC/MS-5973N apparatus equipped with an HP-5MS column (Agilent technologies, 30 m \times 0.25 mm).

Analytical TLC was performed on Merck silica gel plates, and the spots were visualized with UV light at 254 nm. Flash chromatography employed Merck silica gel 60 (0.040–0.063 mm). Silica gel 60 F254 containing gypsum was employed for preparative layer chromatography.

General Procedure for the HFIP-UHP Oxidation of Electron-Rich Arenes. In a capped tube, onto the corresponding arene (0.15 mmol), HFIP (150–200 μ L) and UHP (7.5 equiv) were added in one portion. The reaction was then stirred at 45 °C (sand bath) for 24–45 h, until the reaction was judged to be completed by GC–MS. After this time, the reaction mixture was filtered over a silica/Celite plug, then the solvent was evaporated, and the crude material was directly purified by flash chromatography or preparative TLC.

For the large-scale synthesis, the general procedure was adapted: In a round-bottomed flask, 1-naphthol (6 mmol, 0.864 g), UHP (7.5 equiv, 4.2 g), and 3 mL of HFIP were added in one portion. After heating the reaction at 45 °C (sand bath) for 30 h, the crude mixture was filtered over a silica/Celite plug, then the solvent was evaporated, the residue was directly purified by flash chromatography to yield naphthoquinone (1) with a 73% yield (0.692 g).

Spectroscopic and analytical data for isolated compounds are given below:

Naphthoquinone (1):^{9h} brown solid; purification by preparative TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate 8.5/1.5), $R_f = 0.38$, 20.5 mg, 87% yield; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 8.11$ (dd, J = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (dd, J = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (s, 2H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C}$ = 185.0, 138.7, 133.9, 131.9, 126.4 pm; MS (EI) *m*/ *z* 158 (M⁺, 100%), 130 (27), 104 (31), 102 (31), 76 (21).

5-Hydroxy-1,4-naphthalenedione (Juglone) (2):¹⁵ orange solid; 21.6 mg, 83% yield, (without further purification), $R_f = 0.41$ (hexane/ ethyl acetate 4:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 11.93$ (s, 1H), 7.70–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.31 (dd, J = 7.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (s, 2H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C} = 190.3$, 184.3, 161.4, 139.6, 138.6, 136.6, 131.7, 124.52, 119.18, 114.97 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 174 (M⁺, 100%), 173 (25), 120 (19), 118 (29), 92 (16), 63 (13). Benzoquinone (3):¹⁷ dark brown solid; purification by preparative

Benzoquinone (3):¹⁷ dark brown solid; purification by preparative TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1), $R_f = 0.36$, 10.1 mg, 62% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_H = 6.81$ (s, 4H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_C = 187.2$, 136.5 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 108 (M⁺, 100%), 82 (32), 80 (25), 54 (55), 53 (14), 52 (16). 3,5-Dimethyl-p-benzoquinone (4):^{9h} dark orange solid; purifica-

3,5-Dimethyl-p-benzoquinone (4):²ⁿ dark orange solid; purification by preparative TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1), $R_f = 0.46$, 13.7 mg, 67% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_H = 6.60$ (q, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_C = 188.1$, 145.8, 133.4, 15.5 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 136 (M⁺, 100%), 108 (63), 107 (29), 96 (24), 80 (21), 79 (60), 77 (12), 68 (88).

2,3,5-Trimethyl-p-benzoquinone (6):^{9h} yellow oil, purification by preparative TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1), $R_f = 0.57$, 17.1 mg, 76% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 6.75-6.40$ (m, 1H), 2.06 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 3H), 2.05 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H), 2.03 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H) ppm; MS (EI) m/z 150 (M⁺, 100%), 122 (32), 121 (17), 107 (47), 79 (31), 68 (22), 54 (12).

2,6-Dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone (9):¹⁶ ochre-orange solid, purification by preparative TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1), $R_f = 0.15$, 22.0 mg, 87% yield; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 5.88$ (s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C} = 186.9$, 176.6, 157.4, 107.4, 56.4 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 168 (M⁺, 74%), 138 (23), 125 (15), 97 (13), 80 (36), 69 (100), 59 (13), 53 (22).

2,5-Dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone (13):⁷⁶ brown solid; purification by preparative TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate 9.0/1.0), $R_f = 0.32$, 16.8 mg, 67% yield; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_H = 5.89$ (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_C = 181.7$, 159.6, 105.5, 56.6 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 168 (M⁺, 13%), 155 (74), 153 (30), 149 (60), 139 (56), 127 (26), 122 (15), 112 (16), 95 (35), 69 (100), 59 (17), 53 (22).

