# A FACILE ROUTE TO 20-HYDROXYECDYSONE AND SIDE CHAIN HONOLOGUES FROM POSTSTERON<sup>1</sup>

UDO HEDTMANN, RALF KLINTZ, KURT HOBERT, JADWIGA FRELEK<sup>2</sup>, IONTSCHO VLAHOV<sup>3</sup>, and PETER WELZEL<sup>\*</sup>

Fakultät für Chemie der Ruhr-Universität, Postfach 102148, D-4630 Bochum (FRG)

(Received in Germany 8 January 1991)

<u>Abstract</u> - A flexible approach to ecdysteroids, chain elongated at C-26 and C-27, is reported. Key features are the addition of 5-lithic 2,3-dihydrofurans (3) to poststeron (10) and a stereoselective reduction of the 22-00 group.

# Background

The steroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (1) plays a key role in the development of Drosophila melanogaster (and of insects, in general).<sup>4</sup> Drosophila development is divisible into embryogenesis, three larval instars, and the prepupal and pupal stages. Each of these stages is marked by a pulse of 1. The late third instar pulse triggers the larval-to-adult metamorphosis. In larval salivary glands this puls induces the formation of a small set of puffs. It is assumed that these "early puffs" encode regulatory proteins that repress their own expression and induce the formation of a great number of "late puffs". 1 inducible products are believed to play a key role in initiating metamorphosis.<sup>5</sup> Ashburner et al.<sup>6</sup> have proposed some fifteen years ago that transcription of the early genes is directly induced by an ecdysone-receptor complex. In contrast to vertebrate steroid hormone receptors,<sup>7</sup> the ecdysteroid receptor was until recently virtually uncharacterized (probably caused by the low concentration of the receptor in the target cells and the limited stability of the hormone-receptor complex).<sup>4</sup>





We decided some time ago to prepare a biologically active derivative of 1 that (i) contains a (latent) chemically reactive group, and (ii) a radioactive label. Such a compound could be (i) covalently attached to the receptor via the reactive group, thus permitting its identification<sup>8</sup> (affinity labeling<sup>7b</sup>), (ii) bound to a matrix in order to concentrate or even isolate the receptor through affinity chromatography,<sup>9</sup> and (iii), after isolation of the receptor protein, be used in determining the hormone binding site. From structure-activity studies it is known that essentially all the functional groups in 1 are required<sup>10,11</sup>



20-Hydroxyecdysone

to ensure full biological activity and cannot be used to attach a potential coupling group. However, structural changes at the end of the side-chain appear not to cause a loss of activity as can be judged from the high biological activity of ponasterone A (1b) and 26-iodo-

ponasterone A,<sup>8c</sup> and the moderate activity of compound 1c.<sup>8a</sup>

We report here a flexible approach to the synthesis of ecdysteroids with substituents at C-26 and C-27. These compounds have been shown to be biologically active and one of them was successfully used for affinity labeling of a partly purified ecdysterid receptor (vide infra).

# Synthesis Design

Chemical synthesis of ecdysteroids is a longstanding problem.<sup>12</sup> The methods that have been developed for side-chain construction are summarized in Scheme 2. The main problem is, of course, to control the configuration at C-20 and C-22. Starting materials were C-22 or C-20 carbonyl compounds of type a and b, respectively, which were converted in many synthetic schemes to the central intermediate h.<sup>13</sup> Conversion of b to e follows the Cram rule.<sup>14</sup> Addition of an organometallic reagent to h yields an addition product of type j or k with the correct configuration at C-22 (cyclic Cram model). As can be seen from Scheme 2, formation of the 20-hydroxy-ecdysone side chain as in n using this type of approach is rather lengthy. Recently, Kametani et al.<sup>15</sup> introduced the sequence **b**  $\rightarrow$  **c**  $\rightarrow$  **g**  $\rightarrow$  **i**  $\rightarrow$  **m**  $\rightarrow$  **n**, in which the configuration at C-20 is controlled by the Cram rule and that at C-22 in the reduction step  $g \rightarrow i$ . The most straightforward approach from b to n was reported by the Schering and Hoffmann - LaRoche groups.<sup>16</sup> It consists of acetylide addition to  $b (b \rightarrow f)$ , hydration of the triple bond (f -> 1) and hydride reduction (1 -> n). The only shortcoming of this process is the poor stereocontrol (b --> f: d.e. 60%;  $1 \rightarrow n$ : (22R):(22S) = 1:1917). We decided to follow the retrosynthetic sequence depicted in the lower part of Scheme 2. It was anticipated to introduce the complete side chain via acyl anion synthon p, for which lithiated dihydrofurans of type q were selected as synthetic equivalents. This approach demands a method for the stereoselective synthesis of the dihydrofuran precursors of q as well as directing the stereochemical outcome of the 22-keto group reduction in the desired sense. This paper reports the addition of q to b as well as the reduction of o to n. The synthesis of compounds q was already reported in a preliminary form, 1 a full account of this work will be the subject of a forthcoming publication.

# Model Studies using Pregnenolone (2a) as Substrate

Treatment of 2 with the lithiated dihydrofurans 3a-3c led to the formation of the very acidsensitive addition products of type 4 which were normally directly converted to the corresponding 22-ketones 7. Only 4b was isolated and fully characterized. If 2a with the free 3-OH group was the starting material, an excess (normally 4 equiv.) of 3 had to be employed. For silvl ether 2b 1 equiv. of 3c proved to be sufficient. The yield of 7 was in the range of 90% corrected for recovered 2. In all cases only about 70% of the keto steroid 2



Scheme 3.

were consumed. We assume that part of 2 is converted by 3 into the corresponding enolate from which 2 is restored during the work-up procedure. In preliminary experiments we tried to overcome this difficulty using nucleophiles derived from 3 by transmetallation (MgBr<sub>2</sub> × Et<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl, CeCl<sub>3</sub>) but without success.<sup>18</sup> Addition of DMPU<sup>19</sup> to a reaction mixture of 2b and 3c led to the complete recovery of 2b.<sup>18,20</sup>

In all cases studied a single stereoisomer 7 was formed which is assumed to have the (20R) configuration based on chemical precedent<sup>21</sup> and the chemical shift of the 21-CH<sub>3</sub> group ( $\delta$  (CH<sub>3</sub>-21) for 7a: 1.68).<sup>22</sup>

Reduction of 7a with NaBH4 yielded the (22S) and the (22R) compounds 5a and 6a, respectively, in a 3:1 ratio. In small-scale test experiments similar results were obtained using DIBAH and LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>23</sup> These results are in agreement with the previous observations mentioned above.<sup>17</sup> The stereochemical outcome of these reductions is tentatively explained on the basis of the cyclic Cram model (see A in Scheme 3).<sup>24,25</sup>

On the other hand, protection of the OH groups in **5a** by trimethylsilyl ether formation with trimethylsilyl triflate,<sup>26,27</sup> followed by DIBAH reduction nicely yielded mainly the desired (22R) compound **6a** (after deprotection with Bu4NF), probably via transition state geometry as depicted in **B**.<sup>28</sup> Assignment of the configuration at C-22 in **5a** and **6a** is based on the chemical shifts of the CH<sub>3</sub>-21 which is known to be larger for the (22S) than for the (22R) isomer (about 0.1 ppm<sup>29</sup>, see Table 1).



# Preparation of 20-Hydroxyecdysone (1a)

Poststerone 10a reacted with an excess of lithium compound 3a to an addition product the encl ether group of which was cleaved with 0.1. HCl producing 11a in 79% yield. Reduction of 11a with LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub> led to a 16:1 mixture of 12 and 1a. The keto function at C-6 was stable under these conditions. Unfortunately, after conversion of 11a to the persilylated 11b the keto functions turned out to be completely stable toward LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>10</sup> DIBAH as reducing agent caused reduction of the 22-keto group in the desired stereochemical sense but the 6-oxo group was also reduced (vide infra). After allylic oxidation with MnO<sub>2</sub> and silyl ether removal with TBAF a 39:1 mixture (HPLC) of 1a and 12 was obtained in 80% yield. 1a was identical with an authentic sample.

## Preparation of Side Chain Homologues 18a. 19a. 18c and 19c

The 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers obtained from poststerone (10a) and rac.-3b could be separated only after silvl ether formation, to provide pure samples of 16b and 17b. Reduction of both compounds with DIBAH and subsequent re-oxidation of the 6-OH group with MnO<sub>2</sub> produced 18b and 19b, respectively, each contaminated with traces of the corresponding (22S)-isomer. Cleavage of the silvl ether groups (18b ---> 18c, 19b ---> 19c) followed by HPLC showed the d.e. in the DIBAH reduction step to be 94%. Direct reduction of the mixture of 16a and 17a with LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub> provided 18a and 19a, which now could be separated by HPLC. In order to interelate these compounds with 18c and 19c, respectively, the silvl groups were removed from pure 17b. Subsequent reduction with LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub> furnished 19a.

