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#### Abstract

Sulphostin, a novel dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) inhibitor, was isolated from the culture broth of Streptomyces sp. MK251-43F3. Determination of the absolute configurations of two asymmetric atoms using the natural product was not achieved due to the small amount of the compound obtained. We synthesized four possible stereoisomers of sulphostin from D- or L-ornithine and compared their physicochemical and biological data to naturally isolated sulphostin. As a result, the absolute configurations at C-3 and the phosphorus atom of sulphostin were determined to be S and R , respectively, by X-ray crystallography. Synthetic sulphostin and its C-3 epimer have strong inhibitory activities against DPP-IV, IC ${ }_{50}$ values of which are 6.0 and $8.9 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Thus it appears that the configuration of the phosphorus atom is primarily responsible for the activity; in contrast, the configuration of C-3 does not appear to affect the activity.


Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV, CD26, EC 3.4.14.5) is a cell-surface serine exopeptidase that selectively cleaves dipeptides from the N -terminus of polypeptide, provided that proline or alanine is the penultimate residue. ${ }^{1}$ This enzyme cleaves several important polypeptides such as RANTES (regulated on activation, normal T cells expressed and secreted), ${ }^{2}$ substance $P,{ }^{3}$ and glucagon-like peptide-1 ( $7-36$ amide, GLP-1) ${ }^{4}$ in vitro. GLP-1 is one of the most noteworthy insulin-releasing hormones and is inactivated by DPP-IV. Therefore, some DPP-IV inhibitors were examined extensively in vivo,5,6 and clinical trials were carried out to examine their use as a type 2 diabetic agent. 7,8 In the immune system, CD26, which is identical to DPP-IV, is expressed primarily on the surface of T cells and was shown to be a thymocyte-costimulating antigen in several studies. ${ }^{9,10}$ DPP-IV inhibitors are therefore also expected to be therapeutic agents for immune-related disorders. ${ }^{11,12}$

We recently isolated a strong DPP-IV inhibitor called sulphostin from the culture broth of Streptomyces sp. MK251-43F 3 (Figure 1). ${ }^{13}$ Isolated sulphostin showed a clear primary signal at 6.0 ppm and a minor signal at 6.3 ppm in the ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectrum, indicating the existence of a stereoisomer. We assumed that the 6.0 ppm resonance in the ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectrum was the signal of sulphostin and the 6.3 ppm resonance in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum was the signal of the stereoisomer that occurred by epimerization of sulphostin during the isolation process. ${ }^{13}$ Because the amount of the product isolated from the culture broth was small and it was a mixture of stereoisomers, a detailed investigation of the configuration of sulphostin has not been performed. We report herein on the synthesis of four possible stereoisomers of sulphostin and the determination of the absolute configuration of the natural product by a comparison of NMR data and the DPP-IV inhibitory activities of the four synthetic compounds, in which the configurations were determined by X-ray crystallography.

## Results

Synthesis. Sulphostin and its stereoisomers consist of an ornithine lactam and a characteristic amino(sulfo-
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Figure 1. Structure of sulphostin.
amino)phosphinyl group. The synthesis of the amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl group has not been reported yet. We considered that this functional group could be introduced by two-step reactions: diaminophosphinylation with phosphoryl chloride followed by treatment with ammonia and then sulfonation with sulfur trioxide. The separation of the diastereomers generated from the asymmetric phosphorus atom was expected to be possible by the usual chromatography techniques.

