

Nickel-Catalyzed Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles Using Molecular Oxygen

Sourajit Bera, Atanu Bera, and Debasis Banerjee*



B ioactive molecules having an aromatic N-heteroarene core represent an elegant toolbox as life-saving drugs and are widely used to target numerous medicinal issues.¹ Such aromatic N-heteroarenes have potential applications for antimalarial, anticancer, and anti-HIV treatments.^{1,2} Therefore, development of novel and innovative methods for the synthesis of aromatic N-heteroarenes is highly desirable and will provide access to a broad collection of such privileged scaffolds.

Classical approaches for N-heteroarenes involve stoichiometric oxidants (DDQ and sulfur) or harsh reaction conditions and are associated with poor selectivity and functional group tolerance.³ Therefore, providing a more sustainable and atomeconomic technology that avoids such toxic and harmful chemicals is highly desirable.

Notably, dehydrogenation of partially saturated aromatic Nheterocycles has attracted significant attention due to its sustainability and flexibility to the introduction of substitutions at the desired position, which is sometimes difficult to achieve by cross-coupling reactions.⁴ Therefore, a number of heterogeneous catalysts based on noble metals, Co-based metal oxide, Fe-based metal oxide, etc., have been demonstrated for dehydrogenation reactions.⁵ However, most of them rely on a high reaction temperature (>130–150 °C) and showed poor functional group tolerance. Again, the use of electrocatalysis⁶ and photocatalysis has also been developed for dehydrogenation reactions.⁷

Surprisingly, only a handful of examples of homogeneous catalysts have been identified for such reactions (Scheme 1A). Pioneering reports by Yamagushi and Fujita on Ir catalysts at the refluxing temperature of *p*-xylene or toluene are note-worthy.^{8,9} Similar reactions using Ir-based catalysts have been reported by Crabtree,¹⁰ Albrecht,¹¹ Fischmeister,¹² and

others.¹³ Moreover, their low natural abundance and high cost restrict their application for large scale industrial uses.¹⁴ Therefore, in terms of sustainability, replacement of such precious metals using a more abundant and inexpensive base—metal catalyst has attracted significant attention.¹⁵ In this direction, Jones and co-workers established a remarkable and highly selective dehydrogenation process using iron-based¹⁶ and cobalt-based¹⁷ molecular pincer complexes. Nevertheless, these PNP pincer ligands require multistep synthesis and are highly expensive.

Moreover, dehydrogenation of N-heterocycles using an oxidant represents an exciting alternative route to the established methods and could be successfully performed under relatively milder conditions.^{18–20} Notably, the true potential of homogeneous base–metal catalysts for dehydrogenation of N-heterocycles using an oxidant is still rather unexplored in comparison to that of metal-catalyzed accept-orless dehydrogenation.²¹ More importantly, application of a nickel-based catalyst in combination with molecular oxygen for dehydrogenation of N-heterocycles remains unknown (Scheme 1B).²²

Herein, for the first time, we report that an inexpensive nickel catalyst supported by a bidentate nitrogen-based ligand in combination with molecular oxygen as an oxidant is capable of affecting sequential dehydrogenation of various partially

Received: July 9, 2020



Scheme 1. (A) Previous Reports, (B) Ni-Catalyzed Dehydrogenation Using Molecular Oxygen, and (C) Key Challenges for Dehydrogenation of N-Heterocycles



saturated N-heterocycles to nitrogen-containing heteroarenes in good to excellent yields (Scheme 1B,C).

Recently, we have successfully explored a series of Ni- and Fe-catalyzed (de)hydrogenation reactions using alcohols.²³ Therefore, to study the successive dehydrogenation presented here, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (THQ) **1a** was chosen as a model substrate with four different nickel precatalysts. Only 22% quinoline **3a** was obtained when the reaction was performed with an O₂ balloon in combination with TEMPO (10 mol %) and *t*-BuOK (1.0 equiv) in toluene at 80 °C for 24 h (Table 1, entries 1–4).

