On the Di-1-naphthylcarbene-Dibenzofluorene Rearrangement and the Ethylenization of Diarylcarbinols

Michèle Régimbald-Krnel and Curt Wentrup*

Chemistry Department, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia

Received July 17, 1998

Solution-spray flash vacuum thermolysis of di-1-naphthyldiazomethane (9) results in the formation of dibenzo[c,g]fluorene (12), formed via a carbene–carbene rearrangement of di-1-naphthylcarbene (10). The isomeric dibenzo[a,i]fluorene (11) claimed by Franzen and Joschek (*Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1960, *633*, 7) is *not* formed, and no dibenzofluorene is formed on thermolysis in boiling naphthalene. 11 is formed on dehydration of di-1-naphthylcarbinol (14) with phosphoric acid, but the claimed tetra-1-naphthylethylene (13) is *not* formed, and the so-called ethylenization of diarylcarbinols is cast in doubt generally. The compound previously believed to be 13 is 13-[di(1-naphthyl)methyl]-dibenzo[a,i]fluorene (22). The alleged cleavage of 13 into two molecules of carbene 10 is unsubstantiated.

Introduction

The rearrangement of diphenylcarbene to fluorene was first reported by Staudinger and Endle¹ as the result of pyrolysis of diphenylketene through a quartz tube at 600-700 °C in a water aspirator vacuum (eq 1). Al-



though it would be natural to assume that this reaction involved bond formation between C-2 and C-2',1 detailed investigations of substituted diphenylcarbenes (produced by thermolysis of diaryldiazomethanes) have clearly demonstrated that a deep-seated carbene-carbene rearrangement is in fact the exclusive path.² For example, flash vacuum thermolysis (FVT) of (p,p'-ditolyl)diazomethane (1b) gives 2,7-dimethylfluorene (7b) in high yield with no trace of the isomeric 3,6-dimethylfluorene (8b).^{2a} This reaction was one of the earliest pieces of evidence for the aromatic carbene-carbene rearrangement, proceeding by ring expansion and ring contraction via the carbenes 2 and 6, the cycloheptatetraene 4, and possibly the (unobserved) bicycloheptatrienes 3 and 5 (Scheme 1).³ Recent theoretical calculations on the phenylcarbene-bicycloheptatriene-cycloheptatetraene re-



b: R = CH₃; Ar = *p*-CH₃-C₆H₄

arrangement reveal that the cycloheptatetraenes are likely to be global minima on the singlet energy surfaces, with the bicycloheptatrienes as shallow minima that will be difficult to detect experimentally, and the triplet carbenes as global minima on the triplet surfaces.⁴

⁽¹⁾ Staudinger, H.; Endle, R. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1913**, *46*, 1437–1442. The reaction was also formulated in that way by Harrison and Lossing (Harrison, H. D.; Lossing, P. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *82*, 1052) (using bromodiphenylmethane as a thermal precursor of diphenylcarbene and fluorene) and by Rice and Michaelsen (Rice, F. O.; Michaelsen, J. D. J. Phys. Chem. **1962**, *66*, 1535) (using diphenyldiazomethane as a thermal precursor).

 ^{(2) (}a) Wentrup, C.; Wilczek, K. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1970, *53*, 1459–1463. (b) Myers, J. A.; Joines, R. C.; Jones, W. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1970, *92*, 4740–4742. (c) Wentrup, C. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1976, *62*, 173–251.

⁽³⁾ For further reviews of carbene-carbene rearrangements, see also: Wentrup, C. *Reactive Molecules*; Wiley: New York, 1984. Jones, W. M. In *Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States*; de Mayo, P. Ed.; Academic: New York, 1980; Vol. 1, pp 95–161. Wentrup, C. In *Reactive Intermediates*, Abramovitch, R. A., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1980; Vol. 1, pp 263–319.

⁽⁴⁾ Matzinger, S.; Bally, T.; Patterson, E. V.; McMahon, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 1535–1542. Schreiner, P. R.; Karney, W. L.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Borden, W. T.; Hamilton, T. P.; Schaefer, H. F. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 7030–7039. Wong, M. W.; Wentrup, C. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 7022–7029.





Calculations (B3LYP/6-311+G**//B3LYP/6-31G*+ZPVE) on the diphenylcarbene (2a) energy surface are in agreement with these general trends: the cycloheptatetraene **4a** is ca. 6 kcal/mol below singlet diphenylcarbene **2a**, the singlet 2-biphenylylcarbene 6a is ca. 12 kcal/mol above 2a, the bicycloheptatrienes 3a and 5a are ca. 6 and 10 kcal/mol above singlet 2a, respectively, and the fluorene 7a is ca. 75 kcal/mol below singlet 2a, thus making the overall reaction strongly exothermic. The overall barrier height for the whole ring expansion-ring contraction-cyclization process from 2a to 7a is no more than ca. 23 kcal/mol at this level of theory.⁵

