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#### Abstract

In search of potent $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonists, a series of novel substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquin-olin-6-yloxypropanes has been synthesized and evaluated for their $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonistic activity (ranging from $-17.73 \%$ to $90.64 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) using well established Human SK-N-MC neuroblastoma cells model. Four molecules viz. 11, 15, 22 and 23 showed $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.55,0.59,1.18$ and $1.76 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. These four candidates have been identified as possible leads for further development of $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonists for obesity and Type-II diabetes pharmacotherapy. The free OH and NH functions are found to be essential for $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonistic activity. Among the synthesized $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonists having 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline scaffold, the $N$-benzyl group is found to be superior over $N$-arylsulfonyl group. A putative pharmacophore model has been modeled considering the above four active molecules which distinguishes well between the active and inactive molecules.


© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptors ( $\beta_{3}$-AR), belonging to $G$-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) family, are found to mediate various pharmacological and physiological effects such as lipolysis in white adipocytes and thermogenesis (energy expenditure) in brown tissue adipocytes and intestinal smooth muscle relaxation in rodents. ${ }^{1}$ Recent studies have indicated that, in addition to adipocytes, the $\beta_{3}-\mathrm{AR}$ is also distributed in human urinary bladder detrusor tissue and its relaxation occurs mainly via $\beta_{3}-A R^{2}$ and hence $\beta_{3}-A R$ has been an important target for obesity and exploration of molecules with $\beta_{3}-A R$ agonistic activity may provide potential anti-obesity agents with a potential for the treatment of non insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) or Type-II diabetes. ${ }^{3}$ On the other hand, the simultaneous activation of $\beta_{1}$ - or $\beta_{2}$-ARs would lead to undesirable side effects such as increased heart rate and/or muscle tremors. Therefore, $\beta_{3}-A R$ selectivity over $\beta_{1}-A R$ and $\beta_{2}-A R$ has been required for development of novel therapeutic agents. ${ }^{4}$

In the past decades, the drug discovery efforts have shifted towards the design of selective $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists. Furthermore, a number of potent and selective human $\beta_{3}$-AR chemotypes (Fig. 1) have been reported by several groups, but unfortunately, these are still not sufficient in terms of the pharmacokinetic properties. ${ }^{5}$

[^0]These reported $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists suffer from lack of selectivity, tissue specificity, full agonistic activity and short plasma half life as well as drug toxicity. ${ }^{15,16}$ In view of above and in order to meet the need of a potent and efficient drug for obesity, NIDDM and related disorders, attempts have been made to rationalize the struc-ture-activity relationship (SAR) in terms of identification of the essential structural features (pharmacophore) for $\beta_{3}-A R$ agonistic activity. ${ }^{17}$ In this study, the role of the secondary amino ( NH ) group in the side chain has been shown to be essential while the role of hydroxyl group has been shown to be of lesser importance for $\beta_{3}-A R$ agonistic activity. In order to validate these observations and to increase our understanding about the important interactions of the ligand with $\beta_{3}-\mathrm{AR}$, the molecules with $\mathrm{OH}(9-38)$, without OH (40-45), with tertiary amines (17-21, 23-38, 45, 47-52) and those where NH and OH are part of the ring (morpholine) (61-63) have been synthesized and evaluated for their $\beta_{3}$-agonistic activity. These studies along with the development of a putative pharmacophoric model distinguishing well the active and inactive molecules are reported in this manuscript.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

The synthetic strategy adopted for the synthesis of the title compounds has been outlined in Scheme 1 where $p$-anisidine (1)
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Figure 1. Structures of some $\beta_{3}$-AR agonists. ${ }^{5 a, 6-14}$
on reaction with glycerol (2) and conc. sulpuric acid yielded 6methoxyquinoline (3) (Skraup synthesis). ${ }^{18,19}$ Skraup synthesis was carried out with variation in reaction temperature ranging from 120 to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The most efficient output was achieved when the reaction was carried out at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The hydrogenation of 6 methoxyquinoline by $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$ was not successful, and resulted in either the recovery of starting material or a com-
plex mixture of 5-6 products. Ultimately the reduction of 6-methoxyquinoline by $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Al}$ alloy in ethanol gave desired product 6-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (4). ${ }^{20}$ The N -benzylation of compound 4 using benzyl chloride in the presence of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (or $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ) and NaI (or KI) afforded 1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6methoxyquinoline (5). The demethylation of compound 5 with $47 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ in water gave 1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-hydroxy-






Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 9-38 and 40-45. Reagents and conditions: (i) Concd $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, iodine, $140-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16-18 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) nickel-aluminium alloy, ethanol, $10 \%$ NaOH in water (w/v), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-3 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) benzyl chloride, dry DMF, baked $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (or $\mathrm{NaCO}_{3}$ ), NaI (or KI ), $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $47 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ in water, reflux, 5 h ; (v) epichlorohydrin, NaOH in water, ethanol, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) epichlorohydrin, NaOH in water, tetrabutylammonium iodide, $0-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) epichlorohydrin, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{NaI},-10$ to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (viii) ethanol, rt, various amines; (ix) 1-bromo-3-chloropropane, NaH , dry THF, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (x) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, NaI, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (un) substituted amines.
quinoline (6). The compound $\mathbf{6}$ on condensation with epichlorohydrin in the presence of base like NaOH or NaH , in THF at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 1-benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8) along with 7 (a side product). This side product showed almost similar signals in PMR spectra but had molecular ion peak ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) at 534 in mass spectrum. A careful analysis of its PMR indicated that signal for $\mathrm{OCHCH}_{2}$ appeared at 4.13-4.28 in 7 as compared to its appearance at 4.05-4.12 in $\mathbf{8}$ as broad signal (bs) and based on its mass and PMR spectra the structure of the side product confirmed as 7, where it is formed by the condensation of two molecules of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-hydroxyquinoline (6) with one molecule of epichlorohydrin. In order to improve the yield of the desired compound $\mathbf{8}$, this reaction was tried at room temperature whereby the yield of the desired product (8) was increased to $51 \%$ from $34 \%$ without accounting the recovery of the unreacted $\mathbf{6}$ in the latter case. The yield of $\mathbf{8}$ was further improved to $73 \%$ using NaH (at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) instead of NaOH as a base (at room temperature).

The substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline $\mathbf{8}$ on reaction with (un)substituted amines, piperidines, piperazines yielded the corresponding substituted 3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ols ( $\mathbf{9}-\mathbf{3 8}$ ) as the title compounds. The compound $\mathbf{6}$ was also subjected to react with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane to afford 1-benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39). The compound 39 on condensation with various amines, piperidines, piperazines afforded the corresponding substituted 1-ben-zyl-6-[3-propoxy]-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines (40-45) as the title compounds (Scheme 1).

In order to investigate the importance of the side chain free NH function and the benzyl group at position 1 of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline, the compound 11, which was found to be the most active compound in the series, was targeted for further modification (Scheme 2).

The compound 11 was debenzylated to yield the corresponding debenzylated derivative, 46. The compound 46 was selectively mono $N$-protected with Boc group to give compound 47. The compound 47 was alternatively obtained by $N$-Boc protection of compound 11 followed by debenzylation of compound 48 thus obtained. The latter approach was found to be better than the former one in terms of the yield value. The reaction of compound 47
with various sulfonyl chlorides yielded the corresponding Boc protected quinolinyl sulfonyl derivatives (49-52). These compounds were then Boc-deprotected to afford the desired quinolinyl derivatives (53-56) (Scheme 2).

### 2.2. Synthesis of substituted 4-(1-methyl-2-phenylethyl)-2phenylmorpholines

The synthetic strategy for the titled compounds which may be considered as semi-rigid analogues of arylethanolamine where the rigidity has been introduced in the side chain between hydroxyl and amino function as outlined in Scheme 3 and has essentially similar steps as represented by Bettoni et al. ${ }^{21}$

The synthesis of the 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-one (59) was done using procedure reported by Koremura et al. ${ }^{22}$ The reaction of 4-hydroxy-benzaldehyde (57) with nitroethane led to 4-(2Nitropropenyl)phenol (58) which on treatment with iron powder in the presence of ferric chloride and HCl afforded 1-(4-hydroxy-phenyl)propan-2-one (59). The compound 59 was then used for reductive alkylation of $\mathbf{6 0}$ which afforded 4-[2-(2-phenylmorpho-lin-4-yl)propyl]phenol ( $\mathbf{6 1 )}$. The compound $\mathbf{6 1}$ was esterified with ethylbromoacetate to afford \{4-[2-(2-phenyl-morpholin-4-yl)-propyl]phenoxy \}acetic acid ethyl ester ( $\mathbf{6 2}$ ) which on hydrolysis afforded the desired compound \{4-[2-(2-phenyl-morpholin-4-yl)propyl]phenoxy\}acetic acid (63).

### 2.3. Structure-activity relationship (SAR)

The aryloxypropanolamine analogues 9-38 were evaluated for their $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonistic activity at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations (Table 1).