Application of bidentate nitrogen-based ligand 1,10-phenanthroline (L1) significantly improved the yield of 3a [68% (Table 1, entry 5)]. However, the use of other ligands, L2–L5, led to low conversion to 3a (Table 1, entries 6 and 7, and Table S2). Under identical conditions, the use of different inorganic bases (t-BuONa, Na₂CO₃, K₂CO₃, Cs₂CO₃, K₃PO₄, and NaOH) as well as organic bases (N,N-diisopropylethylamine and pyridine) resulted in only 3-35% conversion to 3a (Table S3). The catalytic dehydrogenation could be performed at a lower reaction temperature; however, the maximum yield to 3a was obtained at 95 °C [90% (Table 1, entry 8, and Table S4)]. Next, *p*-xylene, 1,4-dioxane, and *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) were tested as solvents in place of toluene and proved to be less efficient (entries 9 and 10 and Table S5). Nevertheless, t-amyl alcohol as a solvent catalyzed the successive dehydrogenation of 1a into 3a in quantitative yield with excellent selectivity (>99%, entry 11). Dehydrogenation could be performed at a lower catalyst loading (5 mol

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a



entry	Ni salt (mol %)	L (mol %)	solvent	3a (%)
1	NiBr ₂ (10)	_	toluene	22
2	$NiCl_2$ (10)	_	toluene	3
3	Ni(acac) ₂ (10)	_	toluene	5
4	NiCl ₂ (dme) (10)	_	toluene	10
5 ^b	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	toluene	70 (68) ^b
6	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L2 (12)	toluene	25
7	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L5 (12)	toluene	30
8 ^c	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	toluene	92 (90) ^b
9 ^c	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	<i>p</i> -xylene	56
10 ^c	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	1,4-dioxane	14
11 ^c	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	t-amyl-OH	100 (99) ^b
12 ^{<i>c</i>,<i>d</i>}	$NiBr_2(5)$	L1 (6)	t-amyl-OH	100 (99) ^b
13 ^c	$NiBr_2(5)$	L1 (6)	t-amyl-OH	70
14 ^{<i>c</i>,<i>e</i>}	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	t-amyl-OH	20
15 ^c f	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	t-amyl-OH	42
16 ^{c,g}	$NiBr_2$ (10)	L1 (12)	t-amyl-OH	8
17 ^{c,h}	-	_	t-amyl-OH	-
18 ^{c,h}	_	L1 (12)	t-amyl-OH	3

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1a (0.25 mmol), NiBr₂ (x mol %), phen (y mol %), TEMPO (10 mol %), t-BuOK (1.0 equiv), and solvent (1.0 mL) in a glass vial using an O₂ balloon at 80 °C in a preheated oil bath for 24 h. GC conversion using mesitylene as the internal standard. ^{*b*}Isolated yield (average of two runs). ^{*c*}Oil bath temperature of 95 °C. ^{*d*}t-BuOK (1.5 equiv) was used. ^{*c*}Reaction carried out without an O₂ balloon. ^{*f*}Reaction carried out without TEMPO. ^{*g*}No base was used. ^{*h*}t-BuOK (1.0 equiv) was used.

%); however, the base plays a crucial role in complete transformations (entries 11-13).

Control experiments in the absence of O_2 , TEMPO, base, catalyst, and ligand revealed the potential role of the individual component for the dehydrogenation process (Table 1, entries 14–18, and Table S6). Notably, a significantly smaller amount of **3a** was obtained in the absence of TEMPO (10 mol %), evidence that TEMPO plays a crucial role in improving product selectivity (Table 1, entry 15 vs entry 11). However, we anticipated that the base plays a key role in the activation of nickel precatalysts. Base-mediated initial dehalogenation followed by substitution with a secondary amine (THQ **1a**) resulted a nickel–amine species.²³ Thereafter, such a preformed nickel–amine species undergoes β -hydride elimination in the presence of a base, which results in the formation of **1a**', which successively completes the stepwise dehydrogenation to **3a** (Scheme 1C).²³

