This article was downloaded by: [University of New Hampshire] On: 03 March 2015, At: 20:15 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

Aluminium Chloride Hexahydrate (AlCl₃ · 6H₂O): An Efficient, Facile, Mild, And Highly Chemoselective Catalytic Deprotection of Tert-Butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) Ethers

Davir González-Calderón^a, Luis J. Benitez-Puebla^a, Carlos A. Gonzalez-Gonzalez^a, Marco A. Garcia-Eleno^b, Aydeé Fuentes-Benitez^a, Erick Cuevas-Yañez^b, David Corona-Becerril^b & Carlos González-Romero^a

^a Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química , Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México , Toluca , Estado de México , Mexico

^b Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM-UNAM, Toluca, Estado de México, Mexico Accepted author version posted online: 02 Dec 2013.Published online: 28 Mar 2014.

To cite this article: Davir González-Calderón , Luis J. Benitez-Puebla , Carlos A. Gonzalez-Gonzalez , Marco A. Garcia-Eleno , Aydeé Fuentes-Benitez , Erick Cuevas-Yañez , David Corona-Becerril & Carlos González-Romero (2014) Aluminium Chloride Hexahydrate (AlCl₃ \cdot 6H₂O): An Efficient, Facile, Mild, And Highly Chemoselective Catalytic Deprotection of Tert-Butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) Ethers, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 44:9, 1258-1265, DOI: 10.1080/00397911.2013.851243

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397911.2013.851243</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions

and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Synthetic Communications[®], 44: 1258–1265, 2014 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 0039-7911 print/1532-2432 online DOI: 10.1080/00397911.2013.851243

ALUMINIUM CHLORIDE HEXAHYDRATE (AICI₃• 6H₂O): AN EFFICIENT, FACILE, MILD, AND HIGHLY CHEMOSELECTIVE CATALYTIC DEPROTECTION OF TERT-BUTYLDIMETHYLSILYL (TBS) ETHERS

Davir González-Calderón,¹ Luis J. Benitez-Puebla,¹ Carlos A. Gonzalez-Gonzalez,¹ Marco A. Garcia-Eleno,² Aydeé Fuentes-Benitez,¹ Erick Cuevas-Yañez,² David Corona-Becerril,² and Carlos González-Romero¹

¹Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Toluca, Estado de México, Mexico ²Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM-UNAM, Toluca, Estado de México, Mexico

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



Abstract tert-Butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) phenyl | alkyl ethers were cleaved to the corresponding efficiently parent hydroxyl compounds in good yields using catalytic amounts of $AlCl_3 \cdot$ $6H_2O$ by conventional or microwave-assisted heating in methanol or isopropanol solution. Intramolecular and competitive experiments demonstrated the chemoselective deprotection of TBS ethers in the presence of triisopropylsilyl and tert-butyldiphenylsilyl ethers.

Received August 13, 2013.

Address correspondence to Davir González-Calderón or Carlos González-Romero, Departmento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad Autónoma del Estado México, Paseo Colón/ Pasco Tollocan s/n, Toluca, Estado de México, 50120, Mexico. E-mail: cgonzalezr@uaemex.mx; qfb_dgonzalez@yahoo.com.mx

Color versions of one or more of the figures in the article can be found online at www.tandfonline.com/lsyc.

Keywords Alcohols; aluminium chloride; deprotection; hexahydrate; protective groups; silyl ethers

INTRODUCTION

Protection and deprotection strategies of functional groups have become very common features used in multistep organic syntheses. In this context, the *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS), triisopropylsilyl (TIPS), and *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) moieties are among the most important hydroxyl protecting groups because they are easily and efficiently installed and are stable to a useful variety of reagents and reaction conditions.^[1] A wide variety of protocols for silyl ether removal have been reported. ^[1,2]

A convenient method for removing silyl ethers should be mild, facile, and selective to approach compounds in good yields by readily available, nontoxic, and preferably catalytic reagents. Unfortunately, many reported methods do not meet these expectations: some protocols require excess amounts^[3] or strong^[4] reagents and cumbersome experimental procedures.^[5] Others procedures are mild,^[6] selective,^[7] or both^[8] for cleavage of silyl ethers, but unfortunately the use of uncommon reagents (Na₃PO₄•12H₂O,^[81]TBPA⁺⁺SbCl₆^{-,[8g]} selectfluor,^[8h] Fe(OTs)₃•6H₂O^[8i]) hinder their use. However, none of these methods describe in an alternative to conventional or microwave-assisted heating and do not describe *in the same protocol* a selective cleavage of TBS alky ethers in the presence of TIPS aryl ethers as well as a cleavage of TBS-protected alcohols and phenols in the presence of TBDPS aryl and alkyl ethers.

