HETEROCYCLES, Vol. 62, 2004, pp. 153 - 159 Received, 18th July, 2003, Accepted, 12th September, 2003, Published online, 4th November, 2003

# ENDOPEROXIDATION OF 9,10-BIS(1-HYDROXYALKYL)-ANTHRACENES AND SUCCESSIVE FORMATION OF 9,10-ANTHRAQUINONE UNDER GRIGNARD REACTION CONDITIONS

Shigeyasu Kuroda,\* Mitsunori Oda,\* Hir oki Syumiya, Shah M. I. Shaheen, Ryuta Miyatake, Teruhiko Nishikawa, Akiko Yoneda, Tokiko Tanaka, Masaru Mouri, and Mayumi Kyogoku

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Toyama University, Gofuku 3190, Toyama 930-8555, Japan E-mail address: kuro@eng.toyama-u.ac.jp

**Abstract**-The reaction of anthracene-9,10-carboaldehyde with various Grignard reagents under ambient conditions gave 9,10-bis(1-hydroxyalkyl)anthracene-9,10-peroxides and 9,10-anthraquinone as the first example. The endoperoxidation of 9,10-bis(1-hydroxyalkyl)anthracenes was assisted by the lone paired electron of oxygen in the side chain, and the endoperoxides were transformed to anthraquinone by the Grignard reagents.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The endoperoxidation of anthracene and its derivatives by molecular oxygen ( ${}^{1}O_{2}$ ) has been widely and actively investigated from the viewpoint of their utility as synthetic substrates and photosensitizers.<sup>1, 2</sup> The endoperoxides rearranged to various types of compounds under photochemical, ionic, and thermal conditions,<sup>3</sup> though the formation of 9,10-anthraquinone under the Grignard reaction conditions has not been reported so far. During the course of our research on the synthesis of new conjugated aromatic compounds containing an anthracene moiety, we found that the new reactions of anthracene-9,10-carboaldehyde (**1**) with Grignard reagents under ambient conditions gave 9,10-anthraquinone (**4**) along

\*) Dedicated to Prof. Dr. L. A. Paquette on occasion of his 70th birthday

with 9,10-bis(1-hydroxyalkyl)anthracenes (2) and 9,10-bis(1-hydroxyalkyl)anthracene-9,10endoperoxides (3) in excellent yields. The mechanistic studies on the quinone formation revealed that the lone paired electron of oxygen in the side chain assists the endoperoxidation to themselves, and the quinone (4) was formed by the action of the Grignard reagents on endoperoxides.



Table 1. The Grignard reaction conditions and yields.

Entry No. and	Condition			Yield (%)					
Grignard reagent	atmosphere	light	time	2	3	4	5	6	-
				2a	3a				_
1. R=Me	air	room light	2 h	62	18	12	0	0	
2. R = Me	O <sub>2</sub>	room light	18 h	42	25	25	0	0	
3. R = Me	N <sub>2</sub>	room light	2 h	92	0	0	0	0	
4. R = Me	N <sub>2</sub>	dark	18 h	quant.	0	0	0	0	
				2b					
5. R = Et	air	room light	18 h	60 <sup>a)</sup>	0	34 <sup>a)</sup>	0	0	
6. $R = Et$	$N_2$	dark	18 h	43	0	0	0	0	
				2c			<b>5</b> a <sup>b)</sup>	<b>6a</b> <sup>b)</sup>	
7. R = $p$ -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$O_2$	lamp <sup>c)</sup>	18 h	46	0	51	41	45	-
8. R = $p$ -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$O_2$	lamp	60 h	41	0	52	47	51	
				2d			<b>5</b> b <sup>b)</sup>	<b>6b</b> <sup>b)</sup>	_
9. R = Ph	$O_2$	lamp	18 h	24	0	52	46	49	

a) Crude yields.