The scope of the oxidative dehydrogenation was tested using the optimized conditions of Table 1. 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline **1b** and 1,2,3,4-tetrahydrolepidine **1c** smoothly participated in the reaction, and **3a–3c** were obtained in excellent yields (86–99%) (Scheme 2).^{21b–d} However, sterically hindered 1-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline **1d** and 2-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline **1e** needed slightly longer reaction times to give 95% **3d** and 96% **3e** (Scheme 2). Next, 2,8-disubstituted N-heterocycles were employed and did not influence the dehydrogenation reactivity [**3g–3i**, ≤98% yields (Scheme 2)]. N-Heterocycles having -NO₂, -Cl, and free

NiBr₂, Phen TEMPO, t-BuOK R O2 balloon, t-AmOH . 95 °C, 24-48 h 3a-z 3za (Isolated yield) 1a-z. 1za 3b. 87% 3a. 99% 3d, 95%^b **3c**, 86% High scale: 85% NH₂ ÓΜe 3e, 96%^b 3f. 85%^{b,c} **3g, 6**7%^b **3h**, 70%^b Br CI ÓН 31, 25%; 3e, 58%^b 3k, 42%^t **3j, 5**6%^b 3i, 98%^b 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro lepidines Ŋ Ar Ar = p-Me-Ph, 3m, 52% Ar = p - i Pr - Ph, **3n**, 60% Ar = p-OMe-Ph, **30**, 93% 3q, 87% Ar = *p*-Cl-Ph, **3p**, 91% substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro quinolines Ar = Ph, **3r**, 99%^b 3w, 73%^b 80%^b 3v, Ar = p-Et-Ph, 3s, 60%^b n = 7; **3x**, 48%^b Ar = p-OMe-Ph, **3t**, 99%^b n = 9; **3y**, 52%^b Ar = 1-naphthyl, 3u, 70%^b 3za, 50%^b **3z,** 73%^b 74

Scheme 2. Dehydrogenation of Substituted Quinolines a

^aSee Table 1 for the reaction conditions. ^bTEMPO (20 mol %), 48 h.
^cFrom 3-nitroquinoline.

-OH groups were well tolerated, affording 42-85% yields of 3f, 3j, and 3k. Notably, the sensitive -NO₂ group was reduced by the *in situ*-generated molecular hydrogen in the presence of a nickel catalyst to give 3f (Scheme S1). Bromo-substituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline 1l resulted in only 25% 3l, and we observed 58% dehalogenated product 3e (Scheme 2). However, in the case of 3j and 3k, we recovered the unreacted starting materials and did not observe any dehalogenated product 3e.

Next, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydrolepidine and 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines were tested (Scheme 2). Lepidines substituted with *p*-methyl, *p*-isopropyl, *p*-methoxy, and *p*-chloro functionalities on the aryl ring furnished moderate to quantitative yields of **3m**–**3p**, respectively (\leq 93%). 2-Furyl-substituted lepidine was also obtained in 87% yield (**3q**). Pharmaceutically important 2-arylethylquinolines, comprising 1-naphthyl, 2-furyl, and 2-pyridine groups, were isolated in quantitative yield (60–99%, **3r**–**3w**). Similarly, this strategy provides an exciting route to alkyl-substituted quinolines, such as 2-nonylquinoline **3x**, 2-undecylquinoline **3y**, and 2-(4-phenylbutyl)quinolone **3z** in 48–73% yields. Next, 2-(4,8-dimethylnon-7-en-1-yl)quinoline **3za** was obtained in moderate yield without affecting the internal double bond (Scheme 2).

