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#### Abstract

Pescapreins XXI-XXX $(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0})$, pentasaccharide resin glycosides, together with the known pescapreins I-IV and stoloniferin III were isolated from the aerial parts of Ipomoea pes-caprae (beach morning-glory). The pescapreins are macrolactones of simonic acid B, partially esterified with different fatty acids. The lactonization site of the aglycone, jalapinolic acid, was located at C-2 or C-3 of the second saccharide moiety. Their structures were established by a combination of spectroscopic and chemical methods. Com-  pounds $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$ were evaluated for their potential to modulate multidrug resistance in the human breast cancer cell line MCF-7/ADR. The combined use of these new compounds at a concentration of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ increased the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin by 1.5-3.7-fold.


Resin glycosides, found mostly in plants of the family Convolvulaceae, have been a focus in natural products research for their diverse structures and various biological activities. ${ }^{1}$ The genus Ipomoea, containing 300 species distributed from tropical to subtropical regions, was shown to be a rich source of tetra- and pentasaccharide resin glycosides, some of which have potential bioactivities, such as phytogrowth inhibition, ${ }^{2}$ cytotoxicity, ${ }^{2 a, 3}$ antifungal, ${ }^{4}$ antibacterial, ${ }^{5}$ and bacterial multidrug efflux pumps blocking effects, ${ }^{5,6}$ as well as effects on the central nervous system. ${ }^{7}$

Ipomoea pes-caprae (L.) R. Br. (Convolvulaceae) is a trailing vine distributed worldwide. It is orally used to cure dermatitis caused by jellyfish stings and is externally applied to treat furunculosis, pain, and bedsores in China. ${ }^{8}$ Previous investigations have revealed a series of resin glycosides, pescapreins I-IX, stoloniferin III, and pescaprosides A and B, ${ }^{9,10}$ and pescapreins $X-X X^{6 c, 11}$ from this species. As a part of our ongoing chemical studies on the resin glycosides from plants in the Convolvulaceae, ${ }^{12,13}$ we have investigated the aerial parts of this plant and obtained 10 new pentasaccharide resin glycosides, pescapreins XXI-XXX $(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0})$, along with the known pescapreins I-IV9 and stoloniferin III. ${ }^{14}$ These new compounds had a pentasaccharide core, esterified with different organic acids and lactonized by (11S)-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid (jalapinolic acid) to form a macrocyclic lactone. In the present paper, we report the isolation, structure determination, and evaluation of their inhibitory effects against multidrug resistance (MDR) using human breast cancer MCF-7/ADR cells.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The air-dried aerial parts of I. pes-caprae were pulverized and refluxed with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The EtOH extract was evaporated in
vacuo to remove the solvent and then suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-soluble and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-insoluble fractions. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ insoluble fraction was subjected to macroporous resin D101 column chromatography using a gradient of EtOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $60: 40$ to $100: 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford five fractions. The three fractions eluting with $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (70:30, 80:20, and 90:10) were successively separated over silica gel, MCI gel CHP-20P, Sephadex LH-20, and ODS column chromatography, as well as preparative HPLC to give pescapreins XXI-XXX (1-10), together with the known pescapreins I-IV and stoloniferin III.

Pescaprein XXI (1) was obtained as a white, amorphous powder. Its molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{116} \mathrm{O}_{25}$, was established from a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 1425.7785 [ $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{117} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1425.7787$ ) in the negativeion HRESIMS. IR peaks at 3445 and $1738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ revealed the presence of hydroxy and ester carbonyl groups, respectively. The NMR spectra exhibited five anomeric signals [ $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.79$ (d, $J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.60$ (br s), and $\left.\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 101.6,100.2,99.4,103.7,104.2\right]$ and signals of longchain fatty acids, which indicated that $\mathbf{1}$ was a resin glycoside. ${ }^{12,13}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum exhibited five methyl doublets in the range $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.4-1.7$ featuring five 6-deoxyhexose units, and two nonequivalent protons at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.95$ and 2.28 in the aglycone moiety, suggesting its macrocylic lactone-type structure. ${ }^{10,12} \mathrm{~A}$ methyl triplet at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.84$ and a methylene triplet at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.37$ suggested a dodecanoyl group; a pair of distinctive trans-coupled olefinic protons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.52$ and 7.81 , each $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and aromatic protons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.31, \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, and $7.42, \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) revealed the presence

[^0]Chart 1. Strutures of Compounds Isolated (1-10)

of a trans-cinnamoyl moiety; the upfield protons at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, and $2.44(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$ composing one spin system in the TOCSY spectrum suggested the occurrence of a 2-methylbutanoyl unit. Alkaline hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ afforded simonic acid $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathbf{1 1 )},{ }^{12,13}\right.$ and $n$-dodecanoic, 2-methylbutanoic, and trans-cinnamic acids by GC-MS analysis. ${ }^{10}$ 2-Methylbutanoic acid was found to have the $S$ configuration by comparison of its specific rotation value with that of an authentic sample. Subsequent acidic hydrolysis of the glycosidic acid methyl ether (12) liberated 11-hydroxyhexdecanoic acid methyl ether (13) and sugars (Scheme 1). The sugars obtained from the acidic hydrolysates were identified as L-rhamnopyranose and D-fucopyranose by GC-MS analysis of their chiral derivatives. ${ }^{15}$ The $11 S$-configuration was assigned on the basis of Mosher's method. ${ }^{12,13}$

A combination of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and 2D NMR experiments (HSQC, HMBC, and TOCSY) led to the assignment of all proton and carbon signals in $\mathbf{1}$, including those of one fucopyranosyl and four rhamnopyranosyl units (Tables 1 and 3). The $\beta$-configuration of the D -fucose was suggested by a large coupling constant $(J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the anomeric proton $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.80\right)$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, while the $\alpha$-configuration for L -rhamnose was revealed by the chemical shift of C-5 of rhamnose in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{16}$ The interglycosidic connectivities were determined from correlations of $\mathrm{H}-1\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.30\right)$ of $\mathrm{Rha}{ }^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{C}-2\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ 73.5) of Fuc, H-1 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.62$ ) of Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ with C-4 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 78.6$ ) of Rha', $\mathrm{H}-1\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.91\right)$ of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ with C-4 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 79.6$ ) of Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, and H-1 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 5.60) of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ with C-3 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 80.2$ ) of Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ in the HMBC spectrum. The acylation positions were established by the key HMBC correlations between protons of sugars and acyl carbons of the fatty acids, i.e., $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.82\left(\mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{Rha}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 173.0$ ( $n$-dodecanoyl), $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.80$ (H-3, Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ) with $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 166.1$ (transcinnamoyl), and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.01$ (H-4, Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ) with $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.9$ ( $2 S$ methylbutanoyl). The position of the jalapinolic acid moiety in the oligosaccharide core was determined by the correlation between $\mathrm{H}-11\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.88\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}-1\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 101.6\right)$ of Fuc in the

HMBC spectrum. The site of lactonization was corroborated as C-3 of Rha' by the observed ${ }^{3} J$ coupling between the carbonyl carbon of the lactone ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 174.9$ ) and $\mathrm{H}-3$ of $\mathrm{Rha}{ }^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.59\right)$. Thus, the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was elucidated as (11S)-jalapinolic acid $11-O-\alpha-$ - -rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-O-[3-O-(trans-cinnamoyl)-4-O-(2S-methylbutanoyl)- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )]-O-[2$O$ - $n$-dodecanoyl]- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamno-pyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )-O- $\beta$-d-fucopyranoside-( $1,3^{\prime \prime}$-lactone).

