

Expeditious Synthesis of Imidazole- and Pyrrole-Fused Benzodiazocines^[‡]Amita Mishra^[a] and Sanjay Batra*^[a]**Keywords:** Nitrogen heterocycles / Reductive cyclization

A straightforward strategy for the synthesis of imidazole-fused benzodiazocine from 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde via Morita–Baylis–Hillman reaction followed by reductive intramolecular cyclization is described. Alternatively the Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction of this substrate with triethyl phosphonoacetate yielded (*E*)-ethyl 3-(1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)acrylate which upon sequential reduction, saponification and amide coupling fur-

nished imidazole-fused diazocinones. On the other hand, 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde failed to undergo the Morita–Baylis–Hillman reaction but successfully yields (*E*)-ethyl 3-(1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-pyrrol-2-yl)acrylate via Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction which through a similar series of reaction as for imidazole produced pyrrole-fused diazocinone in good yields.

Introduction

The nitrogen-containing eight-membered benzo-fused ring system azocine and diazocine are structural elements of several pharmacologically active compounds.^[1] The synthesis of these ring systems continues to be a challenging task because they cannot be readily prepared via traditional methods of heterocyclizations.^[2] Therefore expeditious and general strategies towards generation of such heterocycles are required and as a consequence their synthesis remains an active area of research. Basavaiah et al. reported the synthesis of benzoazocine framework using Morita–Baylis–Hillman (MBH) chemistry.^[3] In our continuing studies related to the exploitation of the MBH chemistry for the synthesis of scaffolds of pharmacological significance, we envisaged the synthesis of annulated benzodiazocines using this reaction as one of the key steps. Indeed the synthesis of 1,4-diazocine ring system which have been comprehensively reviewed by Varvounis and co-workers in their report related to pyrrolo[2,1-*c*][1,4]benzodiazocines indicates that the approach embarked by us is novel.^[4] In principle, a C–N coupling between 2-fluoronitrobenzene and imidazole-2-carbaldehyde would afford a 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde which upon MBH reaction followed by reductive cyclization would result in imidazole-annulated benzodiazocines. Alternating the protocol with 2-fluoronitrobenzene and pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde is expected to afford pyrrole-annulated benzodiazocine. Although we have

successfully accomplished the synthesis of tetrahydrobenzo[*b*]-imidazo[1,2-*d*][1,4]diazocines in good yields, we came across several interesting observations during the present study which prompts us to report our results herein.

Results and Discussion

Our protocol commenced with the preparation of the required aldehydes **1** and **2** by coupling 2-fluoronitrobenzene or 2-fluoro-4-methyl-nitrobenzene and imidazole-2-carbaldehyde, respectively, in the presence of K₂CO₃ in DMF at room temperature.^[5c] Initial optimization studies were performed using **1** as the starting substrate. The reaction of **1** with methyl acrylate in the presence of DABCO under neat condition at room temperature was complete in 2 h to afford the required adduct **3a** in 70% yields. Reduction of the nitro group in **3a** was investigated with a mixture of Fe/AcOH and In/HCl simultaneously. Treatment of **3a** with Fe/AcOH under heating at 90 °C for 20 min resulted in complete consumption of the starting material. Purification of the reaction mixture gave a product in 74% yields which was spectroscopically established to be 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzo[*b*]imidazo[1,2-*d*][1,4]diazocine **5a**. On the other hand reduction in the presence of In/HCl at room temperature was complete within 15 min but the purification of crude yielded **5a** in 40% yields only. Formation of **5a** under both conditions implied that reduction of the aromatic nitro group leads to amino group which invariably induce regioselective Michael reaction onto the double bond. Interestingly, the coupling constant for the *CHOH* proton indicated that the product consisted of the *trans* isomer exclusively. The structure of the product was unambiguously secured by X-ray analysis of **5a** (Figure 1).^[6] Subsequently the MBH reaction of **1** and **2** was carried out with different acrylates, acrylonitrile and methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) to

[‡] CDRI Communication No. 7939

[a] Medicinal and Process Chemistry Division, Central Drug Research Institute, CSIR, P. O. Box 173, Lucknow 226001, UP, India
Fax: +91-522-2623405
E-mail: batra_san@yahoo.co.uk
s_batra@cdri.res.in

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201000355>.

obtain **3b–f** and **4a,e** in good yields. Notably, reactions with *n*Bu acrylate and *tert*-butyl acrylate were observed to be sluggish whereas the reaction of MVK was performed in a mixture of dioxane/water (1:1). In view of better results achieved with Fe/AcOH, substrates **3b–f** and **4a,e** were subjected to reductive cyclization in the presence of this reagent. Fortunately, all reactions were complete within 20 min resulting in the corresponding products **5b–f** and **6a,e** in 68–70% yields, thereby establishing the generality of the protocol (Scheme 1). The ¹H NMR spectroscopic data for all compounds indicated that they had similar relative stereochemistry as **5a**.






Figure 1. Crystal structure of **5a** at 35% probability level.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i) imidazole-2-carbaldehyde, K₂CO₃, dry DMF, room temp., 2 h; ii) acrylate, acrylonitrile, MVK or 2-cyclohexen-1-one, DABCO, neat or dioxane/H₂O, room temp., 2–48 h; iii) Fe/AcOH, 90 °C, 20 min.

The structure of **5b** is further supported by a NOESY experiment. In this experiment no effect was observed between the *CHOH* and *CH*-EWG proton, thereby, confirming the *trans* geometry of the product. The scope of the strategy was also evaluated with the MBH adduct **7** furnished via reaction between **1** and 2-cyclohexen-1-one in the presence of DABCO in a mixture of dioxane/water. It was pleasing to find that the reduction of **7** in the presence of Fe/AcOH successfully furnished the desired product **8** in 70% yields.


In order to diversify the range of products, next we employed the acetyl derivative **9** prepared from **3b** for similar intramolecular cyclization. Unfortunately this reaction resulted in a mixture of products which could not be separated and characterized. This outcome was attributed to highly unstable nature of **9**. Mechanistic considerations invoked us to investigate the reduction in compounds **10** and **11** which were readily afforded from **9**. It was anticipated that positioning the double bond in the side chain differently in these substrates may allow the intramolecular carbamate formation to precede the Michael reaction thereby resulting in fused benzodiazocinone. Consequently **9** was subjected to reaction with NaBH₄ in S_N2'- and S_N2-fashion to afford compounds **10** and **11**, respectively following reported procedure (Scheme 2).^[7] Compound **10** was obtained as a mixture of *E* and *Z* isomers. Without separating these isomers, **10** was subjected to reduction in the presence of Fe/AcOH. This reaction yielded a product which was characterized to be **12** instead of the expected fused benzodiazocinone. The structure of **12** was in alignment with the reported melting point and spectroscopic data.^[5] Perhaps due to aromaticity of the imidazole ring, the Michael reaction is favoured over the intramolecular amidation reaction leading to a six-membered ring rather than a eight-membered ring (vide infra).



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: i) AcCl, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to room temp., 30 min; ii) NaBH₄, EtOH, room temp., 1 h; iii) NaBH₄, DABCO, THF/H₂O (1:1), room temp., 1 h; iv) Fe/AcOH, 90 °C, 20 min.