Similarly, the parent indoline and methoxy- and nitrosubstituted indolines afforded indoles 4a-4c, respectively, in 79–99% yields (Scheme 3). Hantzsch dihydropyridine 2d having reducible ester functionalities smoothly transformed



pubs.acs.org/OrgLett



^aSee Table 1 for the reaction conditions. ^bTEMPO (20 mol %), 48 h. ^cFrom 5-nitroindoline.

into the tetrasubstituted pyridine 4d. 2,3-Dihydrobenzimidazoles having a free NH group or N-benzylated substituent gave 4e or 4h, respectively, in quantitative yield [87-99% (Scheme 3)]. 2,3-Dihydrobenzimidazoles bearing 2-aryl substituents only weakly influence the dehydrogenation activities and gave 78-85% yields of 4f and 4g. In addition, 1,2,3,4tetrahydroquinoxalines (2i-2k) were transformed into quinoxalines 4i-4k, respectively, in quantitative yields (Scheme 3). However, medicinally important quinoxanaline 4l was obtained in 45% yield, due to the influence of the electron poor trifluoromethyl functionality (Scheme 3). Nevertheless, we did not observe any product 4m in the presence of a nitrile functionality. Again, piperidine substituted with methoxy and trifluoromethyl functionalities did not result in any desired product [4n and 40 (Scheme 3)].

To explore the synthetic utility, the stepwise dehydrogenation of 2-(piperidin-2-yl)pyridine **5** resulted in bipyridine ligand **8** in 76% yield. Also, the antimalarial drug quinine **9** was isolated in moderate yield (35%) from **6** (Scheme 4). The catalytic transformation is not impacted by free hydroxyl and terminal olefin functionalities. Notably, dehydrogenation of Nsubstituted indoline 7, a tertiary amine derivative, into **10** can be performed in 57% yield en-route to the synthesis of a protein kinase inhibitor C (Scheme 4).^{21b-d} Additionally, for a pubs.acs.org/OrgLett



practical application, a high-scale reaction was conducted and yielded 85% product **3a** (Scheme S2).²⁴

Notably, the catalytic reactions could be performed in the presence of chloro, free hydroxyl and primary amine, internal and terminal olefin, trifluoromethyl, and ester functionalities, including pyridine and furyl motifs. Nevertheless, sterically hindered 2-methylisoquinoline as well as N-substituted indoline 7, a tertiary amine derivative, enables the transformations in good yields.^{21b-d}

Further, to gain more insight into the reaction mechanism and to prove our hypotheses (Scheme 1C), dehydrogenation of *N*-acetyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline 11 was performed. However, in agreement with our proposal, we did not detect any desired product (Scheme 5Aa). Moreover, no dehydrogenation product was observed when *N*-methyl-2,4,4,7-tetramethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline 13 was used as the substrate, indicating that the direct transformation of a C==C bond is difficult. These experimental observations strongly support our hypothesis that the reaction proceeds by stepwise dehydrogenation reactions as demonstrated by Jones and co-workers (Scheme 5A).¹⁶

Thereafter, we independently conducted the dehydrogenation studies using 1 equiv of BHT (2,2,6,6-butylated hydroxytoluene) and 1 equiv of *p*-benzoquinone (Scheme 5B). The yield of 3a was significantly decreased, indicating that a radical mechanism might be operating, and the reaction proceeds via a superoxide radical anion ($\bullet O_2^{-}$).^{19a} It is assumed that, under standard catalytic conditions, O_2 is reduced to $\bullet O_2^{-}$. Additionally, the radical process also indicated the involvement of the imine species during the dehydrogenation process.^{6a} Nevertheless, to probe the intermediate imine species, the dehydrogenation reaction of 1e was monitored using GC-MS, and a mixture of 1e' and 3e was detected after 24 h. Notably, 3e was obtained in quantitative yield after 48 h (Scheme 5C). These control studies also support our hypothesis of the involvement of an

Scheme 5. Mechanistic Studies



imine intermediate during such stepwise transformations (Scheme 5). Interestingly, to trap the *in situ*-generated molecular hydrogen, the model reaction was performed in an experiment setup presented in Scheme S1, where application of Pd/C reduced styrene to ethylbenzene in \leq 20% yield. These experiments are consistent with the generation of hydrogen gas during the dehydrogenation process.