However, there is one report in the literature of the direct cyclization of a diarylcarbene to a fluorene, not involving a carbene-carbene rearrangement: in 1960, Franzen and Joschek reported two surprising observations⁶ (both wrong, as we shall show). (i) Thermolysis of di-1-naphthyldiazomethane (9) in boiling naphthalene (219 °C) gave dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene (11) (Scheme 2). (ii) The same dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene (**11**) was also produced by thermal cleavage of tetra-1-naphthylethylene (13) into two carbenes 10, followed by cyclization. Both the ethylene 13 and the dibenzofluorene 11 were synthesized according to a method previously described by Tschitschibabin and Magidson,⁷ whereby di-1-naphthylcarbinol (14) is treated with phosphoric acid (Scheme 2). This "ethylenization" reaction, allegedly producing 13 in the present case, has been the subject of other investigations and stands unchallenged in the literature.⁸

In this paper, we demonstrate that not only are the conclusions of Franzen and Joschek⁶ wrong; the premise that "ethylenization" of diarylcarbinols occurs on treatment with phosphoric acid is also erroneous. Tetra-1naphthylethylene (13) is in fact not formed, and it is still an unknown compound. Dibenzo[a,i]fluorene (11) is formed in the Magidson reaction, but it is not formed in



Ar = 1-naphthyl

the Franzen–Joschek reaction, where the isomer 12 (dibenzo[*c*,*g*]fluorene) is formed instead.

Results and Discussion

To be able to identify the isomeric dibenzofluorenes 11 and 12 unambiguously, authentic samples were synthesized according to the method of Harvey et al.⁹ The two fluorenes are distinguished by their melting points, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, and GC retention times, 12 eluting before 11. Since di-1-naphthyldiazomethane (9) is too involatile for normal FVT, it was investigated by solutionspray flash vacuum thermolysis (SS-FVT)¹⁰ of a benzene solution at 660 °C. GC-MS analysis of the volatile products allowed the identification of dibenzo[*c*,*g*]fluorene 12 (11-19%), di-1-naphthylmethane (18) (8-10%), and di-1-naphthyl ketone (19) (40-55% of the volatile fraction). Most importantly, only 12, and none of the isomer 11, was formed. This implies that the formation of 12 takes place via carbene-carbene rearrangement, according to Scheme 3. (Experiments reported below reveal that 11, if formed, would have been perfectly stable under the SS-FVT conditions.) Note that, if a bicycloheptatriene intermediate is involved, this will be compound 16 rather than 17. There are several examples of

⁽⁵⁾ Wong, M. W.; Wentrup, C. To be published.
(6) Franzen, V.; Joschek, H.-I. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1960**, *633*, 7–13. (7) (a) Tschitschibabin, A. E.; Magidson, O. I. J. Prakt. Chem. 1914,

^{90, 168-177. (}b) Magidson, O. I. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1925, 58, 433-442

⁽⁸⁾ Bergmann, F.; Israelashvili, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 354-356.

⁽⁹⁾ Harvey, R. G.; Pataki, J.; Cortez, C.; Raddo, P. D.; Yang, C. X. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 1210–1217.
(10) Rubin, Y.; Lin, S. S.; Knobler, C. B.; Anthony, J.; Boldi, A. M.;

Diederich, F.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6943-6949.

arylcarbene or -nitrene ring expansion taking place in the direction of the ring junction, corresponding to ${\bf 16}$ and ${\bf 15}.^{11}$

Franzen and Joschek reported the formation of **11** when a benzene solution of the diazo compound **9** was added to boiling naphthalene. We repeated this experiment several times with negative results: no detectable amount of any dibenzofluorene was formed. Also, the use of diphenyl ether at 215 °C did not give any dibenzofluorene. In both cases, the only identifiable volatile products were di-1-naphthylmethane (**18**) and di-1-naphthyl ketone (**19**) (ca. 1:2). The observation of fluorene **12** as a product would have been important as a unique example of an aromatic carbene–carbene rearrangement in solution. In fact, no bona fide example of such a reaction is known.¹²

Next, Magidson's "tetra-α-naphthylethylene" synthesis was repeated.⁷ The dehydration of di-1-naphthylcarbinol (14) with phosphoric acid produces the cation 20, which cyclizes to an intermediate 21 before (inter- or intramolecular) hydrogen shifts to give dibenzo[a,i]fluorene 11 (Scheme 4).¹³ Comparison with the authentic **11** proved that this product was correctly formulated by the original investigators,⁷ but it is different from the dibenzofluorene 12 obtained in the carbene-carbene rearrangement (Scheme 3). The second product of this reaction was supposed to be 13.7 However, detailed ¹H and ¹³C NMR investigations revealed that it is not at all an olefin. This, therefore, is also likely to be the case with other reported "ethylenization" reactions.^{8,14} Instead, Magidson's second product is 13-[di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo[a,i]fluorene 22. This product is obtained in 49% yield at 160 °C. The mechanism for its formation is described below (Scheme 4). The NMR spectra of 22 reveal 20 different aromatic carbons, of which seven are quarternary and two are aliphatic methine carbons, and 13 different protons integrating for 2H each and two additional protons integrating for 1H each. The extreme deshielding of the dinaphthyl methine proton in **22** (δ = 7.15 ppm) is particularly noteworthy. This is compared with related protons in similar compounds in Table 1, which demonstrates a progressive shift to lower fields as the number of aromatic rings around the methine proton increases. The methine protons of the fluorene moieties in 22 and in bis(dibenzo[a, i]fluorenyl) (23) are similarly affected, but to a smaller extent (5.9 ppm). DEPT and 2D spectra clearly showed that the low-field methine proton at 7.15 ppm correlates with an aliphatic CH carbon at 45.1 ppm, and homonuclear decoupling experiments (in CD₂Cl₂ and nitrobenzene- d_5) verified the coupling between the two



methine protons at 7.15 and 5.9 ppm (J = 4.6 Hz). All the proton and carbon signals were assigned by means of selective decoupling and 2D correlation spectra. All the relevant spectra are reported in the Supporting Information.