Among these, the compound $11\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.55 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ with $72.79 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $90.64 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration showed highest $\beta_{3}-A R$ agonistic activity. It was followed by the compound 15 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.59 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), which had isopropyl group in side chain in place of the 3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl group, showed $59.69 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $83.17 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations. The compound 22, with only one methoxy group on phenyl ring in side chain instead of two methoxy groups present in compound 11, also showed good activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.18 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with



49, 53, Ar = phenyl
50, 54, Ar =4-fluorophenyl
51, 55, Ar $=2$-naphthalene
52, 56, $\mathrm{Ar}=2,4,6$-triisopropylphenyl

Scheme 2. Modifications and synthesis of the compounds 46-56. Reagents and conditions: (i) $5 \%$ or $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ at 50 psi, ethanol at rt, 5 h ; (ii) ditertiarybutyloxydicarbonate, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in water, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 12-16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) aryl sulfonyl chloride, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry acetone, $\mathrm{rt}, 12-16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $40 \%$ TFA in dichloromethane, -10 to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$.
$56.22 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $76.50 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations. The replacement of isopropyl group in the compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ by propyl group resulted in compound 14 with decrease in activity ( $66.27 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The replacement of 3,4dimethoxyphenethyl group in compound 11 by 4 -chlorophenethyl group resulted in the compound 12 with decrease in activity ( $55.79 \%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $63.08 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). These results indicate that steric factor at side chain NH functionality is not so important rather electronic effects which seem to be important for the $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity, where the electron donating groups substituted on the phenyl ring play favorable role for enhancement of activity.

In order to examine the effect of free OH group in the side chain (at the position 6 of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline nucleus) on $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity, we synthesized compounds 40-45. As expected, it was found that the deletion of OH group from side chain of 11, 12, 14 and 27 led to the corresponding compounds 40, 41, 44 and 45 , respectively, with considerable decrease in activity (Table 1 ) suggesting the favorable role of the side chain free OH (hydroxyl) group towards $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity.

Further, in order to examine the importance of the free NH function in the side chain and $N$-benzyl group at position 1 of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline nucleus, the most active compound 11 was further targeted for modification as shown in Scheme 2.

The N-protection with Boc group at the side chain in compound 11 led to the compound 48 with considerable decrease in activity ( $46.66 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration). Therefore, it may be
inferred from the above studies that the amino function in the side chain must be free (secondary) for better agonistic activity. In order to strengthen this observation that the free NH and OH groups in the side chain are necessary for $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity, we also synthesized rigid analogues of reported arylethanolamines 61, 62 and 63 (Scheme 3 ) ${ }^{10,23-25}$ where both secondary amino (NH) and hydroxyl ( OH ) function in the side chain have been changed to tertiary amine ( N ) and ether ( O ), respectively, by incorporating a morpholine nucleus. The synthesis of these molecules involved essentially the similar steps as reported by Bettoni et al. ${ }^{21}$

These compounds showed very poor activity, thus strengthening the view that the free OH and free NH groups are essential requirement for better $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity. The importance of free NH group was also substantiated by the fact that among the synthesized compounds with piperazine or piperidine moiety (for example, compounds 20, 23-38, 42, 45 instead of secondary amine), none of them showed good $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity. Therefore, it may be concluded that the NH function here is more prone to act as hydrogen bond donor (HBD) rather than as positively ionizable because of the fact that piperazine, piperidine and trisubstituted nitrogen (tertiary N ) may act as positively ionizable function whereas the secondary amino ( NH ) may act as both positively ionizable as well as HBD. Since, the compounds with tertiary N was found to be less active than the corresponding compounds with secondary NH function, it become evident that the essential secondary amino (NH) function may possibly be acting as HBD function rather than positively ionizable in the active site gorge of $\beta_{3}-$ AR.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of rigid analogues 61-63. Reagents and conditions: (i) Nitroethane, acetic acid in excess, ammonium acetate, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{FeCl}{ }_{3}, \mathrm{HCl}$, water, ethanol, iron powder, ethanol, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 5 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$, acetic acid, methanol, rt, $14-16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) ethylbromoacetate, acetone, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) NaOH , methanol, rt, $14-16 \mathrm{~h}$.

We also synthesized few compounds with an aim to establish the contribution of the $N$-benzyl group present in these 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline moiety towards their $\beta_{3}$-AR agonism. The debenzylation of the compound $\mathbf{4 8}$ led to $\mathbf{4 7}$ with decrease in activity ( $28.14 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Therefore, it appeared that the benzyl group at this position may have important contribution for the activity which was also substantiated by the fact that debenzylation of the most active compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ of the series afforded $\mathbf{4 6}$ ( $56.45 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with considerable decrease in $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity. It may be due to the fact that the phenyl residue of the benzyl group may be involved in $\pi-\pi$ interaction (hydrophobic) with hydrophobic residues like tryptophan ( Trp ), phenylalanine (Phe), leucine (Leu), isoleucine (Ile), tyrosine (Tyr), valine (Val), etc. in the lipophilic (hydrophobic) pocket of the $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor.

In order to further establish the importance of benzyl function, we synthesized four selected compounds 53-56. The compound 53 (with benzenesulfonyl group instead of benzyl group at 1,2,3,4-tetrahydrquinolinyl nitrogen; 38.92\% inhibition at $\left.10 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ was found to be much less active in comparison to the most active compound 11. Thus, in 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline nucleus, $N$-benzyl group is more favorable substituent over $N$-benzenesulfonyl group for $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity. Here this may be explained on the basis that the benzenesulfonyl group may render these compounds (53-56) to acquire unfavorable conformation in the active site which may not be favorable for the phenyl residue of the benzenesulfonyl group to reach or attain the position to show $\pi-\pi$ interaction (hydrophobic) with hydrophobic residues in the lipophilic (hydrophobic) pocket of the $\beta_{3}-A R$. In addition, the $-\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ group in the benzenesulfonyl group is a strong HBA with the ability to show two HBA interaction simultaneously may have strong interaction with the residues in the active site which may render the mol-
ecules to attain an unfavorable binding pose, overall shape etc in the active pocket of the $\beta_{3}-A R$.

Two compounds 15 and $\mathbf{2 2}$ were assayed for their selectivity towards $\beta_{3}$-AR over $\beta_{1}$ - and $\beta_{2}$-ARs. The compound 15 was found to be 1.31 -fold selective for $\beta_{3}$-AR over $\beta_{1}$-AR and 2.3 -fold selective for $\beta_{3}$-AR over $\beta_{1}$-AR. The compound 22 was found to be 1.2 -fold selective for $\beta_{3}$-AR over $\beta_{1}$-AR and 1.47 -fold selective for $\beta_{3}$-AR over $\beta_{1}$-AR. The selectivity data for these two compounds is illustrated in Table 2.

An in brief SAR of few selected compounds, covering all modulations exercised in the present study, has been illustrated diagrammatically (Fig. 2).

The effects of changes at different positions like presence or absence of free OH and/or free NH substituents at N in the side chain and the effects of the substitution with benzenesulfonyl in place of benzyl and also the effects of unsubstituted N (NH) of the 1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoline on the $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonistic activity have been illustrated.

### 2.4. Putative $\beta_{3}$-AR pharmacophore model

In order to correlate the $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity to the structures and to gain better insight and understanding of the possible shape and size of the $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor active site, four active molecules viz. 11, 15, 22, 23 were modeled using HipHop algorithm in Catalyst molecular modeling software.

All molecules were minimized to their closest local minimum using the CHARMm force field. The diverse conformations for these molecules were generated using the option best conformation generation method with $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ energy cutoff beyond the calculated potential energy minimum and 250 as maximum number of the possible conformers. All other parameters used were kept at their default settings.

Table 1
Chemical structures and $\beta_{\mathbf{3}}$-AR agonistic activity of the title compounds ( $\mathbf{9}-\mathbf{3 8}, \mathbf{4 0}$ 56 and 61-63)
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Table 1 (continued)

| Compound |  | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { inhibition }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & \left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 46 |  | 33.08 | 56.45 |
| 47 |  | 23.17 | 28.14 |
| 48 |   | 37.75 | 46.66 |
| 49 |  | nd | nd |
| 50 |   | nd | nd |
| 51 |  | nd | nd |
| 52 |  | nd | nd |
| 53 |   | 26.53 | 38.92 |
| 54 |   | nd | nd |
| 55 |   | nd | nd |
| 56 |  | nd | nd |

Table 1 (continued)
63
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity was measured as the inhibition of specific binding of [ ${ }^{125}$ I]iodocynopindolol to human neuroblastoma (SK-N-MC) and CHO cells overexpressing $\beta_{3}$-ARs.

Table 2
Selectivity data ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ) of the two compounds $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$ for $\beta_{3}$-AR over $\beta_{1}$ - and $\beta_{2}$-ARs

| Compound | $\beta_{3}-\mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ | $\beta_{2}-\mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ | $\beta_{1}-\mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.883 | 1.156 | 2.035 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 4.880 | 5.895 | 7.282 |

The best putative pharmacophore (Hypo-01), with the highest rank value of 67.261, based on four active candidates (11, 15, 22, and 23), comprised of the following six features (Fig. 3A): (i) a hydrogen bond donor (HBD) features mapped for free NH function in the side chain, (ii) two hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) features, one mapped for m-methoxy oxygen of the 3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl group of the most active compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ and other mapped for free hydroxyl group in the side chain, (iii) one ring aromatic (RA) feature mapped for phenyl residue of the benzyl group, (iv) one hydrophobic aliphatic (HpAl) feature mapped for alicyclic ring of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline and (v) one hydrophobic aromatic ( HpAr ) feature mapped for aromatic ring of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline core. The fit values, relative energies and actual $\beta_{3}-A R$ agonistic activity of the active candidates (11, 15, 22, and 23) with the best putative pharmacophore (Hypo-01) have been provided in the Supplementary information.