The study of aluminum-based inorganic reagents for deprotection of silyl ethers is highly limited; only the use of alumina has been explored. ^[9] AlCl₃/MnO₂ system^[10] has been described for the direct conversion of TBS ethers to their corresponding carbonyl compounds in good yields as well as their *unavailability* in the regeneration of their parent hydroxyl compounds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During one of our current research programs, we observed that the *bis*-TBS ether **1a** was rapidly cleaved upon microwave heating of a methanol solution containing AlCl₃•6H₂O. This communication describes our detailed studies of the use of this methodology for the deprotection of silylated alcohols.

Optimization studies for this cleavage process were carried out on compound 1a. Complete silyl group removal occurred within 15 min, upon microwave heating at 100 °C of methanol or *iso*-propanol solutions containing 5 mol% AlCl₃•6H₂O (Table 1). At room temperature silyl group loss was slow, but it occurred at an acceptable rate at 50 °C (conventional heating) and was complete within 12 h. Under microwave conditions, silyl group loss was very inefficient in *tert*-butanol, anhydrous acetonitrile, or aqueous acetone (1:9), and occurred in trace amounts or failed completely in anhydrous THF, 10% aqueous THF, dichloromethane, or anhydrous acetone. Finally, the use of anhydrous AlCl₃ in methanol or *iso*-propanol was considerably less efficient (<50% yields) for this deprotection (microwave heating) than that observed for the hexahydrate.

 Table 1. Effects of distinct solvents on MW-catalyzed desylation of TBS ether (1a)

TBSO'	AICl ₃ ·6H ₂ O 5 mol% Solvent MW (100 °C, 15 min)	
Entry	Solvent	Yield (%) ^{<i>a,b</i>}
1	MeOH	95
2	i-PrOH	95
3	t-BuOH	35
4	THF anh.	NR
5	THF-H ₂ O (9:1)	Trace
6	DCM	NR
7	MeCN anh.	15
8	Acetone	Trace
9	Acetone– $H_2O(9:1)$	25

^aYields refer to chromatographically pure isolated compounds.

^bIn some cases no reaction occurred (NR) or product yields were very poor and ca. 80–98% of starting material was recovered.

The optimized conditions, under microwave and conventional heating conditions (12 h), were then used to study the deprotection of a series compounds containing silylated alcohols and/or phenols, in both methanol and isopropanol solution (Table 2). Both TBS ethers of alcohols (entries 1 and 3) and phenols (entries 13 and 19) are very efficiently cleaved in methanol under microwave or conventional heating conditions. TBS alkyl ethers were also cleaved in very good yields in isopropanol solution (entries 2 and 4), but TBS aryl ethers were less efficiently deprotected in this solvent (entries 14 and 20). The TIPS alkyl and aryl ethers were cleaved in acceptable yields (entries 5, 9, 15, and 21) in methanol. In isopropanol solution, TIPS alkyl ethers were hydrolyzed with poor efficiency (entries 6 and 10) while TIPS aryl ethers were cleaved in trace amounts or not at all (entries 16 and 22). TBDPS alkyl and aryl ethers were essentially inert in both solvents.

These data clearly suggest that selective deprotection of TBS alkyl ethers should be possible by modulating the type of solvent. Table 3 shows the high chemoselective deprotection of TBS alkyl ethers in the presence of TIPS phenyl ethers in isopropanol (entries 1 and 2). Moreover, both methanol and isopropanol allowed chemoselective deprotection of TBS alkyl ethers in the presence of TBDPS phenyl ethers (entries 3 and 4).

Lastly, we have also carried out a series of intra- and intermolecular competition experiments (Table 4), which show that TBS alkyl/aryl ethers are very selectively cleaved in the presence of TBDPS alkyl/aryl ethers in both solvents.