Structure **22** can be described as having a di(1-naphthyl)methyl (DNM) moiety and a dibenzo[a,i]fluorenyl (DBF) moiety. In turn, the DBF moiety contains one two-spin and one four-spin system, whereas the DNM moiety has one three-spin and one four-spin system. There is one other two-spin system, that of the two aliphatic protons, H-1' and H-13.

A 2D homonuclear ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{1}\text{H}$ shift correlation COSY spectrum permitted the identification of the spin system of each multiplet. Long-range ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{1}\text{H}$ couplings were established from a long-range COSY experiment. The one-bond ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{13}\text{C}$ connectivities were determined from an HSQC experiment, and long-range ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}{}^{13}\text{C}$ correlations were established from an HMBC experiment optimized for 6 Hz. The combined analysis of the data obtained from these 2D experiments permitted the unambiguous assignment of all protons and carbons. In particular, the doublet at 5.9 ppm corresponds to the aliphatic H-13 due to the long-range connectivities it shows with doublets at 7.81 and 7.87 ppm, the two-spin system of the DBF moiety in **22**.

The doublet at 7.81 ppm was assigned as H-5,8 on the basis of the three-bond connectivities with C-4,9, C-12a, 13b, and C6a,6b as well as the five-bond epi coupling¹⁵ with H-1,12 due to the favorable zigzag arrangement.

⁽¹¹⁾ Wentrup, C.; Thétaz, C.; Tagliaferri, E.; Lindner, H. J.; Kitschke, B.; Winter, H. W.; Reisenauer, H. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 566–567. Wentrup, C.; Winter, H.-W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6159–6161. Wentrup, C.; Mayor, C.; Becker, J.; Lindner, H. J. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1601–1612.

⁽¹²⁾ Rearrangement of cycloheptatetraenes to phenylcarbenes in solution, but not the reverse, is known: Jones, W. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1977**, *10*, 353–359.

⁽¹³⁾ For cationic cyclizations to produce fluorenes and related compounds, see: (a) Barclay, L. R. C. In *Friedel–Crafts and Related Reactions*, Olah, G. A., Ed; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1964; Vol. II, Part 2, pp 785–977. (b) Ohwada, T.; Shudo, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1862–1870. Ohwada, T.; Suzuki, T.; Shudo, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 4629–4637 and references therein. (c) For the related Scholl condensations, see: Balaban, A. T.; Nenitzescu, C. D. In *Friedel–Crafts and Related Reactions*, Olah, G. A., Ed; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1964; Vol. II, Part 2, pp 979–1047.

 Table 1.
 ¹H NMR Chemical Shifts of Aliphatic Protons

rr	
compd	$(\delta^1 \mathrm{H})^a$
1-methylnaphthalene	2.7 (CH ₃)
di(1-naphthyl)methane (18)	4.9 (CH ₂)
diphenylmethane	$3.9 (CH_2)$
fluorene	$3.8 (CH_2)$
dibenzo[<i>c,g</i>]fluorene (12)	4.1 (CH ₂)
dibenzo[<i>a</i> , <i>i</i>]fluorene (11)	4.5 (CH ₂)
13,13'-bis(dibenzo[<i>a</i> , <i>i</i>]fluorenyl) (23)	5.9 (H(C-13))
tetra(1-naphthyl)ethane (24)	6.6 (H(C-1))
13-[di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo[<i>a,i</i>]fluorene (22)	5.9 (dibenzofluorenyl, H(C-13)); ^b 7.1 (dinaphthylmethyl, H(C-1')) ^b

^a Chemical shift in ppm relative to internal TMS; CDCl₃ solutions. ^b For the numbering of compound **22**, see Scheme 4.



Similarly, in the DNM moiety, the doublet at 7.59 ppm was assigned as H-4",4"" due to its three-bond connectivities with C-2",2", C-5",5", and C-8a",8a" as well as an epi coupling to H-8",8".

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of bis(dibenzo[*a,i*]fluorenyl) (**23**) are also interesting: at room temperature, only broad, unresolved signals are observed in the aromatic C–H regions, presumably due to slow rotation of the two fluorenyl moieties. At 131 °C (CDCl₂CDCl₂ solution), the faster rotation results in well-resolved spectra. The spectra are shown in the Supporting Information.

A mechanism for the formation of **22** involves a coupling between the dinaphthylmethyl cation **20** and the intermediate **21** (Scheme 4). We found that **22** was also produced, albeit in only 5% yield, on treatment of carbinol **14** with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid at -40 to +18 °C. From this and other experiments, it can be concluded that the reaction of the dinaphthylmethyl cation **20** to give **22** occurs over a temperature range from -40 to +200 °C. Note that, in experiments reported by Ohwada et al.,^{13b} a different type of diphenylmethylfluorene (substituted in the six-membered rings, not on the fluorene-CH₂ group, by one or two diphenylmethyl groups) was obtained on treatment of certain diphenylcarbinols with TFSA.