The possible bioactive conformation and shape of the most active molecule 11 is shown in Figure 3A which mapped well to the modeled putative pharmacophore. The overall shape (electrostatic surface) of the bioactive conformation of compound 11 (Fig. 3B) provides a clue for the possible shape and size of the binding site in the $\beta_{3}$-AR where the active candidates fit well for the $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity.

This putative model maps well the four most active compounds (11, 15, 22 and 23) as shown in Figure 4A whereas the mapping of inactive compounds ( $\mathbf{3 2}, \mathbf{3 4}$ and $\mathbf{3 6}$ ) is shown in Figure 4B. These inactive compounds miss to map three features viz. two HBA and one HBD of the best hypothesis (Hypo-01).

## 3. Conclusion

We have described here the design, synthesis and in vitro biological evaluation of substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6yloxypropanes incorporating essential pharmacophoric require-
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\% Inhibition (\% Inh.) = Inhibition of specific binding of [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ iodocynopindolol to human neuroblastoma
(SK-N-MC) and CHO cells over-expressing $b_{3}$-AR at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 2. In brief structure-activity relationship (SAR).
ments as a novel class of $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonists. Four candidates viz. compound $11\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.55 \mu \mathrm{M}\right), 15\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.59 \mu \mathrm{M}\right), 22$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.18 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and $23\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.76 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ have shown good $\beta_{3}$ adrenergic receptor agonistic activity. SAR analysis of structure and in vitro activity data have shown that: (i) the benzyl group as a substituent at position 1 of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline is favorable for activity and (ii) the free NH and OH group present in the side chain at position 6 of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline are essential for $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor agonistic activity. The putative pharmacophore model based on the four active molecules 11, 15, 22 and $\mathbf{2 3}$ substantiates the above observations and provides an insight into the essential structural requirements. The putative pharmacophore model provides important clue about the possible
shape and size of the $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor active site as well as the possible bioactive conformation of the active molecules. It also distinguishes well among the active and inactive candidates where the active candidates map all the features, that is, two HBA , one HBD, one hydrophobic aromatic (HpAr), one hydrophobic aliphatic ( HpAl ), and one ring aromatic (RA) features, whereas the inactive molecules miss to map three features viz. two HBA and one HBD of the best putative pharmacophore. In addition, the putative pharmacophore gives an insight about the nature of the free NH function in the side chain where it appears to be more prone to act as HBD rather than as positively ionizable group while the free OH function in the side chain appears to be more prone to act as HBA rather than as HBD group.


Figure 3. (A) Putative $\beta_{3}$-adrenergic receptor pharmacophore (Hypo-01) with mapped compound 11; (B) overall shape with electrostatic surface of the most active compound 11 [HBA = (green color); HBD (pink color); HpAr (light blue); HpAl (dark blue); RA (brown color)].


Figure 4. (A) Mapping of the most active compounds 11, 15, 22 and 23; (B) mapping of inactive compounds $\mathbf{3 2 , 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{3 6}$ [HBA = (green color); HBD (pink color); HpAr (light blue); HpAl (dark blue); RA (brown color)].

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. 6-Methoxy quinoline (3)

A mixture of $p$-anisidine ( $\mathbf{1} ; 10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), glycerol ( $\mathbf{2} ; 35 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.48 \mathrm{~mol})$, concd sulfuric acid ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.37 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and iodine ( 4.0 g , 0.016 mol ) was refluxed at $120-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . After completion of the reaction, followed by thin layer chromatography (TLC), sufficient amount of water was added to the reaction mixture and then basified with $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution followed by extraction with ethyl acetate $(10 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Finally concentrated under vacuum to give crude 6 -methoxyquinoline (3) which was purified by vacuum distillation at $125 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ or alternatively through column chromatography on 60-120 mesh silica gel using chloroform as an eluent to give 3 as reddish brown viscous oil. Yield: 5.5 g (42.5\%); bp: $125-127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 3.93$ (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.07 (d, J=2.7 Hz, 1H) 7.27-7.40 9 (m, 2H, Ar), 8.12 (t, 2 H , Ar), 8.76 (m, 1H, Ar); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 810,1015,1100,1115$, $1225,1320,1342,1372,1430,1460,1495,1575,1592,1620$, 2832, 2960, 3010. EIMS: m/z 159 (M ${ }^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}$, 75.47; H, 5.66; N, 8.80\%. Found: C, 75.61; H, 5.42; N, 8.62\%.

### 4.2. 6-Methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (4)

Nickel-aluminium alloy ( 42 g ) was added portion wise during 1 h to a continuously stirred mixture of 6-methoxyquinoline (3;
$25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.157 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and potassium hydroxide (or sodium hydroxide) solution ( 750 mL ; $10 \%$ in water, $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) in ethanol ( 350 mL ) at a $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred further for an additional 1 h after complete addition of $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Al}$ alloy. After the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered and the ethanol was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined ethyl acetate fractions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to give crude product of $\mathbf{4}$ which was purified by vacuum distillation or alternatively by column chromatography on silica gel using chloroform/hexane ( $60: 40$ ) as eluent to give 4 as oil. Yield: 15 g , (58.5\%); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.83-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.18 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=5.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.38$ (d, $J=8.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 494,669$, 760, 926, 1040, 1094, 1155, 1217, 1258, 1442, 1467, 1506, 2364, 2402, 2847, 2957, 3020, 3422; EIMS: m/z $163\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 73.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.03$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.58 \%$. Found: C, 73.75 ; H, 8.24; N, 8.72\%.

### 4.3. 1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-methoxyquinoline (5)

A mixture of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-methoxyquinoline (4; 16.3 g , 0.1 mol ), benzyl chloride ( $11.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.22 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), baked $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{NaI}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMF was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water ( 50 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ethyl acetate fractions were
concentrated under vacuum. The crude so obtained was crystallized with ether or alternatively chromatographed on silica gel (60-120 mesh) using chloroform/hexane (50:50) as eluent to give 5 as white solid. Yield: 20.9 g ( $82.5 \%$ ); mp: $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.97-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.61$ (m, 2H), 7.23-7.37 (m, 5H); FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 548,669,759,929,976,1059,1121,1157,1216$, 1268, 1298, 1352, 1431, 1452, 1508, 1602, 1672, 1723, 1953, 2402, 2837, 2939, 3017, 3408, 3679; EIMS: m/z 253 (M) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 80.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.56$; N, $5.53 \%$. Found: C, 80.72 ; H, 7.77; N, 5.69\%.

### 4.4. 1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-ol (6)

A mixture of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-methoxyquinoline (5; 2.53 g , $0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $47 \% \mathrm{HBr}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered. The residue was stirred with triethylamine ( 1.01 mL ) in dry ether ( 10 mL ) for 3 h at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to give crude $\mathbf{6}$ which was column chromatographed using 60-120 mesh silica gel as adsorbent and methanol/chloroform (2:98) as eluent to give pure 6 as solid. Yield: 1.12 g (46.8\%); mp: 106-108 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.99$ (bs, 2H), 2.77-2.87 (bs, 2H), 3.29-3.41 (bs, 2H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 6.43$6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 458,340,561$, 600, 629, 695, 728, 795, 853, 909, 937, 979, 1027, 1054, 1073, 1160, 1197, 1241, 1350, 1451, 1510, 1597, 1810, 2342, 2373, 2836, 2949, 3027, 3275, 3754, 3856; EIMS m/z: 239 (M) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 80.30$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.16$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.85 \%$. Found: C, 80.65 ; H, 7.28; N, 5.96\%.

### 4.5. 1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8) and 1,3-bis-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy) propan-2-ol (7)

1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-ol (6, $0.478 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred further for 15 min at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Epichlorohydrin ( $0.170 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the stirring reaction mixture at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then the reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (or alternatively stirred at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 3 h . The ethanol was removed under vacuum and the residue was extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The chloroform fractions were combined and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The combined fractions of chloroform were concentrated under vacuum and the crude concentrate was then chromatographed on silica gel using chloroform/hexane (50:50) as eluent to give $\mathbf{8}$ as oil and chloroform/hexane ( $75: 25$ ) as eluent to give 7 as oil.