Table 2. Deprotection of silyl ethers using 5 mmol% AlCl3•6H2O by MW-assisted or conventional heating



Entry	Silyl ether	Solvent	Alcohol ^a	Yield (%) ^{b,c}	
				$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}^{d}$	Δ^e
1	2a	MeOH	2	93	95
2	2a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	2	94	92
3	3a	MeOH	3	98	96
4	3a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	3	95	96
5	4 a	MeOH	4	60	67
6	4 a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	4	33	25
7	4 b	MeOH	4	Trace	Trace
8	4b	<i>i</i> -PrOH	4	NR	NR
9	5a	MeOH	5	72	75
10	5a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	5	35	42
11	5b	MeOH	5	Trace	NR
12	5b	<i>i</i> -PrOH	5	NR	NR
13	6a	MeOH	6	90	95
14	6a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	6	55	66
15	6b	MeOH	6	60	57
16	6b	<i>i</i> -PrOH	6	Trace	NR
17	6c	MeOH	6	NR	Trace
18	6c	<i>i</i> -PrOH	6	NR	NR
19	7a	MeOH	7	92	95
20	7a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	7	64	58
21	7b	MeOH	7	43	39
22	7b	<i>i</i> -PrOH	7	Trace	NR
23	7c	MeOH	7	NR	NR
24	7c	<i>i</i> -PrOH	7	NR	NR

 $^{a}\mathrm{Confirmed}$ by comparison with MS, $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR, and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR with authentic samples.

^bYields refer to chromatographically pure isolated compounds.

^cIn some cases no reaction occurred (NR) or product yields were very poor and ca. 80–98% of starting material was recovered.

^d100°C, 15 min.

^e50°C, 12h.



 Table 3. Intramolecular chemoselectivity deprotection of TBS alkyl ethers in the presence of TIPS and TBDPS phenyl ethers

		r TBDPS OTBS Solv MW	$\begin{array}{c} 6H_2O \\ rent \\ or \Delta \end{array} \xrightarrow{OR} \\ OR \\$	∕ ^{OH}	
				Yield	(%) ^b
y	Substrate	Solvent	Product ^a	MW ^c	
	8a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	8b	90	
	9a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	9b	97	

 Δ^d

00

1.	oa	<i>l</i> -FIOII	on	90	90
2 ^e	9a	<i>i</i> -PrOH	9b	97	94
3	8c	MeOH	8d	94	96
		<i>i</i> -PrOH	8d	93	93
4	9c	MeOH	9d	93	96
		<i>i</i> -PrOH	9d	94	95

^aConfirmed by comparison with ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR with authentic sample.

^bYields refer to chromatographically pure isolated compounds.

^c100 °C, 15 min.

Entr

^d50°C, 12h.

eClearly the use of methanol is not possible in these cases.

A plausible mechanism for the AlCl₃· GH_2O -catalyzed deprotection of silyl ethers is shown in Scheme 1. Thus, complexation of the silyl ether oxygen with metal weakens the silyl ether bond while the bond formation between oxygen of the hydration molecule and silicon atom allows the formation of protonated hydroxyl silyl ether. Then, gain and loss of a proton produces the free silanol. The alcohol is produced and the catalytic species is regenerated by rehydration.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we report that catalytic AlCl₃•6H₂O in isopropanol solution selectively cleaves TBS alky ethers in the presence of TIPS aryl ethers. Furthermore, TBS alkyl and aryl ethers are removed in the presence of TBDPS aryl and alkyl ethers in either methanol or isopropanol. In addition to its selectivity, this methodology is



Table 4. Chemoselective deprotection of TBS alkyl and phenyl ethers in the presence of TBDPS alkyl and phenyl ethers by intramolecular and competition experiments



^aConfirmed by comparison with ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR with authentic samples. ^bYields refer to chromatographically pure isolated compounds.

^c50°C, 12h.

d100°C, 15 min.

eClearly the use of isopropanol is not possible in these cases.

noteworthy because aluminum chloride hexahydrate is inexpensive, is easily handled, and has very low toxicity.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Microwave Irradiation Procedure

A 10-mL reaction vessel was charged with a magnetic stir bar, 0.4 mmol of TBS ether, and 5 mol% $AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ in 2 mL of MeOH or *i*-PrOH. A septum cap was affixed; the vessel was placed in the microwave cavity of an Anton-Paar microwave equipment. The stirring reaction mixture was irradiated at 100 °C for 15 min. After cooling to room temperature, thin-layer chromatography (TLC)



Scheme 1. Proposed plausible mechanism for the cleavage of silyl ethers.

indicated the disappearance of starting material. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography afforded the pure alcohol. All products were spectrally identical with authentic alcohols.