Simultaneously we investigated the substrate **11** for similar reaction. Reduction of **11** in the presence of Fe/AcOH furnished a product in 74% yield which was established to be the aniline **13**. Several attempts to perform intramolecular cyclization in this substrate failed. Indeed it has been experienced by us earlier too that the nucleophilic attack of aniline in analogous MBH derivative is unsuccessful.^[8] In a modified approach, therefore, we prepared **14** via Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction of **1** with triethyl phosphonoacetate in the presence of NaH (Scheme 3). Expectedly, **14** was obtained as *E* isomer exclusively. Reduction of **14** in the presence of Fe/AcOH furnished a product which was identical to **12**. As an outcome of this result, we decided to reduce the double bond and examine whether intramolecular amidation is at all possible in this substrate. Henceforth, **14** was subjected to Raney-Ni-promoted catalytic hydrogenation which smoothly afforded the product **15** in quantitative yields. Initial attempts to achieve intramolecular amidation in **15** employing NaH failed. Therefore, the ester

group in **15** was first saponified in the presence of aq. LiOH to afford acid **16** which upon coupling reaction in the presence of EDCI yielded the 4,5-dihydrobenzo[*b*]imidazo[1,2-*d*][1,4]diazocin-6(*7H*)-one **17**.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: i) $(\text{EtO})_3\text{P}=\text{CHCO}_2\text{Et}$, NaH, THF, 0 °C, 5 h; ii) Fe/AcOH, 90 °C, 20 min; iii) H_2 /Raney-Ni, EtOH, room temp., 1 h; iv) LiOH, THF/ H_2O (3:1), room temp., 1 h; v) EDCI, NMM, dry CH_2Cl_2 , -10 °C, 45 min.


The success of the strategy with 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde invoked us to examine our protocol with 1-(2-nitropyridin-3-yl)-1*H*-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde. Accordingly, **18** was prepared by reaction between 2-chloro-3-nitropyridine and imidazole-2-carbaldehyde in the presence of K_2CO_3 in DMF at room temperature. Reaction of **18** with methyl acrylate in the presence of DABCO was complete in 2 h at room temperature to afford a product in 58% yields. Based on the spectroscopic evidence, this compound was established to be **19** instead of the expected MBH adduct (Scheme 4). It is likely that in the presence of DABCO, simultaneous to the MBH reaction dealkylation of the imidazole takes place; the free NH group can undergo Michael addition with the acrylate to afford the unexpected product **19**.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: i) imidazole-2-carbaldehyde, K_2CO_3 , dry DMF, room temp., 3.5 h; ii) methyl acrylate, DABCO, room temp., 2 h.

To investigate the potential of this strategy for the synthesis of pyrrole-fused benzodiazocine, we then prepared 1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (**20**) by reacting 2-fluoronitrobenzene with pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde in the presence of K_2CO_3 in DMF.^[9] Unfortunately all attempts to perform the MBH reaction with **20** failed to produce the required product **21** (Scheme 5). Undeterred by the failure, we proceeded to investigate the Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons-mediated strategy for the generation of pyrrole-fused diazocinones. Therefore, the reaction of **20** with triethyl phosphonoacetate in the presence of NaH in THF was performed to yield the desired product **22** in 83% yield as *E* isomer exclusively. In order to examine the probability of intramolecular amidation in **22**, it was subjected to re-

duction in the presence of Fe/AcOH. Unlike **14**, reduction in this case resulted in a product which was delineated to be **23**. Attempts to induce intramolecular cyclization by treatment of **23** with NaH in THF yielded **24** in 85% yields.^[9] Hence, **22** was first reduced catalytically via Raney-Ni under hydrogenation conditions to afford the amino derivative **25**. Saponification of **25** by aq. LiOH smoothly afforded the required acid **26** in 91% yields. An intramolecular coupling reaction in **26** in the presence of EDCI furnished the desired 7,8-dihydrobenzo[*b*]pyrrolo[1,2-*d*][1,4]diazocin-6(*5H*)-one **27** in 81% yields.



Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: i) pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, K_2CO_3 , dry DMF, room temp., 2 h; ii) methyl acrylate, DABCO, room temp., 3 weeks; iii) $(\text{EtO})_3\text{P}=\text{CHCO}_2\text{Et}$, NaH, THF, 0 °C, 5 h; iv) Fe/AcOH, 90 °C, 20 min; v) NaH, THF, 80 °C, 1 h; vi) H_2 /Raney-Ni, EtOH, room temp., 1 h; vii) LiOH, THF/ H_2O (3:1), room temp., 1 h; viii) EDCI, NMM, dry CH_2Cl_2 , -10 °C, 45 min.

From the results achieved so far a plausible mechanism for the formation of **12** or **24** is delineated in Figure 2. It is speculated that the Michael reaction of the amino group with the double bond leads to intermediate **III**, which rearranges to give **IV**. Participation of N-1 through two double bonds of the imidazole or pyrrole ring eliminates the ethyl acetate from the molecule resulting in the observed products. In principle, if the aromatic subunit (imidazole or pyrrole) is replaced by a non-aromatic unit where no double bond is present, the elimination of ethyl acetate would be prevented. In order to assess this hypothesis we embarked on investigation with **31** which was readily obtained from proline ester as outlined in Scheme 6. Coupling of proline ester 2-fluoronitrobenzene yielded **28** whose reduction with DIBAL-H smoothly furnished the alcohol **29**. Swern oxidation of **29** afforded the aldehyde **30** which upon Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction with triethyl phosphonoacetate provided the required ester **31**. Reducing **31** in the presence of Fe/AcOH furnished a product which was spectrally characterised to be **32**. Isolation of **32** confirmed

that once the aromatic unit comprising of double bonds is replaced by a non-aromatic saturated system, the elimination of ethyl acetate does not occur.





Figure 2. Plausible mechanism for the formation of **12** and **24**.



Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: i) L-proline ester, K_2CO_3 , dry DMF, room temp., 3.5 h; ii) DIBAL-H, PhMe, 0 °C, 30 min; iii) $(CO_2Cl)_2$, dry DMSO, Et_3N , dry CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C to room temp., 2 h; iv) $(EtO)_3P=CHCO_2Et$, NaH, THF, 0 °C, 5 h; v) Fe/AcOH, 90 °C, 20 min.

Conclusions

We have developed a facile, efficient and general protocol for the synthesis of fused benzodiazocine frameworks either via MBH or Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons chemistry. Though this approach was exemplified with imidazole and pyrrole, we are trying to generate more substrates in order to evaluate the scope of this approach.

Experimental Section

General: Melting points were determined in capillary tubes on a Precision melting point apparatus containing silicon oil. IR spectra were recorded using a Perkin–Elmer's RX I FTIR spectrophotometer. 1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded either on a Bruker DPX-200 FT or Bruker Avance DRX-300 spectrometer, using TMS as an internal standard (chemical shifts given as δ values). The ESMS were recorded on MICROMASS Quadro-II LCMS system. The HRMS spectra were recorded as EI-HRMS on a JEOL system or as DART-HRMS (recorded as ES⁺) on a JEOL-AccuTOF JMS-T100LC Mass spectrometer having DART (Direct Analysis in Real Time) source. Elemental analyses were performed

on a Carlo Erba's 108 or an Elementar's Vario EL III microanalyzer. The room temperature varied between 20 °C and 35 °C. All column chromatographic purifications were performed on silica gel (100–200 mesh). Compound **9** was found to be unstable and was therefore immediately utilized for next reaction.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 1, 2, 20, 28 as Exemplified for Compound 1: To a stirred solution of imidazole-2-carbaldehyde (1.17 g, 12.2 mmol) in dry DMF (15 mL), K_2CO_3 (3.05 g, 22.1 mmol) was added and the reaction was continued at room temperature for 30 min. Thereafter, 2-fluoronitrobenzene (1.2 mL, 11.1 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for additional 1.5 h at room temperature. On completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, the contents were poured into water (150 mL) whilst stirring with glass rod. Thereafter the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4 × 60 mL). The organic layers were combined and washed with water (80 mL), subsequently with brine (80 mL), dried with anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated to yield the crude product. Purification of the crude via column chromatography using EtOAc/hexanes (1:1, v/v) as the eluent furnished **1** as a white solid (2.32 g, 97%).