Yield of 8: ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 33.9 \%$ ) (at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $0.3 \mathrm{~g}(50.8 \%)$ (at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
Yield of 7: $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(27.2 \%)$ (at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) while $\sim 0.02 \mathrm{~g},(7.14 \%)$ (at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
(Product 8): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.05-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-$ $6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 561,636$, 753, 799, 855, 910, 976, 1034, 1112, 1162, 1203, 1269, 1348, 1447, 1506, 1600, 1714, 1956, 2370, 2852, 2929, 3024, 3402, 3761; FAB-MS: $m / z 295(M)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}$, 77.26; H, 7.17; N, 4.74\%. Found: C, 77.38; H, 7.28; N, 4.66\%.
(Product 7): Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.93-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.26$ (bs, 1H), 4.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.42 (d, J = $8.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.56-6.62 (m, 4H), 7.19-7.35 (m, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 762,879,1058,1121,1161,1210,1347,1443$,

1506, 1605, 1750, 1954, 2339, 2376, 2930, 3016, 3404, 3654, 3687, 3762; FAB-MS: m/z $534(\mathrm{M})^{+}$.
4.6. General procedure 1 for the synthesis of substituted 1-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)aminopropan-2-ol (9-38)

A mixture of 1-benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline ( $\mathbf{8} ; 0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and appropriate amine/piperidine/ piperazine ( 1 mmol ) in ethanol ( 10 mL ) was stirred at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The ethanol was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was washed with cold ether ( 5 mL ) followed by acetone ( 1 mL ) to give the products $9-38$, which were finally crystallized with ether-acetone mixture in case of solids.

### 4.6.1. 1-Benzylamino-3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ol (9)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with benzylamine ( 0.11 mL , 1 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 9 as solid. Yield: $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(74.6 \%)$; mp : $109-111{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 200 MHz ): $\delta 1.93-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-4.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 486,562,596,696.4,788,828,884,914$, 969, 1037, 1068, 1152, 1200,1238, 1270, 1294, 1341, 1453, 1509, 1633, 1743, 1802, 2371, 2928, 3028, 3271, 3426, 3754, 3824, 3909; FAB-MS: $m / z 403(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.58; H, 7.51; N, 6.96\%. Found: C, 77.76; H, 7.85; N, 6.45\%.

### 4.6.2. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(4-methoxybenzylamino)-propan-2-ol (10)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.590 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-methoxybenzylamine ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 10 as solid. Yield: $0.60 \mathrm{~g}(69.4 \%)$; mp: $111-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.88-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (bs, 2H), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (d, $J=3.14,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 6.55-6.61 (m, 2H), 6.84-6.88 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.26 (m, 7H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 520,568,699,736,806,890,972,1034,1067,1117$, 1201, 1249, 1342, 1458, 1512, 1616, 2247, 2930, 3449, 3751; FAB-MS: $m / z 433(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 74.97$; H , 7.46; N, 6.48\%. Found: C, 74.82; H, 7.32; N, 6.31\%.

### 4.6.3. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl) ethylamino]propan-2-ol (11)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 3,4-dimethoxyphenylethylamine ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 11 as solid. Yield: 0.60 g ( $63.0 \%$ ); mp: $75-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.93-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-$ $6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 634,753$, 802, 856, 1029, 1052, 1203, 1242, 1349, 1455, 1510, 1600, 1735, 2062, 2276, 2375, 2933, 3408, 3757, 3906; FAB-MS: m/z 477 $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 73.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.61$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.88 \%$. Found: C, 73.24; H, 7.52; N, 5.95\%.

### 4.6.4. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethyl-amino]-propan-2-ol (12)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-chlorophenylethyl amine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 12 as solid. Yield: 0.32 g ( $71.1 \%$ ); mp: $89-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.95-2.04$ (m, 2H), 2.74-2.80 (m, 8H), $3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 520,754,806,972,1088,1159,1202,1241,1267$, 1295, 1352, 1452, 1506, 1655, 2372, 2853, 2927, 3029, 3406, 3754; FAB-MS: $450(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 71.90; H, 6.93; N, 6.21\%. Found: C, 72.19; H, 7.12; N, 6.57\%.

### 4.6.5. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(2-p-tolylethylamino)-propan-2-ol (13)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 2-p-tolylethylamine ( 0.135 g , 1 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 13 as oil. Yield: $0.32 \mathrm{~g}(71.1 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $1.96-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 6.40 (d, $J=8.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.51-6.59 (m, 2H), 7.09 (bs, 5H), 7.25-7.35 (m, 4H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 497,556,668,764,881$, 973, 1057, 1120, 1216, 1268, 1355, 1454, 1509, 1657, 2342, 2366, 2403, 2859, 2929, 3017, 3406, 3677, 3754, 4213; FAB-MS: $m / z 430(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 78.10; H, 7.96; N , $6.51 \%$. Found: C, 78.35 ; H, 7.83; N, 6.32\%.

### 4.6.6. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-propylaminopropan-2-ol (14)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with propylamine ( $0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 14 as solid. Yield: $0.11 \mathrm{~g}(31.1 \%), \mathrm{mp}: 80-83^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 0.90-0.98 (m, 3H), 1.56-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.96-2.00 (m, 2H), 2.74$3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.20$ (m, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 6.40 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47-6.83$ (m, 2H), 7.26 (bs, 5H); FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 668,762,976,1060,1158,1218$, 1268, 1353, 1456, 1508, 1621, 2340, 2364, 2337, 3012, 3399; FAB-MS: $m / z 354(M)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.54$; H , 8.53; N, 7.90\%. Found: C, 74.32; H, 8.67; N, 7.94\%.
4.6.7. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-isopropylaminopropan-2-ol (15)

1-benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with isopropylamine ( 0.026 g , 1 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 15 as oil. Yield: $0.13 \mathrm{~g}(42.3 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.20-$ 1.33 (m, 6H), 1.96-2.00 (m, 2H), 2.76 (t, J=5.87 Hz., 2H), 2.863.09 (m, 2H), 3.28 (t, $J=4.96 \mathrm{~Hz} ., 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.80-3.94$ (m, 2H), 4.18 (bs, 1H), 4.4 (s, 2H), 4.73 (bs, 1H), 6.40 (d, $J=8.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.53-$ 6.60 (m, 2H), 7.27 (bs, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 668,766,1060$, 1217, 1351,1454, 1508, 1639, 2341, 2367, 2932, 3015, 3436, 3754, 3806, 3906; FAB-MS: m/z $354(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 74.54 ; $\mathrm{H}, 8.53$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.90 \%$. Found: C, 74.78 ; H, 8.76; N, 8.05\%.

### 4.6.8. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(1-phenylethylamino)-propan-2-ol (16)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was coupled with 1-phenylethylamine ( $0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 16 as oil. Yield: 0.15 g ( $36.0 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-$ $2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, J=5.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.33 (s, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 6.32 (d, J=8.68 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, $J=12.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23 (bs, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 667,699,760$, 847, 974, 1061, 1115, 1158, 1217, 1240, 1268, 1296, 1353, 1453, 1508, 1646, 2363, 2931, 3011, 3419; FAB-MS: m/z 416 ( ${ }^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.85; H, 7.74; $\mathrm{N}, 6.73 \%$. Found: C, 77.62; H, 7.95; N, 7.02\%.
4.6.9. 1-(Benzylmethylamino)-3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ol (17)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with benzylmethylamine ( 0.12 g , 1 mmol ) using general procedure 1 ; oil; Yield: $0.15 \mathrm{~g}(37.3 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-$ 2.62 (m, 2H), 2.78 (t, $J=6.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.87 (d, $J=5.06 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 6.42 (d, $J=8.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 626,700,740,798,869,975,1027$, 1157, 1201, 1241, 1268, 1350, 1451, 1506, 1601, 1661, 1812, 1954, 2372, 1843, 2929, 3028, 3427, 3778; FAB-MS: $416\left({ }^{(M)}\right)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.85; H, 7.74; $\mathrm{N}, 7.68 \%$. Found: C, 77.35; H, 8.07; N, 7.89\%.

### 4.6.10. 1-(4-Benzylpiperazin-1-yl)-3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-propan-2-ol (18)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 1-benzylpiperazine ( 0.13 g , 0.67 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 18 as oil. Yield: $0.18 \mathrm{~g}(56.3 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.26-$ $2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.56 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 (d, $J=4.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.00-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.30 (m, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 467,607,700,742,799$, 828, 877, 940, 1010, 1059, 1155, 1202, 1241, 1269, 2347, 1452, 1506, 1601, 1661, 1746, 1811, 1952, 2340, 2373, 2815, 2932, 3028, 3404, 3780; FAB-MS: $472(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.40; H, 7.91; N, 8.91\%. Found: C, 76.77; H, 7.83; N, 8.73\%.
4.6.11. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-dibutylaminopropan-2-ol (19)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with dibutylamine ( 0.09 g , 0.67 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 19 as oil. Yield: $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(59.1 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.87-$ $0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-$ 7.30 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 667,698,757,867,940,1060$, 1162, 1203, 1240, 1268, 1295, 1351, 1455, 1508, 1603, 2370, 2866, 2932, 3010, 3406, 3657, 3782; FAB-MS: m/z $424(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.37; H, 9.50 ; $\mathrm{N}, 6.60 \%$. Found: C, 76.56; H, 9.68; N, 6.35\%.

### 4.6.12. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (20)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was coupled with 1-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 20 as oil. Yield: $0.18 \mathrm{~g}(52.8 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.97-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-7.82(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), $3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=5.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.56-6.63 (m, 2H), 6.80-6.84 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.35 (m, 5H), 8.108.19 (m, 2H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 501,538,668,694,746,797$, 828, 921, 1000, 1061, 1110, 1164, 1199, 1242, 1265, 1324, 1452, 1493, 1597, 2341, 2367, 2832, 2940, 3431, 3753, 3823; FAB-MS: $m / z 503(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 69.30; H, 6.82; N , $11.15 \%$. Found: C, 69.62 ; H, 6.96; N, 10.98\%.

