Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

**Applied Catalysis A: General** 



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apcata

# An atom-economic reaction for synthesis of 1-phenoxy-2-propanol over $Al_2O_3/MgO$

# Yongbo Zhang, Bin Lu, Xiaoguang Wang, Jingxiang Zhao, Qinghai Cai\*

Modern Experiment Center, Key Lab for Design & Synthesis of Functionalized Materials and Green Catalysis, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Harbin Normal University, No. 1 Shida South Road Limin Development Zone, Harbin 150025, PR China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 February 2011 Received in revised form 30 August 2011 Accepted 11 September 2011 Available online 16 September 2011

Keywords: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO composite Propylene oxide 1-Phenoxy-2-propanol Propanediol phenyl ether

# 1. Introduction

Glycol ether is an important chemical widely used as an industrial solvent for coating materials, printing ink, leather dyeing, etc. Because of the low toxicity of propylene glycol ether, it is expected as a safe substitute for toxic ethylene glycol ether. As one of the most important propylene glycol ethers, propanediol phenyl ether (or phenoxy propanol) is widely used as green coating assistant to remarkably modify the properties of leveling, gloss and richness due to its characteristics of nonvolatile, solvency for resins and good compactness of the formed coatings, etc., as well as a chemical building block for the manufacture of propylene glycol ether acetate. In addition, 1-phenoxy-2-propanol (1-PhP) is a useful anaesthetic for gastropods used in neurophysiology [1]. There are several methods for synthesis of propylene glycol ether. Among them, propylene oxide route is the most convenient and industrially feasible in terms of atom-economy and energy-efficiency. In this process, propylene oxide reacts with a substrate containing hydroxyl group to form propylene glycol ether catalyzed by acid or base catalysts, including earlier homogeneous acids or bases, such as NaOH, alcoholic sodium, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and BF<sub>3</sub>, etc., [2,3] and later solid acids or bases, e.g. acidic zeolites [4], Mg/Al Hydrotalcite [5], ZnMgAl-mixed oxides [6], MgO [7] and amine modified porous silica [8], etc. There are a few of investigations on synthesis of 1-PhP from phenol and propylene oxide [9,10]. In recent years, our group

# ABSTRACT

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO materials with various Mg/Al molar ratios were prepared and characterized by XRD, FT-IR, SEM and BET analysis. These materials were used as catalysts for synthesis of 1-phenoxy-2-propanol (1-PhP) from phenol and propylene oxide as compared with some oxides, i.e. MgO, CaO, ZnO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, etc. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO with Al/Mg molar ratio of 1.5% exhibited outstanding catalytic performance with 98.2% conversion and 99.3% selectivity to 1-PhP at 120 °C for 5 h. This catalyst can be easily recovered and reused due to its heterogeneous catalytic nature.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

has disclosed highly selective synthesis of propylene glycol ether from methanol and propylene oxide catalyzed by basic ionic liquid [11]. As our continuous research work, here we wish to report the synthesis of 1-PhP over  $Al_2O_3/MgO$  via an atom-economic reaction. The simply prepared catalyst with low cost performed excellent catalytic performance for synthesis of 1-PhP and the results were reported in this paper.

## 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Catalyst preparation

MgO was purchased from Guangfu Institute of Fine Chemicals in Tianjin of China and directly used as catalyst in the reaction without any pretreatments.

20 g of MgO and aqueous solution containing 0.3 mol/L Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (13–54 mL) were charged into a three-neck flask. The mixture was maintained at 80 °C for 1 h under stirring, and then aged statically at the same temperature for another 12 h. At that moment, the resultant mixture was changed to be viscous, followed by dried at 100 °C for 12 h. Thereafter, the solid was calcined at 300 °C for 4 h in a furnace and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO with different molar ratios was obtained after cooling to room temperature.

MgO (s1) was synthesized as following procedure: ammonia (25%) was slowly dropped into 0.1 mol/L MgCl solution (6 g MgCl in  $1 L H_2O$ ) in a flask to reach at pH 10 of the solution with stirring. The mixture solution was continuously stirred for 30 min, and then aged for 2 h. The white solid obtained by filtration of the mixture



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 451 88060580; fax: +86 451 88060580. *E-mail address:* qinghaic@yahoo.com.cn (Q. Cai).

<sup>0926-860</sup>X/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.apcata.2011.09.010



Fig. 1. XRD pattern of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MgO composite.

solution was dried at 100  $^{\circ}$ C, followed by calcined at 300  $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h to afford MgO (s1).