Methyl 2-[(2S)-1-(2-Nitrophenyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl]acetate (28): This compound was isolated in 85% yield (2.26 g, from 1.50 g) as a yellow solid, m.p. 85–87 °C. $R_f = 0.30$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:4, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1748$ (CO_2Me) cm^{-1} . 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 300 MHz): $\delta = 1.92$ – 1.97 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 2.06 – 2.13 (m, 2 H, CH_2), 2.40 – 2.45 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 3.13 – 3.20 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 3.49 – 3.57 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH_3), 4.39 – 4.44 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.76 – 6.84 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.33 – 7.39 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.70 (dd, 1 H, $J_1 = 8.1$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.3$ Hz, ArH). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 75 MHz): $\delta = 24.6$, 30.9, 51.2, 52.4, 61.8, 116.5, 117.2, 126.6, 133.0, 138.3, 141.3, 173.2. MS (ES): $m/z = 251.1$ [$M + 1$]⁺. $C_{12}H_{14}N_2O_4$ (250.0954): calcd. C 57.59, H 5.64, N 11.19; found C 57.70, H 5.53, N 11.25.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 18: To a stirred solution of imidazole-2-carbaldehyde (0.76 g, 7.0 mmol) in dry DMF (15 mL), K_2CO_3 (1.75 g, 12.7 mmol) was added and the reaction was continued at room temperature for 30 min. Thereafter 2-chloro-3-nitro pyridine (1.00 g, 6.3 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for additional 3 h at room temperature. After completion as monitored by TLC, the contents were poured into water (150 mL) whilst stirring with glass rod. Thereafter the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4 × 50 mL). The organic layers were combined and washed with water (50 mL), then with brine (80 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated to yield the residue. Purification of the crude material via column chromatography over silica gel (100–200 mesh) using EtOAc/hexanes (1:1, v/v) as the eluent furnished **18** as an off white solid (0.74 g, 55%).

1-(2-Nitropyridin-3-yl)-1H-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde (18): M.p. 189–190 °C. $R_f = 0.30$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:1, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1638$ (CO) cm^{-1} . 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 200 MHz): $\delta = 7.42$ (dd, 1 H, $J_1 = 7.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.5$ Hz, ArH), 7.49 (d, 1 H, $J = 0.7$ Hz, ArH), 7.68 – 7.80 (m, 2 H, ArH), 8.23 (dd, 1 H, $J_1 = 8.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.7$ Hz, ArH), 9.76 (s, 1 H, CHO) ppm. ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 50 MHz): $\delta = 126.2$, 126.8, 129.8, 131.0, 132.8, 134.5, 144.1, 181.3 ppm. MS (ES): $m/z = 220.2$ [$M + 1$]⁺. $C_9H_6N_4O_3$ (218.0440): calcd. C 49.55, H 2.77, N 25.68; found C 49.72, H 2.63, N 25.79.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 3a–f, 4a, e, 7, 19 as Exemplified for Compound 3a: To a mixture of DABCO (0.30 g, 2.30 mmol) and methyl acrylate (0.30 mL, 0.35 mmol) that had been stirred at room temperature for 10 min was added the aldehyde **1** (0.50 g, 2.30 mmol) whilst stirring and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 h. Thereafter aq. HCl (5%; 50 mL) was added to the reaction, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc

(2 × 50 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (75 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvents evaporated under vacuum to yield an oily residue. The residue upon trituration with EtOAc/hexanes furnished pure product **3a** (0.49 g, 70%) as a white solid.

Methyl 2-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylate (3a): M.p. 152–153 °C. *R*_f = 0.20 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:2, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1713 (CO₂Me), 3090 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.37 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 5.98 (br. s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.35 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.99 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.15 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.66–7.71 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.75–7.80 (m, 1 H, ArH), 8.11 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ = 52.3, 65.4, 124.3, 126.5, 126.6, 128.3, 131.8, 132.1, 132.5, 135.1, 149.3, 167.3 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 304.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₄H₁₃N₃O₅ (303.0855): calcd. C 55.45, H 4.32, N 13.86; found C 55.25, H 4.42, N 13.73.

Ethyl 2-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylate (3b): This compound was isolated in 80% yield (0.35 g, from 0.30 g) as a white solid, m.p. 118–120 °C. *R*_f = 0.19 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:2, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1713 (CO₂Et), 3138 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 200 MHz): δ = 1.18 (t, 3 H, *J* = 6.6 Hz, CH₃), 4.10 (d, 2 H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, OCH₂), 4, 5.34 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 5.91 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.27 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 7.03 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.23 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.66–7.89 (m, 3 H, ArH), 8.19 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 50 MHz): δ = 13.4, 60.8, 64.4, 123.2, 125.2, 125.6, 127.2, 130.8, 131.0, 131.5, 134.1, 146.7, 148.3, 165.7 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 318.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₁₅N₃O₅ (317.1012): calcd. C 56.78, H 4.76, N 13.24; found C 56.88, H 4.82, N 13.10.

Butyl 2-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylate (3c): This compound was isolated in 83% yield (0.40 g, from 0.30 g) as reddish brown oil. *R*_f = 0.23 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:2, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1711 (CO₂*n*Bu), 3428 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ = 0.91 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, CH₃), 1.32–1.40 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.49–1.63 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 4.10 (d, 2 H, *J* = 6.4 Hz, OCH₂), 5.35 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 5.95 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.34 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.98 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.12 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.67–7.80 (m, 3 H, ArH), 8.10 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.5 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.1, 19.5, 30.9, 65.2, 125.8, 130.9, 131.2, 131.4, 134.1, 139.5, 148.6, 166.2 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 346.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₇H₁₉N₃O₅ (345.1325): calcd. C 59.12, H 5.55, N 12.17; found C 59.01, H 5.77, N 12.23.

tert-Butyl 2-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylate (3d): This compound was isolated in 95% yield (0.45 g, from 0.30 g) as a yellow solid, m.p. 126–127 °C. *R*_f = 0.21 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:2, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1715 (CO₂*t*Bu), 3428 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ = 1.43 (s, 9 H, 3 × CH₃), 5.37 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 5.75 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.13 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.97 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.09 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.59–7.76 (m, 3 H, ArH), 8.09 (dd, 1 H, *J*₁ = 8.0 Hz, *J*₂ = 1.2 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ = 27.9, 65.9, 81.4, 122.1, 125.1, 125.3, 128.1, 130.3, 131.0, 133.6, 140.5, 146.3, 148.2, 164.9 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 346.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₇H₁₉N₃O₅ (345.1325): calcd. C 59.12, H 5.55, N 12.17; found C 59.16, H 5.50, N 12.08.