### 4.6.13. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-diethylaminopropan-2-ol (21)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with diethylamine $(0.05 \mathrm{~g}$,
0.67 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 21 as oil. Yield: $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(58.3 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.01-$ $1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.43 (d, $J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.34$ (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 667,759,866,908,939,977,1060,1170$, 1204, 1240, 1268, 1294, 1348, 1453, 1508, 1655, 1802, 2144, 2342, 2373, 2841, 2931, 2970, 3012, 3429, 3754,3906; FAB-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 368(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.96 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.75$; N , $7.60 \%$. Found: C, 74.58 ; H, 8.89; N, 7.59\%.

### 4.6.14. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethylamino]propan-2-ol (22)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.295 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethylamine ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 22 as oil. Yield: 0.21 g (39.4\%); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.88-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34$ (d, J=8.68 Hz, 1H), 6.45-6.52 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.23 (m, 5H), 6.75 (d, $J=8.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.04 (d, $J=8.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 526$, $565,759,936,1036,1120,1178,1217,1245,1298,1351,1455$, 1510, 1612, 1878, 2403, 2840, 2933, 3014, 3410, 3754; FAB-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 446(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 75.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.67 ; \mathrm{N}$, $6.27 \%$. Found: C, 75.55 ; H, 7.85; N, 6.19\%.

### 4.6.15. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)pipera-zin-1-yl] propan-2-ol (23)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 23 as oil. Yield: 0.10 g ( $77.6 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.76-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.90-3.92 (m, 2H), 4.10-4.25 (m, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 6.43 (d, $J=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-$ 7.31 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 531,734,801,875,921,1000$, 1062, 1148, 1203, 1236, 1269, 1302, 1350, 1441, 1510, 1595, 2372, 2827, 2930, 3420, 3759; FAB-MS: m/z $476(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z 475.2618$.
4.6.16. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (24)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-(2-methoxyphenyl)piperazine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 24 as oil. Yield: $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(42.7 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.11$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.58-6.66 (m, 2H), 6.88-6.96 (m, 4H), 7.26-7.31 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 525,609,724,738,822,876,925,1033,1074$, 1146, 1198, 1243, 1350, 1384, 1447, 1511, 1597, 2367, 2822, 2939, 3433, 3780; FAB-MS: m/z $487(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.89; H, 7.65; N, 8.62\%. Found: C, 74.03; H, 7.78; N, 8.73\%.

### 4.6.17. 1-[3-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl]-4-phen-ylpiperidin-4-ol (25)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-hydroxy-4-phenylpiperidine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 25 as oil. Yield: $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(44.1 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 200 MHz ): $\delta 1.93-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (t, $J=5.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ (d, $J=4.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
7.26-7.53 (m, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 542,700,761,840,881$, 992, 1044, 1104, 1199, 1241, 1351, 1384, 1450, 1507, 1506, 2363, 2826, 2929, 3404; FAB-MS: m/z $472(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 76.24; H, 7.68; N, 5.93\%. Found: C, 76.20; H, 8.02\%.

### 4.6.18. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(4-

 phenylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-2-ol (26)1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4 -phenylpiperazine ( 0.05 g , 0.34 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 26 as solid. Yield: $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(64.6 \%)$; mp: $115-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.93-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.82(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 3.19-3.32 (m, 6H), 3.90-3.92 (m, 2H), 4.09-4.22 (m, 1H), 4.41 (s, 1H), 6.43 (d, $J=8.63 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-$ $6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 694,757,872$, 931, 1054, 1150, 1236, 1342, 1452, 1504, 1598, 1656, 2365, 2824, 2928, 3029, 3400, 3626, 3684, 3753, 3865; FAB-MS: m/z $458(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.12; H, 7.71; N , 9.18\%. Found: C, 76.25; H, 7.85; N, 9.24\%.
4.6.19. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (27)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ was coupled with 1 -(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazine ( $0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 yielded the title comound 27 as cream colored solid. Yield: 0.10 g ( $60.6 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp}: 126-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.57-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.91 (d, $J=5.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.08-4.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.81-6.93 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 529,607$, 726, 875, 924, 1035, 1147, 1199, 1244, 1348, 1385, 1451, 1510, 1597, 2371, 2826, 2942, 3426, 3754, 3908; FAB-MS: m/z 487 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 73.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.65 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.62 \%$. Found: C, 73.65; H, 7.73; N, 8.52\%.

### 4.6.20. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-piperidin-1yl]propan-2-ol (28)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with piperidine $(0.023 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.27 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 28 as oil. Yield: $0.05 \mathrm{~g}(48.5 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.18-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-419$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.65$ (m, 2H), 7.15-7.28 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 469,769,1037$, 1120, 1157, 1219, 1350, 1448, 1508, 1638, 2146, 2374, 2934, 3433, 3755, 3907; FAB-MS: m/z $380(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.75 ; $\mathrm{H}, 8.48$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.36 \%$. Found: C, 75.67 ; H , 8.50; N, 7.28\%.
4.6.21. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(4-pyridin-2-yl-piperazin-1-yl)propan-2-ol (29)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 1-(pyridin-2-yl)piperazine $(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 29 as white solid.Yield: 0.06 g ( $48.3 \%$ ); mp: 98$101{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.90-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-$ $2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.93 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) , $6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 528$, 667, 761, 870, 942, 981, 1006, 1058, 1156, 1218, 1242, 1311, 1383, 1438, 1508, 1596, 2838, 2932, 3012, 3425, 3655, 3755; FAB-MS: m/z $458\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 73.33$; H , 7.47; N, 12.22\%. Found: N, 11.99\%.
4.6.22. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-(2-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (30)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 1-(2-fluorophenyl)piperazine ( $0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 30 as white solid. Yield: 0.05 g ( $38.8 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp}: 96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.57 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.91 (d, J = $4.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.07-4.20 (m, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 6.37 (d, $J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.61$ (m, 2H), 6.97-7.07 (m, 5H), 7.25$7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 460,545,611,644,742,792,875$, 925, 1001, 1076, 1145, 1202, 1237, 1297, 1348, 1384, 1450, 1505, 1605, 1664, 2826, 2927, 3030, 3069, 3424, 3760, 3836, 3930; FAB-MS: m/z $475(\mathrm{M})^{+}$; HRMS: 475.2628.

### 4.6.23. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-

 (3-chlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (31)1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 1-(3-chlorophenyl)piperazine ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 31 as white solid. Yield: 0.09 g ( $67.5 \%$ ); mp: 112$114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-$ $2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.31$ (m, 6H); FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 459,537,612,648,685,738,765,790$, 814, 846, 876, 907, 946, 997, 1080, 1149, 1202, 1239, 1302, 1352, 1385, 1453, 1507, 1595, 1810, 2365, 2825, 2924, 3030, 3418, 3754; FAB-MS: $m / z 492(M)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 70.79; H, 6.96; N, 8.54\%. Found: N, 8.39\%.

### 4.6.24. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-

 (3,4-dichlorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (32)1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)piperazine ( $0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 32 as white solid. Yield: 0.09 g (63.1\%); mp: 118$120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-$ $2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=4.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.99 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.96(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 7.24-7.31 (m, 6H); FAB-MS: m/z 526 (M) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 66.16 ; H, 6.32; N, $7.98 \%$. Found: C, 66.42; H, 6.55 ; N, 8.02\%.
4.6.25. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-2-ol (33)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-methylpiperazine ( $0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 33 as oil. Yield: 0.05 g ( $46.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 200 MHz ): $\delta 1.96-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.57 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, J=5.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.59(\mathrm{t}, J=4.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (d, $J=4.94 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 6.43 (d, 1H), 6.55-6.63 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.31 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 735,803,870,1013,1055,1160,1202$, 1242, 1290, 1351, 1454, 1507, 1658, 2145, 2340, 2374, 2810, 2934, 3422, 3679, 3756; FAB-MS: m/z $396(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 72.88 ; H, 8.41; N, 10.62\%. Found: C, 72.79; H, 8.32; N, 10.58\%.
4.6.26. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methylpiperazin-1-yl]propan-2-ol (34)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was coupled with 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-methylpiperazine ( $0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to af-
ford the title compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ as yellowish solid. Yield: 0.09 g (65.7\%); $\mathrm{mp}: 123-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.44 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H), 1.96-2.02 (m, 2H), 2.45-2.62 (m, 4H), 2.76-2.82 (m, 4H), $3.13-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 3.85-3.89 (m, 2H), 4.08-4.20 (m, 1H), $4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (d, $J=8.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26-7.31 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 735$, 869, 1025, 1068, 1154, 1199, 1352, 1442, 1506, 1595, 2365, 2827, 2934, 3428, 3753, 3860, 3968; FAB-MS: m/z $506\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 71.20; $\mathrm{H}, 7.17$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.30 \%$. Found: C, 71.02; H, 7.32; N, 8.47\%.