Pescaprein XXII (2) has the same molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{116} \mathrm{O}_{25}$, as $\mathbf{1}$, inferred by HRESIMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1425.7784$ [ M $+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$). The NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 3 ) of 2 were similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$, with the only difference being the position of the trans-cinnamoyl group, which, combined with GC-MS analysis of the alkaline hydrolysates, suggested that they were positional isomers. In the HMBC spectrum of 2, H-2 of Rha'" at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.95$ showed HMBC correlations to the carbonyl group at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 166.8 (C-1 of trans-cinnamoyl), which suggested that the transcinnamoyl group was located at C-2 of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ in 2 rather than at $\mathrm{C}-3$ of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ in $\mathbf{1}$. Therefore, the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ was identified as (11S)-jalapinolic acid 11-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-O-[2-O-(trans-cinnamoyl)-4-O-(2S-methylbutanoyl)- $\alpha$-L-rham-nopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)]$-O-[2-O-n-dodecanoyl]- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyra-nosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$-O- $\beta$-D-fucopyrano-side-( $1,3^{\prime \prime}$-lactone).

Pescapreins XXIII (3) and XXIV (4) gave the same quasimolecular ion peak at $m / z 1387[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$in the negative ESIMS. Their negative HRESIMS exhibited a pseudomolecular ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$at $m / z 1397.7474$ and 1397.7457 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{71} \mathrm{H}_{113} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1397.7474$ ), 28 mass units less than those of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. Alkaline hydrolysis of 3 and 4 yielded $n$-decanoic acid, $2 S$ methylbutanoic acid, and trans-cinnamic acid. Their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were similar to those of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. The major difference between their ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra was located in the high-field region ( 10 to 50 ppm ), and it was thus deduced that compounds 3 and 4 were homologues of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, respectively, with a $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ rather than a $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ fatty acid side chain. The key HMBC

## Scheme 1. Conversion of $1-10$ to the Aglycone Methyl Ester 13 and Its MPA Derivatives (14 and 15) ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a} \Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=\delta(S)-\delta(R)$ values are given in ppm.
correlations from H-2 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.84$ in 3 and 5.85 in 4) of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ to the acyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 173.0$ in 3 and 172.9 in $4, n$-decanoyl), H-4 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 6.03 in 3 and 5.73 in 4 ) of $\mathrm{Rha}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to the acyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 175.9$ in 3 and 176.4 in $4,2 S$-methylbutanoyl), $\mathrm{H}-3\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.82\right)$ of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to the acyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 166.1$, trans-cinnamoyl) in 3 , and H-2 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 5.96) of Rha'" to the acyl carbon ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 166.8$, trans-cinnamoyl) in 4 confirmed that $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were the congeners of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ produced by the substitution of $n$-decanoyl units for $n$-dodecanoyl units, respectively. Accordingly, the structures of compounds 3 and 4 were established as (11S)-jalapinolic acid 11-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamno-pyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$-O-[3-O-(trans-cinnamoyl)-4-O-(2S-methyl-butanoyl)- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)]-O-[2-O-n$-decanoyl]-$\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$-O-$\beta$-d-fucopyranoside-( $1,3^{\prime \prime}$-lactone) and (11S)-jalapinolic acid $11-\mathrm{O}-\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$-O- $[2-\mathrm{O}$-(trans-cinnamoyl)-4-O-(2S-methylbutanoyl)- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )]-O-[2-$O$-n-decanoyl]- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyra-nosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )-O- $\beta$-d-fucopyranoside-( $1,3^{\prime \prime}$-lactone), respectively.

Pescapreins XXV-XXIX (5-9), amorphous, white powders, gave quasimolecular ions of $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$at $m / z 1411.7617$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{115} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right), \quad 1383.7295 \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{111} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right), \quad 1327.6687$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{103} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right), 1369.7113\left(\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{109} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right)$, and 1341.6815 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{105} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right)$, respectively. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $5-9$ (Table 3) showed five anomeric signals, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of these compounds (Tables 1 and 2) exhibited five methyl doublets for 6-deoxyhexose units and signals attributable to the nonequivalent protons of the methylene group at C-2 of the jalapinolic moiety, indicative of the macrocyclic lactone-type structure. Compounds 5-9 were separately saponified with 5\% KOH to provide a mixture of organic acids and a glycosidic acid. The organic acids were examined by gas chromatography (GC), while the glycosidic acid was identified from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data. transCinnamic acid was found for 5-9, 2-methylpropanoic acid for 5 and 6, and $2 S$-methylbutanoic acid for $7-9$. In addition, $n$ dodecanoic acid was obtained from $5, n$-decanoic acid from 6, $2 S$-methylbutanoic acid from 7, $n$-octanoic acid from 8 , and $n$-hexanoic acid from 9 . The glycosidic acid obtained was proved to be simonic acid $B$ (11) from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data. The positions of esterification were determined by the correlations in the HMBC spectra: thus, C-3-OH of Rha'" was acylated by trans-cinnamoyl in 5-9; C-4-OH of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ was acylated by 2-methylpropanoyl in 5 and 6 and $2 S$-methylbutanoyl in 7-9; C-2-OH of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ was acylated by $n$-dodecanoyl, $n$-decanoyl, $2 S$-methylbutanoyl, $n$ octanoyl, and $n$-hexanoyl, respectively, in 5-9. The location of the jalapinolic acid moiety in the oligosaccharide core was determined by the observed HMBC cross-peaks from $\mathrm{H}-11$ of jalapinolic acid to C-1 of Fuc and from $\mathrm{H}-2$ of Rha' in 9 and $\mathrm{H}-3$ of

Rha' in 5-8 to the carbonyl of jalapinolic acid. Consequently, the structures of 5-9 were determined as shown.

Pescaprein XXX (10), an amorphous, white powder, gave a quasimolecular ion at $m / z 1267.7044[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$, which was determined by negative-ion HRESIMS. Basic hydrolysis afforded simonic acid $B$ (11) and $2 S$-methylbutanoic and $n$-decanoic acids. The location of the jalapinolic acid moiety was determined by the correlation between $\mathrm{H}-11\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.85\right)$ of jalapinolic acid and C-1 ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 104.3) of Fuc in the HMBC spectrum. The esterification positions of the acyl residues and the lactonization site of the aglycone in the oligosaccharide core were also determined by HMBC long-range correlations. Thus, an $n$-decanoyl unit was attached at C-2 of Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, a $2 S$-methylbutanoyl group was bonded at C-3 of Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$, and the lactonization position of the aglycone was placed at C-2 of Rha'. Accordingly, the structure of 10 was defined as (11S)-jalapinolic acid 11-O- $\alpha-\mathrm{L}-$ rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-4-O-[3-O-(2S-methylbutanoyl)- $\alpha$-L-rha-mnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )]-O-[2-O-n-decanoyl]- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl$(1 \rightarrow 4)$-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 2$ )-O- $\beta$-d-fucopyranoside( $1,2^{\prime \prime}$-lactone).

