Letter

Silver(I)-Catalyzed Deprenylation of Allylsulfonamide Derivatives

Fuyuhiko Inagaki^{*©} Shisen Hira Chisato Mukai

Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan finagaki@p.kanazawa-u.ac.jp



Received: 13.05.2017 Accepted after revision: 30.05.2017 Published online: 11.07.2017 DOI: 10.1055/s-0036-1589066; Art ID: st-2017-u0355-I

Abstract The silver(I)-catalyzed deprenylation of sulfonamide bearing prenyl functional groups on the nitrogen atom has been developed. In this reaction, the prenyl moiety was selectively eliminated without allyl or benzyl cleavage on the nitrogen atom. In addition, geranyl was also applicable for this elimination reaction. Furthermore, sulfonamide possessing two prenyl groups underwent a double deprenylation to form the corresponding deprenylated sulfonamide. Thus, a novel reactivity between the silver cation and double bond was observed.

F. Inagaki et al.

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{Key}}$ words C–N bond cleavage, silver, catalytic reaction, deprenylation, alkene

Since the discovery of the antibacterial drug, prontosil,¹ the sulfonamide moiety is widely accepted as one of the most important bioisosteres² of the carboxylic acid in medicinal chemistry. For further investigation regarding bioactive materials bearing the sulfonamide, a higher level of synthetic methods is required. Although several types of protecting groups for sulfonamide, such as tert-butyl,³⁻⁵ functionalized benzyl,⁶⁻¹¹ and allyl¹²⁻¹⁴ are already known, the selective deprotection processes (C-N bond cleavage) are still limited. On the other hand, silver salts are used for the catalytic reactions with alkynes due to the alkynophilicities.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Silver-ion chromatography is also wellknown for separating lipids containing double bonds.¹⁹⁻²² With regard to the principle of this separation, the silverion complexes with the double bonds.^{23,24} However, interestingly, silver-catalyzed reactions with alkenes are limited.^{25,26} Based on this information, we recently developed²⁷ the silver(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization of a diene 1 involving alkyl rearrangements to form a cyclic product 2 (Scheme 1). Thus, it seemed that silver coordination with

the double bond has the potential for causing additional reaction modes. We now report the selective deprenylation of allylsulfonamide **3** using a catalytic amount of $AgSbF_6$.



After several examinations, we found that the selective deprenylation reaction of N-allyl-N-prenyl-p-toluenesulfonamide (5a) with 5 mol% of AgSbF₆ in DCE at 140 °C (microwave irradiation) for two minutes gave N-allyl-p-toluenesulfonamide (6a) in 84% yield (Scheme 2). More recently, Jirgensons¹⁴ reported the same reaction using 6.5 equivalents of TFA and 6 equivalents of Et₃SiH in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 60 minutes to afford **6a** in 68% yield.²⁸ Although our reaction conditions required a higher temperature, the chemical yields and reaction time were better. Also, an excess amount of a cation scavenger, such as Et₃SiH, was not required for our reaction, which must be another benefit. In addition, they reported that the deprenylation reaction of N-prenyl-p-toluenesulfonamide (7a) led to a complex mixture, whereas our reaction gave the tosylamide 8a in 89% yield.

Syn lett F. Inagaki et al.



Our next effort focused on the exploration of the scope and limitations. These results are summarized in Table 1. Selective deprenylation between the prenyl and allyl moieties smoothly occurred in not only the tosylamide 5a but also for the benzenesulfonamide 5b and mesylamide 5c affording **6b** (88%) and **6c** (82%) in high yields (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Substrate **5d** bearing prenvl and benzvl groups provided the deprenylation product 6d in 69% yield without debenzylation (Table 1, entry 3). Jirgensons and co-workers¹⁴ reported that the reaction of **5d** using TFA and Et₂SiH did not afford 6d, thus the difference in the reactivities between both conditions must be clarified. Although elimination of the 2-butenyl group from substrate 5e required a higher temperature (180 °C), the allylsulfonamide 6b was observed in a modest yield (Table 1, entry 4). For the deprenylation using monoprenyl substrates **7b,c**, the corresponding benzenesulfonamide (8b) and mesylamide (8c) were obtained in good yields (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). A similar type of deallylation also occurred using the geranyl group instead of the prenyl group. Actually, the tosylamide 8a (82%) was observed from the *N*-geranyl substrate **7d** (Table 1, entry 7). Although other silver salts (AgBF₄, AgOAc, etc.) were examined in several substrates, satisfied results were not observed (0-31% yield). In addition, heating conditions without silver salt did not afford the desired product.