The synthetic method used in obtaining the four possible stereoisomers of sulphostin is shown in Scheme 1. F or the synthesis of sulphostin and/or its stereoisomers 7a and 8a, in which the C-3 configuration is S, L-ornithine hydrochloride was used as the starting material. L-Ornithine hydrochloride was esterified by thionyl chloride in methanol to give l-ornithine methyl ester dihydrochloride (2a) and then cyclized into the Iactam by neutralization with sodium hydrogen carbonate. The amino group was then protected as a urethane with benzyl chloroformate. Subsequent reaction of the lactam amide of (3S)-3-benzyl oxycarbonyl-amino-2-piperidinone (3a) with n-butyllithium, then with phosphoryl chloride, followed by liquid ammonia, resulted in 4a. Treatment of compound 4a with pyridine/sulfur trioxide complex, ${ }^{14}$ followed by sodium hydrogen carbonate, gave the diastereomers $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$. The separation of this mixture of diastereomers was performed by Diaion HP20SS column chromatography. However, this separation procedure proved to be much more difficult than originally antici pated, and the procedure had to be repeated in order to obtain optically pure material. Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography was carried out using water $-30 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water as eluent, detecting by reversed-phase HPLC analysis, and the desired compounds were collected in the fractions of $20-25 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water. Almost all the fractions were mixtures of 5a and $\mathbf{6 a}$ in different ratios. Therefore,

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Sulphostin and Its Three Stereoisomers



Reagents; (i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, (ii) (a) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, (b) $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, (iii) (a) n-BuLi, (b) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, (c) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, (iv) (a) $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-Pyr., (b) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, (c) separation, (v) (a) 1-phenylethylamine- HCl , (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} /$ Pd-black
the fractions were roughly divided into 8 fraction groups based on the ratio of diastereomers $5 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$, and then each fraction group was resubjected to chromatography. The procedure was repeated to give each diastereomer 5a and $\mathbf{6 a}$ of $>90 \%$ de. Owing to the poor separation of sodium salts using column chromatography, we used organic chiral amine salts, expecting good separation and facile desalination in the final process. (S)-(-)-1-Phenylethylamine was selected as an organic amine for purification of each diastereomer. Each enriched diastereomer 5a and 6a was treated with (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride to give the (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt of $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$, which was applied to Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography. The column was eluted with water-70\% $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water, and the ammonium salt was collected with 45-60\% $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water. The chromatography was repeated once more, and sodium chloride and excess (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride could be removed; however, the optical purity was not significantly improved. Therefore, a further chromatographic separation was required, and column chromatography using Sephadex LH-20 eluted with MeOH was carried out. Deprotection of the optically pure (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt was carried out with catalytic palladium-black under hydrogen atmosphere. The target compounds 7a and 8a were obtained after the removal of (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine with Diaion HP20SS col umn chromatography and recrystallization. Singlecrystal X-ray structure analyses of the synthetic compounds 7a and 8a gave their absolute configurations as $3 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and 3S, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$, respectively (Figure 2).

Similarly, the compounds $\mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$, for which the C-3 configuration is R , were synthesized from D-ornithine hydrochloride (1b) as a starting material and by using (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine as an organic amine for the purification.

In Vitro Assay for DPP-IV. DPP-IV was prepared from rat kidney homogenate by ammonium sulfate precipitation (30-80\%). ${ }^{15}$ The inhibitory activity of the synthetic compound was determined by minor modification of the method of Oya et al. ${ }^{15}$ Gly-Pro- $\beta$-naphthylamide was used as a substrate, and the absorbance at 525 nm of the chromophore complex of $\beta$-naphthylamine, which was hydrolyzed from the substrate, was measured.

Table 1. ${ }^{31 P}$ NMR and DPP-IV IC $5_{50}$ Values of Synthetic Compounds

| compound | configuration <br> $(\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{P})$ | 31P NMR <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7a | $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}$ | 6.03 | 6.0 |
| 8a | S, S | 6.31 | 100000 |
| 7b | R, R | 6.29 | 8.9 |
| 8b | R,S | 6.01 | 24000 |

## Discussion

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR and DPP-IV inhibitory activity were measured for the four synthetic compounds, and the
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Figure 2. X-ray structures of compounds 7a and 8a.
data were compared with those of the natural product (Table 1). The synthetic compounds $\mathbf{7 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ showed a signal at 6.0 ppm in the ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectra, and these signals were compatible with the primary signal of natural sulphostin. Similarly, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were comparable. In contrast, ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectra of $\mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 a}$ showed a signal at 6.3 ppm , and these signals were compatible with the minor signal. As for the DPP-IV inhibitory activity, 7a and $\mathbf{7 b}$ showed significant activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6.0 and $8.9 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. In contrast, $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ exhibited only marginal activity. From these results, the natural product called sulphostin, which showed a primary signal at 6.0 ppm in the ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectrum, was found to be identical with the synthetic compound 7a in a physicochemical and biological comparison. Therefore, the absolute configuration of sulphostin was determined to beC-3S and phosphorus R, respectively.