MgO (s2) was synthesized through the same method for  $Al_2O_3/MgO$  preparation. 10 g of MgO was charged into 10 mL water in a three-neck flask. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 1 h, and then aged statically at the same temperature for 12 h, followed by dried at 100 °C for 12 h. Thereafter, the solid was calcined at 300 °C for 4 h in a furnace to afford MgO (s2).

#### 2.2. Catalyst characterization

The XRD of the samples was performed on a Bruker-D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (40 kV and 36 mA). The morphologies of the samples were observed by a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscopy (SEM). FT-IR spectra of the samples were recorded on vertex 80 infrared spectrometer (Brucker Company). The surface area of the catalyst was determined by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption using NOVA 2000e surface area and pore size analyzer. The elemental analysis of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MgO was made on ICP-MS (Angilent Company).

#### 2.3. Catalysis test

All the reactions were carried out in a sealed stainless-steel autoclave (500 mL) equipped with a mechanical stirrer and an electric heater. In a typical procedure, 30.1 g (0.32 mol) of phenol, 30 mL(0.5 mol) of propylene oxide and 1.5 g of catalyst were added into the reactor. After purging for 5 min with N<sub>2</sub> flow, the mixture was heated to the desired temperature under stirring. After the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and filtered to remove the catalyst. The liquid samples were analyzed by GC and GC-MS. The catalyst was washed with ethanol, then dried and used for the next run. The conversion and yield was calculated on the basis of phenol.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Catalyst characterization

Fig. 1 illustrates XRD patterns of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO, MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. As compared with that of MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the composite material prepared from magnesium and aluminum salts is mainly composed of MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as shown in the figure and the characteristic diffraction peaks of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at  $2\theta$  = 48.9° and 55.2° were observed, although there is a little content of Al in the composite (1.5% mol/mol, denoted as AlMg-1.5). Therefore, this material was confirmed to be mixed phase of MgO and  $Al_2O_3$  with a higher crystalline.

In order to investigate the surface properties for the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO composite, the morphology of the composites with different calcined temperatures was observed with SEM (Fig. 2). A mixture of thin flat and needle-like crystals was formed. The size in needle width was less than 100 nm and it was uniformly dispersed as shown in Fig. 2a and d, which is beneficial to its catalytic performance for the addition reaction. With the increase in calcination temperature the surface morphology was obviously changed. The crystalline was reduced and the thin flat and needle crystals began to aggregate at calcination temperature of 400 and 500 °C (Fig. 2b and c). As a result, the surface area of the composite AlMg-1.5 was remarkably decreased from 84.6 to 66.7 m<sup>2</sup>/g with increase in calcination temperature from 300 to 500 °C. This dropped area may cause the decline of the catalytic performance.

IR spectrum of AlMg-1.5 was recorded with pressed KBr pellet in the  $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  region (Fig. 3). The high frequency region showed a broad band at about  $3431.0\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$  and a narrow band at 3699.8 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which are likely assigned to hydrogen stretching mode of hydroxyl groups in M–OH or M–H<sub>2</sub>O. Especially, the narrow band is attributed to stretching vibration of the isolated surface OH groups. The vibration of the isolated OH groups on the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO composite was almost disappeared in the FT-IR spectrum of the sample after being calcined at 500 °C. Since the band positions in the infrared spectrum are reciprocally related to the bond strength of the cation to oxygen and the influence of divalent and trivalent metal on hydroxyl group is very different, therefore, the broad and narrow band can be predominantly assigned to stretching vibration of Mg–OH and Al–OH, respectively [12]. The bands observed at 1636.9 and 1381.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> are respectively ascribed to bending vibration mode of these two groups. The shoulder bands at 567.0 and 652.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> could be assigned to translation modes of the hydroxyl groups mainly influenced by the trivalent aluminum but probably influenced by  $Mg^{2+}$  in its coordination [12]. Besides, the appearance of absorption band at 422.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> is characteristic of lattice vibrations of Mg or Al octahedral ( $\delta$  O–M–O) [5].