2-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylonitrile (3e): This compound was isolated in 73% yield (0.32 g, from 0.35 g) as a white solid, m.p. 153–155 °C. *R*_f = 0.25 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:2, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 2225 (C≡N), 3146 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 200 MHz): δ = 5.22 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 5.96 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.02 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 7.13 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.29 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.63 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, ArH), 7.69–7.85 (m, 2 H, ArH), 8.20 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.7 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 50 MHz): δ =

67.0, 124.0, 125.5, 127.7, 130.8, 131.2, 131.3, 134.1, 136.3, 139.5, 146.3 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 271.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₃H₁₀N₄O₃ (270.0753): calcd. C 57.78, H 3.73, N 20.73; found C 57.92, H 3.81, N 20.64.

3-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}but-3-en-2-one (3f): This compound was isolated in 80% yield (0.74 g, from 0.70 g) as reddish brown oil. *R*_f = 0.15 (EtOAc). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1710 (CO), 3406 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 2.33 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 5.31 (d, 1 H, *J* = 5.6 Hz, CH), 6.26 (br. s, 2 H, =CH₂), 6.97 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.08 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.65–7.78 (m, 3 H, ArH), 8.10 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ = 26.1, 53.5, 125.4, 126.5, 130.5, 130.6, 131.1, 133.9, 146.3, 147.2, 148.7 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 288.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₄H₁₃N₃O₄ (287.0906): calcd. C 58.53, H 4.56, N 14.63; found C 58.32, H 4.68, N 14.39.

Methyl 2-{Hydroxy[1-(5-methyl-2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylate (4a): This compound was isolated in 76% yield (0.31 g, from 0.30 g) as reddish brown oil. *R*_f = 0.38 (EtOAc). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1714 (CO₂Me), 3426 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 2.52 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.33 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 5.97 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.42 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.93 (t, 2 H, *J* = 5.5 Hz, ArH), 7.40–7.46 (m, 2 H, ArH), 8.02 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.3 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ = 21.4, 52.1, 65.3, 120.3, 125.5, 125.8, 127.4, 130.6, 130.8, 131.4, 139.1, 145.6, 166.5 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 318.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₁₅N₃O₅ (317.1012): calcd. C 56.78, H 4.76, N 13.24; found C 56.99, H 4.59, N 13.06.

2-{Hydroxy[1-(5-methyl-2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylonitrile (4e): This compound was isolated in 73% yield (0.45 g, from 0.50 g) as brown oil. *R*_f = 0.30 (EtOAc). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 2222 (C≡N), 3417 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 200 MHz): δ = 2.51 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 5.20 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.99 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.02 (d, 1 H, *J* = 5.3 Hz, =CH₂), 7.12 (d, 1 H, *J* = 1.3 Hz, ArH), 7.26 (d, 1 H, *J* = 4.9 Hz, ArH), 7.43 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.58 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, ArH), 8.10 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.3 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 19.9, 67.0, 123.5, 125.2, 127.3, 130.6, 131.1, 131.2, 154.9 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 285.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₄H₁₂N₄O₃ (284.0909): calcd. C 59.15, H 4.25, N 19.71; found C 59.43, H 4.07, N 19.93.

2-{Hydroxy[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}cyclohex-2-en-1-one (7): This compound was isolated in 73% yield (0.84 g, from 0.80 g) as an orange solid, m.p. 144–145 °C. *R*_f = 0.20 (EtOAc). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1713 (CO), 3414 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 1.89–2.01 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.31–2.37 (m, 4 H, 2 × CH₂), 5.35 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.02 (br. s, 2 H, =CH and ArH), 7.19 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.74–7.89 (m, 3 H, ArH), 8.19 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 50 MHz): δ = 24.3, 27.5, 39.8, 64.4, 124.8, 127.4, 128.9, 132.8, 133.3, 135.9, 140.1, 148.5, 149.5, 150.7, 201.1 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 314.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₆H₁₅N₃O₄ (313.1063): calcd. C 61.34, H 4.83, N 13.41; found C 61.45, H 4.73, N 13.29.

Methyl 2-{Hydroxy[1-(3-methoxy-3-oxopropyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl}acrylate (19): This compound was isolated in 58% yield (0.36 g, from 0.50 g) as reddish brown oil. *R*_f = 0.30 (EtOAc). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1727 (CO₂Me), 3415 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 2.87 (t, 2 H, *J* = 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.73 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.35–4.41 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 5.65 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 6.03 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.43 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.90 (s, 2 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ = 35.4, 41.5, 51.96, 52.04, 65.3, 120.3, 125.8, 127.3, 139.7, 147.2, 166.5, 171.3 ppm. MS (ES⁺): *m/z* = 269.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₂H₁₆N₂O₅ (268.1059): calcd. C 53.73, H 6.01, N 10.44; found C 53.62, H 5.84, N 10.58.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 5a-f, 6a, e, 8, 12, 13, 23, 32 as Exemplified for Compound 5a: To a solution of compound **3a** (0.30 g, 1.00 mmol) in AcOH (2 mL), Fe powder (0.28 g, 5.00 mmol) was added and the mixture was heated at 90 °C for 20 min. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured in ice water followed by neutralization with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution. The precipitated solid was separated by filtration through a Celite bed which was repeatedly washed with EtOAc. The organic layers were pooled, washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated to obtain a crude product. Purification via column chromatography using EtOAc/hexanes (1:1, v/v) as the eluent furnished **5a** (0.20 g, 74%) as a white solid.

Methyl 4-Hydroxy-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carboxylate (5a): M.p. 187–188 °C. *R_f* = 0.25 (EtOAc/hexanes, 7:3, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1726 (CO₂Me), 3426 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 2.52–2.60 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.26 (s, 1 H, CH), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.29 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.8 Hz, CH), 6.64–6.72 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.02–7.14 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.23 (d, 1 H, *J* = 1.3 Hz, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO, 75 MHz): δ = 42.8, 52.5, 53.8, 65.1, 116.8, 118.4, 129.1, 129.5, 143.8, 172.7 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 274.2 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₄H₁₆N₃O₃ 274.1192; found 274.1171.

Ethyl 4-Hydroxy-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carboxylate (5b): This compound was isolated in 70% yield (0.11 g, from 0.18 g) as a white solid, m.p. 155–157 °C. *R_f* = 0.26 (EtOAc/hexanes, 7:3, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1726 (CO₂Et), 3429 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 1.36 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.1 Hz, CH₃), 2.58–2.63 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.32 (s, 1 H, CH), 4.23–4.33 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.36 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, CH), 6.70–6.78 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.08–7.20 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.28 (s, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ = 14.6, 43.7, 54.9, 62.2, 66.2, 118.1, 119.3, 120.4, 122.9, 129.2, 129.5, 130.5, 144.5, 149.9, 173.8 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 288.2 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₈N₃O₃ 288.1348; found 288.1336.