The configuration at C-22 depicted in formulae 18 and 19 was expected from the results obtained in the reduction of 11a and 11b, respectively. These assignments are consistent with those of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies, as summarized in Table 1. Thus, as in the case of 12 and 1a, for the pairs 18a/18c and 19a/19c the 21-CH<sub>3</sub> groups in the (22S) isomers (18a, 19a) are more deshielded.

Final proof of the configuration at C-22 was obtained from the 300 nm CD band of the in-situ generated complexes of 1a, 12, and 18c with Mo<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>. This band can (inter alia) be used to determine the absolute configuration of optically active 1,2-diols.<sup>30</sup> From Figure 1 it is obvious that the spectra of 1a and 18c complexes are almost identical in the 300 nm region. One may conclude, therefore, that 18c has the same configuration at C-22 as 1a. One has however to be careful since the CD curves depicted in Figure 1 are most probably sum curves of three Cotton effects: the side-chain and ring A diol complexes, and the enone chromophore. Since the interfering ring A diol and enone Cotton effects are, however, equal in all compounds they are eliminated in the difference spectra. The complete identity of the difference spectra (1a - 12) and (18c - 12) (see Figures 1a and 1b) demonstrates unambiguously that 1a and 18c have the same configuration at C-22.

The configuration at C-25 can not be determined by this method. Attempts to obtain suitable crystals for an X-ray analysis were unsuccessful. The problem was finally solved by a stereoselective synthesis. Optically active **3b** on reaction with persilylated poststerone **10b** 



Scheme 5.

Table 1. <sup>1</sup> H NMR signals of CH3-21 of compounds in [ds] pyridine												
Config. Compound: 8 value												
22S	5a:	1.66	12:	1.71	18a,	19a: 1	.73					<u> </u>
22R	<b>6a</b> :	1.55	13 <b>a</b> :	1.60	<b>18</b> c,	<b>19c</b> : 1	.61	21a,	21b:	1.59	6c:	1.52



Figure 1. Assignment of configuration at C-22 in 18c:

- a: OD spectra of 12 (---) and 1a (···) in the presence of  $[Mo_2(OAc)_4]$  in
  - DMSO solution and difference curve of the spectra of 12 and 1a (----).
- b: CD spectra of 12 (---) and 18c (···) in the presence of  $[Mo_2(OAc)_4]$  in
  - DMSO solution and difference curve of the spectra of 12 and 18c (----).

followed by acid hydrolysis and resilulation provided pure 16b identical with the specimen obtained after separation of the 16b/17b mixture described above.

# Preparation of 21a and 21b

In analogy to the experiments described above, compounds 21a and 21b were prepared from 10a and rac.-3d via 20a/20b and the ketones 22a and 22b. In contrast to the case described above HPLC separation of 22a and 22b did not pose any problems. In this series, it turned out to be necessary to study the DIBAH reduction (after silvlation) more in detail. In model

experiments it was found that persilylated 20-hydroxyecdysone (13b) was readily reduced with 2.2 equiv. DIBAH in THF (4h at 20°C). Reoxidation with MnO<sub>2</sub> and F--mediated desilylation provided 1a in 81% overall yield. On the other hand, the 22-oxo group in 7f was practically inert under these conditions even after 10 hours. Only the formation of decomposition products was observed. Under forced conditions (10 equiv. of DIBAH, 4h) the keto group was reduced. Besides the desired reduction product 6f the over-reduced compound 9a was obtained. The structure was determined after desilylation (9a->9b), the configurations at C-20 and C-22 are unknown. One may conclude from these experiments that in the reduction of the silylated ecdysteroids the carbonyl group at C-6 reacts faster than that at C-22. Furthermore, the rather slow reduction of the 22-oxo group with DIBAH in THF may be accompanied by side reactions. This difficulty could be circumvented when the DIBAH reduction of 7f was performed in toluene rather than in THF.



Scheme 6.

6f was readily formed without any side products being observed. Application of these reaction conditions to the reduction of 22c, followed by MnO<sub>2</sub> oxidation and deprotection furnished 21a in 82% overall yield.

The (R) configuration at C-22 follows from the reduction procedure and is supported by the chemical shift of the  $CH_3-21$  protons (see Table 1).

The configuration at C-25 was established by a stereochemically unambiguous synthesis. The reaction of **10a** with an excess of optically active **3d** provided almost exclusively **22a**, identical with a sample obtained by separation of **22a/22b** as described above. **10b** was treated with 1.0 equiv. of **3d** to yield **20c**. Enol ether cleavage followed by resilulation gave **22c** from which **21a** was prepared using the already described procedure.

## Epilogue

The affinity of ecdysteroids 1a, 18c, 19c, 21a, and 21b for receptor proteins has been measured by their ability to displace bound  $[{}^{3}H_{2}]$  ponasterone A. Receptor preparations were obtained from nuclei of Drosophila melanogaster embryos and from the cytoplasm of Drosophila pupae. In addition, the ecdysteroid concentration required for 50% puff induction at 74EF and 75B in salivary gland chromosomes of larval Drosophila (third instar) was determined. A comparison of these values showed no significant difference between the activity of these ecdysteroids to bind *in vitro* to the receptor and to induce *in vivo* puffs. As for 1a, the relative receptor binding affinity and relative puff induction of the side chain homologues were about 1% of the corresponding values of ponasterone A.<sup>1b</sup>

Furthermore, a radioligand was obtained from the ecdysteroid homologue **21a** by selective bromoacetylation of the primary hydroxyl group in the side chain with BrCH<sub>2</sub>14COOH. This compound was shown by the group of Professor Pongs to react rapidly, quantitatively, and irreversibly with the ecdysteroid receptor. Analysis of the labeled receptor protein has identified two different peptides.<sup>5b</sup>

# EXPERIMENTAL

#### <u>General</u>

All O<sub>2</sub>- or moisture-sensitive reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under a positive pressure of argon. Sensitive liquids and solutions were transferred by syringe and were introduced into the reaction flasks through rubber septa. Usual work-up means partitioning the reaction mixture between water and an organic solvent (given in paranthesis), drying the combined organic solutions over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and removal of the solvent by distillation in vacuo at 40°C, using a rotatory evaporator. The instrumentation used was: <sup>1</sup>H NMR: WP-80 (Bruker); AM-400 (Bruker); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: AM-400 (Bruker); IR: Perkin Elmer 257; MS: MAT-731 and MAT-CH-5 (Finnigan); HPLC: High-pressure chromatography using pump system model 6000 (Waters Associates Inc.), UV-detector LC-3 (Pye Unicam), stainless steel columns 25.0 cm x 0.4 cm (analytical) or 25.0 cm x 2 cm (preparative), stationary phase and eluent are given in parenthesis; MPLC: Medium-pressure chromatography using 31.0 cm x 2.5 cm glass tubes, silica gel Grace (50  $\mu$ m), Duramat pump (CFG); Thomachrom UV detector (Reichelt). The FAB mass spectra were obtained using a Finnigan MAT-731 instrument. Samples were dissolved in DMSO, and the matrix (given in parenthesis) was added. The solutions were placed on a stainless steel probe tip and bombarded with 6 KeV Xenon from a modified Saddle Field Ion Source.

<u>General procedure for the preparation of 2-lithic derivatives of 2.3-dihydrofurans (3).</u> Procedure a: To a solution of the respective 2.3-dihydrofuran 1 equiv. of TMEDA in THF 1.0 equiv. of n-BuLi (1.5 M solution in hexane) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$  C. The solution was allowed to warm to  $20^{\circ}$ C (1-2.5 h) and was stirred at  $20^{\circ}$ C for 15 min. Procedure b: To a solution of the 2.3-dihydrofuran in THF t-BuLi (1.5 M solution in pentane) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The solution in pentane) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The solution is pentane) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The solution is pentane) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The solution is pentane) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The solution is pentane)

# (20R)-38,20,25-Trihydroxy-cholest-5-en-22-one (7a).

To a solution of **3a** (7 mmol, procedure b) a solution of pregnenolone (**2a**, 600 mg, 1.89 mmol) in dry THF (20 ml) was added dropwise at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at  $-78^{\circ}$ C and then for 1 h at 20°C. Usual work-up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) and MPLC (hexanes-ethyl acetate 2:1 -> 1:1) gave a mixture of two compounds (**7a** and probably **4a**, 430 mg) along with pure **7a** (140 mg) and **2a** (93 mg, 15 %). Total yield of **4a** and **7a**: 90 % (based on **2a**). To a solution of the above mixture of **4a** and **7a** (150 mg) in THF-water (20:1, 10.5 ml) was added at 20°C HCl (0.1 mol/1, 0.5 ml). After being stirred for 30 min at 20°C the mixture was neutralized with solid K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Filtration, solvent evaporation and LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate 2:1) gave **7a** (105 mg, 70%).- M.p. 186-189°C (from acetane).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.91 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.01 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.23 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.46 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.50-2.80 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.30-3.75 (3-H), 3.90 (s, OH), 5.32 (W<sub>1/2</sub>=8 Hz, 6-H).- IR (KBr): 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CO).- MS: m/z (%) = 414 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 0.8), 399 (2), 381 (3), 317 (92), 299 (63), 281 (17), 255 (17), 229 (8), 199 (9), 159 (37), 113 (55), 43 (100).- (Found: C, 74.81; H, 10.16. C<sub>27</sub>H44O4 (432.6) requires C, 74.96 H, 10.25%).

