Article

Subscriber access provided by The Bodleian Libraries of The University of Oxford

Switching Selectivity of alpha-Enolic dithioesters: One Pot Access to Functionalized 1,2- and 1,3-Dithioles

Suvajit Koley, Tanmoy Chanda, Subhasis Samai, and Maya Shankar Singh

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01802 • Publication Date (Web): 04 Nov 2016 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on November 4, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



The Journal of Organic Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Switching Selectivity of α-Enolic dithioesters: One Pot Access to Functionalized 1,2- and 1,3-Dithioles

Suvajit Koley[†], Tanmoy Chanda[†], Subhasis Samai[‡] and Maya Shankar Singh^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005,

India

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University Colleges of Science and Technology, University of Calcutta, 92, A.P.C. Road, Kolkata 700009, India

Abstract Graphic



ABSTRACT: An operationally simple cascade protocol has been developed for the construction of 1,2- and 1,3-dithiole derivatives from α -enolic dithioesters. 1,2-Dithioles are achieved by the reaction of dithioesters with elemental sulfur in the presence of InCl₃ under solvent-free conditions. 1,3-Dithioles have been constructed via DABCO mediated self-coupling of dithioesters in open air enabling the formation of two new C–S bonds and one ring in a single operation in contiguous fashion. The reactions proceeded smoothly affording the desired sulfur-rich heterocycles in good to excellent yields, exhibiting gram-scale ability and broad functional groups tolerance utilizing easy to handle cheap and easily available

reagents. The probable mechanisms for the formation of 1,2- and 1,3-dithioles from α -enolic dithioesters have been suggested.

Keywords: α -Enolic dithioesters; 1,2- and 1,3-Dithioles; Cascade reaction; DABCO; InCl₃; Elemental sulfur.

INTRODUCTION

Among the various heterocyclic frameworks,¹ heterocycles containing sulfur atom(s) are versatile privileged scaffolds present in many biologically active molecules and pharmaceuticals.² 1,2-Dithiole derivatives show many significant pharmacological activities.³ Compounds having 3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thione as a core nucleus exhibit chemotherapeutic, antioxidant and radio-protective properties.⁴ Further, dithiolethiones are used as chemopreventive, choleretic, and sialagogue agents in various bio models.⁵ On the other hand, 1,3-dithioles are versatile building blocks that can be employed in many chemical transformations for the synthesis of natural products.⁶ Furthermore, 1,3-dithioles have been widely utilized for the synthesis of organic charge-transfer materials, optical tools, and electronic conductors.⁷ In addition to above applications, the 1,2- and 1,3-dithiole derivatives exhibit anti-HIV activities^{8,9} and cytoprotective effects in a variety of cell/tissue types and disease models.¹⁰ Besides these properties, many 1,2-dithiole-3-thiones are used as precursor for the synthesis of vinylogues of tetrathiafulvalene, which amplify non-linear optical (NLO) property.¹¹ Furthermore, they are used as π -donor moiety for fabricating photoconductive materials that could be used as electron transport materials for hologram recording.¹²

Among available reports, the most common methods for the synthesis of 3H-1,2dithiole-3-thiones include the reaction of oxoesters with P₄S₁₀/Lawesson's reagent/molecular sulfur¹³/ β -oxothioic acid or their salts with polysulfanes¹⁴/hexamethyldisilathiane (HMDT).¹⁵ Timoshenko and co-workers¹⁶ synthesized β -bromo- β -trifluoromethyl dithiocrotonic ester by the reaction of perfluoroketene dithioacetals with sulfur promoted by magnesium bromide

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

under solvent-free conditions. However, the reaction required very high temperature (210 °C) and excess of MgBr₂. In this context, utilization of elemental sulfur, which is cheap, nontoxic, stable under ambient conditions, easy to handle and readily available in pure form could be an excellent solution. On the other hand, different strategies have been developed for the construction of 1,3-dithioles.¹⁷ Hartung et al.,^{18a} Gao et al.^{18b} and Voronkov and co-workers^{18c} synthesized 1,3-dithiole derivatives utilizing external sulfur source such as CS₂ and Na₂S. Most of the above reactions were performed not only in the presence of hazardous reagents/solvents at high temperature, but also suffer from one or more limitations such as lack of generality and substituent compatibility, tedious isolation procedures, expensive and detrimental metal precursors and unsatisfactory product yield. Therefore, owing to their immense applications, exploring and improving of new, efficient and general synthetic routes to access sulfur-rich 1,2-/1,3-dithioles from easily accessible starting materials is still of great significance.

Cascade reactions play an important role in organic synthesis and are a powerful tool for generating molecular complexity and diversity with greater efficiency in a one-pot process.¹⁹ In this context, a simple polyfunctional molecule β -oxo/ α -enolic dithioesters (DTEs) have drawn significant attention as practical key intermediate in various organic transformations.²⁰ Our own interest in dithiole synthesis derives from our continuous endeavours aimed at devising new synthetic methods for five and six membered heterocycles employing organosulfur building blocks like β -oxo/ α -enolic dithioesters and their newly developed synthetic variants.²¹⁻²³ The reactivity of DTEs was demonstrated to access diverse structurally challenging heterocycles in the authors' laboratory over the past ten years.^{21,22} Recently, we reported the synthesis of dithiole motifs employing α -enolic dithioesters in good yields.²² This time, herein we disclose a simple and safe new method to access 1,2- and 1,3-dithioles from dithioesters under solvent-free and metal-free conditions (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Strategies toward 1,2- and 1,3-Dithiole Derivatives

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In continuation of our efforts towards the advancement of synthetic strategies to access heterocyclic scaffolds²¹⁻²³ using α -enolic dithioesters (DTEs) as a key substrate, herein we disclose a highly selective construction of 3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thiones **3** and densely functionalized 2-alkylidene-1,3-dithioles **4** from a common acyclic dithioester precursor **1** simply by varying the easily available reagents (Scheme 2). Intermolecular cross-coupling reactions for the construction of carbon–sulfur bonds are interesting area in synthetic chemistry. In recent years, sulfur-mediated/catalyzed/participated reactions have attracted a great deal of attention in organic synthesis. The simplest and most straightforward synthetic equivalent of the sulfur synthon should unquestionably be elemental sulfur.²⁴

1d

1e

1f

1g

1h

 $2-ClC_6H_4$

2-BrC₆H₄

 $3-OMeC_6H_4$

3-OMeC₆H₄

3-MeC₆H₄

Et

Me

Me

Me

n-Pent

11

1m

1n

10

1p



 $4-CF_3C_6H_4$

 $4-ClC_6H_4$

 $4-BrC_6H_4$

2-naphthyl

1-naphthyl

Me

Me

Et

n-Bu

n-Pent

1t

1u

1v

2-thienyl

3-pyridyl

ferrocenyl

Me

Me

Me

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 1,2-Dithiole-3-thiones (3) and 1,3-Dithioles (4)