Butyl 4-Hydroxy-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carboxylate (5c): This compound was isolated in 68% yield (0.22 g, from 0.35 g) as a white solid, m.p. 160–162 °C. *R_f* = 0.26 (EtOAc/hexanes, 7:3, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1723 (CO₂nBu), 3415 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 1.03 (t, 3 H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, CH₃), 1.43–1.53 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.70–1.79 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.61–2.66 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.33 (s, 1 H, CH), 4.17–4.34 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.38 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, CH), 6.72–6.80 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.12 (d, 1 H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, ArH), 7.16–7.22 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.30 (s, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ = 12.7, 18.9, 30.4, 42.2, 53.5, 60.2, 64.6, 116.6, 117.8, 121.4, 127.7, 128.0, 129.0, 143.0, 149.4, 172.4 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 316.3 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₇H₂₂N₃O₃ 316.1661; found 316.1658.

tert-Butyl 4-Hydroxy-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carboxylate (5d): This compound was isolated in 78% yield (0.28 g, from 0.40 g) as a white solid, m.p. 189–190 °C. *R_f* = 0.29 (EtOAc/hexanes, 7:3, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1725 (CO₂tBu), 3422 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 1.52 (s, 9 H, 3 × CH₃), 2.50–2.55 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.25 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.25 (s, 1 H, CH), 6.66–6.76 (m, 2 H, 7.04–7.15 (m, 4 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz): δ = 19.9, 35.4, 52.2, 65.9, 120.2, 121.3, 125.9, 127.3, 128.3, 128.5, 130.3, 139.5, 148.1, 173.1 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 316.1 [M + 1]⁺. HR-EIMS [EI⁺]: calcd. for C₁₇H₂₁N₃O₃ 315.1583; found 315.1571.

4-Hydroxy-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carbonitrile (5e): This compound was isolated in 70% yield (0.19 g, from 0.30 g) as a white solid, m.p. 117–118 °C. *R_f* = 0.27 (EtOAc/hexanes, 7:3, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 2224 (C≡N), 3423 (OH) cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 2.53 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.8, *J*₂ = 2.6 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 2.82 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 3.28 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.03 (d, *J* = 10.1 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.70–6.75 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.07 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.0, *J*₂ = 1.3 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.14–7.20 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.26 (d, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ = 41.0, 65.4, 117.0, 117.7, 119.8, 121.9, 128.0, 128.1, 129.2, 142.4, 146.3 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 241.2 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₃H₁₃N₄O 241.1089; found 241.1089.

1-(4-Hydroxy-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-yl)ethan-1-one (5f): This compound was isolated in 68% yield (0.43 g, from 0.70 g) as a yellow solid, m.p. 119–120 °C. *R_f* = 0.22 (MeOH/EtOAc, 1:9, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1695 (CO), 3375 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 2.30 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.55 (dd, *J*₁ = 16.2, *J*₂ = 2.8 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 2.76 (dd, *J*₁ = 7.2, *J*₂ = 2.4 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 3.34 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.32 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.64–6.69 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.02–7.13 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.22 (s, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ = 30.8, 43.5, 61.7, 66.3, 118.8, 120.0, 121.1, 123.5, 129.8, 130.2, 131.2, 145.1, 151.2, 210.9 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 258.1 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₄H₁₅N₃O₂ 258.1117; found 258.1142.

Methyl 4-Hydroxy-10-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carboxylate (6a): This compound was isolated in 68% yield (0.28 g, from 0.45 g) as a white solid, m.p. 191–192 °C. *R_f* = 0.25 (EtOAc). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1726 (CO₂Me), 3426 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 2.24 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.72–2.76 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.26–3.31 (m, 1 H, CH), 3.80 (m, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.25 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.57 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.89–6.97 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.11 (dd, *J*₁ = 13.8, *J*₂ = 1.3 Hz, 2 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ = 19.9, 43.1, 52.2, 65.0, 120.2, 125.8, 127.2, 128.3, 128.5, 130.2, 139.5, 139.9, 166.5, 173.1 ppm. MS (ES⁺): *m/z* = 288.2 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₈N₃O₃: 288.1348; found 288.1128.

4-Hydroxy-10-methyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocine-5-carbonitrile (6e): This compound was isolated in 70% yield (0.19 g, from 0.30 g) as a white solid, m.p. 209–210 °C. *R_f* = 0.21 (EtOAc). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 2240 (C≡N), 3390 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz): δ = 2.23 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 2.49–2.54 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.81 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 3.27 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.02 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.89 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.01 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.12 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.26 (s, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (DMSO, 75 MHz): δ = 20.2, 41.7, 41.9, 66.1, 118.6, 119.2, 121.8, 122.7, 126.3, 129.1, 129.5, 130.6, 140.9, 146.6 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 255.2 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS calcd. for C₁₄H₁₅N₄O: 255.1246; found 255.1253.

14-Hydroxy-9a,10,11,12,13a,14-hexahydrodibenzo[b,g]imidazo[1,2-a][1,4]diazocin-13(9H)-one (8): This compound was isolated in 70% yield (0.51 g, from 0.80 g) as a yellow solid, m.p. 105–106 °C. *R_f* = 0.24 (MeOH/EtOAc, 1:9, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1705 (CO), 3429 (OH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.93 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 2.34 (s, 4 H, 2 × CH₂), 3.72 (s, 1 H, CH), 4.08 (s, 1 H, CH), 5.35 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.80–6.90 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.02–7.12 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.19–7.24 (m, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz): δ = 24.4, 27.5, 40.0, 64.2, 64.5, 118.7, 119.6, 123.9, 129.1, 130.7, 132.2, 140.2, 146.4, 149.3, 201.8 ppm. MS (ES): *m/z* = 284.2 [M + 1]⁺. DART-HRMS [ES⁺]: calcd. for C₁₆H₁₈N₃O₂ 284.1399; found 284.1401.

Imidazo[1,2-a]quinoxaline (12): 121–122 °C (ref.^[5c] 124 °C).

Ethyl 2-[(1-(2-Aminophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)methyl]acrylate (13): This compound was isolated in 74% yield (0.10 g, from 0.15 g) as brown oil. *R_f* = 0.20 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:2, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ =

1718 (CO₂Et), 3437 (NH₂) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 0.86 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 3.51–3.57 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 4.10 (s, 1 H, NH), 4.10–4.17 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 5.61 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.29 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.76–6.85 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.94 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.66–7.89 (m, 3 H, ArH), 8.19 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.0, 29.3, 61.1, 116.9, 118.3, 121.0, 125.4, 128.05, 128.1, 130.9, 142.3, 143.0, 143.2, 147.1, 176.5 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 272.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₁₇N₃O₂ (271.1321): calcd. C 66.40, H 6.32, N 15.49; found C 66.54, H 6.17, N 15.64.

Ethyl (E)-3-[1-(2-Aminophenyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]prop-2-enoate (23): This compound was isolated in 77% yield (0.35 g, from 0.50 g) as a yellow solid, m.p. 105–106 °C. R_f = 0.65 (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:4, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1698 (CO₂Et) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 3.53 (br. s, 2 H, NH₂), 4.11–4.18 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 5.98 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.38 (t, J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.80–6.85 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.08–7.11 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.22–7.27 (m, 2 H, CH and ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.3, 60.1, 110.9, 112.4, 113.3, 116.2, 118.5, 124.4, 126.7, 128.7, 129.7, 130.0, 132.8, 143.3, 167.6 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 256.9 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₁₆N₂O₂ (256.1212): calcd. C 70.29, H 6.29, N 10.93; found C 70.42, H 5.93, N 11.12.