## Reduction of 7a.

To a solution of NaBH4 (110 mg, 2.8 mmol) in water (0.35 ml) and NaOH (5%, 0.4 ml) was added dropwise at 20°C a solution of 7a (80 mg, 0.18 mmol) in THF (5 ml), and the mixture was stirred at 20°C for 16 h. Usual work-up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) and MPLC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-ethanol 30:30:1) gave 6a (16 mg, 20%) and 5a (48 mg, 59%).

# (20R.22R)-Cholest-5-ene-38.20.22.25-tetraol (6a).

M.p.  $231-234^{\circ}C$  (from acetone-methanol).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 1.05 and 1.18 (2 s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-18, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.47 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.56 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.60-4.10 (3-H, 22-H), 5.43 (W<sub>1/2</sub>=8 Hz, 6-H).- MS: m/z (%) = 434 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 0.2), 417 (0.3), 401 (3), 384 (2), 360 (2), 330 (5), 317 (100), 299 (63), 281 (15), 255 (14), 229 (9), 159 (41), 43 (99).- (Found: C, 74.39; H, 10.74. C<sub>2</sub>7H<sub>4</sub>sO<sub>4</sub> (434.6) requires C, 74.61; H, 10.66%).

# (20R.22S)-Cholest-5-ene-38.20.22.25-tetraol (5a).

**5a:** M.p. 196-199°C (from methanol).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 1.08 and 1.20 (2 s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-18 and CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.42 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.66 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.60-4.10 (3-H, 22-H); 5.42 (W<sub>1</sub>/<sub>2</sub> = 8 Hz, 6-H).- IR (KBr): 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C).- MS: m/z (%) = 434 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 0.3), 416 (0.2), 401 (3), 383 (2), 360 (4), 317 (100), 299 (58), 281 (17), 255 (14), 229 (8), 159 (31), 43 (70).- (Found: C, 71.88; H, 10.14. C<sub>2</sub>7H<sub>4</sub>sO4·H<sub>2</sub>O (452.6) requires C, 71.96; H, 10.29%).

# (20R)-38,20,25-Tris-(trimethyl-silanyloxy)-cholest-5-en-22-one (7b).

To a solution of 7a (30.4 mg, 69  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (3 ml) and 2,6-lutidine (63  $\mu$ l, 55  $\mu$ mol) was added dropwise at 20°C trimethylsilyl triflate (47  $\mu$ l, 0.241 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at 20°C for 30 min. Usual work-up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) and LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 60:1:0.3) gave 7b (38 mg, 86%).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CeD<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.17, 0.18, and 0.30 (3 s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.89 and 0.98 (2 s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-18, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.26 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.48 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.61-2.93 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.43-3.90 (3-H), 5.37 (W<sub>1/2</sub>=7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).- C3eHseO4Si<sub>3</sub> (649.2), MS: m/z (%) = 648 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 0.1), 633 (3), 543 (4), 461 (100), 371 (5), 281 (22), 143 (51), 117 (70), 73 (53).

# (20R.22R)-38.20.25-Tris-(trimethyl-silanyloxy)-cholest-5-en-22-o1 (6b).

To a solution of **7b** (prepared from **7a**, 29.9 mg, 68  $\mu$ mol, as described above) in dry THF (2.0 ml) was added dropwise at -78°C DIBAH (1.2M in toluene, 0.28 ml, 0.34 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 3 h being allowed to warm to 20°C. Usual work-up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) and LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 50:1:0.25) gave **6b** (31 mg, 72%) along with a mixture (4 mg) of **6b** and another compound (probably the (22S) isomer).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 0.18, 0.34$  (2s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-

signals), 0.95 and 1.00 (2 s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-18 and CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.17 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.35 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.32-3.90 (3-H, 22-H), 5.39 (W<sub>1/2</sub>=7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3630, 3500-3300 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH).- C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>70</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> (651.2) MS: m/z (%) = 650 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 0.1), 635 (0.7), 619 (0.6), 545 (5), 461 (100), 371 (5), 281 (24), 143 (46), 117 (67), 73 (47).

## General procedure for the silvl ether cleavage.

To a solution of the silvl ether in dry THF was added at  $20^{\circ}$ C TBAF (0.1M solution in THF, 1.3 equiv per silvl group). The mixture was stirred at  $20^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. After solvent evaporation the crude reaction product was purified by LC.

## 6a from 6b.

After silvl ether cleavage in 6b (general procedure) and LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-ethanol 10:10:1) 6a (76%) was obtained, identical with the product of NaBH4 reduction of 7a.

#### (20R.25RS)-38.20.25-Trihydroxy-27-propy1-cholest-5-en-22-one (7c).

7c was prepared from 2a and rac.-3b (procedure b) as described for 7a. LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate 2:1) gave 85% of a mixture of two C-25 diastereoisomeres.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.89$  (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.02 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.17 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.45 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.50-2.75 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23); 3.30-3.75 (3-H), 3.91 (s, OH), 5.32 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CHC1<sub>3</sub>): 3595-3450 (OH), 1695 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=0).- C<sub>3</sub>0H<sub>5</sub>0O<sub>4</sub> (474.4), MS: m/z (%) = 456.3591 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 4, Calc for C<sub>3</sub>0H<sub>4</sub>eO<sub>3</sub>: 456.3603), 438 (2), 399 (2), 381 (2), 358 (6), 317 (19), 299 (17), 184 (42), 183 (40), 43 (100).

## Reduction of 7c.

7c was reduced with DIBAH as described for 7a. MPLC (hexanes-acetone 2:1) gave 5c (47%) and a mixture of 5c and 6c (34%).

## (20R, 22S, 25RS)-27-Propyl-cholest-5-ene-38, 20, 22, 25-tetraol (5c).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.91$  (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.03 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.21 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.29 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.20-3.80 (3-H, 22-H), 5.36 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CHC1<sub>3</sub>): 3660-3300 (OH), 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (476.7), MS: m/z (%) = 443 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 1.2), 425 (1), 401.3036 ([M-C4H<sub>9</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, Calc for C<sub>2</sub>4H<sub>4</sub>1O<sub>3</sub>: 401.3055), 383 (2), 360 (3), 317 (86), 299 (54), 281 (16), 271 (8), 255 (12), 43 (100).

## (20R, 25RS)-27-Propy1-38-20, 25-tris-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-cholest-5-en-22-one (7d).

7d was obtained from 7c as described for 7b. LC (hexanes-ethy] acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 50:1:0.25), yield 90%.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 0.19$ , 0.20, 0.31 (3s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.88 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.98 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.16 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.49 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.65-2.95 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.45-3.80 (3-H), 5.38 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).- C<sub>3</sub>9H74O4Si<sub>3</sub> (691.2), MS: m/z (%) = 675.4665 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]\*, 1, Calc for C<sub>3</sub>sH71O4Si<sub>3</sub>: 675.4660), 633 (2), 585 (2), 543 (1), 461 (41), 281 (13), 143 (50), 117 (95), 73 (100).

# (20R.22R.25RS)-27-Propy1-38.20.25-tris-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-cholest-5-en-22-ol (6d).

**6d** was obtained from **7d** by DIBAH reduction as described for **6b** (yield: 76%).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CaDe):  $\delta = 0.18$ , 0.20, 0.31 (3 s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.00 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.28 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.35 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.30-3.80 (3-H and 22-H), 5.38 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3630, 3520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH).- C<sub>39</sub>H7<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> (693.2), MS: m/z (%) = 587.4317 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>-HOSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 0.4, Calc for C<sub>35</sub>He<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: 587.4316), 545 (1), 461 (6), 445 (4), 370 (5), 117 (42), 73 (100).

## (20R.22R.25RS)-26-Propyl-cholest-5-ene-38.20.22.25-tetraol (6c).

6d was converted to 6c using the general silvl ether cleavage procedure. LC (hexanes-acetone 2:1) provided 6d (73%) and a mixture of 6c and 5c (9%).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.89 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.02 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.19 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.26 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21, for  $\delta$ pyridine, see Table 1), 3.30-3.80 (3-H and 22-H), 5.36 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3660-3300 (OH), 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (476.7), MS: m/z (%) = 440 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 2), 425 (2), 401.3044 ([M-C4H<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 8, Calc for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>4</sub>1O<sub>3</sub>: 401.3055), 383 (3), 365 (2), 360 (3), 317 (100), 299 (56).

To a solution of **3c** (7.0 mmol, procedure b) in THF (10 ml) a solution of **2a** (579.9 mg, 1.83 mmol) in dry THF (20 ml) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C within 25 min. The mixture was then allowed to warm to  $20^{\circ}$ C (4.75 h). Usual work up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) followed by MPLC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 5:1:0.1) gave **4b** (506.1 mg, 56%), **7e** (109.6 mg, 12%) and **2a** (166.7 mg, 26%).