On the basis of literature survey, we started our study by the reaction of 1.0 mmol of methyl 3-hydroxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl) prop-2-enedithioate (**1h**) with 4.0 mmol of elemental sulfur (**2**) in 5 mL of acetic acid (AcOH) at reflux temperature. Workup of the reaction mixture afforded 15% of the desired product 5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**3f**), and most of the dithioester remained unconsumed even after 24 h of reflux (Table 1, entry 1). The above observation was encouraging enough to broaden the optimization studies. Keeping in mind the benefits of the solvent-free protocol, we performed the above model reaction under solvent-free conditions at 100 °C in the presence of 20 mol % of InCl₃. To our great pleasure, the desired product **3f** was obtained in 85% yield within 1 h (Table 1, entry 2). Screening of some other metal promoters such as FeCl₃, Sc(OTf)₃ and Y(OTf)₃ did trigger the reaction albeit in lower yields (Table 1, entries 3-5). PdCl₂ and Cu(OTf)₂ provided reported one 2-(4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-5-(methylthio)-3*H*-1,2-dithiol-3-ylidene)-1-(4-methoxybenyl)ethanone^{22a,b} (Table 1, entries 6 and 7).

Table 1. Optimization of Conditions for the Synthesis of $3f^{a}$



entry	promoter	solvent	temp	time	yield ^b
	(mmol)	(5 mL)	(°C)	(h)	(%)
1	_c	АсОН	reflux	24	15
2	$\operatorname{InCl}_{3}(0.2)^{c}$	none	100	1	55
3	$\operatorname{FeCl}_3(0.2)^c$	none	100	14	35
4	$Sc(OTf)_3 (0.2)^c$	none	100	1.5	48
5	$Y(OTf)_3 (0.2)^c$	none	100	2	40
6	$PdCl_2 (0.2)^c$	none	100	5	$0(66)^d$
7	$Cu(OTf)_2 (0.2)^c$	none	100	5	$0(79)^d$
8	$\operatorname{InCl}_{3}(0.2)^{c}$	none	rt	24	-
9	$\operatorname{InCl}_{3}(0.2)^{c}$	none	50	24	-
10	$\operatorname{InCl}_{3}(0.2)^{c}$	none	70	15	46
11	$\operatorname{InCl}_{3}(0.2)^{c}$	none	90	1	60
12	$InCl_3 (0.33)^c$	none	90	1	95
13	InCl ₃ (0.33)	none	90	24	-
14	_c	none	90	24	-
15	_ ^c	HCl	90	1	32

^{*a*}**1h** (1.0 mmol) was taken as model substrate for optimization. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Reactions were carried out with 4 mmol of elemental sulfur. ^{*d*}3*H*-1,2-dithiol-3-ylidene was obtained.^{22a,b}

Thus, after establishing $InCl_3$ as a choice of promoter, next we optimized its loading, and temperature of the reaction (Table 1, entries 8-12). $InCl_3$ (20 mol %) at room temperature and at 50 °C was found to be completely ineffective (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). It was found that 33 mol % of $InCl_3$ under solvent-free conditions at 90 °C is enough for the

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

completion of the reaction and provided the desired product **3f** in 95% yield within 1 h (Table 1, entry 12). Since the desired product **3f** was obtained in almost quantitative yield under solvent-free conditions, we further did not screen the solvent for the reaction. Blank reactions either in the absence of elemental sulfur or without $InCl_3$ did not provide the desired product even in trace after 24 h, and the starting material remained completely unconsumed (Table 1, entries 13 and 14). HCl also triggered the reaction affording the desired 1,2-dithiole **3f** in 32% yield along with some inseparable side products (Table 1, entry 15). Henceforth, the optimum condition for the formation of **3f** was established as 1.0 mmol of dithioester **1h**, 4.0 mmol of elemental sulfur, 33 mol % of $InCl_3$ at 90 °C under solvent-free conditions in open air (Table 1, entry 12).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then set out to explore the scope of the reaction by subjecting a range of structurally diverse α -enolic dithioesters **1**; the results are summarized in Table 2. The variants of substituents in the phenyl ring (R¹ moiety) of DTEs **1** did not hamper the reaction process, and the approach tolerated DTEs bearing both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups. Various substituents such as OMe, Me, Cl, Br, and CF₃ at *ortho*, *meta*, and *para*-positions of phenyl ring were found to be compatible (Table 2, **3a-j**). Notably, DTEs bearing extended aromatic moiety such as 2-naphthyl and 1-naphthyl at R¹ were also tolerated well and furnished the corresponding 1,2-dithioles in high yields (Table 2, **3k** and **3l**). It is noteworthy that DTEs bearing not only aromatic and extended aromatic R¹ moieties but hetero-aromatics such as 2-furyl, 2-thienyl and 3-pyridyl also worked well under the optimized conditions affording the desired compounds in high yield (Table 2, **3m, 3n, 3o**). Importantly, dithioester **1v** bearing ferrocenyl moiety at R¹ is also shown to be suitable substrate and furnished the corresponding 1,2-dithiole in 91% yield within 1 h (Table 2, **3p**).

Table 2. Reaction of DTEs 1 with Elemental Sulfur 2 to Give 1,2-Dithioles 3^a



^{*a*}All the reactions were carried out using 1.0 mmol of **1**, and 4.0 mmol of **2**. ^{*b*}Isolated yield.

After the successful synthesis of 1,2-dithioles and motivated by a report from Li and coworkers,²⁵ next we envisioned to see the effect of base on the above reaction. Consequently, $InCl_3$ in above standard reaction was replaced with 33 mol % of K₂CO₃. After 24 h of heating under solvent-free conditions, an entirely new spot was observed on the TLC plate, which

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

was isolated and characterised as 2-(4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-5-(methylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2ylidene)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethanone (4d) in 20% yield (Table 3, entry 1). This interesting observation encouraged us to optimize the reaction conditions for the formation of 4d. Consequently, increasing the amount of K_2CO_3 increased the yield of 4d to 51% (Table 3, entries 2 and 3). Next, we performed the model reaction employing various bases in the absence of sulfur. K₂CO₃ and KO^tBu did mediate the reaction providing the desired product 4d in 51% and 42% yields, respectively (Table 3, entries 4 and 5). KOH and NaOH did not enable the desired transformation even after 24 h (Table 3, entries 6 and 7). Use of secondary amine bases like piperidine, pyrrolidine and N-phenylpyrazine furnished the previously reported corresponding thioamides^{20d} (Table 3, entries 8-10). Screening of tertiary amine triethyl amine (TEA), dimethylamino pyridine (DMAP), 1,8bases such as diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) under solvent-free conditions promoted the reaction well affording the desired product 4d in 61-78% yields, respectively (Table 2, entries 11-14). DABCO provided the maximum yield of 4d within minimum time (Table 3, entry 14).