On the basis of the observations described above and previous studies,^{25–27} we believe that an *in situ*-generated Ni precatalyst, **1a**, and TEMPO produced imine **1a'** following β -hydride elimination.²⁶ Next, stepwise isomerization of the double bond results in the desired quinoline **3a**. However, more detailed mechanistic investigations are ongoing in our laboratory and will be reported in due course.^{19a}

In summary, we have demonstrated a simple and inexpensive Ni-catalyzed system for dehydrogenation of various N-heterocycles. Application of molecular oxygen as an oxidant facilitates the synthesis of a series of medicinally important quinolines, quinoxalines, indoles, and benzimidazoles (>42 examples) in \leq 99% yields. The catalytic protocol enables the transformations in the presence of alkyl, alkoxy, chloro, free hydroxyl and primary amine, internal and terminal olefin, trifluoromethyl, and ester functionalities, including pyridine and furyl motifs. We have also demonstrated the synthesis of an important bipyridine ligand and antimalarial drug quinine. Mechanistic studies indicated that dehydrogenation is a stepwise process that starts by enamine–imine tautomerization followed by C=C bond isomerization to achieve the desired products.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02271.

Detailed experimental procedures, spectral data, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Debasis Banerjee – Laboratory of Catalysis and Organic Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India; orcid.org/ 0000-0001-8626-8742; Email: debasis.banerjee@cy.iitr.ac.in

Authors

- **Sourajit Bera** Laboratory of Catalysis and Organic Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India
- Atanu Bera Laboratory of Catalysis and Organic Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02271

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank CSIR-India [02(0373)/19/EMR-II] for financial support. DAE-BRNS, India [Young Scientist Research Award to D.B., 37(2)/20/33/2016-BRNS], IIT Roorkee (SMILE-32), and FIST-DST are gratefully acknowledged for instrument facilities. S.B. thanks INSPIRE (DST) for financial support, and A.B. thanks IIT-R for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257–10274. (b) Muthukrishnan, I.; Sridharan, V.; Menéndez, J. C. Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 5057–5191.

(2) (a) Mouscadet, J.-F.; Desmaële, D. Molecules 2010, 15, 3048– 3078. (b) Croft, A.; Garner, P. BMJ. 1997, 315, 1412. (c) Sestili, I.; Borioni, A.; Mustazza, C.; Rodomonte, A.; Turchetto, L.; Sbraccia, M.; Riitano, D.; Del Giudice, M. R. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 39, 1047–1057.

(3) (a) Fu, P. P.; Harvey, R. G. Chem. Rev. 1978, 78, 317–361.
(b) Buckle, D. R. Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, 2010.

(4) (a) Dobereiner, G. E.; Crabtree, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 681–703. (b) Choi, J.; MacArthur, A. H. R.; Brookhart, M.; Goldman, A. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1761–1779.

(5) (a) Deraedt, C.; Ye, R.; Ralston, W. T.; Toste, F. D.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 18084–18092. (b) Jaiswal, G.; Subaramanian, M.; Sahoo, M. K.; Balaraman, E. ChemCatChem 2019, 11, 2449–2457. (c) Ryabchuk, P.; Agapova, A.; Kreyenschulte, C.; Lund, H.; Junge, H.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 4969–4972. (d) Hara, T.; Mori, K.; Mizugaki, T.; Ebitani, K.; Kaneda, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6207–6210. (e) Moromi, S. K.; Siddiki, S. M. A. H.; Kon, K.; Toyao, T.; Shimizu, K. Catal. Today 2017, 281, 507–511. (f) Ainembabazi, D.; An, N.; Manayil, J. C.; Wilson, K.; Lee, A. F.; Voutchkova-Kostal, A. M. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 1055–1065. (g) Oyama, T.; Yatabe, T.; Jin, X.; Mizuno, N.; Yamaguchi, K. Chem. Lett. 2019, 48, 517–520.

(6) (a) Wu, Y.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 1192–1196.
(b) Luca, O. R.; Huang, D. L.; Takase, M. K.; Crabtree, R. H. New J. Chem. 2013, 37, 3402–3405.