Having established that this "Magidson compound" (22) is not an ethylene, the claimed cleavage of "tetra-1-naphthylethylene" into two carbenes⁶ is of course erroneous. Franzen and Joschek did obtain dibenzo[a, i]fluorene (11) by thermolysis of 22, not surprisingly since this moiety is contained in 22, but it was not formed via any carbene reaction. We checked the thermolysis of compound 22 in boiling naphthalene (Franzen–Joschek conditions) and also by SS-FVT and confirmed that dibenzo[a, i]fluorene (11) is in fact formed in this case. In the naphthalene melt, 5% of 11 was obtained, together with di-1-naphthylmethane (18) (<1%), tetra-1-naphthylethane (24) (47%), and bis(dibenzo[a, i]fluorenyl) (23) (42%) (Chart 1); these are assumed to be cleavage/ recombination products. In the SS-FVT experiment, 58% of **11**, 24% of **18**, and 4% of **23** were obtained. Compound **22** is also cleaved on treatment with hot phosphoric acid, affording a mixture of di-1-naphthylmethane (**18**) and dibenzo[*a,i*]fluorene (**11**), together with the recombination products **23**, **24**, and recovered **22**. Under the conditions of the Scholl reaction^{13c} (AlCl₃ in benzene), **22** did not undergo dehydrogenating condensation to give bis(dibenzofluorenyl) **23** but instead afforded dibenzo[*a,i*]fluorene (**11**) in 35% yield.

Conclusion

Di-1-naphthylcarbene (**10**) rearranges to dibenzo[c,g]-fluorene (**12**) under the conditions of solution-spray flash vacuum thermolysis. The isomeric dibenzo[a,i]fluorene (**11**) is not formed. No dibenzofluorene is formed on thermolysis of di-1-naphthyldiazomethane (**9**) in boiling naphthalene.

The "ethylenization" of diarylcarbinols, in particular the formation of tetra-1-naphthylethylene (**13**) on treatment of di-1-naphthylcarbinol (**14**) with phosphoric acid,^{6–8} is shown to be mistaken. The products formed are dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene (**11**) and 13-[di(1-naphthyl)methyl]-dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene **22**. Tetra-1-naphthylethylene is an unknown compound, and its alleged cleavage⁶ into two carbenes (**10**) on thermolysis was mistaken.

Experimental Section

General Methods. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX400 spectrometer at 28 °C, observing ¹H and ¹³C at 400.13 and 100.62 MHz, respectively, unless otherwise stated. The ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported in ppm from TMS (δ scale) but were measured against the CDCl₃ (CHCl₃) solvent peaks at 7.24 and 77.00 ppm, respectively, unless otherwise stated.

Phase-sensitive 2D spectra were obtained using phaseproportional time incrementation. DQF-COSY¹⁶ spectra were acquired over a spectral width of 1445 Hz (**22**) or 2049 Hz (**11**) using 16 scans, a recycle time of 3.5 s, and 2048 data points. Either 512 (**22**) or 963 (**11**) increments in F1 were collected. The 60° phase shifted sine bell squared window functions were applied in both dimensions before transformation of the matrix, which was zero filled in F1 to either 512 (**22**) or 1024 (**11**) points. A magnitude mode COSY spectrum was obtained for **22** with a delay of 0.2 s to optimize long-range couplings¹⁷ using the same acquisition parameters as for the DQF-COSY spectrum.

An ${}^{1}H^{-13}C$ HSQC¹⁸ spectrum was accumulated for **22** over a proton spectral width of 1445 Hz and a C-13 spectral width of 9090 Hz using 24 scans, a recycle time of 2.1 s, and 512

⁽¹⁶⁾ Derome, A. E.; Williamson, M. P. J. Magn. Reson. 1990, 88, 177–185.

 ⁽¹⁷⁾ Bax, A.; Freeman, R. J. Magn. Reson. 1981, 44, 542–561.
 (18) Bodenhausen, G.; Ruben, D. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1980, 69, 185–

⁽¹⁸⁾ Bodenhausen, G.; Ruben, D. J. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *69*, 185-189.

data points in F2. C-13 decoupling was applied during acquisition. A total of 987 increments in F1 were applied, 90° phase-shifted sine bell squared window functions were applied in both dimensions before transformation, and the matrix was zero filled to 512 points in F2 and 1024 points in F1. An ¹H–¹³C HMBC¹⁹ magnitude mode experiment was obtained for **22** using conditions as for the HSQC except that the C-13 spectral width was 11 900 Hz and 64 scans were acquired for each of the 1024 increments in F1. The delay for evolution of long-range couplings was optimized for J = 6 Hz (83 ms).

GC-MS analyses were made on a Hewlett-Packard quadrupole mass-selective detector 5970 connected to a gas chromatograph equipped with a BP-5 capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm with 0.25 m phase thickness; He carrier at 20 psi head pressure; injector, 200 °C; detector 280 °C; column temperature, 100-250 °C, programmed at 16 °C/min). Identification of known compounds by ¹H NMR and GC-MS analysis of mixtures was done by direct comparison with authentic samples. Melting points are uncorrected. Column chromatography was performed using neutral Al₂O₃ (activity I) unless otherwise stated. Di(1-naphthyl) ketone (**19**)²⁰ and di(1-naphthyl)carbinol²⁰ (**14**) were synthesized according to literature procedures.