Next, the model reaction was investigated under different solvents in the presence of DABCO (1.0 equiv). Polar solvents like EtOH, CH₃CN and THF at their reflux temperature could not improve the result (Table 3, entries 15-17). The reaction in DMF at 90 °C resulted an inseparable mixture of several products (Table 3, entry 18). Then, we performed the reaction in a non-polar solvent like toluene at 90 °C, which gave the desired product **4d** in 81% yield (Table 3, entry 19). Pleasingly, increasing the temperature to its reflux, the product **4d** was obtained almost in near quantitative yield (90%) within 6 h (Table 3, entry 20). Further increase of the loading of DABCO could not improve the result (Table 3, entry 21). Thus, the optimum condition for the formation of **4d** was found to be equimolar amount of DTE and DABCO under refluxing toluene in open atmosphere (Table 3, entry 20).





1	$K_2CO_3 (0.33)^c$	none	90	24	20
2	$K_2CO_3 (0.5)^c$	none	90	24	30
3	$K_2CO_3 (1.0)^c$	none	90	15	51
4	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.0)	none	90	15	51
5	KO ^t Bu (1.0)	none	90	24	42
6	KOH (1.0)	none	90	24	-
7	NaOH (1.0)	none	90	24	-
8	piperidine (1.0)	none	90	2	_d
9	pyrrolidine (1.0)	none	90	2	_d
10	V-phenylpyrazine (1.0)	none	90	2.5	_d
11	TEA (1.0)	none	90	8	61
12	DMAP (1.0)	none	90	10	64
13	DBU (1.0)	none	90	8	69
14	DABCO (1.0)	none	90	8	78
15	DABCO (1.0)	EtOH	reflux	24	54
16	DABCO (1.0)	CH ₃ CN	reflux	24	48
17	DABCO (1.0)	THF	reflux	24	34
18	DABCO (1.0)	DMF	90	7	_e
19	DABCO (1.0)	toluene	90	12	81

20	DABCO (1.0)	toluene	reflux	6	90
21	DABCO (1.5)	toluene	reflux	6	88

^{*a*}Dithioester **1h** (1.0 mmol) was taken as model substrate for optimization. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Reactions were carried out with 4.0 mmol of sulfur. ^{*d*}Corresponding thioamide was formed exclusively.^{20d *e*}Mixtures of several inseparable spots.

Once the optimal conditions had been identified, the scope of the reaction is demonstrated by 12 examples presented in Table 4. Our studies revealed that DTEs 1 bearing different substituents at R¹ such as aromatic (bearing both electron-donating and electronwithdrawing substituents), extended aromatic (2-naphthyl and 1-naphthyl) as well as heteroaromatic (2-furyl) were tolerated well and furnished the corresponding 1,3-dithioles in good to high yields (Table 4, 4a-l). Gratefully, steric bulk posed no problem in this reaction, as exemplified by products 4j and 4k. Depending on the substitution pattern, the E:Z isomer ratio (diastereoisomeric ratio) of the products 4a-l ranges from 59:41 to 90:10 (calculated from HPLC). The highest dr of 90:10 was observed in the reaction with DTE 1f, which gave 4c in 85% yield. However, electron-withdrawing groups at the 4-position of phenyl moiety of R^1 substituent of DTEs 1 accelerate the intermolecular homocoupling reaction (Table 4, 4h), may be due the fact that the 4-substituted electron-withdrawing groups could stabilize the intermediate \mathbf{F} (Scheme 6b). Further, varying the groups at R^2 of dithioesters 1 enabled structural diversification of product 4. Pleasingly, the groups such as Me, Et, *n*-Pr, *i*-Bu, and *n*-Pentyl at R^2 enabled the reaction to occur smoothly, resulting the corresponding 1,3dithiole derivatives 4 in good yields. Various types of α -enolic dithioesters are amenable to this coupling.

Table 4. Substrates Scope for the Synthesis of 4^{a}





^{*a*}All the reactions were carried out using 1.0 mmol of **1** with 1.0 mmol of DABCO in refluxing toluene in open air. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. *n*-Pent = Pentyl.

The structures of all the synthesized 1,2-dithioles (3a-p) and 1,3-dithioles (4a-l) were confirmed by their satisfactory spectral (¹H & ¹³C NMR and HRMS) studies, and unequivocally established by the X-ray single crystal diffraction analysis of two

representative molecules **3f** and **4a** (See SI).²⁶ Thus, by using this one-pot operationally simple procedure, we have synthesized a small library (28 compounds) of 1,2- and 1,3- dithioles in good to high yields. The thus obtained sulfur-rich dithioles, bearing additional sulfur atom as exocyclic/ring substituent with various functional groups could be building blocks for the construction of biologically active compounds including natural products. In order to demonstrate the practical application of this method, the reaction of dithioester **1h** (10 mmol) was performed under standard conditions, which afforded the desired products **3f** (2.11 g, 88%) and **4d** (1.73 g, 81%) in good yields.

After the effective application of diverse DTEs **1** for the construction of 1,3-dithioles **4** via homocoupling, next we tried to investigate the possibility of heterocoupling. To this end, an intermolecular crossover experiment between two different dithioesters **1a** and **1h** was performed under the standard conditions. Workup of the reaction afforded exclusive formation of the corresponding homocoupled products **4a** and **4d** along with a trace amount of heterocoupled products **4ad** and **4da**, which could not be separated (detected from the mass study) (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Exclusive Formation of Homocoupled 1,3-Dithioles 4a and 4d

To further explore the reaction pathway for the synthesis of 1,3-dithioles **4**, some competition experiments were performed. Observing the high preferential homocoupling of

DTEs 1, we became attracted to establish the order of relative coupling abilities through competition experiments. Thus, intermolecular competition experiment between two different dithioesters bearing electron-donating group (EDG) 1h and electron-withdrawing group (EWG) 1l at R^1 moiety was performed (Scheme 4a). Notably, electron-withdrawing dithioester 1l preferentially converted to the corresponding 1,3-dithiole 4h more efficiently than the conversion rate of electron-donating dithioester 1h to 4d. From this selectivity pattern, it could be suggested that EWG in the phenyl ring facilitates the reaction more prominently than the R^1 moiety bearing EDG. Next, we performed the reaction between two dithioesters having similar R^1 moiety but different R^2 groups (1a and 1b) under standard condition. The results showed insignificant selectivity in this case (Scheme 4b). In both the cases formation of a trace amount of heterocoupled products were observed on TLC but could not be isolated.



Scheme 4. Intermolecular Competition Experiments with Different DTEs 1

Following the successful finding on the tuneable synthesis of diverse 1,2- and 1,3dithiole derivatives, we designed some control experiments to explore the reaction mechanism. To shed some light on this cascade strategy, and to check the possibility of oxidative cyclization that could occur at higher temperature, we treated dithioester **1h** with elemental sulfur under standard reaction condition in the presence of TEMPO (1 equiv). The reaction proceeded smoothly providing the desired 1,2-dithiole (**3f**) in 92% isolated yield, which is comparable to the yield obtained in the absence of TEMPO (95%), suggesting that

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

the reaction does not involve the radical cyclization pathway (Scheme 5, eqn. 1). Similarly, refluxing of DTE **1h** in toluene with DABCO in the presence of TEMPO (1 equiv) provided the desired 1,3-dithiole (**4d**) in 88% isolated yield (Scheme 5, eqn. 2). Similar results were obtained in the presence of 4,4'-di-*tert*-butylbiphenyl also.