b) The relative ratio is given compared to 4, which was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

c) The reaction mixture in flask was irraditated with a 100W tungsten lamp from a distance of 20 cm.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The reaction of **1** with freshly prepared 5 equiv. of methylmagnesium iodide in dry ether-THF solution at rt for 18 h gave diol (**2a**), <sup>2,4</sup> endoperoxidized diol (**3a**), and 9,10-anthraquinone (**4**). The reaction of **1** with the Grignard reagents such as ethyl-, *p*-tolyl- or phenylmagnesium bromide gave a mixture of diols (**2b-d**) and (**4**), respectively (Table 1), though endoperoxides (**3b-d**)<sup>4</sup> could not be detected. While the isolated diols (**2a-d**) were endoperoxideized to give (**3a-d**) under the room light at room temperature. It suggests that the endoperoxides as (**3b~d**) formed in the Grignard reaction were transformed to quinone under the conditions. The reaction of **2a** under the similar conditions as above in the presence of diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (dabco) <sup>5</sup> gave no endoperoxide (**3a**) supporting the formation of singlet oxygen as a reactant. Furthermore, the endoperoxides (**3a-d**) along with a small amount of **4**. Since the formation of endoperoxides (**3a**) and (**4**) formed via (**3a-d**) under ambient conditions is so far unprecedented, the generality and the reaction mechanism were examined by various conditions shown in Table 1, and the experiments revealed the following.

**Endoperoxidation**: (a) The endoperoxidation of **2** was observed under no extra irradiation of light in the laboratory, but it was not observed under darkness and under nitrogen atmosphere. (b) The preliminary experiments described as above revealed that the rates of the endoperoxidation of **2a-d** bearing hydroxyalkyl groups at the 9- and 10-positions<sup>6</sup> was faster than that of compound bearing phenyl group at the 9- and 10-positions provides an evidence effected by the role of the lone paired electron of oxygen in the side chain rather than by the hydrogen bonding in the Steering effect.<sup>8</sup> (c) The reaction of **1** with large excess of the Grignard reagent (10~15 mol equiv.) under the same conditions as with the use of 5 equiv. of MeMgI exclusively gave **2a~d** quantitatively, suggesting that oxygen existing in the solution was consumed by the excess Grignard reagents.<sup>10</sup> (d) The pathway from diols (**2a**, **2b**) to quione (**4**) by autoxidation was excluded, because the standing of (**2a**, **2b**) or (**3a**, **3b**) dissolved in solvents such as CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, ether, THF, and AcOEt in the dark at room temperature for 18 h recovered the starting materials. (f) The light-induced dissociation of the O-O bond of the endoperoxides also did not proceed, since **3a** did not change under the irradiation of light.

**Quinone formation**: The roles of the Grignard reagent and the hydroxyl groups in the side chain for the quinone formation were revealed as follows. (a) The reaction of **3a** with 2.0 or more equiv. of MeMgI for 2 h gave **4** along with a small amount of **8**, which may be formed from **4** (Table 2). The reaction of



Entry		Conditions	Yield (		
	Substrate	(molar ratio of MeMgI)	3a (recovered)	4	8
1	3a	10 equiv.	0	81	4
2	3a	2 equiv.	0	82	3
3	3a	0.5 equiv.	56	37	0
4	3a	0.2 equiv.	75	12	0
			7	4	8
			(recovered)		
5	7	2 equiv.	100	0	0

Table 2 The reaction of 3a and 7 with various molar ratios of MeMgI

9,10-diphenylanthracene-9,10-endoperoxide (7) with MeMgI under the same conditions gave no products. This suggests that the hydroxyl groups on the side chain at the 9- and 10-positions are necessary for the O-O bond fission. The reaction of **1** with *p*-Me-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>MgBr gave no endoperoxide (**3c**) or (**3d**), but it gave **2c**, **4**, *p*-methylbenzaldehyde (**5a**), and *p*-methylbenzyl alcohol (**6a**). Similarly, benzaldehyde (**5b**) and benzyl alcohol (**6b**). were obtained by the use of PhMgBr. These afford significant information on the role of the Grignard reagent and the reaction sequence of the quinone formation.<sup>9</sup> (d) It should be noted that the endoperoxide (**3a**) was also transformed quantitatively to quinone (**4**) by PhMgBr. Although the reaction of **3a** with activated magnesium (Mg\*)<sup>11</sup> obtained by using 1,2-dibromoethane as entrainer also gave **4** quantitatively, that with Mg\* prepared by other methods<sup>11, 12</sup> gave no product. It suggests that some amount of Grignard reagent as 2-bromoethylmagnesium bromide exists in the former reaction mixture.