# (20R)-26.27-Dipropy1-22.25-epoxy-cholest-5.22-diene-38.20-diol (4b).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.82$  (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.99 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.80-1.00 (CH<sub>3</sub>-30, CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 1.40 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.35 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-24), 3.50 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 18 Hz, 3-H), 4.48 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 23-H), 5.34 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3600-3200 (OH), 1705 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C-O).-C<sub>33H52O3</sub> (498.8), MS: m/z (%) = 498.4071 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 7, Calc 498.4073), 480 (12), 465 (2), 423 (8), 358 (17), 299 (28), 226 (100), 225 (69), 197 (59), 55 (96).

# (20R)-26.27-Dipropy1-38.20.25-trihydroxy-cholest-5-en-22-one (7e).

mp.:  $101-103^{\circ}C$  (from Et<sub>2</sub>O-hexane).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDC]<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  = 0.89 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.80-1.05 (CH<sub>3</sub>-30, CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 1.02 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.45 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.48-2.77 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.46 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 21 Hz, 3-H), 3.95 (broad s, OH), 5.32 ("d", J = 4.0 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>) = 3600-3100 (OH), 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=0).- MS: m/z (%) = 480 ([M-2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 24), 465 ([M-2H<sub>2</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 3), 423 (41), 317 (45), 299 (42), 197 (64), 151 (63), 55 (100).-(Found C, 76.69, H, 11.00, C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>56</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (516.8) requires C, 76.70, H, 10.92%).

# (20R)-20.25-Dihydroxy-26.27-dipropy1-38-(trimethy1silany1oxy)-cholest-5-en-22-one (8).

a.-To a solution of 3c (98 µmol, procedure b) in dry THF (0.5 ml) a solution of 2b (38.1 mg, 98 µmol) in THF (1.0 ml) was added at -78°C and the mixture was allowed to warm up 20°C within 4.75 h. Usual work up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) followed by LC led to 8 (22%), 63% of 2b were recovered. b.-To a solution of 3c (106 µmol, procedure a) in dry THF (1.0 ml) a solution of 2b (37.4 mg, 96.4 µmol) in THF (0.5 ml) was added at -78°C and the solution was allowed to warm to 20°C within 4h. Usual work-up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) followed by treatment with 0.1 N HCl (1.0 ml) in THF (5.0 ml, stirring at 20°C for 2h), usual work up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) and LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate 5:1) gave 8 (8%), 7e (42%), 2b (1%), and 2a (38%).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.14 (s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>) signals), 0.88 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.80-1.03 (CH<sub>3</sub>-30, CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 0.96 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.48 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.48-2.73 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.50 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 16 Hz, 3-H), 3.95 (broad s, OH), 5.33 ("d", J = 4.4 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3610-3400 (OH), 1705 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).- C3eHs4O4Si (589.0), MS: m/z (%) = 570.4458 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 1.3, Calc for C3eHs<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si: 570.4468), 552 (4), 527 (1), 430 (5), 389 (5), 299 (24), 226 (74), 225 (62), 197 (100).

# (20R)-26.27-Dipropy1-38.20.25-tris-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-cholest-5-en-22-one (7f).

a) **7e** was silvlated as described for **7a** (82 % yield).- b) **4b** was opened with HCl and then silvlated (74% yield over two steps).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs):  $\delta = 0.175$ , 0.233, 0.314 (3s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.88 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.91 and 0.92 (2 t's, J = 6.6 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30 and CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 0.98 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.49 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.51-2.59 (17-H), 2.72 and 2.89 (2 ddd's, J = 4.7, 11.0, 18.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.66 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 18 Hz, 3-H), 5.37 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>) : 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).- C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>80</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> (733.3), MS: m/z (%) = 717 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 16), 675.4568 ([M-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 5, Calc for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>7</sub>104Si<sub>3</sub>: 675.4661), 627 (4), 585 (3), 461 (100).

#### Reduction of 7f.

a) in THF: To a solution of 7f (4.1 mg, 5.6  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (1.0 ml) DIBAH (1.5 M in toluene, 41.1  $\mu$ l, 61.6  $\mu$ l) was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to warm to 20°C within 4h. Usual work-up (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) followed by SC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 100:1:0.4) gave 6f (2.7 mg, 65 %) and 9a (0.5 mg, 12 %).- b) The same procedure with toluene as solvent (1.5 equiv. of DIBAH) gave 6f in 50% yield, 26% of 7f were recovered.

# (20R.22R)-26.27-Dipropy1-38.20.25-tris-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-cholest-5-en-22-o1 (6f).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CaDa):  $\delta = 0.181$ , 0.250, 0.313 (3 s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.922 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.929 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30 and CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 0.963 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.347 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.57 (broad t, J = 10.5 Hz, 17-H), 3.48 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 12 Hz, 22-H), 3.66 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 3-H), 5.39 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3610 - 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH).- C<sub>42</sub>Ha<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> (735.4), MS: m/z (%) = 735 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 0.15), 661 (0.3), 644 (0.2), 642 (0.7), 629 (2), 587.4288 ([M-HOSiMe<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 22, Calc for C<sub>35</sub>He<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: 587.4316), 401 (32), 215 (43), 117 (45), 73 (100).

# U. HEDTMANN et al.

#### (20=.22=)-26.27-Dipropy1-36.25-bis-(trimethy1silany1oxy)-cholest-5-en-22-o1 (9a).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs):  $\delta = 0.179$ , 0.263 (2 s's, Si(CHs)s signals), 0.650 (s, CHs-18), 0.937 and 0.940 (2 t's, J = 7.1 Hz, CHs-30 and CHs-33), 0.964 (s, CHs-19), 2.43 (ddd, J = 13, 6, 2 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (broad t, J = 12 Hz, 17-H), 3.65 (w1/2 = 20 Hz, 3-H), 3.91 (w1/2 = 10 Hz, 22-H), 5.40 (d, J = 5 Hz, 6-H).- CssH7403Si2 (647.2), MS: m/z (%) = 589.4476 ([M-C4Hs]\*, 4, Calc for Cs5He503Si2: 589.4473), 571 (3), 499 (14), 255 (24), 215 (59), 183 (78), 73 (100).

# (20R.22R)-26.27-Dipropyl-cholest-5-ene-38.20.22.25-tetraol (6e).

**6f** was desilyated (see general procedure) to give **6e**, after LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate 1:1), in 90% yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDsN):  $\delta$  = 0.891 and 0.896 (2t's, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30 and CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 1.053 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.172 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.570 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.85 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 3-H and 22-H), 4.69, 5.32, 6.07 and 6.17 (4 broad s's, CH), 5.43 ("d", J = 4.0 Hz, 6-H).- IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3670-3400 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH).- C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>58</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (518.8), MS: m/z (%) = 482.4125 ([M-2H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 13, Calc for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>54</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 482.4124), 464 (6), 443 (20), 425 (14), 317 (100), 299 (57).

#### (20=.22=)-26.27-Dipropy1-cholest-5-ene-38.22.25-trio1 (9b).

**9a** was desilylated (see general procedure) to give, after LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate 1:1) **9b** in 72% yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta = 0.739$  (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.897 and 0.901 (2t's, J = 7.4 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30 and CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 1.056 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.85 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 3-H), 4.21 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 5 Hz, 22-H), 5.25 and 6.16 (2 broad s's, OH), 5.27 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, OH), 5.42 ("d", J = 4.7 Hz, 6-H).- C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (502.8), MS: m/z (%) = 445 ([M-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 1), 427.3574 ([M-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 9, Calc for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>47</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 427.3576), 409 (4), 183 (100).

#### (20R)-28.38.14.20.25-Pentahydroxy-58-cholest-7-ene-6.22-dione (11a).

To a solution of **3a** (1.61 mmol, procedure b) in THF (2.0 ml) a solution of **10a** (35 mg, 97 µmol) in THF (8.0 ml) was added dropwise at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, being allowed to warm to 20°C. After addition of saturated aq.NaCl (5 ml) the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with n-butanol (3x5 ml) and the combined organic solutions were washed with water (5 ml). After solvent removal, addition of water (20 ml), and lyophilisation the residue was dissolved in THF (5 ml) and treated with 0.1N HCl (1.5 ml) for 1 h at 20°C. Neutralisation with solid K<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, phase separation, addition of water to the organic phase, lyophilisation, and finally LC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-methanol 12:1) gave **11a** (30 mg, 65%) and recovered **10a** (5 mg, 17%).- M.p. 207-209°C (from methanol, lit. <sup>16</sup>: 209-210°C).- IR (KBr): 1700 (CO), 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. CO).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR<sup>31</sup> (400 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 1.06 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.12 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.35 and 1.37 (2 s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.68 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.64 (m, 1H), 3.02 (dd, J=4 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.21-3.32 (17-H, CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.55-3.63 (9-H), 4.13-4.20 (2-H), 4.24 (W1/2=8 Hz, 3-H), 6.22 (d, J=2.5 Hz, 7-H).- C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (478.7), FAB-MS (glycerol): m/z (%) = 501 ([M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 20), 479 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 31), 461 (100), 443 (35), 425 (28), 363 (5), 348 (39), 329 (35), 303 (45).