Scheme 5. Mechanistic Studies

Although the mechanism of the transformation is not clear at this moment, on the basis of present observations and literature reports,^{22a,27} a plausible reaction scenario is outlined in Scheme 6. For the formation of 1,2-dithiole, we speculated that at first the enethiol tautomer of dithioester 1' reacts with InCl₃ generating the intermediate \mathbf{A} .^{27a} Intermediate \mathbf{A} undergoes nucleophilic attack by chloride ion to alkyl group attached to sulfur to form intermediate \mathbf{B} with elimination of alkyl chloride, which in turn converted to thermodynamically more favourable intermediate \mathbf{C} . Then intermediate \mathbf{C} could react with elemental sulphur inserting sulfur atom to generate intermediate \mathbf{D} , which undergoes intramolecular cyclization to form a negatively charged tetrahedral intermediate \mathbf{E} . Finally, intermediate \mathbf{E} produced the desired 1,2-dithiole **3** by the elimination of OInCl₂⁻ (Scheme 6a).^{27b} In case of formation of 1,3-

dithiole (Scheme 6b), the first step could involve the selective nucleophilic attack of the enethiol sulfur of DTE 1' at the α (sp²) carbon of its second molecule to give α -oxoketene dithioacetal intermediate **F**. The open-chain intermediate **F** undergoes intramolecular cyclization by the elimination of R²SH to form cyclic intermediate **G**. Subsequent oxidation of intermediate **G** gave the desired 1,3-dithiole **4**.



Scheme 6. Probable Mechanistic Routes for 3 and 4

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed an operationally simple and efficient one-pot practical methodology for the synthesis of densely functionalized sulfur-rich 1,2- and 1,3-dithiole derivatives employing a common acyclic α -enolic dithioester precursor. The outcome of this cascade reaction was effectively controlled by tailoring the choice of cheap and easily

available reagents. This one-pot approach involves the formation of new S–S and C–S bonds in contiguous fashion involving *in situ* generated open-chain intermediates followed by intramolecular heterocyclization. The relevance of this method is demonstrated by straightforward access to sulfur-rich heterocycles, which are omnipresent structural motifs in a number of biologically active compounds and functional materials. Switchable selectivity, non-toxic conditions, methodical simplicity, flexible structural modification, broad substrate scope and good functional group tolerance make this strategy practical and highly viable for future applications. The described one pot open-flask cascade chemistry is general and low cost, making this protocol a good alternative to existing ones. The presence of several functional groups at various positions of the 1,2- and 1,3-dithioles may be of special interest, as it could act as an effective chemical handle for further functionalization.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations: All the chemicals except α -enolic dithioesters are commercially available and were used as received. α -Enolic dithioesters were prepared following the literature procedure.²⁸ Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel 60 F_{254} precoated plates. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (100-200 mesh). Infrared (IR) spectra were measured in KBr, and wavelengths (v) are reported in cm⁻¹. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on NMR spectrophotometer operating at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are reported as δ in units of parts per million (ppm) downfield from SiMe₄ (δ 0.0). Coupling constant (*J*) values are given in Hertz (Hz). HPLC has been done with the help of C18 column using MeOH-CH₃CN (1:1) solvents. HRMS were measured in EI or ESI mode, and the mass analyzer of the HRMS was TOF. Melting points are uncorrected.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 3*H*-1,2-Dithiole-3-thiones (3a-p). To a mixture of α -enolic dithioester 1 (1.0 mmol) and sulfur powder 2 (4.0 mmol), InCl₃ (0.33 mmol) was added followed by heating at 90 °C till the completion of the reaction. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), water (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture followed by extraction with ethyl acetate (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated in vacuum. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate/hexane as eluent to afford pure 3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thiones 3.

Characterization Data of the Isolated Compounds:

5-Phenyl-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3a). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 92% (193 mg), Red solid, mp 125-126 °C (lit.^{29a} mp 126 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3421, 2922, 1663, 1574, 1203, 1062; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.64 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.58-7.45 (m, 3H), 7.42 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.4, 172.8, 135.8, 132.0, 131.5, 129.5, 126.8.

5-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3b). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 89% (217 mg), Red solid, mp 128-129 °C (lit.^{29b} mp 129 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2917, 1665, 1416, 1273, 1072; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.47-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.39-7.23 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.1, 168.9, 140.0, 132.3, 132.0, 130.8, 130.5, 129.9, 127.3.

5-(2-Bromophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3c). Eluent composition: 3% ethyl acetate : n-hexane; Yield: 85% (244 mg), Red solid, mp 92-94 °C; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3421, 2969, 1672, 1416, 1269, 1096; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.62 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.17 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.1, 170.6, 140.1, 134.0, 132.0, 131.8, 130.7, 127.8, 121.8. HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₉H₆BrS₃ 288.8815, found 288.8817.

5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3d). Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 88% (211 mg), Red solid, mp 113-114 °C (lit.^{29a} mp 114 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3087, 2947, 1640, 1445, 1271, 1086; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.39-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 7.11-7.06 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.2, 172.6, 160.0, 135.8, 132.6, 130.5, 119.1, 117.6, 112.1, 55.4.

5-(3-Tolyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3e). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 83% (186 mg), Red liquid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3113, 2921, 1618, 1461, 1277, 1092; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.45-7. 43 (m, 2H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.36-7.34 (m, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.4, 173.1, 139.5, 135.7, 132.9, 131.5, 129.4, 127.4, 124.0, 21.3; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₁₀H₉S₃ 224.9866, found 224.9866.

5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3f). Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 95% (228 mg), Red solid, mp 110-111 °C (lit.¹⁵ mp 111 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3446, 2924, 1619, 1459, 1259, 1083; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.0, 173.0, 162.8, 134.5, 128.5, 124.0, 114.9, 55.5.

5-(4-Tolyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3g). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 93% (208 mg), Red solid, mp 118-119 °C (lit.^{29a} mp 119 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3447, 2922, 1601, 1415, 1275, 1072; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.43 (br, 2H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.17 (br, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.2, 173.1, 143.0, 135.2, 130.1, 128.7, 126.6, 21.5.