#### 3.2. Catalytic performance

#### 3.2.1. Catalytic performances of various catalysts

Table 1 summarized the catalytic activities of various oxides and their composites, such as MgO, CaO, ZnO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, KCl-KOH-MgO, CaO-ZnO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ZnO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-MgO with different BET surface area for the reaction of PO with phenol at 120°C for 5 h. As can be seen, MgO exhibited a good activity for the reaction, giving 84.4% conversion and 98.5% selectivity to 1-PhP (entry 1). The synthesized MgO (s1) and MgO (s2) basically presented similar catalytic performance to the purchased MgO (entries 2 and 3). KCl-KOH-MgO showed nearly the same activity as MgO although it possesses stronger basicity than MgO (entry 4). Conversely, CaO and its composite CaO-ZnO represented very low activity for the reaction, suggesting that the strong basicity is suppressive for the reaction (entries 5 and 6). However, the oxides ZnO, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and their composite Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ZnO with approaching neutrality or weak acidity exhibited lower activity (entries 7-9). It was known that few weak basic sites were presented on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, while a large amount of moderate basic sites were presented on MgO and strong basic sites on CaO [4]. This implied that the moderate basic sites on the catalyst surface played a key role for the reaction. Furthermore, the composite oxides Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO with different Al/Mg molar ratios were found to have high activity and selectivity for the addition reaction. The composite Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO with Al/Mg molar ratio 1.5%, denoted as AlMg-1.5, exhibited highest activity (entry 10), but when the Al/Mg molar ratio was above 1.5% the catalytic activity was slightly



Fig. 2. Morphology of AlMg-1.5.

dropped (entries 11–13). These phenomena indicated that a small amount of acidic sites on the composite should also promote the activating action to the reactants. In other words, the reaction was carried out to generate target product by means of mutual action of basic and acidic sites on the catalyst surface. This appropriate acid–base balance on the catalyst surface is vital for highly selective synthesis of 1-PhP, whereas BET surface area was almost independent on the catalytic activity of the catalysts composed of various oxides (entries 1–9). For the catalysts possessing the same component, higher treatment temperature for the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO composites caused the decrease of the catalytic activity (entries 14 and 15), which is probably due to the change of the surface basicity or acidity and BET surface area.

Table	1		
-------	---	--	--

Catalytic per	formance of	various	catalysts.
---------------	-------------	---------	------------

Entry	Catalysts	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)	Yield (%)	BET area (m²/g)	Al/Mg ratio (ICP-MS)
1	MgO	84.4	98.5	83.1	80.9	
2	MgO(s1)	80.8	98.1	79.3	85.2	
3	MgO(s2)	84.1	98.8	83.1	99.1	
4	KCl-KOH-MgO	83.2	96.9	80.6	61.4	
5	CaO	27.1	81.1	22.0	110.8	
6	CaO-ZnO	34.2	76.3	26.1	97.1	
7	ZnO	66.0	97.6	64.4	104.5	
8	$Al_2O_3$	48.9	99.7	48.8	120.1	
9	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZnO	59.8	98.9	59.1	109.1	
10	AlMg-1.5 <sup>a</sup>	98.2	99.3	97.5	84.6	0.0152
11	AlMg-0.8 <sup>a</sup>	90.8	98.7	89.6	228.3	0.00898
12	AlMg-2.5 <sup>a</sup>	94.5	98.7	93.3	94.0	0.0257
13	AlMg-4.0 <sup>a</sup>	92.8	98.4	91.3	141.5	0.0409
14	AlMg-1.5 <sup>b</sup>	91.3	98.1	89.6	77.4	0.0151
15	AlMg-1.5 <sup>c</sup>	86.2	97.4	84.0	66.7	0.0154

AlMg-0.8: n(Al)/n(Mg) = 0.008; AlMg-1.5: n(Al)/n(Mg) = 0.015.

AlMg-2.5: n(Al)/n(Mg) = 0.025; AlMg-4.0: n(Al)/n(Mg) = 0.040.

<sup>a</sup> 300 °C calcined.

 $^{b}\,$  400  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  calcined.

<sup>c</sup> 500 °C calcined.

It was reported that PO and methanol were adsorbed on the active centers of MgO, and the oxygen in the methanol and PO ring interacted with the surface cations ( $Mg^{2+}$ ) combining to form methoxide and propylene-like species [4]. The addition of methanol to alkenes species might occur, resulting in the formation of 1-methoxy-2-propanol. As an alternative for methanol, phenol possesses stronger acidity than methanol and it easily adsorbs on the surface of the oxides to form phenoxide, which is advantageous to the synthesis of PhP-1. Therefore, the basic sites were needed for phenol dehydrogenation to form phenoxide, whereas the acidic sites were needed to stabilize the phenoxide. As far as CaO was concerned, it showed low catalytic activity because the acid strength of Ca<sup>2+</sup> cation was too weak to stabilize the phenoxide species.