From the structure-activity relationship between the stereochemistry of the synthetic compound and DPP-IV inhibition, it seems that the C-3 configuration does not appreciably affect the enzyme inhibitory activity. In contrast, the stereochemistry of phosphorus is definitive: only the compounds with $R$ configuration exhibit inhibitory activity, and the compounds with S configuration seem to have no activity. K nown synthetic DPP-IV inhibitors such as Xaa-2-cyanopyrrolidine, ${ }^{16}$ Xaa-boroPro, ${ }^{17}$ and Pro-pyr-rolidine-2-PO(OAr) $2^{18}$ have a common Xaa-2-substituted pyrrolidine structure. They have important asymmetric atoms on the pyrrolidine ring. It is remarkable that sulphostin has a crucial asymmetric atom outside of the piperidinone ring. Because sulphostin and its C-3 epimer have strong inhibitory activity, they are expected to be excellent drug candidates.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. The melting points were determined with a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus. Optical rotations were measured with a PerkinElmer Model 241 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Horiba FT-200 FT infrared spectrometer. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded with a J E OL GX-400 spectrometer or a J EOL J NM-A500 spectrometer. HRESIMS spectra were measured with a J EOL JMS-T100LC.
(3S)-3-Benzyloxycarbonylamino-2-piperidinone (3a). To methanol ( 1.2 L ) was added thionyl chloride ( $69.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.949$ mol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solution was stirred for 20 min . To the solution was added L-ornithine hydrochloride ( $80.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.474$ mol ) by dividing into 4 portions, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 19 h . After the reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was crystallized by trituration in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{n}$-hexane, and the collected crystals were washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give L-ornithine methyl ester dihydrochloride. To a solution of the resultant ester salt in water ( 1.0 L ) was added $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(119.56 \mathrm{~g}, 1.423$ mol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by dividing into several portions, and the mixture was stirred for 15 h at room temperature. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(59.78 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.712 mol ), THF ( 0.50 L ), and benzyl chloroformate ( 81.3 mL , 0.569 mol ) were added to this solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{n}-$ hexane and was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-n-hexane to give 3 a $(105.60 \mathrm{~g})$ in $90 \%$ yield from L-ornithine hydrochloride: mp $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{23_{\mathrm{D}}}-18.5^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3357$, 3203, 1716, $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.28-$ $7.38(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-1), 5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CHNHCOO}), 5.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$,
$11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 3.23-3.33 (2H, m, H-6), 2.48 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 1.80-1.96 (2H, m, H-5), 1.61 ( 1 H, tdd, J $=11.2,12.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 156.4$ ( NHCOO ), $136.4(\mathrm{Ph}), 128.5(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 128.1(\mathrm{Ph} \times 3), 66.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2-}\right.$ Ph), 51.7 (C-3), 41.7 (C-6), 27.7 (C-4), 21.0 (C-5); HRESIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 271.1053[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 271.1059$ ); anal. C $62.80 \%$, H $6.51 \%, \mathrm{~N} 11.23 \%$, cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, C 62.89\%, H 6.50\%, N 11.28\%.
(3R )-3-Benzyloxycarbonylamino-2-piperidinone (3b). The synthetic method was similar to that noted for compound 3a. d-Ornithine hydrochloride ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 23.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with thionyl chloride ( $3.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 40 mL ) to give D-ornithine methyl ester dihydrochloride. The resultant ester salt was treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5.98 \mathrm{~g}, 71.2$ mmol ) for cyclization at first, then with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.99 \mathrm{~g}, 35.6$ mmol ) and benzyl chloroformate ( $4.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for protection to give 3b ( 4.60 g ) in $78 \%$ yield from d-ornithine hydrochl oride: $\mathrm{mp} 100.5-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{23 \mathrm{D}}+18.5^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{1.0}$,MeOH ); IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3356,3205,1716,1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.27-7.37(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.37$ (1H, br s, H-1), 5.79 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, CHNHCOO), $5.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.9,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.26-3.34(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 2.49$ (1H, m, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.83-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5), 1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,12.7$, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.4(\mathrm{C}-2), 156.4$ ( NHCOO ), $136.4(\mathrm{Ph}), 128.5(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 128.1(\mathrm{Ph} \times 3), 66.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ Ph), 51.7 (C-3), 41.7 (C-6), 27.7 (C-4), 21.0 (C-5); HRESIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 271.1054[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}, 271.1059$ ).