5-(4-(*Trifluoromethyl*)*phenyl*)-*3H*-*1*,2-*dithiole*-*3*-*thione* (*3h*). Eluent composition: 3% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 85% (236 mg), Red liquid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3437, 2969, 1672, 1416, 1269, 1096; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.79-7.73 (m, 4H), 7.43 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.4, 170.1, 136.8 (q, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 133.5 (q, *J* = 32.9 Hz), 130.1, 127.2,

126.5 (q, J = 3.6 Hz), 123.3 (q, J = 270.7 Hz); HRMS $[M+H]^+$ calcd. For $C_{10}H_6F_3S_3$ 278.9584, found 278.9574.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3i). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 83% (203 mg), Red solid, mp 135-136 °C (lit.^{29a} mp 136 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2923, 1607, 1426, 1246, 1097; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.2, 171.0, 138.3, 135.9, 129.9, 129.8, 127.9.

5-(4-Bromophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3j). Eluent composition: 3% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 81% (234 mg), Red solid, mp 128-129 °C (lit.^{29a} mp 129 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3341, 2916, 1679, 1464, 1263, 1083; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.48-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.31 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.3, 171.0, 136.0, 132.8, 130.4, 128.1, 126.7.

5-(*Naphthalen-2-yl*)-3*H*-1,2-*dithiole-3-thione* (*3k*). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 91% (236 mg), Red solid, mp 68-71 °C; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3440, 2957, 1628, 1470, 1243, 1077; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.18 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 7.92-7.85 (m, 3H), 7.65-7.54 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.3, 172.7, 136.0, 134.7, 132.9, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 127.9, 127.5, 127.1, 123.3; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₁₃H₉S₃ 260.9866, found 260.9868.

5-(*Naphthalen-1-yl*)-3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3*l*). Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 80% (208 mg), Red solid, mp 140-141 °C (lit.^{29c} 140-142 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3394, 2967, 1624, 1475, 1275, 1032; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.04-7.91 (m, 3H), 7.64-7.47 (m, 2H), 7.32 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.4, 171.6, 139.8, 135.5, 131.6, 130.0, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 126.8, 124.9, 124.3.

5-(*Furan-2-yl*)-3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3*m*). Eluent composition: 3% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 88% (176 mg), Red solid, mp 109-110 °C (lit.^{29d} mp 110 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3433, 2924, 1601, 1486, 1231, 1053; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.63 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, *J*₁ = 1.5 Hz, *J*₂ = 3.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 214.0, 159.9, 146.2, 132.8, 113.3, 113.2, 113.1.

5-(*Thiophen-2-yl*)-3*H*-1,2-*dithiole-3-thione (3n)*. Eluent composition: 2% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 82% (177 mg), Red solid, mp 129-130 °C (lit.^{29b} mp 129 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3430, 2923, 1636, 1471, 1278, 1071; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.58 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 214.4, 165.0, 134.6, 133.8, 130.9, 129.1, 129.0.

5-(*Pyridin-3-yl*)-3*H*-1,2-*dithiole-3-thione (3o*). Eluent composition: 25% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 86% (181 mg), Red solid, mp 162-164 °C; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3444, 2927, 1659, 1425, 1275, 1095; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.78 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (dd, $J_1 = 1.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 9.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.43 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 215.5, 168.6, 152.8, 147.3, 136.7, 134.1, 128.0, 124.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₈H₆NS₃ 211.9662, found 211.9663.

5-Ferrocenyl-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (3p). Eluent composition: 4% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 91% (289 mg), Red solid, mp 158-159 °C (lit.^{13b} mp 157-159 °C); FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3436, 2956, 1648, 1448, 1247, 1070; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.20 (s, 1H), 4.71 (br, 1H), 4.60 (br, 2H), 4.23 (br, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 214.4, 176.6, 133.6, 133.5, 74.9, 72.2, 71.19, 71.13, 68.7, 68.6.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 1,3-Dithiol-2-ylidene (4a-l). To a mixture of α -enolic dithioester 1 (1.0 mmol) and DABCO (1.0 mmol), 5 mL of toluene was added and the reaction mixture was heated at 110 °C till the completion of the reaction. After

completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and then water (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture followed by extraction with ethyl acetate (2×10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then evaporated in vacuum. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate/hexane as eluent to afford a mixture of E- and Z-isomers of trisubstituted 1,3-dithioles **4**.

2-(4-Benzoyl-5-(methylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-phenylethanone (4a). (Mixture of Eand Z-isomers) dr 82:18, Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 90% (166 mg), Yellow solid, FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3424, 2946, 1610, 1432, 1235, 1088; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96-7.92 (m, 2H), 7.84-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.59-7.37 (m, 7H), 2.72, 2.62 (2s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.7, 184.7, 160.9, 151.9, 143.6, 138.7, 138.6, 137.5, 133.6, 132.8, 132.3, 132.2, 131.1, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 127.79, 127.72, 127.5, 126.9, 126.1, 121.1, 115.8, 104.4, 103.6, 18.8, 18.3; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₁₉H₁₅O₂S₃ 371.0234, found 371.0256.

2-(4-Benzoyl-5-(propylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-phenylethanone (4b). (Mixture of Eand Z-isomers) dr 87:13, Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 82% (163 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3435, 2929, 1654, 1447, 1254, 1079; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96-7.91 (m, 1H), 7.84-7.74 (m, 2H), 7.57-7.36 (m, 8H), 3.15-2.94 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.05-0.82 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.8, 184.5, 161.1, 138.2, 137.4, 137.3, 132.88, 132.83, 132.1, 131.9, 129.7, 128.8, 128.6, 128.56, 128.50, 128.4, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 104.2, 103.3, 37.7, 37.1, 22.7, 22.6, 13.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₁H₁₉O₂S₃ 399.0547, found 399.0550.

2-(4-(3-Methoxybenzoyl)-5-(methylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethanone (4c). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 90:10, Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : n-

hexane; Yield: 85% (183 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3081, 2923, 1603, 1410, 1262, 1057; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.51-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.41-7.33 (m, 4H), 7.16-7.05 (m, 3H), 3.86, 3.85, 3.83, 3.80 (four s, 6H), 2.71, 2.59 (two s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.1, 184.3, 160.8, 159.8, 159.6, 139.7, 138.7, 129.5, 129.4, 120.8, 120.5, 119.9, 119.8, 119.1, 119.0, 118.8, 118.6, 118.2, 112.8, 112.7, 111.9, 111.8, 104.2, 103.6, 55.3, 55.2, 18.6, 18.1; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₁H₁₉O₄S₃ 431.0445, found 431.0437.

2-(4-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-5-(methylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethano-

ne (*4d*). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 59:41, Eluent composition: 8% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 90% (193 mg), Yellow solid, FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3422, 2922, 1630, 1439, 1232, 1116; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95-7.80 (m, 4H), 7.32, 7.26 (two s, 1H), 6.97-6.91 (m, 4H), 3.88, 3.85, 3.85, 3.81 (four s, 6H), 2.66, 2.56 (two s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 184.5, 183.5, 163.56, 163.51, 162.8, 162.7, 160.17, 160.10, 132.4, 132.0, 131.2, 131.0, 130.7, 130.4, 130.2, 130.0, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.3, 128.1, 114.7, 114.2, 113.85, 113.81, 104.0, 103.3, 55.4, 55.3, 18.7, 18.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₁H₁₉O₄S₃ 431.0445, found 431.0471.