Di(1-naphthyl) Ketone Hydrazone. Standard procedures²¹ for hydrazone preparation were modified as follows. Di(1-naphthyl) ketone²⁰ (**19**) (0.20 g, 0.71 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of dry *n*-butanol; 1.0 mL of anhydrous hydrazine was added to the solution, which was then refluxed under N₂. After both the second and the third day of reflux, 1.5 g of anhyd MgSO₄ and 0.5 mL of anhyd hydrazine were added to the mixture. According to GC-MS analysis, complete conversion to the hydrazone was achieved after 6 d of reflux. The hot solution was filtered to remove MgSO₄, and the solid was washed with hot ethanol. After concentration and cooling, 0.15 g (71%) of the hydrazone was obtained: mp 147–149 $^\circ\!\check{C}$ (lit.6 mp 148 °C); ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 8.9 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.8-7.9 (m, 4 H), 7.7 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.4-7.6 (m, 6 H), 7.2–7.3 (m, 2 H), 5.3 (s, 2 H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (50 MHz) δ 148.7 (s), 135.6 (s), 134.3 (s), 133.8 (s), 132.4 (s), 131.1 (s), 129.9 (s), 129.5 (d), 128.9 (d), 128.7 (d), 128.4 (d), 127.8 (d), 127.3 (d), 127.1 (d), 126.7 (d), 126.6 (d), 126.4 (d), 125.9 (d), 125.8 (d), 125.4 (d), 124.8 (d).

Di(1-naphthyl)diazomethane (9). To a solution of 0.18 g (0.61 mmol) of di(1-naphthyl) ketone hydrazone in 10 mL of dry ether were added 0.30 g of anhyd Na₂SO₄, 0.5 mL of a saturated ethanolic potassium hydroxyde solution, and 0.90 g of yellow HgO. The flask was cooled in an ice bath, and the mixture was stirred in the dark for 10 h. After filtration, the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the bright red residue was dissolved in hexane. Upon cooling, 0.17 g (95%) of **9** was obtained as red crystals: mp 75–80 °C dec (lit.²² mp 75 °C dec); ¹H NMR δ 7.88–7.92 (m, 4 H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.50 (dd, J = 8.2, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (t, $J_A = J_B$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.9, 1.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 134.5 (s), 130.6 (s), 128.9 (d), 128.7 (s), 127.8 (d), 126.4 (d), 126.3 (d), 126.1 (d), 125.8 (d), 124.8 (d), 58.3 (s).

Dibenzo[*c*,*g*]fluorene (12):⁹ mp 141–143 °C (lit.⁹ mp 142.5–143 °C); ¹H NMR δ 8.76 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.6 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.1, 2H), 7.5–7.6 (m, 4H), 4.11 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR δ 142.9 (s), 138.3 (s), 133.9 (s), 128.8 (s), 128.7 (d), 127.7 (d), 126.7 (d), 124.8 (d), 124.7 (d), 122.8 (d), 38.9 (t); GC–MS retention time 21.7 min.

Dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene (11):⁹ mp 232–233 °C (lit.⁹ mp 231–233 °C); ¹H NMR δ 8.12 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, H1,12), 7.98 (d, J

= 8.3, 2H, H6,7), 7.90–7.94 (m, 4H, H4,5,8,9), 7.57 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.9, 1.1, 2H, H2,11), 7.47 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2, 2H, H3, 10) 4.47 (s, 2H, H13,13') (assignments are based on 2D homonuclear ¹H-¹H coupling (COSY) experiments and differ from those given in ref 9; in particular, an epi coupling¹⁵ between H-5,8 and H-1,12 was observed, which allowed the assignment of the rest of the proton signals. The 2D spectrum is shown in the Supporting Information); ¹³C NMR δ 139.9 (s), 139.8 (s), 132.7 (s), 130.7 (s), 129.0 (d), 127.8 (d), 126.5 (d), 125.2 (d), 124.0 (d), 118.7 (d), 34.4 (t); GC–MS retention time 23.6 min.

Tetra(1-naphthyl)ethane (24) was prepared according to the literature²³ from di(1-naphthyl)chloromethane in 93% yield: mp 282–285 °C (lit.^{20a} mp 285–286 °C); ¹H NMR δ 7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4 H) 7.59 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4 H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4 H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4 H) 7.09–7.21 (m, 12 H), 6.65 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 139.5 (s), 133.5 (s), 131.8 (s), 128.33 (d), 128.28 (d), 127.1 (d), 126.8 (d), 125.5 (d), 124.9 (d), 124.7 (d), 123.0 (d), 45.9 (d).