## Reduction of 11a with LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)3.

To a solution of **11a** (25 mg, 52  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (8 ml) LiAlH(O+Bu)<sub>3</sub> (0.5 M solution in THF, 1.2 ml, 0.6 mmol) was added dropwise at 0°C. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78°C and for 1 h at 20°C. Usual work-up (1-butanol), addition of water to the combined organic solutions, lyophilisation, and LC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-methanol 8:1) gave **12** (17.2 mg 68%) and **1a** (1.5 mg, 6%). In the reaction mixture the **12a:1a** ratio as determined by HPLC (5 $\mu$ m RP-18, methanol-water 2:3) was 16:1.

#### (20R, 22S)-2B, 3B, 14, 20, 22, 25-Hexahydroxy-5B-cholest-7-en-6-one (12).

M.p.  $258-260^{\circ}C$  (from methanol, lit.<sup>16</sup>:  $259-260^{\circ}C$ ).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz,  $C_{5}D_{5}N$ ): 8 = 1.08 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19, 1.22 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.40 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26, CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 1.71 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.85-3.15 (5-H), 3.40-3.75 (9-H, 17-H), 4.00-4.35 (2-H, 3-H), 6.22 (d, J = 2 Hz, 7-H).- IR (KBr): 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. CO).-  $C_{27}H_{44}O_7$  (480.7), FAB MS (glycerol): m/z (%) = 481 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 30), 463 (78), 445 (100), 427.6 (36), 411.5 (23), 393 (20), 371 (42), 347 (40), 331 (57), 329 (72), 303 (70), 301 (93).

40:1:0.2) gave 11b (28 mg, 74%).- IR (CC14): 1715 (CO), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. CO).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CeDe):  $\delta = 0.07$ , 0.15, 0.16, 0.22, and 0.33 (5 s's, Si(CH3)3 signals), 0.69 (s, CH3-19), 0.91 (s, CH3-18), 1.17 (2 s's, CH3-26, CH3-27), 1.39 (s, CH3-21), 2.50 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 17-H), 2.57-2.67 and 2.78-2.88 (CH2-23), 2.95 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13.0 Hz, 5-H), 3.06 (W1/2=23 Hz, 9-H), 3.91 (W1/2 = 21 Hz, 2-H), 4.00 (W1/2 = 7 Hz, 3-H), 5.93 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H).- C42Ha2O7Sis (838.9), MS: m/z (%) = 823.4664 ([M-CH3]<sup>+</sup>, 1.3, Calc for C41H79O7Sis: 823.4672), 733 (3), 662 (2), 651 (2), 643 (2), 635 (1), 561 (100).

#### (20R.22R)-28.38.14.20.22.25-Hexak is-(trimethy]silanyloxy)-58-cholest-7-en-6-one (13b).

1a was silvlated as described for 7a (86% yield).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs):  $\delta = 0.138$ , 0.141, 0.173, 0.224, 0.236 and 0.237 (6s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.73 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.94 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.29 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>-26 and CH<sub>3</sub>-27), 2.70 (t, J =9.4 Hz, 17-H), 2.95 (dd, J = 13.8 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 5-H), 3.09 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 12 Hz, 22-H), 3.35 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 9-H), 3.93 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 12 Hz, 2-H), 3.99 (broad s, 3-H), 6.02 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 7-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 1675 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- C45H<sub>2</sub>O7Sis (913.7), MS: m/z (%) = 897 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 0.65), 894 ([M-H<sub>2</sub>O], 0.7), 807(0.7), 561.3252 ([M-C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>4</sub><sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 88, Calc for C<sub>3</sub>oH<sub>5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>: 561.3208), 171 (21), 147(22), 73 (100).

# (20R.22R)-22-Hydroxy-28.38.14.20.25-pentakis-(trimethyl-silanyloxy)-58-cholest-7-en-6-one (13a).

To a solution of 11b (10 mg, 0.012 mmol) in dry THF (2.0 ml) DIBAH (1.2 M solution in toluene, 75  $\mu$ l, 0.09 mmol) was added dropwise at -78°C. The mixture was stirred for 4 h, being allowed to warm to 20°C. Usual work-up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) gave a crude product which was carefully dried (16 h at 100-200 Pa), dissolved in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.0 ml), and then treated with MhO<sub>2</sub> (70 mg, freshly prepared<sup>32</sup>) for 16 h at 20°C. Filtration though SiO<sub>2</sub>, solvent evaporation and LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 40:1:0.2) gave 13a along with traces of its (22S) isomer (8.3 mg, 83%). The d.e. was determined after silyl group removal (vide infra).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3640, 3500-3350 (OH), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. CO).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C6D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.09, 0.18, 0.23, and 0.36 (5 s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.78 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>=19), 0.93 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>=18), 1.17 and 1.19 (2 s's, CH<sub>3</sub>=27), 1.29 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>=21), 2.25 (t, J=9 Hz, 17-H), 2.54 (presumably OH), 2.97 (dd, J=3.5 Hz, 13.0 Hz, 5-H), 3.07 (W1/2=24 Hz, 9-H), 3.45 (W1/2=16 Hz, 22-H), 3.93 (W1/2=20 Hz, 2-H), 4.01 (W1/2=7 Hz, 3-H), 6.00 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 7-H).- C42Ha407Si5 (840.9), MS: m/z (%) = 825.4858 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 0.7, Calc for C41Ha107Si5: 825.4828), 735 (4), 664 (1), 651 (4), 645 (1), 635 (1), 561 (100).

#### Reduction of 13b.

DIBAH reduction (reaction time 4h) of 13b as described for 13a gave a polar product, which was converted to 1a by  $MnO_2$  oxidation and silv group cleavage in 81% overall yield.

### (20R,22R)-28,38,14,20,22,25-Hexahydroxy-58-cholest-7-en-6-one (1a).

1a (with traces of 12) was prepared from the sample of 13a desribed above by silvl ether cleavage (general procedure). Yield after LC ( $CH_2Cl_2$ -methanol 5:1) 80%, d.e. = 95% (determined by HPLC (5µm RP-18, methanol-water 3:4)). Formation of 1a and 12 was secured by TLC and HPLC comparison.-<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $C_{5D_5N}$ ): 1.07 (s,  $CH_3$ -19), 1.22 (s,  $CH_3$ -18), 1.37 (s,  $CH_3$ -26,  $CH_3$ -27), 1.60 (s,  $CH_3$ -21), 2.97 - 3.03 (m, 5-H, 17-H), 3.59 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 9-H), 3.88 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 10 Hz, 22-H), 4.14 - 4.25 (2-H, 3-H), 4.72 (s, OH), 5.24 (s, OH), 6.03 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, OH), 6.10 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, OH), 6.18 (d, OH), 6.27 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H), 6.32 (s, OH). - <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $C_5D_5N$ ):  $\delta = 17.95$  (C-18), 21.16 (C-21), 21.54, 21.76, 24.53 (C-19), 27.52, 30.06, 30.18, 31.83, 32.05, 32.51, 34.46, 38.03, 38.72, 42.71, 48.15 (C-5), 50.14 (C-17), 51.45 (C-13), 68.11 (C-3), 68.20 (C-2), 69.61 (C-25), 76.89 (C-22), 77.59 (C-20), 84.22 (C-14), 121.71 (C-7), 166.60 (C-8), 203.58 (C-6).

#### 28.38.14-Tris-(trimethy]silanyloxy)-58-pregn-7-ene-6.20-dione (10b).

**10a** was silvlated with 3 equiv. of 2,6-lutidine and trimethylsilvl triflate as described for **7a** (81% yield after LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEts 10:1:0.1)).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCls):  $\delta = 0.08, 0.10, 0.12$  (3s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.56 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 2.13 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.50 (dd, J=4.1 Hz, 13.2 Hz, 5-H), 2.96 (W1/2 = 8 Hz, 9-H), 3.73 (W1/2 = 12 Hz, 2-H), 3.89 (broad s, 3-H), 5.81 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 7-H).- IR (CCl4): 1705 (C=O), 1670 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- MS: m/z (%) = 578.3279 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, Calc for C30Hs40sS13: 578.3279, 4), 550 (4), 488(4), 466 (34), 185 (91), 73 (100).

#### (20R.25RS)-22.25-Epoxy-20-hydroxy-27-propy1-28.38.14-tris(trimethy1-silany1oxy)-7.22-dien-6one (14b/15b).

To a solution of rac.-3b (17.3  $\mu$ mol, procedure a) in THF (1.0 ml) was added at -78°C under argon a solution of 10b (10.0 mg, 17.3  $\mu$ mol) in dry THF (1.0 ml) and the mixture was stirred for 5h being allowed to warm to 20°C. Usual work-up (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), followed by MPLC (hexanesethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 10:1:0.1) gave 14b/15b (5.2 mg, 42%, not completly pure) and 10b (4.7 mg, 47%).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CeDe):  $\delta$  = 0.088, 0.173, 0.221 (3s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.79 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.81 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 0.896 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30 and CH<sub>3</sub>-33), 1.21 and 1.24 (2s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-19, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.46 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.93 (dd, J = 1.7, 5.2 Hz, 5-H), 3.05 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 16 Hz, 17-H), 3.91 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2-H), 4.00 (broad s, 3-H), 4.55 (broad s, 2\*1H, 23-H), 6.00 (broad s, 7-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3580 (CH), 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).- C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>7</sub>oOsSi<sub>3</sub> (719.2), MS: m/z (%): 718 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 1.2), 628 (1), 579.3303 ([M-C9H<sub>15</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>, 2, Calc for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>SSi<sub>3</sub>: 579.3358), 536 (5), 466 (10), 376 (4.8), 185 (29), 184 (27), 147 (33), 73 (100).