2-(4-(Isobutylthio)-5-(4-methoxybenzoyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-

one (*4e*). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 83:17, Eluent composition: 7% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 78% (184 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3436, 2924, 1678, 1496, 1252, 1066; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95-7.81 (m, 4H), 7.32, 7.31 (two s, 1H), 6.96-6.92 (m, 4H), 3.87, 3.84, 3.83, 3.79 (four s, 6H), 2.97, 2.82 (two d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.96-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.10, 1.01 (two d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 184.8, 183.3, 163.66, 163.62, 162.7, 162.6, 160.4, 145.0, 132.3, 131.4, 131.3, 131.2, 130.5, 130.4, 130.3, 130.2, 129.69, 129.60, 129.3, 124.4, 113.8, 113.7, 104.0, 103.1, 55.4, 55.3, 44.6, 43.9, 28.7, 21.76, 21.71; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₄H₂₅O₄S₃ 473.0915, found 473.0918.

2-(4-(4-Methylbenzoyl)-5-(methylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(p-tolyl)ethanone (4f). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 84:16, Eluent composition: 6% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 74% (147 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3337, 2919, 1677, 1475, 1263, 1057; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.79-7.61 (m, 3H), 7.27-7.16 (m, 6H), 2.62, 2.51 (two s, 3H), 2.35, 2.34, 2.33, 2.27 (four s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 184.4, 184.0, 160.4, 143.7, 142.9, 135.7, 134.8, 130.0, 129.7, 129.35.129.30, 129.2, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 121.4, 104.2, 103.4, 21.6, 21.5, 18.7, 18.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₁H₁₉O₂S₃ 399.0547, found 399.0576.

2-(4-(4-Methylbenzoyl)-5-(propylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(p-tolyl)ethanone (4g). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 79:21, Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 70% (150 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3426, 2922, 1618, 1471, 1236, 1067; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.87-7.67 (m, 4H), 7.36-7.15 (m, 5H), 3.10-2.95 (m, 2H), 2.42, 2.41, 2.40, 2.34 (4s, 6H), 1.79-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.11-0.88 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.1, 190.6, 185.9, 184.5, 170.3, 163.5, 161.1, 147.2, 145.7, 144.1, 143.8, 142.9, 142.8, 142.4, 141.2, 137.0, 135.9, 135.6, 135.4, 135.1, 135.0, 134.7, 133.6, 133.4, 130.1, 129.8, 129.4, 129.2, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7 127.8, 127.6, 123.9, 106.5, 104.3, 37.9, 37.3, 22.9, 22.6, 21.8, 21.7, 13.4, 13.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₃H₂₃O₂S₃ 427.0860, found 427.0890.

2-(4-(Methylthio)-5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(4-

(*trifluoromethyl*)*phenyl*)*ethanone* (*4h*). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 82:18, Eluent composition: 7% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 92% (232 mg), Yellow solid, FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3351, 2926, 1684, 1478, 1236, 1071; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.05-8.01 (m, 2H), 7.92-7.85 (m, 2H), 7.77-7.70 (m, 4H), 7.38, 7.36 (two s, 1H), 2.76, 2.66 (two s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.1, 184.3, 183.4, 182.9, 161.9, 161.7, 141.7, 140.3, 134.2, 134.0, 133.8, 133.7, 133.6, 133.4, 130.6, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 125.9, 125.89,

125.85, 125.83, 125.6, 124.8, 124.6, 122.6, 122.4, 104.3, 103.6, 18.8, 18.3; HRMS $[M+H]^+$ calcd. For C₂₁H₁₃F₆O₂S₃ 506.9982, found 507.0005.

2-(4-(4-Bromobenzoyl)-5-(pentylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(4-bromophenyl)ethanone

(4i). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 78:22, Eluent composition: 6% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 81% (236 mg), Yellow solid, FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2923, 2853, 1630, 1480, 1230, 1069; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.82-7.77 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.49 (m, 6H), 7.30, 7.26 (two s, 1H), 3.18-2.98 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.29 (m, 4H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.4, 183.4, 161.6, 136.2, 132.4, 131.97, 131.92, 131.91, 132.1, 131.2, 130.4, 130.1, 130.0, 129.18, 129.11, 128.9, 127.2, 103.9, 103.1, 36.0, 35.4, 30.7, 28.8, 22.1, 13.8; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₃H₂₁Br₂O₂S₃ 584.9050, found 584.9060.

2-(4-(2-Naphthoyl)-5-(methylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethanone (4j). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 83:17, Eluent composition: 6% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 79% (186 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3446, 2923, 1633, 1485, 1223,1087; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.43-8.32 (m, 2H), 7.98-7.83 (m, 7H), 7.57-7.50 (m, 5H), 7.29, 7.23 (two s, 1H), 2.68, 2.57 (two s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 185.4, 184.4, 160.7, 143.4, 135.6, 135.2, 135.1, 134.7, 133.9, 132.9, 132.6, 132.2, 131.7, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.79, 128.73, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.2, 127.0, 126.8, 126.6, 126.4, 124.6, 124.2, 123.8, 123.2, 115.9, 104.5, 18.7, 18.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₇H₁₉O₂S₃ 471.0547, found 471.0570.

2-(4-(1-Naphthoyl)-5-(ethylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethanone (4k). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 87:13, Eluent composition: 5% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 74% (180 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3424, 2924, 1627, 1478, 1231, 1116; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.79, 8.36 (two d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.08-7.89 (m, 7H), 7.667.22 (m, 8H), 7.15-6.99 (m, 1H), 3.22-3.06 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.8, 186.8, 170.6, 136.9, 134.8, 134.2, 134.1, 134.0, 133.7, 131.7, 131.2, 130.7, 130.3, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 127.2, 127.0, 126.8, 126.3, 126.1, 125.6, 125.5, 124.9, 124.7, 124.6, 124.3, 110.9, 108.6, 30.1, 14.4, 14.1; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₂₈H₂₁O₂S₃ 485.0704, found 485.0705.

2-(4-(Furan-2-carbonyl)-5-(propylthio)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene)-1-(furan-2-yl)ethanone (4l). (Mixture of E- and Z-isomers) dr 88:12, Eluent composition: 6% ethyl acetate : *n*-hexane; Yield: 81% (153 mg), Sticky solid; FT IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3426, 2924, 1661, 1465, 1258, 1076; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.71-7.66 (m, 1H), 7.56-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.28-6.97 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.49 (m, 2H), 3.22-3.00 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.15-0.97 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 178.6, 177.0, 174.2, 174.1, 170.7, 169.3, 164.2, 161.4, 154.9, 153.3, 153.2, 152.5, 151.9, 151.8, 148.5, 146.7, 146.5, 145.5, 145.3, 143.3, 139.4, 135.9, 132.8, 122.0, 119.7, 119.2, 118.0, 116.0, 115.4, 114.8, 113.1, 113.0, 112.6, 112.5, 112.4, 106.0, 103.9, 103.5, 39.8, 38.1, 22.8, 22.6, 13.5, 13.2; HRMS [M+H]⁺ calcd. For C₁₇H₁₅O₄S₃ 379.0132, found 379.0158.