Ethyl 2-[(3a*S*,4*S*)-1,2,3,3a,4,5-Hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-*a*]quinoxalin-4-yl]acetate (32): This compound was isolated in 68% yield (0.49 g, from 0.80 g) as brown oil, R_f = 0.38 (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:4, v/v). [α]_D²⁵ = 101.2 (c = 0.08, MeOH). ($\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = (neat): 1723 (CO₂Et), 3467 (NH) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.45–1.51 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.90–2.00 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.05–2.09 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.38 (dd, J_1 = 16.2, J_2 = 9.4 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 2.65 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 3.14 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 3.26–3.34 (m, 2 H, CH), 4.16–4.23 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.43 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.56 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H, ArH), 6.70 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): δ = 14.2, 23.3, 29.4, 38.0, 47.3, 51.8, 60.9, 61.2, 110.9, 113.7, 116.8, 119.6, 132.8, 134.5, 171.9 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 261.1 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₂₀N₂O₂ (260.1525): calcd. C 69.20, H 7.74, N 10.76; found C 69.13, H 7.64, N 10.82.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 10: To a stirred suspension of **9** (1.00 g, 2.79 mmol) in dry ethanol (10 mL), NaBH₄ (0.53 g, 13.92 mmol) was added in small portions at 0 °C. After the addition was complete, the reaction was continued for 50 min at room temperature. Upon completion (TLC), the precipitated solid was filtered and dried in air. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc (30 mL). Thereafter water (30 mL) was added to it and the organic layer was partitioned in a separating funnel. The aqueous layer was further extracted with EtOAc (2 × 20 mL). The organic layers were combined and washed with brine (30 mL), dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to yield the crude product. The crude product was further purified via column chromatography using EtOAc/hexanes (1:4, v/v) as the eluent to afford **10** (0.53 g, 63%) as an off-white solid.

Ethyl (E,Z)-2-Methyl-3-[1-(2-nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]prop-2-enoate (10) Diastereomeric Mixture (1:0.2): M.p. 125–126 °C. R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:7, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1730 (CO₂Et) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.34 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 2.44–2.47 (m, 6 H, 2 × CH₃), 4.11–4.18 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 4.23–4.30 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.94 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CH), 7.02 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.07 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.19 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.36–7.47 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.66–7.80 (m, 4 H, ArH), 8.10 (dd, J_1 = 8.0, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 2 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.1, 14.3, 14.4, 61.0, 121.2, 121.9, 125.7, 129.1, 130.0, 130.38, 130.40, 130.6, 131.0, 133.1, 133.9,

134.0, 144.6, 168.0 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 302.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₁₅N₃O₄ (301.1063): calcd. C 59.79, H 5.02, N 13.95; found C 59.93, H 4.82, N 14.05.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 11: To a stirred solution of **9** (1.00 g, 2.79 mmol) in a mixture of THF/water (15 mL, 1:1, v/v), was added DABCO (0.37 g, 3.34 mmol) and reaction was continued for 15 min at room temperature. Thereafter NaBH₄ (0.16 g, 4.18 mmol) was added and the reaction was continued for 2 h. After completion of the reaction (checked by TLC), THF was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (50 mL), dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to yield a residue. Purification of this residue via column chromatography using EtOAc/hexanes (3:7, v/v) afforded **11** (0.64 g, 76%) as an off white solid.

Ethyl 2-[[1-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]methyl]acrylate (11): M.p. 167–168 °C. R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:7, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1730 (CO₂Et) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 3.53 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 4.09–4.16 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.66 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.28 (s, 1 H, =CH₂), 6.94 (t, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.12 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.50–7.53 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.63–7.68 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.72–7.77 (m, 1 H, ArH), 8.06 (dd, J_1 = 8.1, J_2 = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.1, 29.5, 60.8, 121.0, 125.3, 127.6, 128.7, 130.4, 130.5, 130.7, 133.9, 135.9, 146.2, 166.0 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 302.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₅H₁₅N₃O₄ (301.1063): calcd. C 59.79, H 5.02, N 13.95; found C 59.81, H 4.81, N 14.15.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 14, 22, 31 as Exemplified for Compound 14: To a stirred suspension of NaH (0.08 g, 3.46 mmol) in THF (15 mL), at 0 °C was added triethyl phosphonoacetate (0.36 mL, 1.80 mmol) under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature and the reaction was continued for 1 h.

Thereafter, a solution of aldehyde **1** (0.30 g, 1.38 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture via syringe at 0 °C and the reaction was continued for 4 h at room temperature. On completion (checked by TLC) excess THF was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (50 mL), dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to yield the crude product. Purification via column chromatography using EtOAc/hexanes (3:7, v/v) afforded **14** (0.30 g, 75%) as colorless oil.

Ethyl (E)-3-[1-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]prop-2-enoate (14): R_f = 0.30 (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:1, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1689 (CO₂Et) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 4.15–4.23 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.77 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H, CH), 7.07 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1 H, CH), 7.24–7.48 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.70–7.83 (m, 2 H, ArH), 8.18 (dd, J_1 = 8.0, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.6, 61.1, 122.8, 123.7, 126.1, 126.2, 126.8, 128.5, 129.8, 130.3, 130.8, 130.9, 131.4, 131.7, 132.7, 134.6, 144.4, 166.8 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 288.2 [M + 1]⁺. C₁₄H₁₃N₃O₄ (287.0906): calcd. C 58.53, H 4.56, N 14.63; found C 58.81, H 4.25, N 14.84.

Ethyl (E)-3-[1-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]prop-2-enoate (22): This compound was isolated in 83% yield (1.10 g, from 1.00 g) as colorless oil. R_f = 0.25 (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:4, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1696 (CO₂Et) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ = 1.26 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 4.12–4.19 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 5.96 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.39 (t, J = 3.1 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.81–6.84 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.18 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1 H, CH), 7.46 (dd, J_1 = 7.7, J_2 = 1.4 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.62–7.68 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.71–7.77 (m, 1 H, ArH), 8.04 (dd, J_1 = 8.0, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): δ = 14.7, 60.7, 112.0, 113.5, 114.9, 125.8, 127.1, 130.4,

131.2, 132.2, 132.6, 134.1, 147.3, 167.7 ppm. MS (ES): $m/z = 287.1$ $[M + 1]^+$. $C_{15}H_{14}N_2O_4$ (286.0954): calcd. C 62.93, H 4.93, N 9.79; found C 62.87, H 4.76, N 9.82.

Ethyl (E)-3-[1-(2S)-1-(2-Nitrophenyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl]prop-2-enoate (31): This compound was isolated in 90% yield (1.20 g from 1.30 g) as reddish brown oil. $R_f = 0.30$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:4, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1722$ (CO₂Et) cm^{-1} . ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): $\delta = 1.27$ (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.80–1.91 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.04–2.09 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.35–2.39 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.82–2.91 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 3.58–3.71 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 4.12–4.22 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 4.43–4.54 (m, 1 H, CH), 5.99 (dd, $J_1 = 15.7$, $J_2 = 1.1$ Hz, 1 H, CH), 6.75–6.93 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.30–7.39 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.77 (dd, $J_1 = 8.2$, $J_2 = 1.7$ Hz, 1 H, CH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 26.3$, 29.8, 60.6, 64.4, 122.1, 125.6, 126.7, 128.2, 130.7, 131.3, 134.0, 147.3, 199.4 ppm. MS (ES): $m/z = 291.1$ $[M + 1]^+$. $C_{15}H_{18}N_2O_4$ (290.1267): calcd. C 62.06, H 6.25, N 9.65; found C 62.18, H 6.34, N 9.49.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 15, 25 as Exemplified for Compound 15: To the solution of compound **12** (0.20 g, 0.70 mmol) in EtOH was added Raney-Ni (50 mg in EtOH) and subjected to hydrogenation in Parr assembly at 50 psi at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to continue for 2 h. On completion, the catalyst was removed by filtering the contents through a celite bed with ethanol. The filtrate was evaporated to obtain an oily crude product (0.16 g, 89%) which was utilized for the next step without any purification. For analytical grade, purification via short silica gel (100–200 mesh) column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:1, v/v) afforded **15** as reddish brown oil.