# (20R.25RS)-28,38,14,20,25-Pentahydroxy-27-propy1-58-cholest-7-ene-6.22-dione (16a/17a).

**16a/17a** were prepared from **10a** and rac.-**3b** as described for **11a**. MPLC ( $5\mu$ m RP-18, CH<sub>3</sub>OH-H<sub>2</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>CN 6:3:1) and LC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>OH 10:1) gave **16a/17a** (68%), which could not be separated.-<sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 0.89 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30), 1.04 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.10 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.30 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.70 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.80-3.70 (5-H, 9-H, 17-H and CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 4.00-4.30(2-H and 3-H), 6.19 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 7-H).

#### Reduction of 16a/17a.

**16a/17a** was reduced with Li(<sup>t</sup>BuO)<sub>3</sub>AlH as described for **11a**. HPLC (5  $\mu$ m RP-18, CH<sub>3</sub>OH-H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) showed 2 large and 2 small peaks corresponding to the diastereoisomers isomeric at C-22 and C-25. Separation of the C-22 from the C-25 isomers was unsufficient for d.e. determination but allowed the isolation of pure **18a** (34%) and **19a** (34%). Structural assignment and d.e. determination rest on the reduction of pure **17a** (see below).

# (20R.22S.25R)-28.38.14.20.22.25-Hexahydroxy-26-propy]-5β-cholest-7-en-6-one (19a).

mp:  $219-221^{\circ}C$  (from CH<sub>3</sub>OH-H<sub>2</sub>O).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 0.83 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30), 1.07 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.23 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.39 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.73 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.01 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.51-3.65 (9-H and 17-H), 3.94 (broad d, J = 9.5 Hz, 22-H), 4.27 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 21 Hz, 2-H), 4.35 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 3-H), 6.24 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H).- IR (KBr): 1670-1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (522.7), FAB MS (glycerol): m/z (%) = 523 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 54), 505 (69), 487 (100), 469 (43), 453 (12).

#### (20R.225,255)-28.38.14.20.22.25-Hexahydroxy-27-propy1-58-cholest-7-en-6-one (18a).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>3</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N): identical with the spectrum of **19a**.- IR (KBr): 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (522.7), FAB MS (glycerol) m/z (%) = 523 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 35), 505 (60), 487 (100), 469 (43), 451 (12).

#### Silvlation of 16a/17a.

The **16a/17a** mixture was silvlated as described for **11a** to give **76%** of a mixture of C-25 diastereoisomers. Prep. HPLC (5 $\mu$ m Si100, i-octane-dioxane-i-propanol 200:0.20:0.25) gave **17b** (35%) and **16b** (36%), and **6%** of fraction containing both of them. Configurational assignment at C-25 is based on HPLC comparison with the sample of **16b** that is described in the following paragraph.- HPLC (5  $\mu$ m Si100 (Merck), i-octane-i-propanol-dioxane 200:0.25:0.20), retention times: **17b**: 17.6 min, and **16b**: 18.1 min.

## Formation of 16b from 10b and (S)-3b.

Reaction of 10b with (S)-3b (as described above for 10b and rac.-3b) gave 14b (36%). 33% of 10b were recovered. Enol ether cleavage and subsequent silylation (14b -> 16b) were performed as described in the 16b/17b series (see above). Yield: 37% (based on 14b).

#### (20R.25S)-27-Propy1-28.38.14.20.25-pentakis-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-58-cholest-7-ene-6.22dione (16b).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.07, 0.17, 0.19, 0.22 and 0.33 (5s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.70 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.17 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.41 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.51 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 17-H), 2.57-2.67 and 2.77-2.87 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 2.94 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.05 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 24 Hz, 12 Hz, 12

9-H), 3.91 ( $W_{1/2} = 20$  Hz, 2-H), 4.00 ( $W_{1/2} = 8$  Hz, 3-H), 5.94 (d, J =2.2 Hz, 7-H) and unidentified signals at  $\delta = 0.90$  and 1.36.- IR (OCl4): 1715 (C=O), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).-C4sHasO7Sis (881.6), MS: m/z (%) = 865.5147 ([M-CH3]<sup>+</sup>, 1.0, Calc for C44HasO7Sis: 865.5142), 823 (2), 775 (3), 753 (2), 685 (3), 651 (2), 561 (100).

# (20R.25R)-27-Propy1-28.38.14.20.25-pentakis-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-58-cholest-7-ene-6.22dione (17b).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CaDa): identical with the spectrum of **16b**, exception:  $\delta = 2.56-2.66$  and 2.81-2.91 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.06 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 9-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 1715 (C=O), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- C43HaaO7Si<sub>5</sub> (881.6), MS: m/z (%) = 865.5140 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 0.8, Calc for C44HasO7Si<sub>5</sub>: 865.5142), 823 (2), 775 (2), 753 (1), 685 (2), 651 (1), 561 (100).

(20R.25R)-28.38.14.20.25-Pentahydroxy-27-propy]-58-cholest-7-ene-6.22-dione (17a).

**17b** was desilylated (see general procedure) to give after LC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH 12:1) **17a** in quantitative yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (80 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 0.89 (t, J = 6 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30), 1.04, 1.10 (2s's, CH<sub>3</sub>-18, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.30 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.70 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.80-3.70 (5-H, 9-H, 17-H, CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 4.00-4.30 (2-H, 3-H), 6.19 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 7-H).- C<sub>3</sub>OH<sub>4</sub>807 (520.7), FAB MS (glycerol): m/z (%) = 521 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 26), 503 (100), 485 (30), 467 (17).

#### Reduction of 17a.

**17a** was reduced with Li(<sup>t</sup>BuO)<sub>3</sub>AlH in THF as described for **11a**. Analytical HPLC (5  $\mu$ m Si 100, CHCl<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>OH 7:1) showed the presence of 2 peaks in a 16:1 ratio (corresponding to a d.e. = 88% for the reduction of the 22-00 group). The main peak correlated with **19a**, obtained from the reduction of the **16a** /**17a** mixture as described above.

# (20R.22R.25S)-22-Hvdroxy-26-propy]-2β.3β.14.20.25-pentakis-(trimethy]-silanyloxy)-5βcholest-7-en-6-one (18b).<sup>33</sup>

Conversion of **16b** to **18b** by DIBAH reduction and subsequent MnO<sub>2</sub> oxidation was performed as described for **11b**—>**13a** (see there). Yield: 77%.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs):  $\delta = 0.09$ , 0.18, 0.21, 0.22 and 0.32 (5s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.78 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.21 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.31 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.28 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 17-H), 2.36 ("d", OH), 2.96 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.06 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 24 Hz, 9-H), 3.45 (dd, J = 3 Hz, 10 Hz, 22-H), 3.92 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 21 Hz, 2-H), 4.02 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 8 Hz, 3-H), 6.00 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3630-3300 (OH), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=0).- C45H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Si<sub>5</sub> (883.6), MS: m/z (%) = 867 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 0.3), 795 (1), 777 (1), 735 (2), 707 (1), 687 (1), 651 (10), 561 (100).

### (20R.22R.25R)-22-Hydroxy-27-propy1-28.38.14.20.25-pentakis-(trimethy1-silanyloxy)-58cholest-7-en-6-one (19b).<sup>33</sup>

Conversion of 17b to 19b by DIBAH reduction and subsequent MnO<sub>2</sub> oxidation was performed as described for 11b->13a (see there). Yield: 71%.<sup>-1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs):  $\delta = 0.10, 0.17, 0.22, 0.23$  and 0.34 (5s's, Si(CHs)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.78 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.19 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.30 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.25 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 17-H), 2.33 ("d", OH), 2.95 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.06 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 24 Hz, 9-H), 3.44 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 18 Hz, 22-H), 3.92 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 2-H), 4.00 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 8 Hz, 3-H), 6.00 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 3630-3300 (OH), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=0).- C45Hs007S15 (883.6), MS: m/z (%) = 867 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]+, 1), 825 (1), 777 (1), 708 (2), 687 (1), 651 (2), 634 (4), 631 (5), 561 (36), 509 (44), 493 (40), 440 (32), 421 (100).