A common feature of all the resin glycosides is that a glycosidic acid is isolated after mild alkaline hydrolysis. The glycosidic acid is often esterified by various organic acids, mostly shortchain fatty acids, but a few aromatic acids have also been observed. The first example of a resin glycoside with an aromatic acid (transcinnamic acid) as a component organic acid was reported in $1992 .{ }^{17}$ Up to now, only eight macrolactones of simonic acid $B$ as glycosidic acid, lactonized at C-3 of the second monosaccharide unit with trans-cinnamic acid, have been reported. ${ }^{12,18}$

The most potent P-glycoprotein inhibitory activity was reported for the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ extracts of Merremia mammosa (Lour.) Hallier. F (Convolvulaceae), ${ }^{19}$ in which the resin glycosides were the main constituents. ${ }^{20}$ All the isolates $(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1 0})$ were examined for their inhibitory effect against multidrug resistance in MCF-7/ADR cells by the MTT method using verapamil as positive control. The results of the cytotoxicity assay showed that these compounds were not toxic to MCF-7/ADR. Combined use at a concentration of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ with doxorubicin increased the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin by $1.5-3.7$-fold as compared with 21fold by verapamil (Table 4). The two pairs of regioisomers (1 vs $\mathbf{2}$, 3 vs 4 ) showed a large disparity in their MDR reversal ability, which demonstrated that a slight structural difference contributes to a large difference in their MDR reversal activity. ${ }^{13}$

## ■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter. UV spectra were obtained

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data of Compounds $1-6\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \text {, in pyridine- } d_{5}\right)^{a}$

| position ${ }^{b}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuc-1 | 4.79, d (8.0) | 4.79, d (8.0) | 4.81, d (7.8) | 4.80 d, (7.8) | 4.75, d (7.8) | 4.81, d (7.8) |
| 2 | 4.49, dd (9.5, 8.0) | 4.50 , dd (9.5, 8.0) | 4.51 , dd (9.5, 7.8) | 4.51, dd (9.5, 7.8) | 4.47 , dd (9.5, 7.8) | 4.52 , dd (9.5, 7.8) |
| 3 | 4.16, dd (9.5, 3.0) | 4.17 , dd (9.5, 3.5) | 4.18 , dd (9.5, 3.2) | 4.18, dd (9.5, 3.4) | 4.14 , dd (9.5, 3.1) | 4.17 , dd ( $9.5,3.5$ ) |
| 4 | $3.89, \mathrm{~d}$ (3.0) | 3.90 d (3.5) | 3.91, d (3.2) | 3.92, d (3.4) | 3.89, d (3.1) | 3.91, d (3.5) |
| 5 | 3.78 , br q (6.0) | 3.80, br q (6.0) | 3.80 , br q (6.3) | 3.81, br q (6.3) | 3.76 , br q (6.3) | 3.81, br q (6.3) |
| 6 | 1.49, d (6.0) | 1.50, d (6.0) | 1.51, d (6.3) | 1.52, d (6.3) | 1.47, d (6.3) | 1.51, d (6.3) |
| Rha' ${ }^{\prime} 1$ | 6.30, d (1.5) | 6.32 , d (1.5) | 6.32 , br s | 6.33, br s | 6.26 , br s | 6.32, (1.5) |
| 2 | 5.28 , br s | 5.30 br s | 5.31 , br s | 5.31 , br s | 5.25 , br s | 5.31, br s |
| 3 | 5.59, dd (10.0, 3.0) | 5.60 , dd (10.0, 3.0) | 5.60 , dd (10.0, 3.0) | 5.61 , dd (9.5, 3.0) | 5.58 , dd (9.5, 2.5) | 5.62 dd (10.0, 3.0) |
| 4 | 4.60 , dd (10.0, 10.0) | 4.61, dd (10.0, 10.0) | 4.62 , dd (10.0, 10.0) | 4.62 , dd (9.5, 9.5) | 4.58 , dd (9.5, 9.5) | 4.62 , dd (10.0, 10.0) |
| 5 | $5.01, \mathrm{dq}(10.0,6.5)$ | $5.00, \mathrm{dq}(10.0,6.0)$ | 5.03* | 5.01* | $4.98, \mathrm{dq}(9.5,6.3)$ | $5.03, \mathrm{dq}(10.0,6.3)$ |
| 6 | 1.58, d (6.5) | 1.58, d (6.0) | 1.60, d (6.5) | 1.59, d (6.2) | 1.59, d (6.3) | 1.59, d (6.3) |
| Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 5.62, d (1.5) | 5.65 , br s | 5.64, br s | 5.66, d (1.2) | 5.59 , br s | 5.65, br s |
| 2 | 5.82 , br s | 5.85, br s | 5.84, br s | 5.85, br s | 5.79, br s | 5.84, br s |
| 3 | 4.58, dd (9.0, 3.0) | 4.54 , dd (10.0, 3.0) | 4.60 , dd (9.5, 3.0) | 4.55, dd (9.1, 3.1) | 4.56 , dd (9.5, 3.3) | 4.60 , dd (9.8, 3.0) |
| 4 | 4.27, dd (9.0, 9.0) | 4.31, dd (10.0, 10.0) | $4.29, \mathrm{dd}(9.5,9.5)$ | 4.27-4.31* | 4.25 , dd (9.5, 9.5) | $4.29, \mathrm{dd}(9.8,9.8)$ |
| 5 | 4.37 , dd (9.0, 6.0) | 4.33 , dd (10.0, 6.0) | $4.39, \mathrm{dd}(9.5,6.3)$ | $4.31-4.35 *$ | 4.35 , dd (9.5, 6.1) | $4.39, \mathrm{dd}(9.8,6.2)$ |
| 6 | 1.59, d (6.0) | 1.61, d (6.0) | 1.61, d (6.3) | 1.63, d (6.4) | 1.61, d (6.1) | 1.61, d (6.2) |
| Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$-1 | 5.91, br s | 5.78, d (1.5) | 5.93, br s | 5.79, d (1.7) | 5.86, br s | 5.94, br s |
| 2 | 4.85, br s | 5.95 , br s | 4.87, br s | 5.96, br s | 4.82 , br s | 4.85 , br s |
| 3 | 5.80, dd (10.0, 3.0) | 4.63 , dd (9.5, 3.0) | $5.82, \mathrm{dd}(10.0,3.0)$ | 4.64, dd (9.5, 3.2) | 5.76, dd(10.0, 3.8) | $5.81, \mathrm{~d}(9.9,3.8)$ |
| 4 | $6.01, \mathrm{t}$ (10.0) | $5.72, \mathrm{t}$ (9.5) | $6.03, \mathrm{t}$ (10.0) | 5.73, t (9.5) | 5.96, t (10.0) | $6.02, \mathrm{t}(9.9)$ |
| 5 | 4.44, dd (10.0, 6.5) | 4.39 , dd (9.5, 6.5) | 4.45, dd (10.0, 6.3) | 4.40 , dd (9.5, 6.5) | $4.39-4.41^{*}$ | $4.41-4.44^{*}$ |
| 6 | 1.41, d (6.5) | $1.49, \mathrm{~d}$ (6.5) | 1.43, d (6.3) | 1.49, d (6.5) | 1.40, d (6.2) | 1.41, d (6.2) |
| Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$-1 | $5.60, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ | 5.58, br s | 5.62 , br s | 5.59, br s | 5.56 , br s | 5.62 , br s |
| 2 | 4.74, br s | 4.89 , br s | 4.76, br s | 4.91, br s | 4.71, br s | 4.75 , br s |
| 3 | 4.46, dd (9.0, 3.0) | 4.42 , dd (9.0, 3.5) | 4.49 , dd (9.0, 3.3) | 4.42 , dd (9.1, 3.2) | 4.45* | 4.48 , dd ( $9.8,3.5$ ) |
| 4 | 4.18, dd (9.0, 9.0) | 4.21, dd (9.0, 9.0) | 4.20 , dd (9.0, 9.0) | 4.22 , dd (9.1, 9.1) | 4.17, dd (9.1, 9.1) | 4.20 dd (9.8, 9.8) |
| 5 | 4.25 , dd (9.0, 6.0) | 4.27, dd (9.0, 6.0) | 4.27 , dd (9.0, 6.1) | $4.27 \mathrm{dd}(9.1,6.0)$ | 4.23 , dd (9.1, 6.0) | 4.28 , dd (9.8, 6.1) |
| 6 | 1.68, d (6.0) | 1.69, d (6.0) | 1.70, d (6.1) | 1.69, d (6.0) | 1.64 d (6.0) | 1.70, d (6.1) |
| Ag-2 | 2.95, m; 2.28, m | $2.98, \mathrm{~m} ; 2.26, \mathrm{~m}$ | 2.97, m; 2.28, m | $2.99, \mathrm{~m} ; 2.27, \mathrm{~m}$ | 2.94, m; 2.28, m | 2.96, m ; 2.28, m |
| Ag-11 | 3.87, m | 3.86, m | 3.89, m | 3.86, m | 3.85, m | 3.88, m |
| Ag-16 | 0.97, t (7.0) | 0.97, t (7.0) | 0.98, t (7.0) | 0.98, t (7.1) | 0.96, t (6.1) | $0.98, \mathrm{t}$ (6.9) |
| CA-2 | 6.52, d (16.0) | 6.47, d (16.0) | $6.54, \mathrm{~d}(15.9)$ | 6.48, d (15.9) | $6.51, \mathrm{~d}(16.0)$ | $6.54, \mathrm{~d}$ (16.0) |
| CA-3 | 7.81, d (16.0) | 7.74, d (16.0) | 7.83, d (15.9) | 7.75, d (15.9) | $7.80, \mathrm{~d}$ (16.0) | 7.83, d (16.0) |
| CA-2 $/ 6^{\prime}$ | 7.42, 2H, m | $7.27,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | $7.44,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | $7.28,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | $7.39,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | $7.44,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ |
| CA- $3^{\prime} / 5^{\prime}$ | 7.31, 2H, m | 7.27, 2H, m | 7.33, 2H, m | $7.28,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | $7.31,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | $7.32,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ |
| CA-4' | 7.31, m | 7.27, m | 7.33, m | 7.28, m | 7.31, m | 7.32, m |
| Dodeca-2 | 2.37, t (7.5) | 2.40, t (7.5) |  |  | 2.38, t (7.3) |  |
| Dodeca-12 | 0.84, t (7.0) | 0.84, t (7.0) |  |  | 0.84, t (6.5) |  |
| Deca-2 |  |  | 2.39, t (7.6) | 2.41, t (7.5) |  | 2.37, t (7.3) |
| Deca-10 |  |  | 0.86, t (7.0) | 0.84, t (7.0) |  | 0.85, t (7.2) |
| Iba-1 |  |  |  |  | 2.60, sept (7.0) | 2.61, sept (7.0) |
| Iba-3 |  |  |  |  | 1.11, d (7.0) | 1.13, d (7.0) |
| Iba-3' |  |  |  |  | 1.10, d (7.0) | 1.12, d (7.0) |
| Mba-2 | 2.44, tq (7.0, 7.0) | 2.51, tq (7.0, 7.0) | $2.46, \mathrm{tq}(7.0,7.0)$ | 2.52, tq (6.8, 6.9) |  |  |
| Mba-4 | 0.80, t (7.5) | 0.91, t (7.0) | 0.83, t (7.5) | 0.92, t (6.8) |  |  |
| Mba-2-Me | 1.12, d (7.0) | 1.21, d (6.5) | 1.14, d (7.0) | 1.22, d (6.9) |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are in ppm relative to TMS. The spin coupling $(J)$ is given in parentheses (Hz). Chemical shifts marked with an asterisk (*) indicate overlapped signals. Spin-coupled patterns are designated as follows: $s=$ singlet, $\mathrm{br} s=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=\operatorname{triplet}, \mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, sept $=$ septet. All assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ TOCSY experiments. ${ }^{6}$ Abbreviations: Fuc = fucose; Rha $=$ rhamnose; $\mathrm{Ag}=11$-hydroxyhexadecanoyl; Iba $=2$-methylpropanoyl; $\mathrm{Mba}=2 \mathrm{~S}$-methylbutanoyl; CA $=$ trans-cinnamoyl; Deca $=n$-decanoyl; Dodeca $=n$-dodecanoyl; Me $=$ methyl.