### 4.6.27. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(2-methyl-4-p-tolylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-2-ol (35)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 3-methyl-1-p-tolylpiperazine ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 35 as oil. Yield: 0.08 g ( $60.8 \%$ ); mp: $119-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 0.96$ (d, J=6.38 Hz, 3H), 1.90-1.94 (m, 2 H ), $2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-$ $4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 557,669,762,930,1048,1159,1216,1268,1649$, 1450, 1510, 1615, 2368, 2402, 2828, 2936, 3017, 3425, 3695, 3782; FAB-MS: $m / z 486(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.67; H, 8.09; N, 8.65\%. Found: C, 76.35; H, 7.89; N, 8.99\%.
4.6.28. 1-(4-(Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ol (36)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-(benzo[1,3]dioxol-5ylmethyl)piperazine ( $0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound $\mathbf{3 6}$ as oil. Yield: $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(71.6 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.92-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.62 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ (d, J=5.02 Hz, 2H), 3.92-4.08 (m, 1H), $4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.93 (s, 2H), $6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.66 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 738,806$, 868, 1039, 1155, 1202, 12444, 1341, 1443, 1503, 1580, 658, 1806, 1848, 2143, 2340, 2376, 2817, 2931, 3404, 3627, 3684, 3758, 3876, 3907; FAB-MS: m/z $516(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 72.21; H, 7.23; $\mathrm{N}, 8.15 \%$. Found: C, 71.72; H, 6.77; N, 8.32\%.

### 4.6.29. 1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-(4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)propan-2-ol (37)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4 -methylpiperidine ( 0.04 g , 0.27 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 37 as oil. Yield: $0.08 \mathrm{~g}(74.8 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 0.93$ (d, $J=5.96 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, ~ 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 636,698,735,798,876,976,1059$, 11230, 1157, 1202, 1241, 1268, 1332, 452, 1508, 1603, 2866, 2923, 3029, 3402, 3754; FAB-MS: m/z 394 (M ${ }^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.10; H, 8.69; N, 7.10\%. Found: C, 75.86; H, 8.99\%.

### 4.6.30. 1-(4-Benzylpiperidin-1-yl)-3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ol (38)

1-Benzyl-6-oxiranylmethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (8; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-benzylpiperidine ( 0.047 g , 0.27 mmol ) using general procedure 1 to afford the title compound 38 as oil. Yield: 0.08 g (62.8\%); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.26-$
1.30 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.96-2.01 (m, 6H), 2.47-2.55 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.33 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-$ $6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 591,700,742$, 796, 874, 974, 1059, 1155, 1059, 1155, 1202, 1241, 1269, 1347, 1450, 1506, 1602, 1951, 2340, 2375, 2849, 2924, 3027, 3397, 3757; FAB-MS: $m / z 478(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 79.11; H, 8.14; N, 5.95\%. Found: C, 79.25; H, 8.26; N, 6.02\%.

### 4.7. 1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39)

A solution of 1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-ol ( $\mathbf{6}$, $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF was added to a cold $\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) and continued the stirring for next 20 min . 1-Bromo-3-chloropropane $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added to the reaction mixture under stirring which was stirred for an additional $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The THF was evaporated; ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ) was added and washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum to get 39 as oil. Yield: $1.91 \mathrm{~g}(60.5 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.87-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.51 (d, $J=8.58,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21-7.30 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 636$, 759, 803, 853, 964, 1029, 1151, 1202, 1239, 1264, 1342, 1460, 1511, 1590, 1656, 2342, 2370, 2836, 2933, 3400; FAB-MS: m/z $315\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}$ : C, 72.25; H, 7.02; N, 4.43. Found: C, 72.18; H, 7.22; N, 4.59\%.

### 4.8. General procedure 2 for the synthesis of compounds (4045)

A mixture of 1-benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), appropriate amine/piperidine/ piperazine $(1.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.168 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NaI $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 5 mL ), extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ethyl acetate fractions were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on neutral alumina using methanol/chloroform (1:99) as eluent to give the desired product (40-45).

### 4.8.1. 3-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)- N -(3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)propan-1-amine (40)

1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was coupled with 2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamine ( $0.225 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 2 to afford the title compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ as oil. Yield: $0.12 \mathrm{~g}(41.2 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.87-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.30$ (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 636,759,803,853,964,1029,1151$, 1202, 1239, 1264, 1342, 1460, 1511, 1590, 1656, 2342, 2370, 2836, 2933, 3400; FAB-MS: $m / z 461(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 75.62 ; H, 7.88; N, 6.08. Found: C, 75.58 ; H, 7.78; N, 5.59\%.

### 4.8.2. 3-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-N-(4-chlorophenethyl)propan-1-amine (41)

1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was coupled with 2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethanamine ( $0.225 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 2 to afford the title compound 41 as oil. Yield: $0.13 \mathrm{~g}(47.2 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 200 MHz ): $\delta 1.89-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{t}, J=5.91 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.39-6.54$ (m, 3H), 7.02-7.28 (m, 9H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 459,527,632,731$,

806, 967, 1021, 1086, 1158, 1201, 1240, 1266, 1352, 1387, 1506, 1667, 1896, 2340, 2372, 2858, 2931, 3029, 3404; FAB-MS: $m / z$ $435(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 74.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.18 ; \mathrm{N}$, 6.44\%. Found: C, 74.68; H, 7.28; N, 6.53\%.

### 4.8.3. 1-Benzyl-6-\{3-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propoxy\}-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (42)

1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-fluorophenylpiperazine ( $0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 2 to afford the title compound 42 as oil. Yield: $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(68.7 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.95-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 523,734,820,1000$, 1062, 1155, 12000, 1240, 1293, 1350, 1388, 1441, 1511, 1593, 2272, 2341, 2375, 2833, 2952, 3433, 3756; FAB-MS: $460(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HRMS: 459.2698.
4.8.4. 1-Benzyl-6-(3-(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)propoxy)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoline (43)

1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4 -phenylpiperazine ( 0.05 g , 0.34 mmol ) using general procedure 2 to afford the title compound 43 as oil. Yield: $0.06 \mathrm{~g}(42.9 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.92-$ $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=6.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.23$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, J=6.29 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 10H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 522,642,691,735,799,859,922,998$, 1060, 1150, 1198, 1240, 1291, 1348, 1389, 1442, 1504, 1596, 1818, 2272, 2341, 2372, 2831, 2951, 3052, 3429, 3681; FAB-MS: 441(M) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 78.87$; H, 7.99; N, $9.52 \%$. Found: C, 78.72; H, 7.89; N, 9.43\%.

### 4.8.5. [3-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propyl]propylamine (44)

1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with propylamine $(0.02 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.34 mmol ) using general procedure 2 to afford the title compound 44 as oil. Yield: $0.09 \mathrm{~g}(42.9 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 1.92-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=5.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-$ $6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 522,642$, 691, 735, 799, 859, 922, 998, 1060, 1150, 1198, 1240, 1291, 1348, 1389, 1442, 1504, 1596, 1818, 2272, 2341, 2372, 2831, 2951, 3052, 3429, 3681, 3759, 3908; FAB-MS: m/z $339(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 78.06; H, 7.16; N, 8.93\%. Found: C, 78.23; H, 6.97\%; N, 8.88\%.

### 4.8.6. 1-Benzyl-6-\{3-[4-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazin-1-yl]propoxy $\}$-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (45)

1-Benzyl-6-(3-chloropropoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (39; $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was coupled with 1 -(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazine ( $0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 2 to afford the title compound 45 as white solid. Yield: $0.06 \mathrm{~g}(50.2 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ : $112-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.91-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.52-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=6.37 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.41 (s, 2H), $6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-$ $6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 523,696$, 731, 771, 817, 855, 882, 924, 1002, 1035, 1062, 1152, 1200, 1244, 1351, 1386, 1444, 1511, 1597, 1847, 2341, 2368, 2825, 2949, 3424, 3676, 3754; FAB-MS: m/z $472(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, $76.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.91$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.91 \%$. Found: C, 76.52 ; H , 8.14; N, 9.03\%.

### 4.9. General procedure $\mathbf{3}$ for the synthesis of compounds 46 and 47 (from 48)

A mixture of appropiate propan-2-ol ( $\mathbf{1 1}$ or $\mathbf{4 8}$ ) ( 10.5 mmol ) and $5 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in absolute ethanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was shaken in a par apparatus at $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 50 psi pressure of hydrogen for $5 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Pd}-$ C was then discarded through filtration. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue so obtained was chromatographed on silica gel using methanol/dichloromethane (5:95) as eluent to give the desired compounds.

### 4.9.1. 1-[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ol (46)

1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]propan-2-ol (11; $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was debenzylated using general procedure 3 to afford the title compound 46 as oil. Yield: $3.0 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 200 MHz ): $\delta 2.11-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 3.80 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.04-4.06 (m, 2H), 4.12-4.31 (m, 1H), 6.83-6.97 (m, 6H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 472,667,759,809$, 851, 883, 936, 1029, 1153, 1192, 1259, 1462, 1511, 1592, 2342, 2371, 2838, 2931, 3006, 3374, 3672, 3753; FAB-MS: m/z 487 $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 68.37 \mathrm{H}, 7.82$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.25 \%$. Found: C, 68.54; H, 7.68; N, 6.99\%.