#### (20R.22R.25S)-28.38.14.20.22.25-Hexahydroxy-27-propy]-58-cho]est-7-en-6-one (18c).

**18b** was desilylated (general procedure) to give **18c** (83% yield). The d.e. (94%) of the DIBAH reduction<sup>33</sup> was determined by HPLC (5  $\mu$ m RP-18, MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O 3:4).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N):  $\delta$  = 0.85 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30), 1.08 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.22 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.36 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.61 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.02 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 5-H and 17-H), 3.57 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 9-H), 3.92 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 16 Hz, 22-H), 4.20 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 21 Hz, 2-H), 4.27 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 3-H), 6.25 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 7-H), signals at  $\delta$  = 0.89 (t), 1.38 (q) and 3.57(m), probably traces of Bu<sub>4</sub>NX.- IR(KBr): 1665-1635 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=0).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (522.7), FAB-MS (glycerol): m/z (%) = 523 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 57), 505 (87), 487 (100), 469 (55).

#### (20R,22R,25R)-28,38,14,20,22,25-Hexahydroxy-27-propy1-58-cholest-7-en-6-one (19c),

19b was desilylated (general procedure) to give 19c (83% yield). The d.e. (94%) of the DIBAH reduction<sup>33</sup> was determined by HPLC (5  $\mu$ m RP-18, MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O 3:4).- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N);  $\delta$  =

0.84 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>-30), 1.07 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.22 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.35 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.61 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 3.01 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 22 Hz, 2H, 5-H and 17-H), 3.52 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 9-H), 3.90 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 17 Hz, 22-H), 4.19 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 2-H), 4.25 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 8 Hz, 3-H), 6.26 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 7-H), signals at 0.89 (t), 1.38 (q) and 3.57 (m), traces of Bu<sub>4</sub>NX.- IR(KBr): 1645 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=0).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (522.7), FAB MS (glycerol): 523 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 42), 505 (72), 487 (100), 469 (43).

# (20R.255)-27-(3-(tert.-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-propyl)-22.25-epoxy-20-hydroxy-28.38.14tris-(trimethylsilanyloxy)-58-cholest-7.22-dien-6-one (20c),

To a solution of **3d** (96.1 µmol, procedure b) in dry THF (2.0 ml) a solution of **10b** (50.5 mg, 87.4 µmol) in THF (1.0 ml) was added at  $-78^{\circ}$ C, and the mixture was stirred for 4.2 h while warming up to 20°C. Usual work up (Et<sub>2</sub>O) followed by LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEta 12:1:0.1) gave **3d** (23.3 mg, 56%), **10a** (17.3 mg, 34%), **20c** (36.8 mg, 43 %). - <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.04$ , 0.06 and 0.10 (3s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.78 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.02 (s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.22 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>-21 and CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 2.93 (W<sub>1</sub>/<sub>2</sub> = 12 Hz, 5-H), 3.37 (W<sub>1</sub>/<sub>2</sub> = 12 Hz, 9-H), 3.63 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30), 3.71 (m, w<sub>1</sub>/<sub>2</sub> = 16 Hz, 2-H), 3.88 (broad s, 3-H), 4.52 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 23-H), 5.78 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 7-H), 7.33-7.42 and 7.62-7.66 (Ar-H).- IR (CC1<sub>4</sub>): 3600-3200 (OH), 1715 (enol ether), 1670 (unsat. C=O), 1640, 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C).-CssHaeO7Si4 (973.6), MS: m/z (%) = 873 ([M-C4H<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 0.3), 799 (0.3), 725 (0.4), 605 (0.9), 577 (3), 503 (10), 466 (15), 429(19), 355 (25), 337 (78), 199(100), 73 (53).

# Addition of (±)-3d to 10a.

Reaction of  $(\pm)$ -3d with 10a, as described for the synthesis of 11a, followed by LC (ethyl acetate-ethanol 25:1) gave a mixture of 22a and 22b (75%, based on 10a). Prep. HPLC (i-octane-CHCl3-ethanol 15:15:1) gave 22b (35%), 22a (33%), and a mixture of both diastereomeres (7%).

#### Addition of (S)-3d to 10a.

Reaction of (S)-3d with 10a, as described for the synthesis of 11a, followed by LC (ethyl acetate-ethanol 30:1) gave 22a (50%, based on 10a). The specimen of 22a obtained in this experiment was identical (HPLC) with 22a obtained in the preceding experiment.- HPLC (5 µm Si 100, i-octane-CHCl3-ethanol 5:5:1), retention times: 22b: 16 min and 22a: 18 min.

## (20R.25S)-27-[3-(tert.-Buty]-dipheny]-silanyloxy)-propy]]-28.38.14.20.25-58-pentahydroxycholest-7-ene-6.22-dione (22a).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.85 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.98 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.03 (s, 9H, Si<sup>t</sup>Bu), 1.15 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.42 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.41 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 2.54-2.70 (17-H, CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.00 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23Hz, 9-H), 3.65 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30), 3.86 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 2-H), 4.01 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 9 Hz, 3-H), 4.09 (s, OH, exchanges with D<sub>2</sub>O), 5.78 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H), 7.38 and 7.66 (Ar-H), unidentified small signals at  $\delta$  = 0.88 and 1.24.- IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3650-3200 (OH), 1695 (C=O), 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- Neither EI nor FAB MS could be obtained.

# (20R.25R)-27-[3-(tert.-Butyl-diphenylsilanyloxy)-propyl]-28.38.14.20.25-penta-hydroxy-58cholest-7-ene-6.22-dione (22b).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.87 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.99 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.03 (s, 9H, Si<sup>±</sup>Bu), 1.13 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.43 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.42 (dd, J= 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 2.55-2.68 (17-H, CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 3.00 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 9-H), 3.65 (t, J = 6.0Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30), 3.86 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 22 Hz, 2-H), 4.02 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 9 Hz, 3-H), 4.09 (s, OH, exchanges with D<sub>2</sub>O), 5.79 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H), 7.38 and 7.66 (Ar-H), unidentified small signals at  $\delta$  = 0.88 and 1.25.- IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3650-3200 (OH), 1700 (C=O), 1655 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).-Neither EI nor FAB MS could be obtained.

# $\label{eq:22} \underbrace{(20R.25R)-27-[3-(tert.-Butyl-diphenyl-silanyloxy)-propyl]-28,38,14,20,25-pentakis-(trimethyl-silanyloxy)-58-cholest-7-ene-6,22-dione (22d).}$

**22b** was sllylated as described for **11a**. LC (hexanes-ethyl acetate-NEt<sub>3</sub> 30:1:0.15) gave **22d** in 79% yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs),  $\delta = 0.06$ , 0.17, 0.18, 0.24 and 0.34 (5s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.70 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub> -18), 1.16 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.20 (s, Si<sup>t</sup>Bu), 1.41 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.51 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 19Hz, 17-H), 2.56-2.67 and 2.75-2.86 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 2.96 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.07 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 23 Hz, 9-H), 3.69 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30), 3.92 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 2-H), 4.00 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 8 Hz, 3-H), 5.94 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H), 7.26 and 7.79 (Ar-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 1715 (C=O), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. CO).~ Ce 1H10eOaSis (1135.9), MS: m/z (%) = 1119 ([M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, 2),

1077.5817 ( $[M-C4H_9]^+$ , 13, Calc for Cs7Hs7OsSis: 1077.5808), 987.5 (18), 897.5 (13), 807.5 (7), 561 (100).

# (20R.255)-27-[3-(tert.-Buty1-dipheny1-silanyloxy)-propy1]-28.38.14.20.25-pentakis-(trimethy1-silanyloxy)-58-cholest-7-ene-6.22-dione (22c).

a) 22a was silylated as described for 11a to give, after LC (see preceding experiment) 22c in 71% yield. b) 22c was also obtained from 20a by (i) opening with HCl (see formation of 11a), (ii) silylation, (iii) LC (see above) in 78% overall yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDs),  $\delta = 0.07$ , 0.18, 0.19, 0.25 and 0.35 (5s's, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signals), 0.70 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 0.92 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.17 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.21 (s, Si<sup>t</sup>Bu), 1.42 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.51 (W<sub>1/2</sub> = 21 Hz, 17-H), 2.55-2.64 and 2.80-2.91 (CH<sub>2</sub>-23), 2.95 (dd, J = 3.5 Hz, 13 Hz, 5-H), 3.07 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 22 Hz, 9-H), 3.69 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30), 3.92 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20Hz, 2-H), 4.00 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 7 Hz, 3-H), 5.94 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H), 7.27 and 7.80 (Ar-H).- IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>): 1715 (C=O), 1665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- Cs<sub>7</sub>H<sub>3</sub>rOsSis: 1077.5808), 987.5 (10), 897.5 (17), 807.5 (9), 561 (100).

# $\frac{(20R,22R,25R)-2\beta,3\beta,14,20,22,25-\text{Hexahydroxy}-27-(3-\text{hydroxy}-\text{propy}1)-5\beta-\text{cholest}-7-\text{en}-6-\text{one}}{(21b)}$

**21b** was obtained from **22d** (as described for **1a**) by (i) DIBAH reduction in THF, (ii) oxidation with MnO<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, (iii) desilylation, (iv) LC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH 5:1) in 43% yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CsDsN),  $\delta$  = 1.08 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-19), 1.22 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-18), 1.37 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-26), 1.59 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>-21), 2.98 - 3.07 (5-H, 17-H), 3.59 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 20 Hz, 9-H), 3.89 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 14 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30, 22-H), 4.15 - 4.26 (2-H, 3-H), 6.26 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 7-H).- IR (KBr):1635 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).-C3oHsoOs (538.7), FAB MS (glycerol): m/z (%) = 539 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 17), 521 (32), 503 (42), 487 (54), 485 (100), 469 (22), 467 (23).