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

(7) (a) He, K.-H.; Tan, F.-F.; Zhou, C.-Z.; Zhou, G.-J.; Yang, X.-L.; Li, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3080–3084. (b) Sahoo, M. K.; Jaiswal, G.; Rana, J.; Balaraman, E. Chem. - Eur. J. 2017, 23, 14167– 14172. (c) Balayeva, N. O.; Zheng, N.; Dillert, R.; Bahnemann, D. W. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 10694–10704. (d) Balayeva, N. O.; Mamiyev, Z.; Dillert, R.; Zheng, N.; Bahnemann, D. W. ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 5542–5553. (e) Yu, K.; Zhang, H.; Su, C.; Zhu, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 2020, 1956–1960. (f) Sahoo, M. K.; Balaraman, E. Green Chem. 2019, 21, 2119–2128. (g) Zhong, J.-Ji.; To, W.-P.; Liu, Y.; Lu, W.; Che, C.-M. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 4883–4889.

(8) Yamaguchi, R.; Ikeda, C.; Takahashi, Y.; Fujita, K. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8410-8412.

(9) Fujita, K. I.; Tanaka, Y.; Kobayashi, M.; Yamaguchi, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4829–4832.

(10) Manas, M. G.; Sharninghausen, L. S.; Lin, E.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 792, 184–189.

(11) Vivancos, Á.; Beller, M.; Albrecht, M. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 17–21.

(12) Wang, S.; Huang, H.; Bruneau, C.; Fischmeister, C. ChemSusChem 2019, 12, 2350-2354.

(13) (a) Wang, Z.; Tonks, I.; Belli, J.; Jensen, C. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 2854–2857. (b) Wu, J.; Talwar, D.; Johnston, S.; Yan, M.; Xiao, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6983–6987.
(c) Yao, W.; Zhang, Y.; Jia, X.; Huang, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1390–1394.

(14) For selected examples based on other noble metals, see: (a) Wendlandt, A. E.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 11910– 11913. (b) Kumaran, E.; Leong, W. K. Tetrahedron Lett. **2018**, 59, 3958–2149. (c) Wang, Y.; Li, C.; Huang, J. Asian J. Org. Chem. **2017**, 6, 44–46. (d) Muthaiah, S.; Hong, S. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2012**, 354, 3045–3053. (e) Pawar, S. A.; Chand, A. N.; Kumar, A. V. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. **2019**, 7, 8274–8286. For other related examples, see: (f) Liu, T.; Wu, K.; Wang, L.; Yu, Z. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2019**, 361, 3958–3964. (g) Bang, S. B.; Kim, J. Synth. Commun. **2018**, 48, 1291–1298. (h) Kojima, M.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2016**, 55, 12224–12227.

(15) (a) Bullock, R. M. Catalysis without Precious Metals; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2010. (b) Gebbink, R. J. M. K.; Moret, M.-E. Non-Noble Metal Catalysis; John Wiley & Sons, 2019. For interesting reviews of non-precious metal catalysts, see: (c) Irrgang, T.; Kempe, R. Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 2524–2549. (d) Bauer, I.; Knölker, H.-J. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3170–3387.

(16) Chakraborty, S.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8564–8567.

(17) (a) Xu, R.; Chakraborty, S.; Yuan, H.; Jones, W. D. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 6350–6354. For a recent example of a Mn-based catalyst, see: (b) Zubar, V.; Borghs, J. C.; Rueping, M. Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 3974–3978.

(18) (a) Bäckvall, J. E. Modern Oxidation Methods; VCH-Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Piera, J.; Bäckvall, J. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3506–3523.