**Mechanism**: Taking the above results into account, the overall plausible mechanism is proposed as shown in Scheme 3. First, the Grignard reagent added to formyl groups to form intermediate (**A**). Subsequently, the room light-induced singlet oxygen ( $^{1}O_{2}$ ) sensitized by anthracene derivatives reacted at the 9,10-positions of (**A**) which was assisted by the interactions of the lone paired electron of oxygen in the side chain (intermediate **B**) to form an intermediate (**C**). Additionally, PM3 calculation showed a

little greater electron density of the HSOMO of 9- and 10-positions of **2a** than those of HOMO of 9,10dimethylanthracene (**9**). It suggests the greater interaction of **2a** with oxygen than that of **9**. Then, the O-O bond of endoperoxide was broken by the action of the Grignard reagents to give quinone, aldehydes, and alcohols.



### AKNOWLEDGEMENT

We gratefully acknowledge financial support by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 10640513) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture, Japan.

## **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

 a) H. H. Wasserman and R. W. Murray, "Singlet Oxygen, A Series of Monographs", Academic Press: New York, 1979, 40, pp. 59-114. b) R. W. Denny and A. Nickon, Org. React., 1973, 20, 133, ed. by W. G. Dauben, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York. c) M. Balci, Chem. Rev., 1982, 81, 91, and references cited therein. d) R. G. Zepp, N. L. Wolf, G. L. Baughman, and R. C. Hollis, Nature, 1977, 267, 421. e) N. A. Garcia, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B: Biol., 1994, 22, 185. f) C. S. Foote, S. Waxler, and W. Ando, Tetrahedron Lett., 1965, 4111. g) C. S. Foote, S. Waxler, W. Ando, and R. Higgins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 975. h) J. M. Aubry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5844. i) C. S. Foote and S. Waxler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 3880. j) C. S. Foote, Science (Washington D. C.) 1970, 162, 963. k) C. S. Foote, In "Free radical in biology" W. A. Pryor, Academic Press, New York, 1976, Vol. 2, 419.

- a) D. R. Kearns, *Chem. Rev.*, 1971, **71**, 418. b) G. O. Schenck, *Angew. Chem.*, 1957, **69**, 579. c) C. S. Foote and S. Waxler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, **86**, 3880~3881. d) C. S. Foote and S. Waxler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, **86**, 3881.
- 3. B. Stevens, S. R. Perez, and J. A. Ors, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 6846.
- 4. The data of the endoperoxides. 3a: colorless crystals, mp 97°C (benzene-hexane), IR(KBr) v max 3410brm, 3020w, 2891w, 2872w, 1460m, 1378m, 1252w, 1158w,1112m, 997w, 929m, 907m, 760s, 668w, 639w cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS) δ = 7.81 (br, 2H), 7.42 (br, 2H), 7.23 (br, 4H), 5.14 (br, 2H), 2.28 (br s, 2H), 1.75 (br, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS) δ = 139.8, 139.7, 127.0, 126.9, 126.8, 126.7, 124.2, 123.9, 122.3, 122.0, 83.6, 83.6, 66.6, 66.5, 19.4; UV-VIS λmax (MeOH) 361 (log ε =1.80), 270 (3.08), 250sh (3.43), 223 nm (3.86); MS *m/z* 298 (M<sup>+</sup>, 1%), 208 (M<sup>+</sup>-2xCH(OH)CH<sub>3</sub>, 77%), 180 (M<sup>+</sup>-{2xCH(OH)CH<sub>3</sub> + CO}, 91%), 152 (M<sup>+</sup>-{2xCH(OH)CH<sub>3</sub> + 2xCO}, 100%). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub> : C, 72.47; H, 6.08. Found: C, 72.26; H, 5.99.