# (20R.22R.25S)-28.38.14.20.22.25-Hexahydroxy-27-(3-hydroxy-propy1)-58-cholest-7-en-6-one (21a).

**21a** was obtained from **22c** by (i) DIBAH reduction in toluene (cf.**7f**, procedure 2), (ii) oxidation with MnO<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, (iii) desilylation, (iv) LC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH 8:1) in 83% overall yield.- <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, C<sub>5</sub>D<sub>5</sub>N) was identical with that of **21b** with the exception of :  $\delta$  = 3.87 (w<sub>1/2</sub> = 11 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-30, 22-H).- IR (KBr): 1635 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unsat. C=O).- C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (538.7), FAB MS (DMSO-glycerol): m/z (%) = 539 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>, 14), 521 (33), 503 (45), 487 (53), 485 (100), 469 (24), 467 (22).

<u>Acknowledgements</u> - It is a pleasure to thank Dr.D.Müller, Dr.W.Dietrich, and their colleagues for the MS and NMR spectra. Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Minister für Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein Westfalen (PhD grant to R.K.), and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References and Notes

- <sup>1</sup> Preliminary Communications: a) U.Hedtmann, P.Welzel, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 2773-2774; b) U.Hedtmann, K.Hobert, R.Klintz, P.Welzel, J.Frelek, M.Strangmann-Diekmann, A.Klöne, O.Pongs, *Angew.Chem.* **1989**, *101*, 1543-1545, *Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl.* **1989**, *28*, 1515-1518.
- <sup>2</sup> Permanent Address: Department of Chemistry, Warszawa University, ul.Pasteura 1, PL-02-093 Warszawa (Poland)
- <sup>3</sup> Permanent Address: Institute of Organic Chemistry and Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia (Bulgaria)
- <sup>4</sup> J.Koolman (ed.): *Ecdysone From Chemistry to Mode of Action*, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1989.
- <sup>5</sup> For most recent work, see a) G.Feigl, M.Gram, O.Pongs, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1989, *17*, 7167-7177; b) M.Strangmann-Diekmann, A.Klone, A.Ozyhar, F.Kreklau, H.-H.Kiltz, U.Hedtmann, P.Welzel, O.Pongs, *Eur.J.Biochem.* 1990, *189*, 137-143; c) K.C.Burtis, C.S.Thummel, C.W.Jones, F.D.Karim, D.S.Hogness, *Cell* 1990, *61*, 85-99; d) C.S.Thummel, K.C.Burtis, D.S.Hogness, *Cell* 1990, *61*, 101-111; e) W.A.Segraves, D.S.Hogness, *Genes Devel.* 1990, *4*, 204-219.

# U. HEDTMANN et al.

- <sup>6</sup> M.Ashburner, *Dev.Bio1.* 1974, 39, 141-157; M.Ashburner, C.Chihara, P.Meltzer, G.Richards, *Cold Spring Harbor Symp.Quant.Bio1.* 1974, 38, 655-662.
- <sup>7</sup> Reviews: a) S.Green, P.Chambon, Nature (London), **1986**, 324, 209-252; b) H.Gronemeyer (ed.): Affinity Labelling and Cloning of Steroid and Thyroid Hormone receptors, Ellis Horwood, VCH, Chichester/Weinheim, 1988.
- <sup>8</sup> For recent experiments of this type, see a) D.Guédin-Vuong, Y.Nakatani, B.Luu, G.Ourisson, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, *26*, 5959-5962 and *Croat. Chem. Acta* **1985**, *58*, 547-557, b) cf. B.A.Sage, D.H.S.Horn, T.M.Landon, J.D.O'Connor, *Arch. Ins. Biochem. Physiol.* **1986**, 25-33, c) P.Cherbas, L.Cherbas, S.-S.Lee, K.Nakanishi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1988**, *85*, 2096-2100.
- <sup>9</sup> M.Lombest, M.Claire, P.Lustenberger, A.Michaud, M.-E.Rafestin-Oblin, J.Biol.Chem. 1987, 262, 8121-8127.
- <sup>10</sup> P.Cherbas, D.A.Trainor, R.J.Stonard, K.Nakanishi, J.Chem.Soc., Chem.Commun. 1982, 1307-1308.
- <sup>11</sup> Review: R.Bergamasco, D.H.S.Horn in J.A.Hoffmann (ed.): *Progress in Ecdysone Research*, Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam 1980, p. 299-324.
- <sup>12</sup> Review: T.Kametani, M.Tsubuki, Ic.cit.<sup>4</sup>, p.74-96.
- <sup>13</sup> a) G.Hüppi, J.B.Siddall, J.Am.Chem.Soc. **1967**, 89, 6790-6792; b) H.Hikino, K.Mohri, Y.Hikino, S.Arihara, T.Takemoto, H.Mori, K.Shibata, Tetrahedron **1976**, 32, 3015-3021, and previous work cited therein; c)M.Adamczyk, E.K.Dolence, D.S.Watt, M.R.Christy, J.H.Reibenspies, O.P.Anderson, J.Org.Chem. **1984**, 49, 1378-1382; d) G.M.Segal, S.Zh.Sydykov, I.V.Torgov, Izv.Akad.Nauk.SSR Ser.Khim. **1982**, 317-325.
- <sup>14</sup> D.J.Cram, F.A.Abd Elhafez, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 1962, 74, 5828-5835; J.Mulzer, Nachr.Chem.Tech.Lab. 1984, 32, 16-18.
- <sup>15</sup> T.Kametani, M.Tsubuki, K.Higurashi, T.Honda, J.Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2932-2939.
- <sup>16</sup> U.Kerb, R.Wiechert, A.Furlenmeier, A.Fürst, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 4277-4280.
- <sup>17</sup> Personal communication of Prof.Wiechert, see also N.K.Chaudhuri, R.Nickolson, H.Kimball, M.Gut, Steroids 1970, 15, 525-539.
- <sup>18</sup> R.Klintz, Dissertation, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 1990.
- <sup>19</sup> 1,3-Dimethyltetrahydro-2(1H)-pyrimidinon, T.Mukhopadhyay, D.Seebach, Helv.Chim.Acta 1982, 65, 385-391.
- <sup>20</sup> see E.Juraisti, E.L.Eliel, Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 543-546; K.S.Kyler, D.S.Watt, J.Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5182-5188.
- <sup>21</sup> J.Redpath, F.J.Zeelen, Chem.Soc.Rev. 1983, 12, 75-98.
- 22 D.M.Piatak, J.Wicha, Chem. Rev. 1978, 78, 199-241.
- <sup>23</sup> U.Hedtmann, Dissertation, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 1987.
- <sup>24</sup> Less clear in this respect is the observation that even on reduction of 7a with LiAlH(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub> in the presence of TMEDA or HMPA and with Bu<sub>4</sub>NBH<sub>4</sub> 5a was the main product; <sup>23</sup> cf. J.A.Katzenellenbogen, S.B.Bowlus, J.Org.Chem 1972, 38, 627-632; M.T.Reetz, Angew.Chem. 1984, 96, 542-555, Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl. 1984, 23, 556; E.K.Dolence, M.Adamczyk, D.S.Watt, G.B.Russell, D.H.S.Horn, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1189-1192; M.Bhupathy, T.Cohen, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2619-2622; Y.Yamamoto, K.Maruyama, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 1985, 107, 6411-6413.
- <sup>25</sup> cf. X.Chen, E.R.Hortelano, E.L.Eliel, S.V.Frye, J.Am.Chem.Soc. 1990, 112, 6130-6131.
- <sup>26</sup> E.J.Corey, H.Cho, C.Rücker, D.H.Hua, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, *22*, 3455-3458.
- <sup>27</sup> This silulation method gave more reliable results than the previously published with N,Obis(trimethylsilul)acetamide method.<sup>1a</sup>
- <sup>28</sup> N.T.Anh, Top.Curr.Chem. 1980, 88, 145-162; M.Cherest, H.Felkin, N.Prudent, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 2199-2204.
- 29 see ref.16
- <sup>30</sup> J.Frelek, Z.Majer, A.Perkowska, G.Snatzke, I.Vlahov, U.Wagner, Pure Appl. Chem. 1985, 57, 441-451.
- <sup>31</sup> Assignment by comparison with the spectrum of 1a.
- <sup>32</sup> J.Attenburrow, A.F.B.Cameron, J.H.Chapman, R.M.Evans, B.A.Hems, A.B.A.Jansen, T.Walker, J.Chem.Soc. **1952**, 1094-1111.
- <sup>33</sup> 18b and 19b, respectively, contained small amounts of the respective (22S) isomer formed in the DIBAH reduction step. The d.e. was determined after silyl group removal.