Experimental Details for the Crossover Experiment:

A mixture of α -enolic dithioester **1a** (0.5 mmol) and **1h** (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of toluene followed by addition of DABCO (1.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 110 °C till the completion of the reaction. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and then water (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture followed by extraction with ethyl acetate (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then evaporated under vacuum. From the crude residue major and separable spots were purified by column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate/hexane as eluent.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Full experimental details, analytical and spectroscopic data (copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR). X-ray structures and crystallographic information files (PDF & CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <u>http://pubs.acs.org</u>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*M.S.S.: fax, (+91)-542-236-8127; tel, (+91)-542-670-2502;

E-mail: mayashankarbhu@gmail.com; mssingh@bhu.ac.in

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M.S.S. is grateful to Prof. H. Ila, JNCASR, Bangalore for her discussion and suggestions. The authors gratefully acknowledge the generous financial support from the Science and Engineering Research Board, New Delhi (SB/S1/OC-30/2013) and the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (02(0072)/12/EMR-II), New Delhi.

REFERENCES

(1) Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, Issue 5.

(2) (a) Hughes, J. M.; Murad, F.; Chang, B.; Guerrant, R. L. *Nature* 1978, 271, 755. (b) Thirion, D.; Poriel, C.; Etivier, R. M.; J. Rault-Berthelot, Barriére, F.; Jeannin, O. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 10272. (c) Kowada, T.; Kuwabara, T.; Ohe, K. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010, *75*, 906. (d) Kawano, T.; Inai, H.; Miyawaki, K.; Ueda, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, *46*, 1233 and references cited therein. (e) Pouchain, L.; Aleveque, O.; Nicolas, Y.; Oger, A.; Régent, C.-H. L.; Allain, M.; Blanchard, P.; Roncali, J. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, *74*, 1054. (f) Zhang, X.; Li, X.;

Fan, X.; Wang, X.; Li, D.; Qu, G.; Wang, J. Mol. Diversity 2009, 13, 57. (g) Ingall, A. H. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II; Katritzky, A. R.; Rees, C. W.; Scriven, E. F. V.
Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 5, p 501.

(3) (a) Barreau, M.; Cotrel, C.; Jeanmart, C. Ger. Offen. Patent 2, 627, 211; *Chem. Abst.* **1977**, 86, 121373. (b) Barreau, M.; Cotrel, C.; Jeanmart, C. Ger. Offen. Patent 2, 705, 641; *Chem. Abst. Chem. Abst.* **1977**, 87, 15217. (c) Prochaska, H. J.; Yeh, Y.; Baron, P.; Polsky, B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1993**, 90, 3953. (d) He, X.; Reeve, A. M.; Desai, U. R.; Kellogg, G. E.;
Reynolds, K. A. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2004**, 48, 3093.

(4) (a) Kensler, T. W.; Groopman, J. D.; Roebuck, B. D.; Curphey, T. J. ACS Symp. Ser. **1994**, 546, 154. (b) Maxiutenko, Y. Y.; Libby, A. H.; Joyner, H. H.; Curphey, T. J.; MacMillan, D. L.; Kensler, T. W.; Roebuck, B. D. Carcinogenesis **1998**, 19, 1609. (c) Oteino, M. A.; Kensler, T. W.; Guyton, K. Z. Free Radical Biol. Med. **2000**, 28, 944. (d) Begleiter, A.; Lange, L. Int. J. Oncol. **2002**, 20, 835.

(5) (a) Smith, A. W.; Arif, J. M.; Gupta, R. C. *Int. J. Cancer* 2001, *91*, 132. (b) Drukarch,
B.; Schepens, E.; Stoof, J. C.; Langeveld, C. H. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1997, 329, 259.

(6) (a) Yus, M.; Najera, C.; Foubelo, F. *Tetrahedron* 2003, *59*, 6147. (b) Grobel, B.-T.;
Seebach, D. *Synthesis* 1977, 357. (c) Seebach, D. *Synthesis* 1969, 17. (d) Bulman Page, P. C.;
van Niel, M. B.; Prodger, J. C. *Tetrahedron* 1989, *45*, 7643. (e) Fushun, L.; Jiqing, Z.; Jing,
T.; Liu, Q. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2006, *348*, 1986.

(7) (a) Yoshida, Z.; Sugimoto, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 1573. (b) Moore, A. J.;
Bryce, M. R.; Batsanov, A. S.; Green, A.; Howard, J. A. K.; McKervey, M.; McGuigan, A.
P.; Ledoux, I.; Ortí, E.; Viruela, R.; Viruela, P. M.; Tarbit, B. J. Mater. Chem. 1998, 8, 1173.
(c) Yamashita, Y.; Tomura, M.; Zaman, M. B.; Imaeda, M. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1657. (d)
Perez, E. M.; Sierra, M.; Sanchez, L.; Torres, M. R.; Viruela, R.; Viruela, P. M.; Orti, E.;
Martin, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1847. (e) Hou, Y.; Long, G.; Sui, D.; Cai, Y.;

Wan, X.; Yu, A.; Chen, Y. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10401. (f) Konstantinova, L. S.;
Rakitin, O. A.; Rees, C. W. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2617. (g) Wang, F.; Cai, S.; Wang, Z.; Xi,
C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3202. (h) Nakano, K.; Tatsumi, G.; Nozaki, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2007, 129, 15116. (i) Shi, L.; Liu, X.; Zhang, H.; Jiang, Y.; Ma, D. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4200.

(8) (a) For a review, see: Prochaska, H. J.; Chavan, S. J.; Baron, P.; Polsky, B. J. Cell. Biochem. 1995, 117. (b) Khanna, S.; Sen, C. K.; Roy, S.; Christen, M. O.; Packer, L. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1998, 56, 61. (c) Roebuck, B. D.; Curphey, T. J.; Li, Y.; Baumgartner, K. J.; Bodreddigari, S.; Yan, J.; Gange, S. J.; Kensler, T. W.; Sutter, T. R. Carcinogenesis 2003, 24, 1919. (d) Zhang, Y.; Gordon, G. B. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2004, 3, 885. (e) Munday, R.; Zhang, Y.; Munday, C. M.; Li, J. Chem. Biol. Interact. 2006, 160, 115.