(3S)-3-Benzyloxycarbonylamino-1-diaminophosphinyl-2-piperidinone (4a). To a solution of compound 3 a ( 40.00 g , 0.161 mol ) in anhydrous THF ( 450 mL ) was slowly added 1.54 M n-butyllithium solution in n-hexane ( $99.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.152 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 45 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the mixture was added a solution of phosphoryl chloride ( $15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.161 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred for 45 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of Iiquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.679 \mathrm{~mol})$, the mixture was stirred for 5 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature. To the reaction mixture was added saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. After water was added to the solution until the aqueous layer turned clear, the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted 3 times with a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and MeOH (19:1). The combined organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The solid residue was washed with a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) to give $4 \mathrm{a}(19.63 \mathrm{~g})$ in $37 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 155-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-15.4^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, MeOH); IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3344,3296,3253$, 1699, 1645, $1211 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.41$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHNHCOO}$ ), $7.29-7.41$ ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.03 $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PNH}_{2}\right), 4.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PNH}_{2}\right)$, 4.10 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 3.59 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}$ ), 3.47 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 2.02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 1.72-1.82 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 1.63 (1H, m, H-4b); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 172.9$ (C-2), 156.0 ( NHCOO ), $137.0(\mathrm{Ph}), 128.2(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2)$, $127.7(\mathrm{Ph} \times 3$ ), $65.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $51.2(\mathrm{C}-3)$, $42.6(\mathrm{C}-6), 25.9(\mathrm{C}-4), 21.2(\mathrm{C}-5)$; HRESIMS m/z $349.1032[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{P}$, 349.1042); anal. C $47.26 \%$, H $5.87 \%$, N 16.66\%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P} \cdot 1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 47.20 \%$, H 5.94\%, N 16.94\%.
(3R)-3-Benzyloxycarbonylamino-1-diaminophosphinyl-2-piperidinone (4b). The synthetic method was similar to that noted for compound $\mathbf{4 a}$. Compound $\mathbf{3 b}(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with 1.55 M n-butyllithium solution in n -hexane ( $9.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phosphoryl chloride ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7$ mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 10.0 mL ), followed by liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ $(2.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 95.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give $\mathbf{4 b}(1.50 \mathrm{~g})$ in $29 \%$ yield: mp $155-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+15.4^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, MeOH); IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3342$, 3296, 3251, 1699, 1645, $1211 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHNHCOO}), 7.30-7.39(5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 5.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PNH}_{2}\right), 4.16(2 \mathrm{H}$, br s, PNH 2 ), $4.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, H-6a), 3.47 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 2.02 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 1.74-1.80 ( 2 H , m, H-5), 1.63 (1H, m, H-4b); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 173.0 (C-2), 156.1 (NHCOO), 137.0 (Ph), 128.3 (Ph $\times 2$ ), 127.79 $(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 127.76(\mathrm{Ph}), 65.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 51.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 42.7(\mathrm{C}-6), 26.0$
(C-4), 21.2 (C-5); HRESIMS m/z $349.1035[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{P}, 349.1042$ ).
(3S, $R_{P}$ )-1-Amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-3-benzyloxy-carbonylamino-2-piperidinone Sodium Salt (5a) and (3S,Sp)-1-Amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-3-benzyloxycar-bonylamino-2-piperidinone Sodium Salt (6a). To a solution of compound $\mathbf{4 a}(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 40 mL , dried with molecular sieves $4 \AA$ ) was added $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyridine complex ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at $6-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the mixture were added water ( 50 mL ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 38.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred for 5 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 200 mL ) and was applied to a Diaion HP-20SS ( 500 mL ) column. The column was washed with water (1000 mL ) and then was eluted with water-30\% MeOH/water at room temperature. The eluate was monitored by UV (254 nm) and was collected so that the weight of each fraction was 15 g. Each UV absorbing fraction was analyzed by reversedphase HPLC. The desired compounds were collected in the fractions of $20-25 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water, and compound $\mathbf{6 a}$ eluted slightly earlier than 5a. The absorbing fractions were roughly divided into eight fraction groups based on the ratio of the diastereomer; the ratio (6a:5a) of each fraction group was about $>20: 1,10: 1,4: 1,2: 1,1: 2,1: 4,1: 10$, and $1:>20$, respectively. Each fraction group except the groups of $>20: 1$ and 1:> 20 was applied to Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography again, and each fraction was divided as mentioned above. Then, the fraction groups of analogous diastereomer ratio were combi ned and applied to column chromatography again. This procedure was repeated until sufficient amounts of concentrated diastereomer fractions were obtained. Evaporation of the fraction groups of $>20: 1$ and 1:>20 under reduced pressure gave diastereomers 5a and 6a of >90\% de, respectively: 5a ( $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ yield) and $\mathbf{6 a}(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%$ yield). To prepare analytical specimens of $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$, each optically pure (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt, which was purified in the next step, was changed into the sodium salt with sodium hydrogen carbonate and then purified by Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography (water-30\% MeOH/water). The physi cochemical data were obtained by using each resultant specimen.