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data for Compounds $7-10\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \text {, in pyridine- } d_{5}\right)^{a}$

| position ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuc-1 | 4.82, d (8.0) | 4.81, d (8.0) | 4.76, d (7.5) | 4.73, d (7.5) |
| 2 | 4.53 , dd (9.0, 8.0) | 4.53 , dd (9.0, 8.0) | 4.17, dd (9.5, 7.5) | 4.14, dd (9.6, 7.5) |
| 3 | 4.18, dd (9.0, 3.0) | 4.18, dd (9.0, 3.0) | 4.11, dd (9.5, 3.5) | 4.08, dd (9.6, 3.5) |
| 4 | 3.91, d (3.0) | 3.91, d (3.0) | 3.99, d (3.5) | 3.98, d (3.5) |
| 5 | 3.81 , br q (6.5) | $3.81, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}(6.5)$ | 3.77, br q (6.5) | 3.78 , br q (6.4) |
| 6 | 1.51, d (6.5) | 1.49, d (6.5) | $1.50, \mathrm{~d}$ (6.5) | 1.49, d (6.4) |
| Rha' -1 | 6.35 , br s | 6.35 , br s | 5.49, br s | 5.48 , br s |
| 2 | 5.32, br s | 5.30, br s | 5.96, br s | 5.94, br s |
| 3 | 5.62* | 5.59 * | 5.01* | 4.98, dd (9.3, 3.5) |
| 4 | 4.66, dd (10.0, 10.0) | 4.61, dd (10.0, 10.0) | 4.20, dd (9.5, 9.5) | 4.16* |
| 5 | 5.03, dq (10.0, 6.0) | 5.02 * | $4.43-4.45^{*}$ | $4.44-4.46^{*}$ |
| 6 | 1.58, d (6.0) | 1.57, d (6.5) | 1.61, d (6.5) | 1.57, d (6.0) |
| Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$-1 | 5.62 , br s | 5.64, br s | 6.10, d (1.5) | 6.05, br s |
| 2 | 5.81 , br s | 5.85 , br s | 6.01, br s | 6.00, br s |
| 3 | 4.61, dd (9.5, 3.0) | $4.61 \mathrm{dd}(9.5,3.0)$ | 4.66, dd (9.0, 3.0) | 4.60, dd (9.0, 3.1) |
| 4 | 4.24 , dd (9.5, 9.5) | $4.30 \mathrm{dd}(9.5,9.5)$ | 4.33, dd (9.0, 9.0) | 4.30, dd (9.0, 9.0) |
| 5 | 4.38 , dd (9.5, 6.0) | 4.40 , dd (9.5, 6.0) | $4.33-4.36^{*}$ | $4.22-4.25^{*}$ |
| 6 | $1.60, \mathrm{~d}$ (6.0) | 1.62, d (6.0) | 1.63, d (6.0) | 1.54, d (5.9) |
| Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$-1 | 5.92, br s | 5.96, br s | 6.02, br s | 5.92, br s |
| 2 | 4.90, br s | 4.88, br s | 4.93* | 4.82 , br s |
| 3 | 5.84, dd (10.0, 3.0) | $5.82 \mathrm{dd}(10.0,3.5)$ | 5.92, dd (10.0, 3.0) | 5.82, dd (9.0, 9.0) |
| 4 | $6.05, \mathrm{t}$ (10.0) | $6.04, \mathrm{t}$ (10.0) | 6.07, t (10.0) | 4.38-4.41* |
| 5 | 4.46 , dd (10.0, 6.5) | 4.46, dd (10.0, 6.5) | 4.47-4.49* | $4.41-4.43^{*}$ |
| 6 | 1.42 , d (6.5) | 1.42, d (6.5) | 1.42, d (6.5) | 1.64, d (5.8) |
| Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$-1 | 5.66, br s | 5.62 , br s | 5.64, br s | 5.60, br s |
| 2 | 4.77, br s | 4.79, br s | 4.78, br s | 4.75, br s |
| 3 | 4.43 , dd (9.0, 3.0) | 4.51 , dd ( $9.5,3.0)$ | 4.45-4.47* | 4.42* |
| 4 | $4.21, \mathrm{dd}(9.0,9.0)$ | $4.21, \mathrm{dd}(9.5,9.5)$ | 4.22, dd (9.5, 9.5) | 4.18, dd (9.0, 9.0) |
| 5 | 4.27 , dd (9.0, 6.0) | 4.28 , dd (9.5, 6.0) | $4.28, \mathrm{dq}(9.5,6.0)$ | 4.27, dd (9.0, 6.0) |
| 6 | 1.71, d (6.0) | 1.69, d (6.0) | 1.58, d (6.0) | 1.56, d (6.0) |
| Ag-2 | 2.83, m; 2.26, m | 2.98, m; 2.27, m | 2.40, m; 2.22, m | 2.38, m; 2.24, m |
| Ag-11 | 3.88, m | 3.89, m | 3.86, m | 3.85, m |
| Ag-16 | 0.98, t (7.0) | 0.84, t (7.0) | 0.85, t (7.0) | 0.85, t (7.3) |
| CA-2 | $6.52, \mathrm{~d}(16.0)$ | 6.55, d (16.0) | 6.55, d (16.0) |  |
| CA-3 | 7.81, d (16.0) | 7.84, d (16.0) | 7.81, d (16.0) |  |
| CA-2'/6 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 7.44, 2H, m | $7.45,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ | 7.44, 2H, m |  |
| CA-3'/5 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 7.33, 2H, m | 7.33, 2H, m | 7.33, 2H, m |  |
| CA- $4^{\prime}$ | 7.33, m | 7.33 m | 7.33, m |  |
| Deca-2 |  |  |  | 2.40, m; 2.24, m |
| Deca-10 |  |  |  | 0.83, t (7.8) |
| Mba-2 | 2.45, tq (7.0, 7.0) | 2.45, tq (7.0, 7.0) | 2.46, tq (7.0, 7.0) | 2.40, m |
| Mba-4 | 0.80, t (7.5) | 0.80, t (7.0) | 0.80, t (7.5) | 0.87, t (7.4) |
| Mba-2-Me | 1.12, d (7.0) | 1.12, d (7.0) | $1.13 \mathrm{~d}(7.0)$ | 1.03, d (6.9) |
| Octa-2 |  | 2.38, t (7.0) |  |  |
| Octa-8 |  | 0.84, t (7.0) |  |  |
| Mba' 2 | 2.38, tq (7.0, 7.0) |  |  |  |
| Mba' ${ }^{\prime}$ | 0.88, t (7.5) |  |  |  |
| Mba' ${ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}$ | 1.13, d (7.0) |  |  |  |
| Hexa-2 |  |  | 2.30, m; 2.26, m |  |
| Hexa-6 |  |  | 0.75, t (7.5) |  |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are in ppm relative to TMS. The spin coupling $(J)$ is given in parentheses (Hz). Chemical shifts marked with an asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ indicate overlapped signals. Spin-coupled patterns are designated as follows: $s=$ singlet, $\mathrm{br} s=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{multiplet}, \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{quartet}$. All assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ TOCSY experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Abbreviations: Fuc = fucose; Rha = rhamnose; $\mathrm{Ag}=11$-hydroxyhexadecanoyl; $\mathrm{Mba}=2 S$ methylbutanoyl; CA = trans-cinnamoyl; Deca $=n$-decanoyl; Hexa $=n$-hexanoyl; Octa $=n$-octanoyl; Me $=$ methyl .

Table 3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for Compounds $1-10(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, in pyridine- $\left.d_{5}\right)^{a}$