13,13'-Bis(dibenzo[a,i]fluorenyl) (23). A mixture of dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene (**11**) (0.136 g, 0.511 mmol) and dibenzoyl peroxide (0.078 g, 0.32 mmol) was refluxed in 15 mL of dry benzene for 2.5 d. More dibenzoyl peroxide (0.075 g, 0.31 mmol) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for another 2.5 d. The solvent was removed to give a brown residue, which was washed with a small amount of acetone. The off-white solid was then dissolved in a minimum amount of boiling benzene. The fine white solid that separated upon cooling was recovered by filtration. This afforded 44 mg (32%) of 23: mp upon fast heating in an open capillary: the solid turned brown at 320-325 °C and melted at 340-341 °C (lit.7b mp 338-350 °C, lit.²⁴ mp 353–355 °C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 27 °C) δ 7.0–8.2 (unresolved broad band) 5.88 (s); ¹H NMR (CDCl₂CDCl₂, 131 °C) δ 7.83 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 4 H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 4 H), 7.3– 7.4 (br m, 12 H), 7.23 (br t, 4 H), 5.94 (s, 2 H); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl_3, 27 °C) δ 141.6, 130.4, 123–125 (br signal), 51.6; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₂CDCl₂, 131 °C) δ 141.9, 140.2, 133.3, 130.8, 129.0, 128.6, 125.8, 124.6, 124.3, 118.1, 52.0.

Reaction of Di(1-naphthyl)carbinol (14) with Anhydrous Phosphoric Acid. This reaction^{6.7} was performed as follows.

(a) A 0.50 g (1.8 mmol) portion of the carbinol **14** and 1.5 g of crystalline H_3PO_4 were ground together. The paste was transferred to a round-bottomed flask, which was evacuated at 1-2 mbar. The flask was placed in an oil bath at 160 °C for 1 h. The resulting solid was crushed to a powder, washed with distilled H_2O , and taken up in benzene. The organic phase was washed with H_2O until the water washings were neutral. The benzene phase was dried with anhyd MgSO₄ and then concentrated to give 0.45 g of crude reaction mixture. Column chromatography (hexane-benzene 10:1, changed gradually to 1:1) afforded the following compounds:

Di(1-naphthyl)methane (18) as the first fraction: 0.02 g (4%) of as white needles: mp 108–109 °C (lit.^{20b} mp 107–108 °C); ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 8.0 (m, 2 H), 7.9 (m, 2 H), 7.8 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.5 (m, 4 H), 7.4 (dd, J = 8.1, 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.1 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.9 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz) δ 136.1 (s), 133.8 (s), 132.2 (s), 128.7 (d), 127.1 (d), 127.0 (d), 126.1 (d), 125.6 (d), 125.6 (d), 123.9 (d), 35.7 (t).

Dibenzo[*a*,*i*]**fluorene** (11) as the second fraction: 0.16 g (34%) of as white platelets; mp 233–234 °C; identified by NMR and GC–MS comparison with the sample described above.

13*H***-13-[Di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo[***a***,***i***]fluorene (22) as the third fraction: 0.23 g (49%); mp > 260 °C dec in open capillary with fast heating; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) \delta 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H8",8"), 7.87 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H, H6,7), 7.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H5,8), 7.75–7.78 (m, 4 H, H4,9,5",5"), 7.59 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H4",4"'), 7.55 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, H1,12), 7.38 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 2 H, H6",H6"'), 7.33 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.6 Hz, 2 H, H7",H7"'), 7.26 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 2 H, H1',2,11,2",2"'), 7.01 (t,**

⁽¹⁹⁾ Bax, A.; Summers, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 2093–2094.

^{(20) (}a) Schmidlin, J.; Massini, P. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. **1909**, 42, 2377–2392. (b) Blicke, F. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1927**, 49, 2843–2849. (21) (a) Schönberg A : Fateen A E K : Sammour A E M A J

^{(21) (}a) Schönberg, A.; Fateen, A. E. K.; Sammour, A. E. M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 6020–6023. (b) Baltzly, R.; Mehta, N. B.; Russell, P. B.; Brooks, R. E.; Grivsky, E. M.; Steinberg, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 3669–3676.

⁽²²⁾ Reimlinger, H. Chem. Ber. 1964, 97, 3493-3502.

⁽²³⁾ Reimlinger. H.; Golstein, J.-P.; Jadot, J.; Jung, P. Chem. Ber. **1964**, *97*, 349–362.

⁽²⁴⁾ Wanscheidt, A. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1926, 59, 2092-2100.

 $J_{\rm A} = J_{\rm B} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{H3'',H3'''}, 5.99 \text{ (d}, J = 4.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \\ \text{H13}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} \text{ (CD2Cl2}) \delta 144.1 \text{ (s}, \text{C12b,13a)} 141.0 \text{ (s}, \text{C6a}, \\ \text{6b}), 137.9 \text{ (s}, \text{C1'',1''')}, 134.4 \text{ (s}, \text{C4a'',4a''')}, 133.5 \text{ (s}, \text{C4a,8a)}, \\ 132.5 \text{ (s}, \text{C8a'',8a''')}, 130.8 \text{ (s}, \text{C12a,13b)}, 129.2 \text{ (d}, \text{C5'',5''')}, \\ 129.1 \text{ (d}, \text{C4,9)}, 129.0 \text{ (d}, \text{C5,8)}, 127.7 \text{ (d}, \text{C4'',4''')}, 127.4 \text{ (d}, \\ \text{C2'',2''')}, 126.4 \text{ (d}, \text{C7'',7''')}, 126.0 \text{ (d}, \text{C2,11)}, 125.7 \text{ (d}, \text{C6'',6''')}, \\ 125.5 \text{ (d}, \text{C1,12)}, 125.2 \text{ (d}, \text{C3'',3''')}, 125.0 \text{ (d}, \text{C3,10)}, 123.5 \text{ (d}, \\ \text{C8'',8''')}, 118.5 \text{ (d}, \text{C6,7)}, 51.6 \text{ (d}, \text{C13)}, 45.1 \text{ (d}, \text{C1')}. \text{ Anal.} \\ \text{Calcd for } \text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{28}\text{:} \text{ C}, 94.70\text{; H}, 5.30. \text{ Found: C}, 94.61\text{; H}, 5.25. \\ \end{cases}$

In addition, a fraction was collected that contained a mixture of **22** and 13,13'-bis(dibenzo[a,i]fluorenyl) (**23**) (<10 mg), identified by ¹H NMR comparison with the above sample.