3,4-Dimethoxyphenol (14):¹⁴ brown solid; purification by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1), $R_f = 0.18$, 20.3 mg, 88% yield; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 6.74$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C} = 150.2$, 149.8, 143.0, 112.4, 105.8, 100.6, 56.6, 55.8 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 154 (M⁺, 100%), 139 (71), 111 (40), 93 (17), 69 (12), 65 (12), 55 (11).

4-Methoxyphenol (15):¹⁴ white solid; 17.9 mg, 96% yield (without further purification), $R_f = 0.23$ (hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 6.79$ (s, 4H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C} = 153.6$, 149.5, 116.1, 114.9, 55.8 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 124 (M⁺, 99%), 109 (100), 8 (42), 53 (14).

2-Methoxyphenol (16):¹⁴ white solid; 17.0 mg, 91% yield (without further purification), $R_f = 0.35$ (hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 7.05-6.84$ (m, 4H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C} = 146.6, 145.7, 121.5, 120.2, 114.6, 110.7, 55.8 ppm; MS (EI) <math>m/z$ 124 (M⁺, 93%), 109 (100), 81 (50).

Benzoic Acid (17):¹⁴ white solid; 17.0 mg, 93% yield (without further purification), $R_f = 0.38$ (hexane/ethyl acetate 3:2); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm H} = 12.02$ (s, 1H), 8.21–8.10 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.51 (ddt, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.0 Hz, 2H) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta_{\rm C} = 172.5$, 133.8, 130.2, 129.3, 128.5 ppm; MS (EI) m/z 122 (M⁺, 90%), 105 (100), 77 (62), 51 (22), 50 (13).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c00218.

NMR spectra of oxidation products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

 Alejandro Baeza – Departamento de Química Orgánica and Instituto de Síntesis Orgánica (ISO), Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante E-03080, Spain;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-6230-1162; Email: alex.baeza@ua.es

Author

Natalia Llopis – Departamento de Química Orgánica and Instituto de Síntesis Orgánica (ISO), Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante E-03080, Spain

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c00218

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Spanish Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad (CTQ2015-66624-P and CTQ2017-88171-P), Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (PGC2018-096616-B-I00), and the University of Alicante (UADIF17-27, VIGROB-285 and VIGROB-316) for the financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) For an example, see: (a) de Castro, S. L.; Emery, F. S.; da Silva Júnior, E. N. Synthesis of Quinoidal Molecules: Strategies Towards Bioactive Compounds with an Emphasis on Lapachones. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *69*, 678. (b) Seigler, D. S. *Plant Secondary Metabolism;* Springer, Boston, 1998; p76. (c) Thomson, R. H. *Naturally Occurring Quinones IV, Recent Advances;* Blackie Academic & Professional, London, 1997.

(2) For an example see: Gulaboski, R.; Bogeski, I.; Mirceski, V.; Saul, S.; Pasieka, B.; Haeri, H. H.; Stefova, M.; Stanoeva, J. P.; Mitrev, S.; Hoth, M.; Kappl, R. Hydroxylated Derivatives of Dimethoxy-1, 4-Benzoquinone as Redox Switchable Earth-Alkaline Metal Ligands and Radical Scavengers. *Sci. Rep.* **2013**, *3*, 1865.

(3) For an example, see: (a) Abraham, I.; Joshi, R.; Pardasani, P.; Pardasani, R. T. Recent Advances in 1,4-Benzoquinone Chemistry. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2011, 22, 385. (b) Owton, W. M. The Synthesis of Quinones. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 2409.

(4) For selected recent examples in the use of organic peroxides for the synthesis of quinones, see: (a) Bao, N.; Ou, J.; Shi, W.; Li, N.; Chen, L.; Sun, J. Highly Efficient Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of a Small Library of Substituted 1,4-Naphthoquinones. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, 2018, 2254. (b) Jiang, J.-H.; Boominathan, S. S. K.; Hu, W.-P.; Chen, C.-Y.; Vandavasi, J. K.; Lin, Y.-T.; Wang, J.-J. Sequential, One-Pot Access to Arylated Benzoquinones/Naphthoquinones from Phenols/Naphthols. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, 2016, 2284. (c) Chen, P.-F.; Kuo, K.-K.; Kishore Vandavasi, J.; Senthil, S.; Boominathan, K.; Chena, C.-Y.; Wang, J.-J. Metal-free cycloaddition to synthesize naphtho[2,3-d][1,2,3]triazole-4,9-diones. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, 13, 9261. and references cited therein.