(9) (a) Jia, Z.; Zhu, H.; Misra, H. P.; Li, Y. *Brain Res.* 2008, *1197*, 159. (b) Jia, Z.; Misra,
B. R.; Zhu, H.; Li, Y.; Misra, H. P. *Neurotoxicology* 2009, *30*, 1. (c) Zhu, H.; Jia, Z.; Zhou,
K.; Misra, H. P.; Santo, A.; Gabrielson, K. L.; Li, Y. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 2009, *234*, 418. (d) Liu,
X. Y.; Li, C. Y.; Bu, H.; Li, Z.; Li, B.; Sun, M.-M.; Zhang, L.; Tian, M.; Fan, Z. L.; Ren, W.
B. *Brain Res. Bull.* 2010, *83*, 345. (e) Munday, R.; Zhang, Y.; Paonessa, J. D.; Munday, C.
M.; Wilkins, A. L.; Babu, J. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010, *53*, 4761. (f) Nguyen-Ba, N.; Brown, W.
L.; Chan, L.; Lee, N.; Brasili, L.; Lafleur, D.; Zacharie, B. *Chem. Commun.* 1999, 1245.

(10) (a) Frere, P.; Belyasmine, A.; Gouriou, Y.; Jubault, M.; Gorgues, A.; Duguay, G.;
Wood, S.; Reynolds, C. D.; Bryce, M. R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1995**, *132*, 975. (b) Nguyen,
T.-T.; Gouriou, Y.; Salle, M.; Frere, P.; Jubault, M.; Gorgues, A.; Toupet, L.; Riou, A. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1996**, *133*, 301.

(11) (a) Mysyk, D. D.; Perepichka, I. F.; Perepichka, D. F.; Bryce, M. R.; Popov, A. F.;
Goldenberg, L. M.; Moore, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6937. (b) Perepichka, D. F.;
Perepichka, I. F.; Bryce, M. R.; Moore, A. J.; Sokolov, N. I. Synth. Met. 2001, 121, 1487.

(12) For reviews, see: (a) Pedersen, C. T. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1982, 31, 63. (b)
Pedersen, C. T. Sulfur Rep. 1995, 16, 173.

(13) (a) Pedersen, C. T. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1982, 31, 63. (b) Curphey, T. J.; Joyner,
H. H. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6461. (c) Curphey, T. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 9963. (d)
Curphey, T. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 371. (e) Aimar, M. L.; Kreiker, J.; de Rossi, R. H.
Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1947. (f) Brown, D. A.; Betharia, S.; Yen, J.-H.; Tran, Q.;
Mistry, H.; Smith, K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2014, 24, 5829.

(14) Curphey, T. J.; Joyner, H. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3703.

(15) Curphey, T. J. Libby.; H. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6977.

(16) Timoshenko, V. M.; Bouillon, J.-P.; Shermolovich, Y. G.; Portellaa, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5809.

(17) (a) Pan, L.; Bi, X.; Liu, Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 1251. (b) Zhang, J.; Zhao, Y.;

Li, D.; Liang, F.; Liu, Q. Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 3077. (c) Yates, P.; Williams, L. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1968, 9, 1205. (d) Yates, P.; Eenkhorn, J. A. *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 3159.
(e) Zapoĺskii, V. A.; Namyslo, J. C.; Gjikaj, M.; Kaufmann, D. E. Synlett. 2007, 1507. (f) Halimehjani, A. Z.; Maleki, H.; Saidi, M. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, 50, 2747. (g) Wedel, T.; Podlech, J. Synlett. 2006, 2043. (h) Yavari, I.; Beheshti, S. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2011, 94, 831.

(18) (a) Dölling, W.; Khoudary, K.; Augustin, M.; Baumeister, U.; Hartung, H. *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 4399. (b) Huang, H.-M; Li, Y.-J; Yang, J.-H; Ye, Q.; Han, L.; Gao, J.-R. *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 5221. (c) Timokhina, L. V.; Sokoĺnikova, O. V.; Kanitskaya, L. V.; Voronkov, M. G. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 45, 466.

(19) (a) Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, G.; Gericke, K. M. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006. (b) Pellissier, H. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 442. (c) Domino Reactions: Concepts for Efficient Organic Synthesis, Tietze, L. F. (Ed.) Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2014.

(20) (a) Nandi, G. C.; Chanda, T.; Singh, M. S. *RSC. Adv.* 2013, *3*, 14183 and references therein. (b) Wen, L.-R.; Li, Z.-R.; Li, M.; Cao, H. *Green Chem.* 2012, *14*, 707. (c) Wen, L.-R.; Yuan, W.-K.; Li, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2015, *80*, 4942. (d) Nandi, G. C.; Singh, M. S.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 967.

(21) (a) Nandi, G. C.; Samai, S.; Singh, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 8009. (b) Koley, S.;
Chanda, T.; Ramulu, B. J.; Chowdhury, S.; Anand, N.; Singh, M. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 1195. (c) Ramulu, B. J.; Nagaraju, A.; Chowdhury, S.; Koley, S.; Singh, M. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 530.

(22) (a) Chowdhury, S.; Chanda, T.; Koley, S.; Ramulu, B. J.; Jones, R. C. F.; Singh, M. S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5386. (b) Ramulu, B. J.; Nagaraju, A.; Chowdhury, S.; Koley, S.; Singh, M. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 2593. (c) Ramulu, B. J.; Chowdhury, S.; Nagaraju, A.; Koley, S.; Anand, N.; Singh, M. S. Synthesis 2015, 47, 1510.

(23) (a) Chowdhury, S.; Nandi, G. C.; Samai, S.; Singh, M. S. *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 3762.
(b) Chowdhury, S.; Chanda, T.; Koley, S.; Anand, N.; Singh, M. S. *Org. Lett.* 2014, *16*, 5536 and references therein.

(24) (a) Deng, H.; Li, Z.; Ke, F.; Zhou, X. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 4840. (b) Nguyen, T.
B.; Ermolenko, L.; Retailleau, P.; Al-Mourabit, A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 13808.
(c) Liao, Y.; Peng, Y.; Qi, H.; Deng, G.-J.; Gong, H.; Li, C.-J. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 1031.

(25) Wen, L.-R.; Men, L.-B.; He, T.; Ji, G.-J.; Li, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 5028.

(26) The crystallographic coordinates have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre; deposition nos. CCDC 1403897 (3f) and CCDC 1062786 (4a).
These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Rd., Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK or via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html.

(27) (a) Bouillon, J.-P.; Shermolovich, Y. G.; Portella, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42,

2133. (b) Adams, H.; Hughes, A. J.; Morris, M. J.; Quenby, S. I. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2014, 55, 5283.

(28) Samuel, R.; Asokan, C. V.; Suma, S.; Chandran, P.; Retnamma, S.; Anabha, E. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 8376.

(29) (a) Pedersen, B. S.; Lawesson, S.-O. *Tetrahedron* 1979, *35*, 2433. (b) Mishra, P.
K.; Mishra, S. C.; Mohapatra, R. M.; Mittra, A. S. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* 1979, *56*, 404. (c)
Jian, C.; Guizhen, A.; Jia, J. *PCT Int. Appl.* 2014, WO 2014029197 A1. (d) Zayed, S. E.; ElMaghraby, A. M.; Hassan, E. A. *Phohphorous, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* 2014, *189*, 1682.