| position ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuc-1 | 101.6 | 101.6 | 101.7 | 101.6 | 101.6 | 101.7 | 101.4 | 101.6 | 104.1 | 104.3 |
| 2 | 73.5 | 73.4 | 73.5 | 73.4 | 73.5 | 73.5 | 73.4 | 73.5 | 80.0 | 80.2 |
| 3 | 76.6 | 76.6 | 76.6 | 76.6 | 76.6 | 76.7 | 76.4 | 76.6 | 73.1 | 73.3 |
| 4 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 73.5 | 73.6 | 73.6 | 73.3 | 73.6 | 72.7 | 73.0 |
| 5 | 71.2 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.0 | 71.3 | 70.6 | 70.8 |
| 5 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 17.3 |
| Rha' -1 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.3 | 100.0 | 100.3 | 98.5 | 98.7 |
| 2 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 69.8 | 69.6 | 69.8 | 73.6 | 73.9 |
| 3 | 77.7 | 77.7 | 77.7 | 77.7 | 77.8 | 77.8 | 77.7 | 77.7 | 69.5 | 69.7 |
| 4 | 78.6 | 78.5 | 78.6 | 78.5 | 78.6 | 78.6 | 77.1 | 78.6 | 80.4 | 80.6 |
| 5 | 67.9 | 67.9 | 67.9 | 67.9 | 68.0 | 67.9 | 67.7 | 67.9 | 68.4 | 68.6 |
| 6 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 19.2 | 19.4 |
| Rha' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 99.4 | 99.2 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 98.8 | 99.3 | 99.1 | 99.3 |
| 2 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.1 | 73.0 | 73.1 | 73.1 | 72.6 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.2 |
| 3 | 80.2 | 79.7 | 80.2 | 79.7 | 80.2 | 80.3 | 79.4 | 80.1 | 79.4 | 79.7 |
| 4 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 79.7 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 79.9 | 79.5 | 79.4 | 79.0 |
| 5 | 68.2 | 68.4 | 68.3 | 68.3 | 68.3 | 68.3 | 68.2 | 68.2 | 68.0 | 68.5 |
| 6 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.7 |
| Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$-1 | 103.7 | 100.4 | 103.7 | 100.4 | 103.7 | 103.7 | 103.6 | 103.6 | 103.4 | 103.5 |
| 2 | 70.0 | 74.1 | 70.1 | 74.1 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 69.8 | 69.9 | 69.8 | 70.4 |
| 3 | 73.2 | 68.1 | 73.3 | 68.1 | 73.3 | 73.4 | 73.0 | 73.2 | 73.1 | 75.7 |
| 4 | 71.5 | 74.8 | 71.5 | 74.8 | 71.6 | 71.6 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.2 | 71.0 |
| 5 | 68.2 | 68.4 | 68.3 | 68.4 | 68.2 | 68.2 | 68.0 | 68.2 | 68.1 | 71.1 |
| 6 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 18.3 |
| Rha ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$-1 | 104.2 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 | 104.3 |
| 2 | 72.7 | 72.2 | 72.8 | 72.2 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 72.5 | 72.6 | 72.4 | 72.5 |
| 3 | 72.5 | 72.6 | 72.5 | 72.6 | 72.5 | 72.5 | 72.2 | 72.5 | 72.3 | 72.6 |
| 4 | 73.7 | 73.7 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 73.8 | 73.8 | 73.4 | 73.7 | 73.4 | 73.7 |
| 5 | 70.8 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.4 | 70.8 | 70.5 | 70.7 |
| 6 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.5 |
| Ag-1 | 174.9 | 174.9 | 174.9 | 174.9 | 174.9 | 174.9 | 174.5 | 174.9 | 172.9 | 73.1 |
| Ag-2 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 33.6 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 33.6 | 34.0 | 34.3 |
| Ag-11 | 79.5 | 79.4 | 79.5 | 79.4 | 79.5 | 79.5 | 79.3 | 79.5 | 82.1 | 82.3 |
| Ag-16 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 14.0 | 14.3 |
| CA-1 | 166.1 | 166.8 | 166.1 | 166.8 | 166.1 | 166.2 | 165.9 | 166.2 | 166.1 |  |
| CA-2 | 118.5 | 118.4 | 118.5 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 118.2 | 118.5 | 118.1 |  |
| CA-3 | 145.4 | 145.6 | 145.4 | 145.6 | 145.4 | 145.4 | 145.1 | 145.3 | 145.3 |  |
| CA- $1^{\prime}$ | 134.7 | 134.7 | 134.7 | 134.7 | 134.7 | 134.7 | 134.5 | 134.7 | 134.4 |  |
| CA-2 $/ 6^{\prime}$ | 128.5 | 128.6 | 128.5 | 128.6 | 128.5 | 128.6 | 128.3 | 128.5 | 128.3 |  |
| CA- $3^{\prime} / 5^{\prime}$ | 129.3 | 129.0 | 129.3 | 129.0 | 129.3 | 129.3 | 129.0 | 129.3 | 129.0 |  |
| CA- $4^{\prime}$ | 130.7 | 130.5 | 130.7 | 130.5 | 130.8 | 130.8 | 130.5 | 130.7 | 130.6 |  |
| Dodeca-1 | 173.0 | 172.9 |  |  | 172.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodeca-2 | 34.4 | 34.5 |  |  | 34.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodeca-12 | 14.3 | 14.2 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deca-1 |  |  | 173.0 | 172.9 |  | 172.9 |  |  |  | 172.9 |
| Deca-2 |  |  | 34.4 | 34.4 |  | 34.4 |  |  |  | 34.3 |
| Deca-10 |  |  | 14.3 | 14.2 |  | 14.3 |  |  |  | 14.3 |
| Iba-1 |  |  |  |  | 176.4 | 176.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Iba-2 |  |  |  |  | 34.4 | 34.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Iba-3 |  |  |  |  | 19.1 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Iba-3' |  |  |  |  | 18.9 | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Mba-1 | 175.9 | 176.3 | 175.9 | 176.4 |  |  | 175.7 | 175.9 | 175.7 | 176.8 |
| Mba-2 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 41.5 |  |  | 41.3 | 41.6 | 41.3 | 41.3 |

Table 3. Continued

| position ${ }^{b}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mba-2-Me | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |  | 16.6 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.5 |
| Mba-4 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 11.7 |  |  | 11.5 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| Octa-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 173.0 |  |  |
| Octa-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.4 |  |  |
| Octa-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.2 |  |  |
| Mba'-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175.2 |  |  |  |
| Mba'-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.2 |  |  |  |
| Mba' $-2-\mathrm{Me}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.6 |  |  |  |
| Mba' -4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.6 |  |  |  |
| Hexa-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172.6 |  |
| Hexa-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.2 |  |
| Hexa-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.8 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are in ppm relative to TMS. All assignments are based on HSQC and HMBC experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Abbreviations: Fuc = fucose; Rha = rhamnose; $\mathrm{Ag}=11$-hydroxyhexadecanoyl; Iba = 2-methylpropanoyl; $\mathrm{Mba}=2 S$-methylbutanoyl; $\mathrm{CA}=$ trans-cinnamoyl; Deca $=n$ decanoyl; Dodeca $=n$-dodecanoyl; Hexa $=n$-hexanoyl; Octa $=n$ octanoyl; $\mathrm{Me}=$ methyl.
on a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer. IR spectra were measured on a Bruker Tensor-27 spectrophotometer. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-500 NMR instrument using pyridine- $d_{5}$ as solvent with TMS as internal standard, and chemical shifts were recorded as $\delta$ values. The ESIMS experiment was performed on an Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD ion-trap mass spectrometer [the sample was dissolved in MeOH ( 10 ppm of NaCl added)], and the HRESIMS experiment was performed on an Agilent TOF MSD G1969A mass spectrometer [drying gas, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; flow rate, $9.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$; temperature, $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; nebulizer, 35 psig; capillary, 3000 V ; skimmer, 60 V ; OCT RFV, 250 V ; the sample was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}-0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10: 1$, v/ $\mathrm{v})$; analyzed in negative-ion mode; fragment voltage, 120 V ]. The GCMS system consisted of an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph and an Agilent 5975 mass spectrometer. Absorbents for column chromatography were silica gel (200-300 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Qingdao Marine Chemical Co., Ltd., China), Sephadex LH-20 ( $75-150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Pharmacia, Sweden), ODS (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, FuJi, Japan), MCI gel (CHP20P, $75-150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Ltd., Japan), and macroporous resin D101. Preparative HPLC was performed using an Agilent 1100 series instrument with a Shim-Pack RP-C ${ }_{18}$ column $(20 \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d. $)$ and UV detector at 210 and 280 nm . Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated silica gel $\mathrm{GF}_{254}$ plates (Qingdao Marine Chemical Co., Ltd.) and detected by spraying with $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}-\mathrm{EtOH}$.

Plant Material. The dried aerial parts of I. pes-caprae were collected from Hainan Province of China in November 2008. The botanical identification was made by Prof. Min-Jian Qin, Department of Medicinal Plants, China Pharmaceutical University. A voucher specimen (No. 081108) is deposited in the Department of Natural Medicinal Chemistry, China Pharmaceutical University.

Extraction and Isolation. The air-dried aerial parts of I. pescaprae ( 11 kg ) were powdered and refluxed with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}(90 \mathrm{~L} \times 3)$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After removal of the solvents in vacuo, the residue $(1.65 \mathrm{~kg})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~L})$ to afford $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-soluble and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-insoluble fractions. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-insoluble fraction ( 900 g ) was chromatographied on a macroporous resin D101 column using a gradient of EtOH in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $60: 40$ to $100: 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield five fractions (A-E).