(5) For selected recent examples in the use of hypervalent iodine compounds for the synthesis of quinones, see: (a) Kaur, A.; Ariafard, A. Mechanistic Investigation Into Phenol Oxidation by IBX Elucidated by DFT Calculations. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2020**, *18*, 1117. (b) Xiao, X.; Greenwood, N. S.; Wengryniuk, S. E.

Dearomatization of Electron Deficient Phenols to ortho-Quinones: Bidentate Nitrogen Ligated Iodine(V) Reagents. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 16181. (c) McLaughlin, M. F.; Massolo, E.; Cope, T. A.; Johnson, J. S. Phenolic Oxidation Using H₂O₂ via in Situ Generated para-Quinone Methides for the Preparation of para-Spiroepoxydienones. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 6504. (d) China, H.; Tanihara, K.; Sasa, H.; Kikushima, K.; Dohi, T. Regiodivergent Oxidation of Alkoxyarenes by Hypervalent Iodine/Oxone ® System. Catal. Today 2019, 1. (6) For selected examples in the use of hypervalent iodine compounds in combination with HFIP for the oxidation reactions, see: (a) Colomer, I.; Coura Barcelos, R.; Donohoe, T. J. Catalytic Hypervalent Iodine Promoters Lead to Styrene Dimerization and the Formation of Tri- and Tetrasubstituted Cyclobutanes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4748. (b) Colomer, I.; Batchelor-McAuley, C.; Odell, B.; Donohoe, T. J.; Compton, R. G. Hydrogen Bonding to Hexafluoroisopropanol Controls the Oxidative Strength of Hypervalent Iodine Reagents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8855. (c) Kita, Y.; Tohma, H.; Hatanaka, K.; Takada, T.; Fujita, S.; Mitoh, S.; Sakurai, H.; Oka, S. Hypervalent Iodine-Induced Nucleophilic Substitution of para-Substituted Phenol Ethers. Generation of Cation Radicals as Reactive Intermediates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3684.

(7) For selected recent examples in the use of organic/inorganic salts for the synthesis of quinones, see: (a) Buccini, M.; Piggott, M. J. A Four-Step Total Synthesis of Radermachol. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 2490. (b) Schünemann, K.; Furkert, D. P.; Choi, E. C.; Connelly, S.; Fraser, J. D.; Sperry, J.; Brimble, M. A. Synthesis of the 2-methylene analogue of the HRV 3C protease inhibitor thysanone(2-carbathysanone). *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 905. (c) Cui, J.-H.; Li, S.-S. An Efficient Synthesis of 5,6-Dimethoxy 1- and 2-Naphthols via Teuber Reaction. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *60*, 1163. and references cited therein.

(8) Jiao, N.; Stahl, S. S. Green Oxidation in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, 2019.

(9) For some recent examples of metal- and Lewis acid-catalyzed synthesis of quinones using H₂O₂, see: (a) de Carvalho, R. L.; Jardim, G. A. M.; Santos, A. C. C.; Araujo, M. H.; Oliveira, W. X. C.; Bombaça, A. C. S.; Menna-Barreto, R. F. S.; Gopi, E.; Gravel, E.; Doris, E.; da Silva Junior, E. N. Combination of Aryl Diselenides/ Hydrogen Peroxide and Carbon-Nanotube/Rhodium Nanohybrids for Naphtol Oxidation: An Efficient Route towards Trypanocidal Quinones. Chem. - Eur. J. 2018, 24, 15227. (b) Kwong, H. K.; Lo, P.-K.; Yiu, S.-M.; Hirao, H.; Lau, K.-C.; Lau, T.-C. Highly Selective and Efficient Ring Hydroxylation of Alkylbenzenes with Hydrogen Peroxide and an Osmium (VI) Nitrido Catalyst. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12260. (c) Abu-Elfotoh, A.-M.; Tsuzuki, K.; Nguyen, T. B.; Chanthamath, S.; Shibatomi, K.; Iwasa, S. Quinones Synthesis Via Hydrogen Peroxide Oxidation of Dihydroxy Arenes Catalysed by Homogeneous and Macroporous-Polymer-Supported Ruthenium Catalysts. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8612. (d) Ivanchikova, I. D.; Maksimchuk, N. V.; Maksimovskaya, R. I.; Maksimov, G. M.; Kholdeeva, O. A. Highly Selective Oxidation of Alkylphenols to p-Benzoquinones with Aqueous Hydrogen Peroxide Catalyzed by Divanadium,-Substituted Polyoxotungstates. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 2706. (e) Kamata, K.; Yamaura, T.; Mizuno, N. Chemo- and Regioselective Direct Hydroxylation of Arenes with Hydrogen Peroxide Catalyzed by a Divanadium-Substituted Phosphotungstate. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7275. (f) Norcott, P.; Spielman, C.; McErlean, C. S. P. An In-water, On-water Domino Process for Synthesis. Green Chem. 2012, 14, 605. (g) Wienhöfer, G.; Schröder, K.; Müller, K.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. A Novel Process for Seletive Ruthenium-Catalyzed Oxidation of Naphthalenes and Phenols. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1615. (h) Müller, K.; Wienhöfer, G.; Schröder, K.; Join, B.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Selective Iron-Catalyzed Oxidation of Phenols and Arenes with Hydrogen Peroxide: Synthesis of Vitamin E Intermediates and Vitamin K3. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 10300. and references cited therein.