Ethyl 3-[1-(2-Aminophenyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]propanoate (15): $R_f = 0.20$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:1, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1721$ (CO₂Et), 3400 (NH₂) cm^{-1} . ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): $\delta = 1.21$ (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 2.76–2.80 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.71 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 4.03–4.13 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 6.74–6.84 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.90 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.04–7.10 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.18–7.26 (m, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): $\delta = 14.5$, 22.2, 31.8, 60.9, 116.7, 118.8, 120.9, 123.2, 128.6, 128.8, 130.6, 143.5, 148.0, 173.2 ppm. MS (ES): $m/z = 260.1$ $[M + 1]^+$. $C_{14}H_{17}N_3O_2$ (259.1321): calcd. C 64.85, H 6.61, N 16.20; found C 65.00, H 6.52, N 15.95.

Ethyl 3-[1-(2-Aminophenyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]propanoate (25): This compound was isolated in 72% yield (0.65 g from 1.00 g) as reddish brown oil. $R_f = 0.34$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 3:7, v/v). IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1720$ (CO₂Et) cm^{-1} . ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): $\delta = 1.18$ –1.23 (m, 3 H, CH₃), 2.47–2.53 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 2.67–2.69 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 3.55 (br. s, 2 H, NH₂), 4.04–4.11 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 6.04 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.22 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.61 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.77–6.80 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.09 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.16–7.25 (m, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): $\delta = 14.6$, 22.1, 33.9, 60.8, 106.7, 108.9, 116.3, 118.6, 121.9, 125.9, 129.1, 129.9, 132.8, 144.2, 173.4 ppm. MS (ES): $m/z = 259.1$ $[M + 1]^+$. $C_{15}H_{18}N_2O_2$ (258.1368): calcd. C 69.74, H 7.02, N 10.84; found C 69.92, H 6.88, N 10.95.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 16, 26 as Exemplified for Compound 26: To a stirred solution of **25** (0.50 g, 1.94 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added an aqueous solution of LiOH (0.23 g, 9.69 mmol, 3 mL) at room temperature and the mixture was continued for 1 h. There after the reaction mixture was acidified to pH 2 with 10% HCl. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was further washed with EtOAc (2 × 50 mL). The pooled organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to get the crude product

which was recrystallized with EtOAc/hexanes to afford pure **26** (0.41 g, 91%) as a yellow solid.

3-[1-(2-Aminophenyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]propanoic Acid (26): M.p. 117–118 °C. $R_f = 0.20$ (EtOAc). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1720$ (CO₂Et) cm^{-1} . ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): $\delta = 2.57$ (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 2.70 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 6.08 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.25 (t, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.64 (t, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.79–6.85 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.11 (dd, $J_1 = 7.7$, $J_2 = 1.3$ Hz, 2 H, ArH), 7.19–7.25 (m, 1 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz): $\delta = 21.3$, 33.1, 106.6, 108.8, 117.0, 119.6, 121.7, 126.5, 128.8, 129.6, 132.1, 141.9, 178.0 ppm. MS (ES): $m/z = 231.1$ $[M + 1]^+$. $C_{13}H_{14}N_2O_2$ (230.1055): calcd. C 67.81, H 6.13, N 12.17; found C 67.90, H 6.05, N 12.29.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 17, 27 as Exemplified for Compound 27: To a stirred solution of compound **26** (0.40 g, 1.74 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added NMM (0.21 mL, 1.91 mmol) and the mixture was cooled to –10 °C in an ice salt bath. Then EDC (0.37 g, 1.91 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture over 10 min. and stir for another 2 h. There after cold 1 N HCl (30 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The aqueous phase was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 20 mL). The organic phases were combined washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo, to get the crude product which was further purified via column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes, 2:3, v/v) to obtain (0.30 g, 81%) **27** as an off white solid.

7,8-Dihydropyrrolo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocin-6(5H)-one (27): M.p. 169–170 °C. $R_f = 0.24$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:1, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1665$ (CO), 3427 (NH) cm^{-1} . ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): $\delta = 2.42$ –2.49 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.61–2.71 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.78–2.87 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 3.14–3.25 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 6.08 (s, 1 H, ArH), 6.19 (t, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 6.57 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.23–7.28 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.40–7.47 (m, 2 H, ArH) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50 MHz): $\delta = 22.5$, 31.5, 108.9, 109.2, 123.4, 127.3, 127.8, 128.4, 128.8, 131.6, 134.2, 138.8, 175.2 ppm. MS (ES+): $m/z = 213.1$ $[M + 1]^+$. DART-HRMS [ES+]: calcd. for C₁₃H₁₃N₂O 213.1028; found 213.1038.

4,5-Dihydroimidazo[1,2-a][1,6]benzodiazocin-6(7H)-one (17): This compound was isolated in 65% yield (0.24 g from 0.40 g) as an off white solid, m.p. 115–116 °C. $R_f = 0.20$ (EtOAc). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{max} = 1676$ (CO), 3400 (NH) cm^{-1} . ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO, 300 MHz): $\delta = 2.15$ –2.25 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.39–2.55 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 2.89–3.02 (t, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 3.11–3.25 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 6.91 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.08 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.27–7.31 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.46–7.54 (m, 3 H, ArH), 9.59 (s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO, 50 MHz): $\delta = 25.1$, 30.4, 124.1, 127.9, 128.3, 128.4, 128.7, 130.2, 135.1, 135.9, 146.7, 173.7 ppm. MS (ES+): $m/z = 214.3$ $[M + 1]^+$. DART-HRMS [ES+]: calcd. for C₁₂H₁₂N₃O 214.0980; found 214.0995.

Typical Procedure for the Preparation of 29: To a stirred solution of **28** (1.20 g, 4.80 mmol) in toluene (20 mL), was added DIBAL-H (7.20 mL, 7.20 mmol, 1.0 M in toluene) at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was allowed to continue at room temperature for 30 min. Thereafter on completion as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (15 mL) and the separated precipitate was filtered through a Celite bed. The filtrate was evaporated to afford the crude product as oil. Purification of the crude via column chromatography using EtOAc/hexanes (1:4, v/v) as the eluent furnished **29** as an orange solid (1.00 g, 94%).

[1-(2S)-1-(2-Nitrophenyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl]methanol (29): This compound was isolated in 94% yield (1.00 g from 1.20 g) as an orange solid, m.p. 80–81 °C. $R_f = 0.17$ (EtOAc/hexanes, 1:4, v/v). IR

(KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 3428 (OH) cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 300 MHz): δ = 1.72–1.88 (m, 2 H, CH_2), 1.99–2.06 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 2.09–2.19 (m, 2 H, CH_2), 2.74 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 1 H, CH_2), 3.51–3.56 (m, 2 H, CH_2), 3.82–3.85 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 4.11–4.16 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.80–6.85 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.11 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, ArH), 7.36–7.42 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.77 (dd, J_1 = 8.2, J_2 = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, ArH) ppm. $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 75 MHz): δ = 25.2, 27.9, 53.4, 59.8, 61.8, 117.3, 117.6, 126.7, 133.0, 142.2 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 223.1 [$\text{M} + 1$] $^+$. $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$ (222.1004): calcd. C 59.45, H 6.35, N 12.60; found C 59.64, H 6.23, N 12.67.