Physicochemical data of 5a: $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-65.5^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3386,3303,1726,1647,1219,1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.39-7.48$ ( $\left.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.12-5.21(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, m, H-6a), 3.61 (1H , m, H-6b), 2.20 (1H , m, H-4a), 2.02 (1H, m, H-5a), 1.90 (1H, m, H-5b), 1.76 (1H, tdd, J $=11.7,9.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.6$ (C-2), 161.1 (NHCOO), 139.2 (Ph), 131.7 (Ph $\times 2$ ), 131.3 (Ph), $130.6(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2)$, $70.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.8$ (C-3), 47.4 (C-6), $28.5(\mathrm{C}-4), 23.3(\mathrm{C}-5)$; HRESIMS m/z $451.0427[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7^{-}}$ PS, 451.0429); reversed-phase HPLC analysis, retention time 14.0 min (conditions: column, Senshu Pak PEGASIL ODS $(4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm})$; column temperature, $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; eluent, $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid(aq)/MeOH, 70:30; flow rate, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; detection, UV 205 nm).

Physicochemical data of 6a: $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+27.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3404,3323,1705,1246,1057 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.39-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 5.12-5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~b}), 2.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.75-2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{H}-5)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.5(\mathrm{C}-2), 160.8(\mathrm{NHCOO}), 139.2$ (Ph), $131.7(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 131.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 130.6(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 70.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 55.0 (C-3), 48.0 (C-6), 29.1 (C-4), 23.9 (C-5); HRESIMS m/z $451.0432[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{PS}$, 451.0429); reversed-phase HPLC analysis, retention time 12.6 min (conditions were similar to those described for compound 5a).
(3R,R $\mathbf{P}_{\text {}}$ )-1-Amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-3-benzyloxy-carbonylamino-2-piperidinone Sodium Salt (5b) and (3R,Sp)-1-Amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-3-benzyloxycar-bonylamino-2-piperidinone Sodium Salt (6b). The synthetic method was similar to that noted for compounds 5a and 6a. Compound $\mathbf{4 b}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ pyridine complex ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resultant diastereomer was separated to give 5 b $(0.176 \mathrm{~g})$ in $11 \%$ yield and $\mathbf{6 b}(0.195 \mathrm{~g})$ in
$12 \%$ yield. To prepare analytical specimens of $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, each optically pure (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine salt, which was purified in the next step, was changed into the sodium salt with sodium hydrogen carbonate and then purified by Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography (water-30\% MeOH/water). The physicochemical data were obtained by using each resultant specimen.