Fraction B (33 g), a dark green syrup, was subjected to silica gel column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (100:2 to $100: 30, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford four fractions ( $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 4$ ). Fraction B2 ( 2.7 g ) collected from elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}(100: 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was separated on a Sephadex LH-20 column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to

Table 4. Results of Modulating MDR ${ }^{a}$ Activities in MCF-7/ ADR of Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$

| sample $^{b}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value $^{c}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | $\mathrm{RF}^{d}$ value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| doxorubicin | $5.91 \pm 0.34$ |  |
| doxorubicin + verapamil | $0.28 \pm 0.03$ | 21.0 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{1}$ | $1.76 \pm 0.35$ | 3.3 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{2}$ | $3.98 \pm 0.43$ | 1.5 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{3}$ | $2.00 \pm 0.45$ | 2.9 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{4}$ | $3.20 \pm 0.67$ | 1.8 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{5}$ | $2.83 \pm 0.35$ | 2.1 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{6}$ | $1.58 \pm 0.15$ | 3.7 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{7}$ | $3.12 \pm 0.20$ | 1.9 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{8}$ | $2.57 \pm 0.37$ | 2.3 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{9}$ | $1.82 \pm 0.21$ | 3.2 |
| doxorubicin $+\mathbf{1 0}$ | $2.60 \pm 0.25$ | 2.3 |

${ }^{a}$ MDR: multidrug resistance. ${ }^{b}$ Serial dilutions ranging from 0.008 to 25 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of doxorubicin in the presence or absence of $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ sample. ${ }^{c}$ Values are expressed as means $\pm \mathrm{SD} .{ }^{d} \mathrm{RF}=\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of doxorubicin alone/ $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of doxorubicin in the presence of sample.
obtain subfraction B2A ( 2.1 g ), which showed a spot at $R_{f} 0.60$ by TLC [silica gel, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ] and gave seven main peaks by HPLC analysis [Shimadzu VP-ODS, $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(90: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 280 \mathrm{~nm}\right]$. Then subfraction B2A was further purified on an open ODS column $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 20\right.$ to $100: 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give eight fractions ( $\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{Aa}-\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{Ah}$ ). Compounds 8,6 , and 3 were detected mainly in fractions B2Ac to B2Ae; 4, 1, and $\mathbf{2}$ in B2Ag to B2Ah; and 5 in B2Af, under the same HPLC conditions. Purification of these compounds was performed by preparative HPLC (Shim-Pack RP$\left.\mathrm{C}_{18}, 200 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$ eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(91: 9, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ at a flow rate of $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compounds $8(7 \mathrm{mg}), 6(33 \mathrm{mg}), 3$ $(120 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{4}(21 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{5}(18 \mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{1}(89 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{2}(56 \mathrm{mg})$ were thus obtained. Fraction B3 $(3.6 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained from elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{MeOH}(100: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was further purified on a Sephadex LH-20 column to afford fraction B3A. Then fraction B3A was subjected to passage over an open ODS column $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 20\right.$ to 100:0, $\left.\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to give four subfractions (B3Aa-B3Ad). Subfraction B3Aa was separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(90: 10, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ as the mobile phase to give pescaprein I ( 12 mg ) and stoloniferin III ( 45 mg ). Subfractions B3Ab and B3Ac were separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(92: 8, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ as the mobile phase to afford pescapreins II ( 11 mg ) and III ( 376 mg ). Subfraction B3Ad was separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $94: 6, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 10$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min})$ to yield pescaprein IV $(9 \mathrm{mg})$.

Fraction C (100 g), a dark green syrup, was subjected to silica gel column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (100:3 to 100:30, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford four fractions (C1-C4). Fraction C2 (20g) was subjected to passage over a silica gel column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ in a gradient (100:2 to 100:15, v/v), to give three subfractions (C2a-C2c). Subfraction C2a ( 4.5 g ) was purified on an open ODS column ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70: 30$ to $100: 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give four fractions (C2aaC2ad). Fraction C2aa was separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $87: 13, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) as mobile phase to yield compound $7(4 \mathrm{mg})$. Subfraction C2b $(6.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to passage over an open ODS column $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70: 30\right.$ to 100:0, $\left.\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to give four fractions (C2b1-C2b4). Fraction C2b2 was separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(95: 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ as mobile phase to give compound $9(12 \mathrm{mg})$.

Fraction D ( 40 g ) was subjected to MCI gel column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $70: 30$ to $100: 0, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give five subfractions (D1-D5). Subfraction D2 ( 9 g ) was passed over an open ODS column
( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80: 20$ to 100:0, v/v) to give four fractions (D2a-D2d). Fraction D2b was separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $97: 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) as the mobile phase to give compound $\mathbf{1 0}(13 \mathrm{mg})$.

Pescaprein XXI (1): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-31.5$ (c 0.12 , $\mathrm{MeOH})$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (3.3), 217 (3.3), 279 (3.4) nm; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\max } 3445,2930,2856,1738,1638,1062 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 1 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1415[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1425.7785[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{117} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1425.7787$ ).

Pescaprein XXII (2): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-46.2$ (c 0.13, $\mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (3.2), 217 (3.2), 280 (3.4) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3443,2930,2856,1739,1637,1063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR see Table 1 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1415[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1425.7784[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{117} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1425.7787$ ).

Pescaprein XXIII (3): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-33.5$ ( $c 0.11, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (3.3), 217 (3.2), 280 (3.3) nm; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3444,2932,2857,1737,1637,1063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 1 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z$ 1387 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1397.7474$ [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}\right]^{-}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{71} \mathrm{H}_{113} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1397.7474$ ).

Pescaprein XXIV (4): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-33.7$ (c 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (3.3), 217 (3.3), 280 (3.4) nm; IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }} 3442,2931,2856,1737,1637,1063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 1 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z$ 1387 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1397.7457$ [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}\right]^{-}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{71} \mathrm{H}_{113} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1397.7474$ ).

Pescaprein XXV (5): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-21.2(c 0.12$, $\mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 205(3.0), 217(2.9), 280(3.2) \mathrm{nm} ;$ $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3451,2931,2857,1736,1635,1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR see Table 1 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1401$ [M + $\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1411.7617$ [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}\right]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{115} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1411.7631$ ).

Pescaprein XXVI (6): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-42.3$ ( $c$ $0.13, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 205(3.2), 217$ (3.1), 279 (3.3) nm ; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3448,2931,2857,1737,1639,1062 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 1 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1373[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1383.7295[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{111} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1383.7318$ ).

Pescaprein XXVII (7): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{28}-39.2$ (c $0.10, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (3.3), 217 (3.3), 279 (3.3) nm ; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3446,2928,2855,1734,1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 2 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1317$ [M + $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1327.6687$ [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}\right]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{103} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1327.6692$ ).

Pescaprein XXVIII (8): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{28}-46.4$ ( $c$ $0.10, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 205(3.6), 278(3.4) \mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{IR}$ (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3443,2931,2857,1737,1636,1063 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 2 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1359[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1369.7113[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{109} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1369.7161$ ).
Pescaprein XXIX (9): white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{28}-26.7$ (c $0.11, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 205$ (3.2), 217 (3.2), 280 (3.3) nm ; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3444,2925,1735,1634 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 2 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3 ; negative ESIMS $m / z 1331[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1341.6815\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}^{-}\right.$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{105} \mathrm{O}_{27}, 1341.6848$ ).