### 4.10. General procedure $\mathbf{4}$ for the synthesis of compounds 47 (from 46) and 48

A solution of di-tert-butyloxydicarbonate ( 1.8 g ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added to a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of appropriate substituted pro-pan-2-ol ( $\mathbf{1 1}$ or $\mathbf{4 6} ; 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.53 \mathrm{~g}, 25.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) [in water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})]$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight during which temperature of the reaction mixture was allowed to rise to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The THF was evaporated completely under vacuum and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times$ 5 mL ) and then, the combined ethyl acetate fractions were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on neutral alumina using dichloromethane/hexane (9:1) as eluent to give the desired products.
4.10.1. [2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-[2-hydroxy-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (47)

1-[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-quinolin-6-yloxy)propan-2-ol (46; $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was protected with Boc group using general procedure 4 to afford the title compound 47 as oil. Yield: $2.0 \mathrm{~g}(52.9 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.15-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (bs, 8H), 3.98-4.03 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 556,667,759,808$, 853, 887, 938, 1031, 1160, 1236, 1258, 1366, 1418, 1466, 1511, 1592, 1683, 2341, 2360, 2838, 2933, 3385, 3655, 3753; FAB-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 487(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 66.64; $\mathrm{H}, 7.87$; N , 5.76\%. Found: C, 66.78; H, 7.78; N, 5.96\%.

Alternately, a mixture of [3-(1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquino-lin-6-yloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl][2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (48; $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was debenzylated using general procedure 3 to afford the title compound 47 as oil. Yield: 2.5 g (59.2\%).

### 4.10.2. [3-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl][2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (48)

1-(1-Benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-3-[2-(3,4-di-methoxyphenyl)ethylamino]propan-2-ol (11; $0.476 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was protected with Boc group using the general procedure 4 to
get the title compound 48 as oil. Yield: $0.5 \mathrm{~g}(86.8 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.81$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{bs}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 4.07 (bs, 1H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 6.42 (d, $J=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.81$ (m, 5H), 7.22-7.35 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 635,699,758,803$, 856, 887, 941, 974, 1031, 1161, 1201, 1240, 1264, 1364, 1418, 1461, 1511, 1592, 1688, 2372, 2931, 3429, 3754; FAB-MS: m/z $577(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 70.81; H, 7.69; N , 4.86\%. Found: N, 4.92\%.

### 4.11. General procedure 5 for the synthesis of compounds 4952

A mixture of [2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl][2-hydroxy-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)propyl]-carbamic acid tertbutyl ester ( $47 ; 0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), appropriate sulfonyl chloride, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry acetone ( 10 mL ) was stirred for $12-16 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was filtered, filtrate was concentrated under vacuum and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent to get compounds 49-52.
4.11.1. [3-(1-Benzenesulfonyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl][2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (49)
[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl][2-hydroxy-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droquinolin-6-yloxy)propyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (47; $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with benzenesulfonyl chloride $(0.072 \mathrm{~g})$, using general procedure 5 to give 49 as oil. Yield: $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(62.0 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.46-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90-2.05 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.37 (m, 2H), 2.79-2.84 (m, 2H), 3.34$3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{bs}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.11$ (m, 1 H ), 6.53-6.82 (m, 4H), 7.38-7.73 (m, 7H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 232: 588, 668, 691, 727, 759, 810, 939, 974, 1029, 1090, 1163, 1236, 1262, 1344, 1418, 1466, 1498, 1662, 2144, 2365, 2936, 3436, 3781; FAB-MS: $m / z 627(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 63.24; H, 6.75; N, 4.47\%. Found: C, 63.53; H, 6.69; N, 4.72\%.
4.11.2. [2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-\{3-[1-(4-fluorobenzene sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydro-quinolin-6-yloxy]-2-hydroxypropyl\} carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (50)
[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl][2-hydroxy-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droquinolin-6-yloxy)propyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (47; $0.486 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with 4-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $0.195 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 5 to give $\mathbf{5 0}$ as oil. Yield: $0.38 \mathrm{~g}(66.0 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.46$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.53 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.63-2.78 (m, 2H), 3.43-3.46 (m, 4H), 3.64-3.85 (m, 10H), 4.11 (bs, 1H), 6.54-6.82 (m, 5H), 7.01-7.09 (m, 2H), 7.53-7.72 (m, $3 H$ ); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 550,684,759,839,940,974,1031,1090$, 1163, 1238, 1365, 1417, 1465, 1501, 1593, 1688, 2370, 2937, 3439, 3756; FAB-MS: $m / z 645(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 61.47$; H, 6.41; N, 4.34\%. Found: C, 61.67; H, 6.68; N, 4.48\%.
4.11.3. [2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-\{2-hydroxy-3-[1-(naph-thalene-2-sulfonyl)1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy]propyl\}carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (51)
[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl][2-hydroxy-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droquinolin-6-yloxy)-propyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (47; $0.486 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with naphthalene-2-sulfonyl chloride ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 5 to afford 51 as oil. Yield: $0.41 \mathrm{~g}(60.7 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.26$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.79-2.84 (m, 2H), 3.43-3.47 (m, 4H), 3.78-3.86 (m, 10H), 4.05$4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.23$ (bs, 1H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 479,549,616,650,685,765,814,856$,

974, 1029, 1080, 1160, 1263, 1351, 1463, 1513, 1596, 2341, 2369, 2855, 2927, 3436, 3753, 4003, 4190; FAB-MS: m/z 577 (M-Boc) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 65.66; H, 6.55; N, 4.14\%. Found: C, 65.43; H, 6.76; N, 4.34\%.
4.11.4. [2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-\{2-hydroxy-3-[1-(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene-sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6yloxy]propyl\}carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (52)
[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl][2-hydroxy-3-(1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droquinolin-6-yloxy)propyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (47; $0.486 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with $2,4,6$-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $0.363 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using general procedure 5 to give 52 as oil. Yield: $0.38 \mathrm{~g}(50.5 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 0.92-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.26 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.73 (m, 4H), 3.31-3.37 (m, 4H), 3.63-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.78$3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ 579, 667, 691, 759, 807, 849, 884, 938, 970, 1032, 1080, 1160, 1223, 1260, 1319, 1367, 1421, 1464, 1499, 1600, 1683, 2872, 2932, 2963, 3016, 3430; FAB-MS: m/z 752 (M) ${ }^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 66.99; H, 8.03; N, 3.72\%. Found: C, 66.85; H, 8.23; N, 3.59\%.

### 4.12. General procedure 6 for the synthesis of compounds 53-56

A solution of acetic acid (TFA)/dichloromethane ( $40: 60 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; 5 mL ) was added to the cold $\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirring solution of appropriate substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl][2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $49-52 ; 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h while temperature of the reaction mixture was allowed to rise to $31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $10 \%$ in water w/v), extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over sodium sulfate. The combined fractions of ethyl acetate were concentrated under vacuum and the residue was chromatographed on neutral alumina using methanol/dichloromethane (1:99) as eluent to give 53-56.
4.12.1. 1-(1-Benzenesulfonyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl-oxy)-3-[2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]propan-2-ol (53)
[3-(1-Benzenesulfonyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy)-2-hydroxypropyl][2-(3,4-di-methoxyphenyl)ethyl]carbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $49 ; 0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subjected to Boc group deprotection using general procedure 6 to give 53 as oil. Yield: $0.04 \mathrm{~g}(48.9 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.51-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{t}, J=6.68 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.99-4.01 (m, 1H), 6.52-6.53 (m, 1H), 6.74-6.79 (m, 2H), 7.277.72 (m, 8H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 567,614,693,730,761,821$, 1022, 1127, 1164, 1337, 1450, 1514, 1613, 2367, 2955, 3064, 3428, 3752, 3859; FAB-MS: $m / z 526(M)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 63.86$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.51$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.32 \%$. Found: C, 63.65 ; H, 6.43; N, 5.46\%.

### 4.12.2. 1-[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]-3-[1-(4-fluoro-benzenesulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy]propan-2-ol (54)

[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-\{3-[1-(4-fluorobenzenesulfo-nyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquin-olin-6-yloxy]-2-hydroxypropyl\}carbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $\mathbf{5 0} ; 0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.465 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subjected to Boc group deprotection using general procedure 6 to give $\mathbf{5 4}$ as oil. Yield: $0.18 \mathrm{~g}(71.0 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.52-2.29$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.32(\mathrm{t}, J=6.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.92 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
7.02-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.70 (m, 3H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 550$, 687, 716, 758, 836, 971, 1028, 1088, 1160, 1197, 1236, 1346, 1461, 1497, 1346, 1461, 1497, 1592, 1680, 2273, 2340, 2374, 2853, 2929, 3317, 3681, 3757, 3908; FAB-MS: m/z $544(\mathrm{M})^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 61.75$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.11$; N, $5.14 \%$. Found: C, 61.56; H, 6.33; N, 4.95\%.
4.12.3. 1-[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]-3-[1-(naphtha-lene-2-sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy]propan-2-ol (55)
[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-\{2-hydroxy-3-[1-(naphtha-lene-2-sulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetra-hydroquinolin-6-yloxy]propyl\}-carbamic acid tert-butyl ester ( $\mathbf{5 1} ; 0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subjected to Boc group deprotection using general procedure 6 to give $\mathbf{5 5}$ as oil. Yield: $0.15 \mathrm{~g}(58.7 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.52-$ $1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.69 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-$ $3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 6.47$ (bs, 1H), 6.65-6.69 (m, 4H), 7.11-7.16 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 8.24 (m, 1H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 479,549,651,686,760,816$, 967, 1027, 1079, 1159, 1195, 1264, 1351, 1462, 1497, 1595, 2367, 2855, 2926, 3420, 3752; FAB-MS: $m / z 577(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 66.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.29$; N, $4.86 \%$. Found: C, 66.78; H, 6.57; N, 4.95\%.