Physicochemical data of 5b: $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-25.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3408,3294,1705,1248,1057 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.38-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 5.12-5.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}), 3.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~b}), 2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.77-2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{H}-5)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 160.8$ (NHCOO), 139.3 (Ph), $131.7(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 131.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 130.7(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 70.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 55.1 (C-3), 48.1 (C-6), 29.2 (C-4), 24.0 (C-5); HRESIMS m/z $451.0428[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{PS}, 451.0429$ ); reversed-phase HPLC analysis, retention time 12.7 min (conditions were similar to those described for compound 5a).

Physicochemical data of 6b: $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+63.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{0.5}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3384,3303,1720,1647,1217,1039 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.39-7.49$ (5H, m, Ph), 5.11-5.21 (2H, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, m, H-6a), 3.58 (1H, m, H-6b), 2.17 (1H, m, H-4a), 1.98 (1H, m, $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{a}$ ), 1.86 (1H, m, H-5b), 1.73 (1H, tdd, J $=12.2,8.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 178.0(\mathrm{C}-2), 161.0(\mathrm{NH}-$ COO), $139.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 131.7(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 131.3(\mathrm{Ph}), 130.6(\mathrm{Ph} \times 2), 70.0$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.9$ (C-3), 47.2 (C-6), 28.8 (C-4), 23.4 (C-5); HRE SIMS m/z $451.0413[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7^{-}}$ PS, 451.0429); reversed-phase HPLC analysis, retention time 14.0 min (conditions were similar to those described for compound 5a).
(3S, $R_{P}$ )-3-Amino-1-amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-2-piperidinone (7a). A mixture of (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 5a (90\% de, $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 4.0 mL ) was applied to a Diaion HP-20SS ( 200 mL ) column. The column was eluted with water $-70 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water at room temperature, and the (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt of 5a was collected in the fractions of $45-60 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ water. The fractions including the ammonium salt of 5a were combined and then evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was applied to a Diaion HP20SS ( 200 mL ) column once more. After evaporation of the fractions under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in MeOH ( 10 mL ) and was divided into 6 portions. Each portion was applied to a Sephadex LH-20 ( 200 mL ) column, which was developed with MeOH . Then, the fractions of insufficient optical purity were combined and repeatedly subjected to similar column chromatography. The delayed fractions containing pure material ( $>99 \%$ de) were combined and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the optically pure (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt of 5 a (0.88 g). ${ }^{19}$ To a solution of the (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt of 5a (0.88 g, 1.67 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(18.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Pd-black ( 0.18 g ), and the suspension was stirred for 10 h at room temperature under hydrogen atmosphere. After the Pdblack was removed by filtration, the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a residue, which was purified by Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography (water). The solid residue was recrystallized from water/EtOH to give 7a (0.35 g) in $65 \%$ yield: $m p 203-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-21.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.0 , $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3510,3355,3250,1658,1545,1308,1188$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.82$ ( 1 H, tdd, $\mathrm{J}=5.1,13.0,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}), 3.70(1 \mathrm{H}$, tdd, J = 5.1, 13.0, 6.7 Hz, H-6b), $2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 2.14(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{a}), 1.89-2.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~b})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 172.4$ (C-2), 51.3 (C-3), 45.4 (C-6), 24.2 (C-4), 20.5 (C-5); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 6.03; HRESIMS m/z $271.0278[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS}$, 271.0266); anal. C 20.41\%, H 4.96\%, N 19.44\%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}$ 20.69\%, H 5.21\%, N 19.30\%.
(3S,Sp)-3-Amino-1-amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-2-piperidinone (8a). The synthetic method was similar to that described for compound 7a. A mixture of (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 3.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound $\mathbf{6 a}$ ( $90 \%$ de, $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 2.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified to give the optically