(10) For selected reviews about the use of fluorinated alcohols as promoters in organic transformations, see: (a) An, X.-D.; Xiao, J. Fluorinated Alcohols: Magic Reaction Medium and Promoters for Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Rec.* **2020**, *20*, 142. (b) Colomer, I.;

Chamberlain, A. E. R.; Haughey, M. B.; Donohoe, T. J. Hexafluoroisopropanol as a highly versatile solvent. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2017**, *1*, 0088. (c) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D.; Crousse, B. Fluorinated Alcohols: A New Medium for Selective and Clean Reaction. *Synlett* **2004**, 18.

(11) (a) Pérez, J. M.; Maquilón, C.; Ramón, D. J.; Baeza, A. Hexafluoroisopropanol-Promoted Metal-Free Allylation of Silyl Enol Ethers with Allylic Alcohols. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* 2017, *6*, 1440.
(b) Trillo, P.; Baeza, A.; Nájera, C. Fluorinated Alcohols As Promoters for the Metal-Free Direct Substitution Reaction of Allylic Alcohols with Nitrogenated, Silylated, and Carbon Nucleophiles. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, *77*, 7344.

(12) Neimann, K.; Neumann, R. Electrophilic Activation of Hydrogen Peroxide: Selective Oxidation Reactions in Perfluorinated Alcohol Solvents. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2861.

(13) (a) Berkessel, A. Catalytic Oxidations with Hydrogen Peroxide in Fluorinated Alcohol Solvents. In *Modern Oxidation Methods*; Bäckvall, J.-E., Ed; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010; p 117. (b) Berkessel, A.; Adrio, J. A. Dramatic Acceleration of Olefin Epoxidation in Fluorinated Alcohols: Activation of Hydrogen Peroxide by Multiple H-Bond Networks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 13412. (c) Berkessel, A.; Adrio, J. A.; Hüttenhain, D.; Neudörfl, J. M. Unveiling the "Booster Effect" of Fluorinated Alcohol Solvents: Aggregation-Induced Conformational Changes and Cooperatively Enhanced H-Bonding. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 8421.

(14) Varma, R. S.; Naicker, K. P. The Urea-Hydrogen Peroxide Complex: Solid-State Oxidative Protocols for Hydroxylated Aldehydes and Ketones (Dakin Reaction), Nitriles, Sulfides, and Nitrogen Heterocycles. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 189.

(15) Saha, N.; Müller, M.; Husain, S. M. Asymmetric Synthesis of Natural *cis*-Dihydroarenediols Using Tetrahydroxynaphthalene Reductase and Its Biosynthetic Implications. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 2204.

(16) Yoshida, R.; Isozaki, K.; Yokoi, T.; Yasuda, N.; Sadakane, K.; Iwamoto, T.; Takaya, H.; Nakamura, M. ONO-pincer ruthenium complex-bound norvaline for efficient catalytic oxidation of methoxybenenes with hydrogen peroxide. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2016**, *14*, 7468.

(17) Romanelli, G. P.; Villabrille, P. I.; Vázquez, P. G.; Cáceres, C. V.; Tundo, P. Phenol and Naphthol Oxidation to Quinones with Hydrogen Peroxide Using Vanadium-Substituted Keggin Heteropoly Acid as Catalyst. *Lett. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *5*, 332.