To elucidate the mechanism in detail, an efficient radical inhibitor, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), was applied to the deprenylation reaction (Scheme 3). When the diene **5a** was treated with one equivalent BHT and 5 mol% AgSbF₆, the deprenylated product **6a** was observed in 73% yield (84% without BHT), which encouraged us to consider that the main reaction proceeded without a free-radical mechanism.

Based on these results, the plausible mechanisms of deprenylation are shown in Scheme 4. Coordination with both the prenyl double bond and sulfonyl moiety of substrate **3** would form complex **A**. When the addition of the sulfonamide to the cationic silver produces intermediate **B**, deprotonative elimination at the prenyl group should provide the deprenylated intermediate **C**. In parallel, the double bond of



В



^aSubstrate was reacted with 5 mol% AgSbF₆ in DCE at 140 °C (MW) for 2 min.

^bReacted for 80 s.

^cReacted at 180 °C using oil bath for 30 min.



Scheme 3 Addition of radical scavenger

F. Inagaki et al.

the prenyl part is also a candidate for the addition to the silver cation, which would form complex **B'**. Intermediates **B** and **B'** might be present in equilibrium. The common deprenylated complex **C** would then be constructed by the deprotonation and [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of **B'**. Finally, the protodeargentation from **C** could form the corresponding products **4**.



Scheme 4 Plausible mechanisms

Based on the speculated mechanisms requiring the prenyl moiety and sulfonamide, another substitution group R on the nitrogen atom must not be directly involved in the deprenylation reaction. In other words, if the R group has a prenyl functionality, R would also be eliminated. Thus, the double deprenylation reaction was finally examined. As shown in Scheme 5, conversions of the bisprenyl sulfonamides **9a-c** bearing *p*-tolyl, phenyl, and methyl groups



into the corresponding sulfonamides **8a–c** successfully proceeded in good yields. In addition, the bisgeranyl functionalities on the nitrogen of the sulfonamides **10a–c** were also possible to be eliminated. The resulting sulfonamides **8a–c** were observed in 54, 68, and 81% yield, respectively.

In summary, we developed the silver(I)-catalyzed selective deprenylation of the sulfonamide possessing allyl functional groups on the nitrogen atom.^{28,29} This C–N bond cleavage simply proceeded by adding only a catalytic amount of AgSbF₆ at high temperature, and other reagents, such as an excess amount of a cation scavenger, were not required. In addition, the prenyl elimination selectively occurred without allyl or benzyl cleavage on the nitrogen atom. The geranyl group was also an active functionality for this elimination reaction. Furthermore, the double deprenylation of bisprenyl sulfonamide was also possible. Thus, we determined the novel reactivity between the silver cation and double bond. Further examinations using the silver catalyst and alkenes are currently under way.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful for the support of a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (Japan).

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1589066.

References and Notes

- (1) Manalang, M. G.; Bundy, H. F. J. Chem. Educ. 1989, 66, 609.
- (2) Patani, G. A.; LaVoie, E. J. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 3147.
- (3) Graham, S. L.; Scholz, T. H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4260.
- (4) Wan, Y.; Wu, X.; Kannan, M. A.; Alterman, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 4523.
- (5) Mahalingam, A. K.; Wu, X.; Wan, Y.; Alterman, M. Synth. Commun. 2005, 35, 417.
- (6) Burlingham, B. T.; Widlanski, T. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2937.
- (7) Johnson, D. C. II.; Widlanski, T. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 8483.
- (8) Poss, M. A.; Reid, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 7291.
- (9) Morris, J.; Wishka, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3549.
- (10) Hill, B.; Liu, Y.; Taylor, S. D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4285.
- (11) Videnov, G.; Aleksiev, B.; Stoev, M.; Paipanova, T.; Jung, G. Liebigs Ann. Chem. **1993**, 941.
- (12) Alonso, E.; Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 14355.
- (13) Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1998**, 39, 4679.
- (14) Nikitjuka, A.; Nekrasova, A.; Jirgensons, A. Synlett 2015, 26, 183.
- (15) Fang, G.; Bi, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 8124.
- (16) Chen, Z.; Wen, Y.; Ding, H.; Luo, G.; Ye, M.; Liu, L.; Xue, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2017**, *58*, 13.
- (17) Heinrich, C. F.; Fabre, I.; Miesch, L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 5170.

© Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York – Synlett 2017, 28, A–D

Synlett

F. Inagaki et al.

- (18) Shen, T.; Wang, T.; Qin, C.; Jiao, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6677.
- (19) Kaneti, J.; de Smet, L. C. P. M.; Boom, R.; Zulihof, H.; Sudhölter, E. J. R. J. Phys. Chem. A **2002**, 106, 11197.
- (20) Adlof, R.; Lamm, T. J. Chromatogr. A. 1998, 799, 329.
- (21) Ruan, B.; Gerst, N.; Emmons, G. T.; Shey, J.; Schroepfer, G. J. Jr J. Lipid. Res. **1997**, 38, 2615.
- (22) Christie, W. W.; Breckenridge, G. H. M. J. Chromatogr. A. 1989, 469, 261.
- (23) Kelemu, S. W.; Steel, P. J. Polyhedron 2014, 71, 99.
- (24) Canty, A. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1994, 220, 99.
- (25) (a) Li, Y.; Jiang, X.; Zhao, C.; Fu, X.; Xu, X.; Tang, P. ACS Catal. **2017**, 7, 1606. (b) Dolan, N. S.; Scamp, R. J.; Yang, T.; Berry, J. F.; Schomaker, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 14658. (c) Rigoli, J. W.; Weatherly, C. D.; Alderson, J. M.; Vo, B. T.; Schomaker, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 17238. (d) Maestre, L.; Sameera, W. M. C.; Diaz-Requejo, M. M.; Masera, F.; Prez, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 1338. (e) Carter, E. A.; Goddard, W. A. III J. Catal. **1988**, 112, 80.
- (26) (a) Lv, J.; Zhong, X.; Luo, S. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 8293. (b) Sokol,
 J. G.; Korapala, C. S.; White, P. S.; Becker, J. J.; Gagné, M. R.
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5658. (c) Harn, C. Chem. Eur. J.
 2004, 10, 5888. (d) Kerber, W. D.; Koh, J. H.; Gagné, M. R. Org.

Lett. **2004**, 6, 3013. (e) Liu, C.; Han, X.; Wang, X.; Widenhoefer, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 3700. (f) Barrero, A. F.; Oltra, J. E.; Alvarez, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **1998**, 39, 1401. (g) Trost, B. M.; Fortunak, J. M. D. Organometallics **1982**, 1, 7. (h) Oppolzer, W.; Snieckus, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1978**, 17, 476.

(27) Inagaki, F.; Matsumoto, M.; Hira, S.; Mukai, C. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* in press.

(28) General Procedure for Deprenylation

To a solution of substrate (0.10 mmol) in DCE (0.5 mL) was added a solution of $AgSbF_6$ (0.005 mmol) in DCE (0.5 mL) under an atmosphere of N₂. After stirring for 2 min at 140 °C under microwave irradiation, the solvent was evaporated off. The residue was chromatographed with hexane/EtOAc to afford the deprenylated sulfonamide.

(29) Analytical Data for 6a³⁰

Compound **6a** was a yellow solid: IR 3281, 1323, 1158, 1093, 814, 666, 550 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.76 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.31 (d, 2 H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 5.76–5.69 (m, 1 H), 5.19–5.15 (m, 1 H), 5.11–5.09 (m, 1 H), 4.53 (br t, 1 H, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 3.60–3.58 (m, 2 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.5, 136.9, 132.9, 129.7, 127.1, 117.7, 45.8, 21.5.

(30) Wilden, J. D.; Geldeard, L.; Lee, C. C.; Judd, D. B.; Caddick, S. *Chem. Comuun.* **2007**, 1074.