(19) (a) Cui, X.; Li, Y.; Bachmann, S.; Scalone, M.; Surkus, A.-E.; Junge, K.; Topf, C.; Beller, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 10652–10658. (b) Iosub, A. V.; Stahl, S. S. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4404–4407. (20) For selected examples of oxidative dehydrogenation, see: (a) Jawale, D. V.; Gravel, E.; Shah, N.; Dauvois, V.; Li, H.; Namboothiri, I. N. N.; Doris, E. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 7039–7042. (b) Sun, X.-T.; Zhu, J.; Xia, Y.-T.; Wu, L. ChemCatChem 2017, 9, 2463–2466. (c) Mullick, K.; Biswas, S.; Angeles-Boza, A. M.; Suib, S. L. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 2256–2259. (d) Li, J.; Liu, G.; Long, X.; Gao, G.; Wu, J.; Li, F. J. Catal. 2017, 355, 53–62. (e) Zhang, Y.; Pang, S.; Wei, Z.; Jiao, H.; Dai, X.; Wang, H.; Shi, F. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 1465. (f) Zhou, W.; Tao, Q.; Sun, F.; Cao, X.; Qian, J.; Xu, J.; He, M.; Chen, Q.; Xiao, J. J. Catal. 2018, 361, 1–11. (g) Karimi, B.; Yari, O.; Khorasani, M.; Vali, H.; Mansouri, F. ChemCatChem 2018, 10, 1783–1787. (h) Wu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Cheong, W.-C.; Zhang, C.; Zheng, L.;

Yan, W.; Yu, R.; Chen, C.; Li, Y. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 5345-5352.
(i) Tang, T.; Bi, X.; Meng, X.; Chen, G.; Gou, M.; Liu, X.; Zhao, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2020, 61, 151425-151430.

(21) Dehydrogenation reactions of copper- and oxo-vanadium-based catalysts using TEMPO (4 equiv at 120 °C) and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD) or di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate (DBAD) are known; however, those reactions are associated with inadequate substrate collection (mostly parent quinoline derivatives) and often limited with moderate product yields, including limited functional group opportunity. For related examples, see: (a) Shang, Y.; Jie, X.; Jonnada, K.; Zafar, S. N.; Su, W. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 2273. (b) Zumbrägel, N.; Sako, M.; Takizawa, S.; Sasai, H.; Gröger, H. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4723–4727. (c) Dalvi, B. A.; Lokhande, P. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2018, 59, 2145–2149. (d) Jung, D.; Jang, S. H.; Yim, T.; Kim, J. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6436–6439. (e) Jung, D.; Kim, M. H.; Kim, J. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 6300–6303.

(22) For selected reviews of Ni catalysis, see: (a) Ananikov, V. P. Nickel: The "Spirited Horse" of Transition Metal Catalysis. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1964–1971. (b) Jolly, P. W.; Wilke, G. The Organic Chemistry of Nickel; Academic Press: New York, 1974. (c) Tamaru, Y. Modern Organonickel Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005; p 327. (d) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346–1416.

(23) For selected examples, see: (a) Vellakkaran, M.; Singh, K.; Banerjee, D. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 8152–8158. (b) Singh, K.; Vellakkaran, M.; Banerjee, D. Green Chem. 2018, 20, 2250–2256. (c) Bera, A.; Sk, M.; Singh, K.; Banerjee, D. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 5958–5961. (d) Das, J.; Singh, K.; Vellakkaran, M.; Banerjee, D. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 5587–5591. (e) Das, J.; Vellakkaran, M.; Sk, M.; Banerjee, D. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 7514–7518.

(24) **Caution**: Organic solvents and O_2 are flammable; therefore, high-scale reactions should be conducted with proper safety precautions: Osterberg, P. M.; Niemeier, J. K.; Welch, C. J.; Hawkins, J. M.; Martinelli, J. R.; Johnson, T. E.; Root, T. W.; Stahl, S. S. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2015**, *19*, 1537–1543.

(25) Liang, Y.; Jiang, H.; Tan, Z.; Zhang, M. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 10096–10099.

(26) (a) Patel, U. N.; Jain, S.; Pandey, D. K.; Gonnade, R. G.; Vanka, K.; Punji, B. *Organometallics* **2018**, *37*, 1017–1025. (b) Patel, U. N.; Jagtap, R. A.; Punji, B. *Organometallics* **2019**, *38*, 2422–2430.

(27) Jiang, X.; Zhang, J.; Ma, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8344–8347.

Letter