General Conventional Heating Procedure

A 10-mL round-bottom flask was equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a reflux condenser. Then 0.4 mmol of TBS ether and 5 mol% $AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ in 2 mL of MeOH or *i*-PrOH were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, TLC indicated the disappearance of starting material. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography afforded the pure alcohol. All products were spectrally identical with authentic alcohols.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the referee and Joseph M. Muchowski (visiting professor, UNAM) for valuable comments and suggestions, and M. N. Zavala-Segovia, L. Triana-Cruz (CCIQS UAEMéx–UNAM), and E. Díaz-Torres (UNAM) for technical support.

FUNDING

Financial support from UAEMéx (Project No. 2441) and CONACyT (postgraduate scholarship) is gratefully acknowledged.

1265

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed on the publisher's website.

REFERENCES

- (a) Kocienski, P. Protecting Groups, 3rd ed.; Georg Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 2005;
 (b) Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 3rd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999.
- (a) Jadhav, V. H.; Lee, S. B.; Jeong, H. J.; Lim, S. T.; Sohn, M. H.; Kim, D. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 2051–2053; (b) Xua, Y.; Tanga, S.; Hana, J.; Shea, X.; Pana, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 3634–3637; (c) Nakamura, T.; Shiozaki, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2701–2704; (d) Sartori, G.; Ballini, R.; Bigi, F.; Bosica, G.; Maggi, R.; Righi, P. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 199–250; (e) González, D.; Benítez, L. J.; González, C. A.; Assad, S.; Fuentes, A.; Cuevas, E.; Corona, D.; González, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 5130–5132; (f) Khan, A. T.; Islam, S.; Choudhury, L. H.; Ghosh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 9617–9621; (g) Bartoli, G.; Cupone, G.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Maiuolo, L.; Procopio, A.; Sambri, L.; Tagarelli, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5945–5947; (h) Iida, A.; Okazaki, H.; Misaki, T.; Sunagawa, M.; Sasaki, A.; Tanabe, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5380 5383.
- (a) Crouch, R. D.; Polizzi, J. M.; Cleiman, R. A.; Yi, J.; Romany, C. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 7151–7153; (b) Yang, Y. Y.; Yang, W. B.; Teo, C. F.; Lin, C. H. *Synlett* 2000, 1634–1636.
- (a) Crouch, R. D.; Stieff, M.; Frie, J. L.; Cadwallader, A. B.; Bevis, D. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 3133–3136; (b) Kawahara, S.; Wada, T.; Sekine, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9461–9468; (c) Torisawa, Y.; Shibasaki, M.; Ikegami, S. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 1983, 31, 2607–2615; (d) Ikawa, T.; Hattori, K.; Sajiki, H.; Hirota, K. *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 6901–6911.
- 5. Bhure, M. H.; Kumar, I.; Natu, A. D.; Rode, C. V. Synth. Commun. 2008, 38, 346-353.
- (a) Tan, Z. P.; Wang, L.; Wang, J. B. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2000, 11, 753–756; (b) Sharma, G. V. M.; Srinivas, B.; Krishna, P. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4689–4691; (c) Farràs, J.; Serra, C.; Vilarrasa, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 327–330.
- (a) Kumar, G. D. K.; Baskaran, S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4520–4523; (b) Khan, A. T.; Ghosh, S.; Choudhury, L. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2198–2204.
- (a) Wang, B.; Sun, H. X.; Sun, Z. H. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1781–1784; (b) Bhatt, S.; Nayak, S. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8395–8399; (c) Karimi, B.; Zamani, A.; Zareyee, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 9139–9141; (d) Lee, A. S. Y.; Yeh, H. C.; Tsai, M. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6891–6894; (e) Maiti, G.; Ghandra, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 495–498; (f) Yan, L.; Zhao, F.; Gan, Y.; Zhao, J.; Jiang, Z. Synth. Commun. 2012, 42, 285–291; (g) Xu, Y.; Tang, S.; Han, J.; She, X.; Pan, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 3634–3637; (h) Shah, S. T. A.; Singh, S.; Guiry, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2179–2182; (i) Bothwell, J. M.; Angeles, V. V.; Carolan, J. P. Olson, M. E.; Mohan, R. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 1056–1058.
- (a) Feixas, J.; Capdevila, A.; Guerrero, A. *Tetrahedron* 1994, *50*, 8539–8550; (b) Varma, R. S.; Lamture, J. B.; Varma, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, *34*, 3029–3032.
- 10. Firouzabadi, H.; Etemadi, S.; Karimi, B. Synth. Commun. 1999, 29, 4333-4339.