### 4.12.4. 1-[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamino]-3-[1-(2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yloxy]propan-2-ol (56)

[2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-\{2-hydroxy-3-[1-(2,4,6-tri-isopropylbenzenesulfonyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6yloxy]propyl\}carbamic acid tert-butyl ester (52; $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subjected to Boc group deprotection using general procedure 6 to give 56 as oil. Yield: $0.10 \mathrm{~g}(46.1 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $1.05-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-$ $3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.52-7.19 (m, 8H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 570,679,768,882,1014$, 1082, 1158, 1220, 1260, 1364, 1461, 1513, 1657, 2342, 2373, 2869, 2960, 3402; FAB-MS: $m / z 653(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 68.07$; H, 8.03 ; N, $4.29 \%$. Found: C, 67.98 ; H, 8.26; N, 4.42\%.

### 4.13. 4-(2-Nitropropenyl)phenol (58)

A mixture of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (57, $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), nitroethane ( $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium acetate $(0.77 \mathrm{~g}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and acetic acid ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 50 h at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum, diluted with water ( 10 mL ), neutralized with ammonia and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined fractions of ethyl acetate were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent to afford 58 as yellow solid. Yield: $0.80 \mathrm{~g}(44.7 \%)$; mp: $123-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-$ $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, FTIR (KBr): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 523,671,834,982$, 1171, 1287, 1361, 1434, 1517, 1603, 1642, 2362, 3382, 3754; FAB-MS: $m / z 180(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 60.33$; H , 5.06 ; N, $7.82 \%$. Found: C, 60.53 ; H, 5.25; N, $7.69 \%$.

### 4.14. 1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-one (59)

A mixture of 4-(2-nitropropenyl)phenol (58; $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 37.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iron powder ( 10.66 g ), $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(0.42 \mathrm{~g})$, concd $\mathrm{HCl}(5.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(133.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ in ethanol $(53.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered. The filtrate was neutralized with ammonia and extracted with ethyl acetate $(5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined fractions of ethyl acetate were dried
over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent to give 59 as oil. Yield: $2.5 \mathrm{~g}(62.15 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}: \delta 2.15$ (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 6.77-6.81 (m, 2H), 7.047.08 (m, 2H), FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 531,607,840,1016,1167,1235$, 1360, 1447, 1515, 1612, 1704, 2363, 3374, 3781, FAB-MS: m/z $151(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 71.98; H, $6.71 \%$. Found: C, 71.86; H, 6.85\%.

### 4.15. 2-Phenylmorpholine (60)

Pieces of sodium metal ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added portion wise to the stirring solution of 2-phenyl-4-(toluene-4-sulfonyl)morpholine ( $\mathbf{8}$ in the Supplementary information; 0.317 g , 1 mmol ) in amyl alcohol ( 5 mL ) at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 3 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with water ( 5 mL ). The two layers of water and amyl alcohol were separated; alcoholic layer was extracted with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(4 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was first extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) then this combined ethyl acetate fraction was extracted with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The 2 N HCl extracts were combined and neutralized with liquid ammonia and finally extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined fractions of ethyl acetate were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel using methanol/dichloromethane (4:96) as eluent to give $\mathbf{6 0}$ as oil. Yield: 0.11 g ( $67.5 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 2.79-3.05 (m, 2H), 3.78-4.07 (m, 4H), 4.47-4.53 (m, 1H), 7.257.36 (m, 5H); FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 527,562,701,759,811,886$, 914, 1029, 1096, 1220, 1274, 1352, 1387, 1452, 1541, 1603, 1723, 1815, 1977, 2364, 2467, 2925, 3418, 3694, 3782, FAB-MS: $164(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 73.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.03 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.58 \%$. Found: C, 73.65; H, 7.92; N, 8.35\%.

### 4.16. 4-[2-(2-Phenylmorpholin-4-yl)propyl]phenol (61)

$\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a stirring and cooled $\left(-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of 2-phenylmorpholine $(\mathbf{6 0}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propan-2-one (59, $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry methanol ( 10 mL ). Glacial acetic acid ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH} 5-6$ ) was added to the reaction mixture and stirred the same overnight during which temperature of the reaction mixture was allowed to rise to $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched with water $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated under vacuum, neutralized with ammonia and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined fractions of ethyl acetate were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel using methanol/dichloromethane (2:98) as eluent to give $\mathbf{6 1}$ as oil. Yield: $0.32 \mathrm{~g}(53.9 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.96$ (d, $J=6.48 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (d, $J=8.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26-7.37$ (m, 5H), FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 560,772$, 815, 937, 1191, 1236, 1352, 1442, 1598, 1664, 1726, 1814, 2365, 2926, 2971, 3347, 3634, 3660, 3698, 3782; FAB-MS: $298(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 76.73; H, 7.80; N, 4.71\%. Found: N, 5.12\%.

### 4.17. \{4-[2-(2-Phenylmorpholin-4-yl)propyl]phenoxy\}acetic acid ethyl ester (62)

A mixture of 4-[2-(2-phenylmorpholin-4-yl)propyl]phenol (61, $0.297 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethylbromoacetate ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 20 mL ) was heated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent to give $\mathbf{6 2}$ as oil. Yield: $0.34 \mathrm{~g}(88.7 \%)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.93-$
0.96 (m, 3H), 1.26-1.33 (m, 3H), 2.36-2.46 (m, 2H), 2.62-2.92 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.32-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ 7.37 (m, 5H), FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 525,560,608,652,700,756,825$, $917,980,1039,1110,1172,1243,1354,1452,1513,1609,1659$, 1881, 2277, 2340, 2376, 2861, 2929, 3026, 3344, 3655, 3682, 3757, FAB-MS: $384(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 72.04; H, 7.62; N, 3.65\%, Found: C, 72.24; H, 7.49; N, 4.03\%.

### 4.18. \{4-[2-(2-Phenylmorpholin-4-yl)propyl]phenoxy\}acetic acid (63)

A solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the stirring reaction mixture of $\{4-[2-$ (2-phenylmorpholin-4-yl)propyl]phenoxy\}acetic acid ethyl ester ( $\mathbf{6 2} ; 0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The stirring was continued for an additional $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum, diluted with water ( 5 mL ), neutralized with 2 N HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined fractions of ethyl acetate were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel using methanol/dichloromethane ( $7: 93$ ) as eluent to give $\mathbf{6 3}$ as oil. Yield: 0.3 g ( $85.1 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.50(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.62-2.81 (m, 2H), 2.95-3.08 (m, 3H), 4.10-4.24 (m, 2H), 4.50-4.71 (m, 3H), 6.82-6.98 (m, 4H), 7.27-7.41 (m, 5H), FTIR (Neat): $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 614,667,702,7058,831,949,1061,1106,1184$, 1226, 1421, 1512, 1608, 1712, 2371, 1603, 2857, 2927, 3401, 3754, FAB-MS: $m / z 356(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 70.96$; H , 7.09; N, 3.94\%, Found: C, 70.78; H, 7.35; N, 4.35\%.

### 4.19. Pharmacology

Human SK-N-MC neuroblastoma cells model was used to evaluate the $\beta_{3}-A R$ agonistic activity. This model represents an excellent, non-recombinant model system for evaluating the $\beta_{3}$-AR characteristics of unknown compounds. ${ }^{26,27}$

### 4.19.1. Radioligand binding assay

Cell culture: SK-N-MC cells were maintained in 90\% Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with 10\% fetal calf serum. Upon reaching confluence they were subcultured at a ratio of $1 / 5$ to $1 / 10$ in the same medium. The cells were washed twice in 50 mM HEPES ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.04 \% \mathrm{BSA}, 10 \%$ sucrose), harvested and homogenized in HEPES. Homogenates were centrifuged at $30,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min and pellets are resuspended in HEPES one confluent 10 mm plate $/ 7 \mathrm{~mm}$. The final volume of 0.1 mL containing $6.16 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of membrane ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 1:20 dilution), buffer, [ ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I}$ ]iodocyanopindolol ( $0.46 \mathrm{nM}, 2200 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were incubated in presence or absence of cometing drug for 90 min at $370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Incubations were stopped by filtering over 934 AH (presoaked in $0.5 \%$ polyethylanimine) and washed three times with 2 mL ice cold 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ containing $4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. Filters were counted in a gamma-counter. Non-specific binding is defined as binding remaining in the presence of 1 mM alprenolol. The $\beta_{3}$-AR agonistic activity (ranging from $-17.73 \%$ to $90.64 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was measured as the inhibition of specific binding [ ${ }^{125}$ I]iodocynopindolol to human neuroblastoma (SK-N-MC) and CHO cells overexpressing $\beta_{3}$-ARs. Inhibition of binding by various compounds yields $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values which were transformed to dissociation constants with the dissociation constant for ICYP. Statistical analysis was used for the determination of differences.
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