(b) A 1.00 g portion of **14** and 3.5 g of crystalline H_3PO_4 were ground together. The paste was heated at 200 °C in a vacuum (1–2 mbar) for 2 h. The usual workup afforded 0.66 g of a beige solid. ¹H NMR analysis revealed a mixture of di(1-naphthyl)methane (**18**) (24%), dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorene (**11**) (66%), 13,13'-bis(dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorenyl) (**23**) (7%), and a trace of **22** (3%).

Reaction of Di(1-naphthyl)carbinol (14) with TFSA. To 4.5 mL of TFSA cooled to -40 °C in a dry ice–ethanol bath was added 0.16 g (0.56 mmol) of di(1-naphthyl)carbinol in portions with vigorous stirring. The system was maintained under N₂, and a dark blue solution formed upon addition of the carbinol. The solution was warmed slowly to 18 °C in the course of 3-4 h before the usual aqueous workup. ¹H NMR analysis of the product mixture (beige solid, 0.12 g) revealed that mostly starting material was recovered (80%) with some bis[di(1-naphthyl)methyl] ether (10%), **22** (5%), **11** (2%), and **18** (1%).

Bis[di(1-naphthyl)methyl] ether was prepared for comparison by dehydration of di(1-naphthyl)carbinol (**14**) with HCl at 150 °C/0.5 mbar:^{7a} mp 248–250 °C (lit.^{7a} mp 246.5 °C); ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 7.80–7.86 (m, 8 H), 7.72 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 4 H), 7.31–7.45 (m, 12 H), 7.15 (s, 2 H), 7.01 (ddd, J = 8.4, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz) δ 136.9 (s), 133.7 (s), 131.5 (s), 128.7 (d), 128.4 (d), 126.4 (d), 126.1 (d), 125.5 (d), 125.4 (d), 123.4 (d), 73.2 (d).

Reaction of 13H-13-[Di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo-[a,i]fluorene (22) with Anhyd H₃PO₄. A 0.25 g (0.47 mmol) portion of 22 and 2.3 g of crystalline H₃PO₄ were ground together. The paste was heated at 240 °C under N2 for 2.5 h. After cooling, the brown mixture was dissolved in benzene and washed three times with a saturated NaHCO₃ solution and then three times with distilled H_2O . The benzene phase was dried with anhyd MgSO₄ and then concentrated to give 0.21 g of the crude product mixture. Column chromatography (hexane-benzene 1:0, changed gradually to 1:1) afforded 0.02 g (8%) of di(1-naphthyl)methane (18), 0.06 g (24%) of dibenzo-[a,i] fluorene (11), and 0.08 g of a mixture that, analyzed by ¹H NMR, revealed the presence of tetra(1-naphthyl)ethane (24) (3%), 13H-13-[di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo[a,i]fluorene (22) (3%), 13,13'-bis(dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorenyl) (23) (15%), and an unknown exhibiting singlets at 4.6, 7.4, and 7.6 ppm in a ratio of 2:1:1 (possibly an isomeric (dinaphthylmethyl)dibenzofluorene of the Ohwada type^{13b} (ca. 9%)).

Reaction of 13*H***·13**·**[Di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo-**[*a,i*]**fluorene (22) with AlCl**₃. A 0.05 g (0.094 mmol) portion of **22** was stirred in 30 mL of dry benzene with 0.06 g (0.45 mmol) of AlCl₃ at room temperature for 4 h. TLC of the mixture indicated the formation of dibenzo[*a,i*]fluorene (**11**) and that starting material was still present. The mixture was refluxed overnight, after which time TLC indicated that all starting material had been consumed. The benzene phase was washed with H₂O, dried with anhyd MgSO₄, and then concentrated to give 0.04 g of a red/brown solid. ¹H NMR and GC-MS analysis revealed a mixture of dibenzo[*a,i*]fluorene (**11**) (35%), triphenylmethane (35%), diphenylmethane (15%), and naphthalene (10%). A similar experiment with AlCl₃ in refluxing CS₂ afforded a 22% yield of **11**.

Thermolysis of 13*H***-13-[Di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo[***a,i***]fluorene (22) in Naphthalene.** A mixture of 50.0 mg (0.094 mmol) of **22** and 3.0 g of naphthalene was heated until the naphthalene had melted and purged with N₂ for 30 min. The mixture was then refluxed at ca. 219 °C for 30 min, and the naphthalene was removed by sublimation. ¹H NMR analysis of the residue (beige solid, 47.2 mg) revealed a mixture of tetra(1-naphthyl)ethane (**24**) (47%), bis(dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorenyl) (**23**) (42%), recovered starting material (**22**) (5%), dibenzo-[*a*,*i*]fluorene (**11**) (5%), and di(1-naphthyl)methane (**18**) (<1%).