pure (S)-(-)-1-phenyl ethylamine salt of $\mathbf{6 a}(0.81 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{20}$ The (S)-(-)-1-phenylethylamine salt of $\mathbf{6 a}(0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with Pd-black $(0.080 \mathrm{~g})$ under hydrogen atmosphere. After the Pd-black was removed by filtration, the filtrate was purified by Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography and was recrystallized from water/EtOH to give 8a ( 0.27 g ) in $38 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 210-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+43.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} 3612,3382,3300,1664,1498,1308,1192 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.62-$ $3.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=12.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.98-$ 2.12 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 1.93 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}=12.2,9.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.4(\mathrm{C}-2), 51.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 45.7$ (C-6), 24.6 (C-4), 20.9 (C-5); ${ }^{31}$ P NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 6.31$; HRESIMS m/z 271.0277 [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS}$, 271.0266); anal. C $20.79 \%$, H 5.10\%, N 19.59\%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 20.69 \%, \mathrm{H} 5.21 \%$, N 19.30\%.
(3R, $R_{P}$ )-3-Amino-1-amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-2-piperidinone (7b). The synthetic method was similar to that described for compound 7a. A mixture of (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride ( $176.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.116 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound $\mathbf{5 b}$ ( $90 \%$ de, $176.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.411 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified to give the optically pure (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine salt of $\mathbf{5 b}$ (153.0 mg ). The (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine salt of $5 \mathbf{b}$ ( 145.0 mg , 0.275 mmol ) was treated with Pd-black ( 14.5 mg ) under hydrogen atmosphere. After the Pd-black was removed by filtration, the filtrate was purified by Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography and was recrystallized from water/EtOH to give 7b ( 41.5 mg ) in $37 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 208-211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ;[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}$ $-43.6^{\circ}$ (c $0.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} 3610,3386,3300,1664,1498$, $1308,1192 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 1 H NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 11.7, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 3.67-3.72 (2H, m, H-6), 2.42 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=$ $12.2,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}), 1.96-2.11$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 1.90 ( 1 H , tdd, J $=12.2,9.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 172.4$ (C-2), 51.3 (C-3), 45.7 (C-6), 24.6 (C-4), 20.9 (C-5); ${ }^{31}$ PNMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.29$; HRESIMS m/z 271.0288 [M - H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS}, 271.0266$ ); anal. C $20.40 \%$, H $5.03 \%$, N $19.42 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 20.69 \%, \mathrm{H} 5.21 \%, \mathrm{~N}$ 19.30\%.
(3R,SP)-3-Amino-1-amino(sulfoamino)phosphinyl-2-piperidinone (8b). The synthetic method was similar to that described for compound 7a. A mixture of (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine hydrochloride ( $200.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.269 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound $\mathbf{6 b}$ ( $90 \%$ de, $195.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.456 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified to give the optically pure (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylami ne salt of $\mathbf{6 b}$ (174.5 mg ). The (R)-(+)-1-phenylethylamine salt of $\mathbf{6 b}(161.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.306 mmol ) was treated with Pd-black ( 16.0 mg ) under hydrogen atmosphere. After the Pd-black was removed by filtration, the filtrate was purified by Diaion HP-20SS column chromatography and was recrystallized from water/EtOH to give $8 \mathbf{8 b}(74.6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $61 \%$ yield: $\mathrm{mp} 203-209{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec); $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}$ $+21.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3518,3400,3255,1658,1545$, $1306,1190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 3.81 ( 1 H, tdd, J $=4.9,12.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}$ ), 3.68 ( 1 H, tdd, J $=4.9,12.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 2.40 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{a}$ ), 2.14 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{a}$ ), $1.87-2.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-4 \mathrm{~b}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{~b}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 172.4$ (C-2), 51.3 (C-3), 45.4 (C-6), 24.2 (C-4), 20.5 (C-5); ${ }^{31}$ P NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 202 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.01$; HRESIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 271.0273$ [M - H] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS}, 271.0266$ ); anal. C $20.41 \%$, H $5.10 \%$, N 19.26\%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 20.69 \%$, H $5.21 \%$, N $19.30 \%$.