**3b**: Pale yellow crystals, mp 79°C (benzene-hexane); IR (KBr) v max 3450brs, 3060w, 3040w, 2960s, 2930s, 2870s, 1460s, 1400w, 1240w, 1150w, 1110m, 1060w, 1040w, 980m, 920m, 890w, 760s, 710w, 700w, 640w cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS)  $\delta$  =7.81 (m, 2H), 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.22 (m, 4H), 4.79 (t, *J* = 9.2Hz, 2H, -CH-), 2.23 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 2.00 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 1.90 (m, 2H, -OH), 1.28 (m, 6H, 2x -CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS)  $\delta$  =140.1, 140.0, 126.9, 126.9, 126.7, 124.5, 123.9, 122.2, 121.8, 83.9, 83.8, 72.4, 31.6, 26.1, 11.5; UV-VIS  $\lambda$ max (MeOH) 260sh (log  $\varepsilon$  = 3.30), 250sh (3.37), 217nm (4.53); MS *m*/*z* 326 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.2%), 208 (M<sup>+</sup> -2xCH(OH)Et, 100%), 180 (M<sup>+</sup>-{2xCH(OH)Et + CO}, 86%), 152 (M<sup>+</sup>-{2xCH(OH)Et + 2xCO}, 67%); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 73.60; H, 6.79. Found: C, 73.59; H, 6.90.

**3c:** Pale yellow powder, mp 135°C (decomp) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-hexane); IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  3399brs, 3031w, 2920w, 1655m, 1613m, 1512s, 1458s, 1387s, 1186s, 1060s, 1017s, 918s, 818s, 758vs, 723m, 696m, 642m cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN-TMS)  $\delta$  =7.94 (m, 2H), 7.59 (d, *J*=7.99, 4H), 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.17 (d, *J*=7.99, 4H), 7.09 (m, 2H), 5.96 (m, 2H), 4.08 (brs, 2H, -OH), 2.30 (s, 6H, -CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS)  $\delta$  =142.0, 141.1, 138.5, 138,0, 130.0, 129.4, 127.2, 127.0, 126.9, 125.2, 125.1, 123.8, 123.7, 83.9, 83.8, 73.0, 72.9, 20.9; UV-VIS  $\lambda$ max (MeOH) 259 (log  $\varepsilon$  =4.80), 333 (3.50), 355 (2.59), 374 (1.62), 395 nm (1.58); MS *m/z* 330 (M<sup>+</sup>-*p*-Me-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CHO, 54%), 296 (100%), 208 (anthraquinone, 17%), 180 (12%); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 78.41; H, 5.92.

Found: C, 78.88; H, 5.97.

**3d:** Pale yellow powder, mp 90°C (decomp) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-hexane); IR (KBr) v <sub>max</sub> 3393brs, 3065m, 3030m, 2921m, 2850w, 1698m, 1589m, 1455s, 1317m, 1204m, 1171s, 1121s, 1090m, 1042m, 932m, 828m, 747s, 648m, 613m cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN-TMS)  $\delta$  =7.96 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, *J*=7.99, 2H), 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.36 (m, 4H), 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.19 (m, 2H), 7.11 (m, 2H), 6.00 (m, 2H), 4.18 (brs, 2H, - OH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>-TMS)  $\delta$  =142.3, 141.3, 141.2, 130.4, 129.1, 128.9, 127.6, 127.3, 127.2, 125.5, 125.4, 124.1, 124.0, 84.1, 73.5; UV-VIS  $\lambda$ max (MeOH) 230 (log  $\varepsilon$  =4.92), 260 (4.38), 356 (3.48), 376 (2.57), 395 nm (2.55); MS *m*/*z* 316 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CHO, 3%), 299 (4%), 208 (100%), 180 (83%), 67%); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 79.60; H, 5.25. Found: C, 79.40; H, 5.55.

- E. A. Orryzlo and C. W. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 5034, I. Saito, T. Matsuura, and K. Inoue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 188.
- 6. The rates of endoperoxidation of anthracenes bearing one hydroxlyl group on the side chain are fairly greater than that of 9,10-phenylanthracene under the room light.
- 7. M. Prein and W. Adam, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 6635.
- 8. M. Matsumoto, Photochem., 1998, 28, 31.
- 9. W. Adam and M. Prein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 3766.
- 10. C. Walling and S. A. Buckler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 6032, and literatures cited therein.
- 11. Activated magnesium; a) D. E. Pearson, D. Cowan, and J. D. Beckler, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1959, **24**, 504, and Y.-H Lai, *Synthesis*, 1981, 585, and references cited therein.
- 12. R. D. Rieke and M. V. Hanson, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 53, 1925.