Thermolysis of Di(1-naphthyl)diazomethane (9) in Naphthalene. A 9.2 mg portion of **9** was dissolved in 30 mL of dry benzene. The solution was protected from light and degassed using four freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Using a dry gastight syringe, the diazo solution was added dropwise to 10.0 g of refluxing naphthalene with vigorous stirring. Prior to the addition, the molten naphthalene had been purged with N₂ for 1 h. During the addition, the benzene was distilled off under a constant flow of N₂. Once the addition was complete, the naphthalene was carefully sublimed at 100 °C/1-2 mbar, leaving a dark yellow residue. No dibenzofluorene was detected either by ¹H NMR or by GC-MS analysis. Di(1naphthyl)methane (**18**) and di(1-naphthyl) ketone (**19**) were the two major volatile products, obtained in a ratio of 1:2 according to the relative peak areas in the GC trace.

Thermolysis of Di(1-naphthyl)diazomethane (9) in **Diphenyl Ether**. A 9.5 mg portion of **9** was dissolved in 30 mL of freshly distilled phenyl ether. This solution was protected from light and purged with dry N₂ for 45 min. Using a dry gastight syringe, the diazo solution was added dropwise and with vigorous stirring to 30 mL of diphenyl ether (freshly distilled and purged with dry N_2 for 45 min) at 215 °C. The addition lasted 30 min, during which time the temperature dropped gradually to 200 °C. Most of the diphenyl ether was removed by vacuum distillation and the rest by Kugelrohr distillation, leaving a brown residue (20.9 mg). Column chromatography (hexane-benzene 9:1) afforded 11.7 mg of a yellow residue. No dibenzofluorene was detected by either ¹H NMR or GC-MS analysis of the product mixture. Again, di-(1-naphthyl)methane ($\mathbf{\tilde{18}}$) and di($\mathbf{\hat{1}}$ -naphthyl) ketone ($\mathbf{\tilde{19}}$) were the two major volatile products, obtained in a ratio of 1:2.

Solution-Spray Flash Vacuum Thermolysis Method (SS-FVT). The general procedure described in the literature¹⁰ was followed with some modifications. An electrically heated quartz pyrolysis tube (30×1.8 cm i.d.) was filled with quartz chips; a U-tube cooled in liquid N₂ was used to trap the products of the thermolysis; the thermolysis apparatus was evacuated by means of a turbomolecular pump (ca. 10^{-5} mbar); a metal tubing 0.9 m in length and of 0.4 mm o.d. was used instead of a Teflon needle; one end of this metal tubing was placed in the sample reservoir; the other was connected through a rubber septum (previously bleached in benzene) to a fine glass capillary inserted into the end of the pyrolysis tube. The metal tubing and capillary were purged with N₂ while being heated with a heat gun before use. A needle valve was used to control the flow of N₂ gas entering the sample reservoir (protected from light) containing a solution of the compound to be thermolyzed. This solution was degassed using three to four freeze-pump-thaw cycles. After thermolysis, the oven was cooled, and the frozen benzene solution in the U-tube was allowed to thaw under N₂. After concentration, the mixture of products was analyzed by GC-MS and ¹H NMR.

SS-FVT of Di(1-naphthyl)diazomethane (9). A degassed solution of 11.5 mg (0.0391 mmol) of **9** in 10–15 mL of dry benzene was thermolyzed at a temperature of 660 °C over the course of 30 min. GC–MS analysis of the mixture revealed the formation of di(1-naphthyl) ketone (19) (55%), dibenzo-[c,g]fluorene (12) (19%) and di(1-naphthyl)methane (18) (8%). Similar results were obtained in repeat experiments.

SS-FVT of 13*H***-13-[Di(1-naphthyl)methyl]dibenzo[***a***,***i***]-fluorene (22)**. A degassed solution of 12.0 mg (0.0226 mmol) of **22** in 15 mL of dry benzene was thermolyzed at 800 °C over 35 min. ¹H NMR of the product mixture revealed that dibenzo-[*a*,*i*]fluorene (**11**) (58%) and di(1-naphthyl)methane (**18**) (24%) were the two major products. Some starting material (**22**) (14%) was recovered as well. A small amount of 13,13'-bis-(dibenzo[*a*,*i*]fluorenyl) (**23**) (4%) was also observed. No dibenDi-1-naphthylcarbene-Dibenzofluorene Rearrangement

zo[c,g]fluorene (12) or di(1-naphthyl) ketone (13) were detected by GC–MS or ¹H NMR analysis of the mixture.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council. M.R.K. thanks the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada for a "1967 Science and Engineering Scholarship" and The University of Queensland for an Overseas Postgraduate Research Scholarship. The expert help and advice of Ms. Lynette Lambert in NMR spectroscopy is gratefully acknowledged. **Supporting Information Available:** ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, selective ¹H decoupling experiments, DEPT-90 spectrum, 2D ¹H-¹H DQF-COSY, long-range ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹³C HSQC, and long-range ¹H-¹³C HMBC correlation spectra of **22**. ¹H NMR and ¹H-¹H DQF-COSY spectra of **11**. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **23** at room temperature and at 131 °C (17 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

JO981397G