Contrarily, Al<sup>3+</sup> cation possesses stronger acid strength than Ca<sup>2+</sup>, as well as Mg<sup>2+</sup>. It would strongly interact with the oxygen in PO and phenol molecules and stabilize the phenoxide species. As a result, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO exhibited high activity and selectivity for the reaction.

#### 3.2.2. Influence of various reaction conditions

Fig. 4 showed the catalytic performance of AlMg-1.5 at different temperatures. A raise in temperature led to a rapid increase in the conversion of phenol during the temperature raised from 80 to 120 °C, indicating that an increase in temperature can greatly accelerate the synthetic reaction in terms of reaction kinetics. When the temperature was sequentially increased from 120 to 140 °C the conversion almost retained constant. At the same time, a high selectivity of 99.0% was achieved over the temperature range from 80 to 120 °C. A slightly reduced selectivity was found in the temperature range from 120 to 140°C, showing that high temperature is disadvantageous to the selectivity to 1-PhP, probably caused by side reactions taking place. Comparatively, MgO(s2) prepared from the same method as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/MgO showed lower activity at different temperature. However, the selectivity to 1-PhP is almost the same as that obtained by using AlMg-1.5, which suggested that the addition of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to MgO only enhances the catalytic activity of the catalyst and has not an influence on the selectivity to 1-PhP.



Fig. 4. Effect of reaction temperature on the synthesis of 1-PhP.



Fig. 5. Dependence of conversion and selectivity on the reaction time.

The dependence of phenol conversion and selectivity to 1-PhP on the reaction time was investigated in the range of 1–9 h using AlMg-1.5 as catalyst (Fig. 5). The conversion was greatly increased with reaction time, achieving 98.2% conversion after 5 h. Beyond 5 h, the conversion retained unchangeable when the reaction proceeded, suggesting that the thermodynamics equilibrium of the reaction was achieved at this moment. Under all these conditions the selectivity retained over 99.0%. Also, high selectivity to 1-PhP was almost retained for MgO (s2) catalyst although the conversion was much lower than that for AlMg-1.5. The thermodynamic equilibrium was achieved at 8 h with 80.8% conversion.

Fig. 6 exhibited the effect of the PO/phenol molar ratio on the catalytic performance. As expected, the conversion of phenol obtained over AlMg-1.5 was greatly increased up to 98.2% with increasing the molar ratio to 1.5:1, and then it was decreased to 93.2% at 2.5:1. At the ratio 1.5:1, the highest selectivity (99.1%) was also achieved. An evident decline of the conversion was likely due to dilute effect of excess PO to phenol. At this moment the excess PO almost did not affect the selectivity. When using MgO (s2) as the catalyst, the similar results to the above cases were obtained. The optimal PO/phenol ratio was also achieved at 1.5:1.

In short, the effect of various reaction conditions on the synthesis of 1-PhP was investigated and the optimal operational



Fig. 6. Effect of PO/phenol molar ratio on the reaction.

Table 2		
Reaction of PO with other	alcohols and	hydroxybenzene.

Entry	Catalysts	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)	Yield (%)
1	Methanol	50.6	74.0	37.4
2	Ethanol	51.1	72.3	37.2
3	Propanol	42.3	81.3	34.4
4	Isopropanol	41.2	75.6	31.1
5	Butanol	58.2	66.1	38.5
6	Benzyl alcohol	39.2	79.9	31.3
7	Cresol	95.4	98.1	93.6

Table 3

Reusability of the catalyst.

Times	Conversion (%)	Selectivity (%)	Yield (%)
1	98.2	97.7	95.9
2	95.6	97.4	93.1
3	94.5	95.6	90.3
4	94.3	95.5	90.1

conditions for the system were obtained: reaction temperature 120 °C; amount of the catalyst 1.5 g; PO/phenol molar ratio 1.5:1 and reaction time 5 h. 98.2% conversion and 99.3% selectivity to 1-PhP were achieved under this optimal reaction conditions. As compared with AlMg-1.5, the catalytic performance of MgO (s2) under various reaction conditions was also tested. The results showed that the addition of Al<sup>3+</sup> actually promotes the catalytic activity of the catalyst, which is likely attributed to its acidity and stability to phenoxide as stated in the above section.