Typical Procedure for the Preparation of 30: Oxalyl chloride (0.58 mL, 6.76 mmol) dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 was stirred at -78°C for 10 min in a two neck round-bottomed flask under nitrogen atmosphere. Then dry DMSO (0.96 mL, 13.50 mmol) was added to it via syringe and allowed to stir at same temperature for 30 min. Thereafter, **29** (1.00 g, 4.50 mmol) dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 was added to it and stirring was continued at -78°C for 1 h. Et_3N (3.16 mL, 22.52 mmol) was added to the mixture and the reaction was allowed to proceed at room temperature. After 30 min the reaction mixture was washed with water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×30 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (60 mL), dried (Na_2SO_4) and the solvents evaporated under vacuum to yield an oily residue. Purification of this residue via column chromatography using EtOAc /hexanes (1:4, v/v) as the eluent furnished **30** as a yellow solid (0.89 g, 90%).

(2S)-1-(2-Nitrophenyl)pyrrolidine-2-carbaldehyde (30): This compound was isolated in 90% yield (0.89 g from 1.00 g) as a yellow solid, m.p. 100–101 $^\circ\text{C}$. R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc /hexanes, 1:4, v/v). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}_{\max}$ = 1684 (CO) cm^{-1} . $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 300 MHz): δ = 1.93–2.11 (m, 3 H, CH_2), 2.29–2.32 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 2.95–2.99 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 3.63–3.69 (m, 1 H, CH_2), 4.19–4.23 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.82–6.87 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.35–7.41 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.73–7.77 (m, 1 H, ArH), 9.41 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1 H, CHO) ppm. $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , 75 MHz): δ = 25.0, 27.8, 52.4, 67.8, 116.1, 117.9, 126.8, 133.3, 201.1 ppm. MS (ES): m/z = 221.1 [$\text{M} + 1$] $^+$. $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3$ (220.0848): calcd. C 59.99, H 5.49, N 12.72; found C 59.82, H 5.62, N 12.61.

Supporting Information (see also the footnote on the first page of this article): Copies of ^1H and ^{13}C NMR of all new compounds.


Acknowledgments

One of the authors (A. M.) gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi. The authors gratefully acknowledge the SAIF Division of CDRI for recording all the spectroscopic and analytical data. We acknowledge the help extended by Prof. Sandeep Verma, IIT Kanpur and his student Mr. Jitendra K. Bhatt for X-ray analysis of compound **5a**. This work was supported by a grant from the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi. The comments and suggestions made by the referees of this article which helped to improve the manuscript are acknowledged.

- [1] a) B. E. Fink, A. V. Gavai, J. S. Tokarski, B. Goyal, R. Misra, H. Y. Xiao, S. D. Kimball, W. C. Han, D. Norris, T. E. Spires, D. You, M. M. Gottardis, M. V. Lorenzi, G. D. Vite, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 1532–1536; b) P. Appukkuttan, W. Dehaen, E. Van der Eycken, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 2723–2726; c) H. Yoshida, E. Shirakawa, Y. Honda, T. Hiyama, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 3247–3249; d) E. Vedejs, R. J. Galante, P. G. Goekjian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 3613–3622; e) F. W. Gubitz, *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 728–733; f) M. R. Still-

ings, S. Freeman, P. L. Myers, M. J. Readhead, A. P. Welbourn, M. J. Rance, D. C. Atkinson, *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 225–233; g) W. W. Paudler, A. G. Zeiler, *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34*, 2138–2140; h) B. Basil, E. C. J. Coffee, D. L. Gell, D. R. Maxwell, D. J. Sheffield, K. R. H. Wooldridge, *J. Med. Chem.* **1970**, *13*, 403–406; i) N. D. Adhikary, P. Chattopadhyay, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 1754–1762, and references cited therein.

- [2] a) C. Ferrer, A. M. Echavarren, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1105–1109; b) L. Yet, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 2963–3007; c) G. Mehta, V. Singh, *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 881–930; d) H. Bieraügel, T. P. Jansen, H. E. Schoemaker, H. Hiemstra, J. H. van Maarseveen, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2673–2676; e) M. E. Maier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 2073–2077; f) P. A. Evans, B. Holmes, *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 9131–9166.
- [3] D. Basavaiah, K. Aravindu, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 2453–2456.
- [4] K. Koriatopoulou, N. Karousis, G. Vavounis, *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 10009–10013, and references cited therein.
- [5] a) S. Parra, F. Laurent, G. Subra, C. Deleuze-Masquefa, V. Benezech, J. R. Fabreguettes, J. P. Vidal, T. Pocock, K. Elliott, R. Small, R. Escalé, A. Michel, J. P. Chapat, P. A. Bonnet, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *36*, 255–264; b) Franchetti, M. Grifantini, S. Martelli, M. L. Stein, *J. Med. Chem.* **1974**, *17*, 18–22; c) G. Uryukina, A. M. Simonov, R. A. Ovchinnikova, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **1971**, *7*, 536–538.
- [6] Crystal structure refinement details for **5a**: A crystal was coated with light hydrocarbon oil and mounted in the cold (100 K) dinitrogen stream of a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer equipped with CRYO Industries low-temperature apparatus. Intensity data were collected using graphite-monochromated Mo- K_α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Crystal data of compound **5a** (crystallized from MeOH): $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{15}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3$, M = 273.29, Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, a = 6.462(2), b = 9.073(3), c = 11.997(4) Å, α = 69.394(5), β = 77.686(6), γ = 77.722(6), V = 635.9(4) Å 3 , Z = 2, D_c = 1.427 g cm^{-3} , μ (Mo- K_α) = 0.103 mm^{-1} , $F(000)$ = 288 colorless block, dimensions 0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 mm, 3514 reflections measured (R_{int} = 0.0375), 2421 unique, wR_2 = 0.1806, conventional R = 0.0601 on F^2 values of 2421 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, (Δ/σ) $_{\text{max}}$ = 000), S = 1.129 for all data and 184 parameters. The data integration and reduction were processed with the SAINT software. An absorption correction was applied. Structures were solved by the direct method using SHELXS-97 and refined on F^2 by a full-matrix least-squares technique using the SHELXL-97 program package. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. In the refinement, hydrogens were treated as riding atoms using the SHELXL default parameters. CCDC-772747 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [7] a) A. Patra, S. Batra, A. P. Bhaduri, A. Khanna, R. Chander, M. Dikshit, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *11*, 2269–2276; b) D. Basavaiah, N. Kumaragurubaran, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 477–479; c) Y. J. Im, J. M. Kim, J. H. Mun, J. N. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *22*, 349–350.
- [8] The MBH derivative **I** does not undergo Michael addition with aniline or substituted anilines as shown below.



- [9] J. T. Reeves, D. R. Fandrick, Z. Tan, J. J. Song, H. Lee, N. K. Yee, C. H. Senanayake, *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 992–994, and references cited therein.

Received: March 16, 2010
Published Online: July 20, 2010