In Vitro Assay for DPP-IV Inhibitory Activity. Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane/maleic acid buffer solution ( 0.1 $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 7.2,100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 3.2 mM Gly-Pro- $\beta$-naphthylamide ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, Bachem, Switzerland), and an aqueous solution ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of the synthetic compound were added into 96 -well microplates. The resultant solution was warmed for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the DPP-IV solution ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to the solution. The combined solution was allowed to react for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was terminated by adding a solution ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of $0.2 \%$ F ast Garnet GBC salt (Sigma) in 0.5 M sodium citrate buffer solution (pH 3.78) including 10\% polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitan monolaulate (Wako, J apan). The absorbance at 525 nm was measured (value a). Simultaneously, the absorbance of the reaction mixture without the synthetic compound solution was measured (value b). M oreover, the absorbance of the reaction
mixture without the DPP-IV solution was measured, respectively (value a' and value b'). The DPP-IV inhibitory rate (\%) was calculated by $\left[\left(b-b^{\prime}\right)-\left(a-a^{\prime}\right) /\left(b-b^{\prime}\right)\right] \times 100$. From the inhibitory curve, taking inhibitory rate (\%) at various concentrations of the synthetic compound on the ordinate and the logarithm of concentrations of the synthetic compound on the abscissa, the concentration for $50 \%$ inhibition was obtained. In all cases, a linear relation was observed between $20 \%$ and $80 \%$ inhibition. For example, the inhibitory rate of compound 7 a against $0.5,1.0,2.0,4.0,8.0,16.0,32.0$, and 64.0 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ was $15.9,20.2,30.6,41.9,54.4,66.9,77.4$, and $84.5 \%$, respectively. At this time, the $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ value of 7 a was $6.1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Furthermore, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 7 a was measured 5 times, affording values of $5.8,6.1,6.3,5.5$, and $6.1 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. The concentrations of compounds $\mathbf{7 b}, \mathbf{8 a}$, and $\mathbf{8 b}$ for $50 \%$ inhibition were obtained by a similar method, and this procedure was repeated 3 times or more. Then, each average was shown as the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of the synthetic compound.
X-ray Diffraction Analysis. Compound 7a. A single crystal was obtained from a sol ution of water/ethanol. Crystal data for 7a: col orless prisms ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); mol ecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, formula weight 290.23, orthorhombic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$, $a=9.805$ (1) $\AA, b=16.427$ (2) $\AA, c=7.261(1) \AA, V=1169.5(2) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\text {calc }}=1.648$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, \mathrm{~F}_{000}=608.00, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=40.47 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2400$ measured intensities, 1204 unique ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.009$ ). The intensity data were collected on a Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer using graphitemonochromated $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ) radiation and a rotating anode generator with the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $130.1^{\circ}$. Cell constants were refined from 25 well-centered reflections in the range $57.8^{\circ}<2 \theta<59.8^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR92 ${ }^{21}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares refinement. The final leastsquares cyd e was based on 1204 unique reflections, $\mathrm{R}=0.072$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.115$, and $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.039 .{ }^{22}$ The absolute configuration of the molecule was determined on the basis of Flack's parameter, $-0.040(33)$.
Compound 8a. A single crystal was obtained from a solution of water/2-propanol. Crystal data for 8a: colorless prisms ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PS} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, formula weight 290.23, orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$, $a=9.9694(8) ~ \AA, b=15.337$ (1) $\AA$, $c=7.585(1)$ $\AA, V=1159.7(2) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\text {calc }}=1.662 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, F_{000}=608.00$, $\mu(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=40.82 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2600$ measured intensities, 1163 unique ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.033$ ). The intensity data were collected on a Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer using graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ) radiation and a rotating anode generator with the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $130.3^{\circ}$. Cell constants were refined from 25 well-centered reflections in the range $56.3^{\circ}<2 \theta<59.7^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR92 ${ }^{21}$ and refined by fullmatrix least-squares refinement. The final least-squares cycle was based on 1204 unique reflections, $R=0.041, R_{w}=0.063$, and $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.040 .{ }^{22}$ The absolute configuration of the molecule was determined on the basis of Flack's parameter, $-0.139-$ (35).
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