#### 3.3. Reaction of PO with other alternatives

When other alcohols and phenol derivative such as methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol, benzyl alcohol, isopropanol and cresol were used as alternatives of phenol, the etherification reactions were also investigated in the presence of AlMg-1.5 as catalyst under the same reaction conditions (Table 2). Low conversions (39.2-51.1%) and selectivity (66.1-81.3%) to the corresponding products with fatty alcohols such as methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol, isopropanol and benzyl alcohol were achieved. It is noteworthy that the benzyl alcohol possesses low activity for its reacting with PO even though it is widely considered as one of relatively higher reactivity among alcohol oxidation reactions. However, the catalyst AlMg-1.5 showed high activity and selectivity for the reaction of cresol with PO. Clearly, the conjugate effect of the benzene ring to hydroxyl group is very important for its etherification with PO over AlMg-1.5.

## 3.4. Reusability of the catalyst

To further evaluate the performance of the catalyst, reuse experiments of the catalyst were carried out. The catalyst was separated by filtration at the end of the reaction and then reused for the next run under the same conditions. The results indicated that the activity of the catalyst was almost unaffected even at the forth run (Table 3). This finding implied that the catalyst can be efficiently recovered and recycled. The surface morphology of the reused catalyst was almost unchanged (Fig. 7) and its XRD pattern was the same as that of the fresh one (not list here). In addition, the leaching of AlMg-1.5 was investigated after the reaction. Only 0.094% Mg<sup>2+</sup> in the reaction mixture was found. This implied that the leaching



Fig. 7. SEM of reused AlMg-1.5.

amount of MgO in the process of the reaction is negligible and the catalytic process is really heterogeneous catalysis.

#### 4. Conclusions

MgO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was prepared and used as a catalyst for the synthesis of 1-PhP via an atom-economic reaction of propylene oxide (PO) with phenol. MgO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exhibited outstanding catalytic performance with 98.2% conversion and 99.3% selectivity to 1-PhP at 120 °C for 5 h. The high activity and selectivity was ascribed to acid–base balance on the surface of the oxide composite. Especially, the basic sites with medium strength play a key role for the reaction taking place on the surface. At the same time, the conjugate effect of the benzene ring to hydroxyl group is also very important for the etherification of phenol and its derivative with PO. However, the catalyst is cheap, simply prepared, easily recovered and reused that shows its highly potential application foreground in industry.

# Acknowledgments

We make a great acknowledgement for the financial support of this work by the Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province, China (nos. ZD200820-01 and B200814).

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.apcata.2011.09.010.

#### References

- R.C. Wyeth, R.P. Croll, A.O.D. Willows, A.N. Spencer, J. Neurosci. Methods 176 (2009) 121–128.
- [2] H.A. Pecorini, J.T. Banchero, Ind. Eng. Chem. 48 (1956) 1287-1297.
- [3] H.C. Chitwood, B.T. Freurec, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 68 (1948) 680–683.
- [4] W.Y. Zhang, H. Wang, Q.B. Li, Q.N. Dong, N. Zhao, W. Wei, Appl. Catal. A: Gen.V 294 (2005) 188–196.
  [4] W.Y. Zhang, H. Wang, C. Zhao, W.Y. Yao, C. Zhao, W. Ku, Appl. Catal. A: Gen.V 294 (2005) 188–196.
- [5] H.Y. Zeng, Y.J. Wang, Z. Feng, K.Y. You, C. Zhao, J.W. Sun, P.L. Liu, Catal. Lett. 137 (2010) 94–103.
- [6] W. Cheng, W. Wang, Y. Zhao, L. Liu, J. Yang, M. He, Appl. Clay Sci. 42 (2008) 111-115.
- [7] W.Y. Zhang, H. Wang, W. Wei, Y.H. Sun, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 231 (2005) 83–88.
  [8] X. Zhang, W. Zhang, J. Li, N. Zhao, W. Wei, Y.H. Sun, Catal. Commun. 8 (2007)
- 437-441.
- [9] S.G. Zhang, S.L. Wu, Y.Z. Lin, J.M. Ye, Fine Chem. 18 (2001) 741-743 (in Chinese).
- [10] W.P. Cheng, W.J. Wang, Y.C. Zhao, L. Liu, L.L. Shao, J.G. Yang, J. Chem. Ind. Eng. 58 (2007) 3072-3076 (in Chinese).
- [11] C.Y. De, Q.H. Cai, X.G. Wang, J.X. Zhao, B. Lu, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 86 (2011) 105–108.
- [12] J.T. Kloprogge, R.L. Frost, J. Solid State Chem. 146 (1999) 506–515.