Pescaprein $X X X(10)$ : white, amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-26$ (c 0.13 MeOH ); IR ( KBr ) $v_{\text {max }} 3445,2929,2857,1722,1065 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 2 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3; negative ESIMS $m / z 1257$ [M + $\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}$; negative HRESIMS $m / z 1267.7044\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCOO}^{-}\right.$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{107} \mathrm{O}_{26}, 1267.7056$ ).

Alkaline Hydrolysis of 1-10. Compounds 1-10 ( 1.0 mg each) in $5 \% \mathrm{KOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were refluxed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , respectively. The
reaction mixtures were acidified to pH 4.0 with 2 N HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and $n$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo, and analyzed by GC-MS on a model 6890 GC interfaced with a model 5975 MS (Agilent) at 70 eV under the following conditions (30 $\mathrm{m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{DB}-5 \mathrm{MS}$ column; $\mathrm{He}, 0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3$ $\left.\min ; 50-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}\right)$. From the GC-MS spectrum and by comparison with authentic samples of trans-cinnamic acid ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 10.6 \mathrm{~min}$ ): $m / z 148[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(76), 147$ (100), 131 (22), 120 (6), 103 (49), 102 (24), 91 (23), 77 (35), 74(7), 63 (6), 51 (36), 50 (10), 45 (15); n-dodecanoic acid $\left(t_{\mathrm{R}} 11.4 \mathrm{~min}\right): m / z 200[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(8), 183(1), 171(8), 157(27), 143$ (10), 129 (36), 115 (17), 101 (12), 87 (15), 85 (26), 83 (14), 73 (90), 71 (26), 60 (100), 57 (55), 55 (60), 43 (77), 41 (66), 29 (27), 27 (14); $n$-decanoic acid ( $\left.t_{\mathrm{R}} 9.9 \mathrm{~min}\right): m / z 172[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(4), 155(1), 143$ (9), 129 (49), 115 (12), 101 (6), 87 (15), 73 (79), 60 (100), 57 (48), 55 (45), 43 (52), 41 (50), 29 (20), 27 (13); 2-methylbutanoic acid ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 4.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 87 (24), 74 (100), 73 (15), 57 (71), 55 (11), 45 (21), 41 (60), 39 (38), 29 (45), 27 (23); 2-methylpropanoic acid ( $\left.t_{\mathrm{R}} 3.2 \mathrm{~min}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 88[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ (7), 73 (26), 71 (2), 60 (1), 55 (6), 45 (13), 43 (100), 41 (47), 39 (14), 29 (5), 27 (20); n-hexanoic acid ( $\left.t_{\mathrm{R}} 5.9 \mathrm{~min}\right): m / z 99(1), 87(12), 73$ (40), 60 (100), 55 (14), 45 (14), 43 (33), 41 (26), 29 (10), 27 (13); and $n$-octanoic acid ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 8.1 \mathrm{~min}$ ): $m / z 144[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(1), 115$ (9), 101 (22), 85 (17), 73 (58), 69 (10), 60 (100), 55 (32), 45 (12), 43 (47), 41 (36), 39 (13), 29 (16), 27 (13) were identified. The $n$ - BuOH layer was subjected to an open ODS column $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70: 30, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$ to obtain the glycosidic acid simonic aicd $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{1 1}) .{ }^{12,13}$ It gave key fragments at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $1001[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 855\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{-}, 709\left[855-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{-}$, 563 [709- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{-}, 417\left[563-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{-}$, and 271 [417$\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{-}$in the negative ESIMS. The organic fraction ( 3.2 mg ) of the alkaline hydrolysis of 1 was purified on ODS column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25: 75, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give 2-methylbutanoic acid $(0.5 \mathrm{mg})$. This was proved to be $S$-configured by comparing the specific rotation $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+18.9\right)$ with that of authentic $2 S$-methylbutanoic acid. ${ }^{12,13}$

Acid Hydrolysis and Sugar Analysis. The glycosidic acid (15 mg , from alkaline hydrolysis), which was methylated with MeOH , catalyzed with $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ gave simonic acid B methyl ester (12). Compound 12 was hydrolyzed with $1 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield 11-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester (13). ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ The aqueous layer of acidic hydrolysis was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a residue of the sugars fraction. The protocols applied to determine the configuration of sugars were the same as our previous research, which permitted the identification of the mixture sugars of L-rhamnose and D-fucose by comparison of their derivatives with those of authentic samples. ${ }^{15}$

Preparation of Mosher's Esters. The procedures for the preparation of Mosher's esters to determine the absolute configuration of the aglycone were the same as described previously for resin glycosides from Ipomoea batatas. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ The selected $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ values $\left[\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=\delta(S)-\right.$ $\delta(R)]\left(\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=-0.06, \mathrm{H}-10 ; \Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=+0.14, \mathrm{H}-12 ; \Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=+0.08, \mathrm{H}-16\right)$ of 11-(R-MPA)-hexadecanoic acid methyl ester (14) and 11-(S-MPA)hexadecanoic acid methyl ester (15) (Scheme 1) facilitated assignment of the $11 S$ absolute configuration.

11S-Hydroxyhexadecanoic acid methyl ester (13): colorless oil $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+1.3\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\max } 3335,2923$, $2852,1205 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.65\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-11), 2.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 1.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-10), 1.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-12), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-16)$; HRESIMS $m /$ $z 309[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

11-(R-MPA)-Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester (14): colorless oil $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-2.1\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\max } 3443,2925$, 2857, 1742, $1263 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}), 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-11)$, $3.66\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2)$,
$1.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-10), 1.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-12), 0.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-16)$; ESIMS $m / z 457[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

11-(S-MPA)-Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester (15): colorless oil $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+1.5\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3451,2960$, 2927, 2854, 1741, $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.45$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-11), 3.68\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-2), 1.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-10), 1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-12), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-16)$; ESIMS $m / z 457[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Cytotoxicity Assays. MCF-7/ADR cells were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Clark, Australia), harvested with trypsin, and resuspended in a final concentration of $4.5 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. Aliquots ( 0.1 mL ) of cell suspension were seeded evenly into 96 -well culture multiplates and incubated in a $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubator containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 24 h . A series of concentrations for pure compounds ranging from 400 to $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in DMSO were added to designated wells. After 48 h , an MTT assay was performed as described previously. ${ }^{21}$

MDR Reversal Assays. MCF-7/ADR cells were distributed into 96-well culture plates at $4.5 \times 10^{3}$ cells per well. Serial dilutions ranging from 0.008 to $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of the known antitumor agent doxorubicin (Zhejiang Haizheng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., China) with or without 5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ samples were added to the cells. Verapamil $(5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ was used as positive control. After 48 h , the MTT assay was performed as described above. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of doxorubicin were calculated from plotted results using untreated cells as $100 \%$. The reversal fold (RF) as potency of reversal was obtained from fitting the data to $\mathrm{RF}=\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of doxorubicin alone $/ \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of doxorubicin in the presence of sample. ${ }^{22}$ All assays were peformed in triplicate.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, ESIMS, and HRESIMS spectra of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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