# Accepted Manuscript

New cytotoxic callipeltins from the Solomon Island marine sponge Asteropus sp.

Marc Stierhof, Kine Østnes Hansen, Mukesh Sharma, Klaus Feussner, Karolina Subko, Fernando Fernandez Diazrullo, Johan Isaksson, Ignacio Pérez-Victoria, David Clarke, Espen Hansen, Marcel Jaspars, Jioji N. Tabudravu

PII: S0040-4020(16)30923-1

DOI: 10.1016/j.tet.2016.09.016

Reference: TET 28087

To appear in: Tetrahedron

Received Date: 13 June 2016

Revised Date: 26 August 2016

Accepted Date: 9 September 2016

Please cite this article as: Stierhof M, Hansen KE, Sharma M, Feussner K, Subko K, Diazrullo FF, Isaksson J, Pérez-Victoria I, Clarke D, Hansen E, Jaspars M, Tabudravu JN, New cytotoxic callipeltins from the Solomon Island marine sponge *Asteropus* sp., *Tetrahedron* (2016), doi: 10.1016/ j.tet.2016.09.016.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



### **Graphical Abstract**

New cyctoxic callipeltins from the Solomon	Leave this area blank for abstract info.
Island marine sponge Asteropus sp.	

Marc Stierhof<sup>a</sup>, Kine Østnes Hansen<sup>b</sup>, Mukesh Sharma<sup>c</sup>, Klaus Feussner<sup>c</sup>, Karolina Subko<sup>a</sup>, Fernando Fernandez Diazrullo<sup>d</sup>, Johan Isaksson<sup>e</sup>, Ignacio Pérez-Victoria<sup>f</sup>, David Clarke<sup>g</sup>, Espen Hansen<sup>b</sup>, Marcel Jaspars<sup>a</sup>, Jioji N. Tabudravu<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Marine Biodiscovery Centre, Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Scotland, UK <sup>b</sup>Marbio, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway <sup>c</sup>Institute of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Science, Technology and Environment, The University of the South Pacific, P.O Box 1168, Suva, Fiji Islands

<sup>*a</sup>Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Scotland, UK* <sup>*e*</sup>Department of. Chemistry, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Realfagsbygget, Breivika, 9037 Tromsø,</sup>

Porway <sup>1</sup>Fundación MEDINA, Parque Tecnológico de la Salud, Av. Conocimiento 34 - 18016 Granada, Spain <sup>8</sup>School of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Edinburgh, David Brewster Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FJ, UK



### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

### Tetrahedron



Tetrahedron journal homepage: www.elsevier.com



## New cytotoxic callipeltins from the Solomon Island marine sponge Asteropus sp.

Marc Stierhof<sup>a</sup>, Kine Østnes Hansen<sup>b</sup>, Mukesh Sharma<sup>c</sup>, Klaus Feussner<sup>c</sup>, Karolina Subko<sup>a</sup>, Fernando Fernandez Diazrullo<sup>d</sup>, Johan Isaksson<sup>e</sup>, Ignacio Pérez-Victoria<sup>f</sup>, David Clarke<sup>g</sup>, Espen Hansen<sup>b</sup>, Marcel Jaspars<sup>a</sup>, Jioji N. Tabudravu<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Marine Biodiscovery Centre, Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Scotland, UK

<sup>b</sup>Marbio, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Science, Technology and Environment, The University of the South Pacific, P.O Box 1168, Suva, Fiji Islands <sup>d</sup>Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Scotland, UK

<sup>e</sup>Department of. Chemistry, UiT the Arctic University of Norway, Realfagsbygget, Breivika, 9037 Tromsø, Norway

<sup>f</sup>Fundación MEDINA, Parque Tecnológico de la Salud, Av. Conocimiento 34 - 18016 Granada, Spain

<sup>8</sup>School of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Edinburgh, David Brewster Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FJ, UK

#### ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: Callipeltin Asteropus NMR LCMS Derivatisation

Antiproliferative activity

Four new callipeltin A derivatives (N-Q) have been isolated from the Solomon Island marine sponge *Asteropus* sp. Their structures were established by spectroscopic techniques followed by acid hydrolysis and derivatisation of the free amino acids, and subsequent LCMS analysis of the derivatives. The compounds were evaluated for their activity against cancer cell lines A2058 (melanoma), HT-29 (colorectal adenocarcinoma) and MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma) and non-malignant MRC-5 fibroblast cells. While the acyclic callipeltins P and Q were inactive the cyclic callipeltins N and O showed significant cytotoxicity against all exposed cell lines with IC<sub>50</sub> values as low as 0.16  $\mu$ M confirming the role of cyclic configuration in biological activity.

2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-1224-273105; fax: +44-1224-272921; e-mail: j.tabudravu@abdn.ac.uk

#### 1.0 Introduction

Marine invertebrate derived cyclic peptides continue to attract considerable attention due to their strong anticancer and antiviral activities.<sup>1,2</sup> For example aplidine (dehydrodidemnim B) derived from the ascidian Aplidium albicans, is currently in clinical trials phase III for multiple myeloma and in phase II trials for T-cell lymphomas.<sup>3</sup> A group of related sponge derived cyclic peptides such as the callipeltins<sup>4</sup> from *Latrunculia* sp. the neamphamides<sup>5</sup> from *Neamphius huxleyi*, homophymines<sup>6</sup> from *Homophymia* sp., papuamides and theopapuamides<sup>7,8</sup> from *Theonella mirabilis* and T. swinhoei respectively, contain unusual non-proteinogenic units such as (2S,3S,4R)-3,4-dimethylglutamine (3,4-diMeGln), (2S,3R)-β-methoxytyrosine (β-OMeTyr), (2R,3R,4S)-4-amino-7-guanidino-2,3-dihydroxyheptanoic acid (AGDHA) and (2R,3R,4R)-3-hydroxy-2,4,6-trimethylheptanoic acid (HTMHA) suggesting a common biosynthetic origin.

A sponge collected from a reef in the central province of the Solomon Islands in 2006 was identified as *Asteropus* sp. A methanolic extract of this sponge showed strong inhibition against amphotericin B resistant (ATCC90873) and wild type *Candida albicans* (ATCC32354). A bioassay-guided isolation of this extract resulted in the isolation of cyclic callipeltins N and O as the main components. Also isolated in smaller quantities were their linear forms named callipeltin P and Q respectively.

The effects of callipeltin N - Q on *in vitro* survival of human cancer cell lines A2058 (melanoma), HT-29 (colorectal adenocarcinoma) and MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), as well as the non-malignant human fibroblast cell line MRC-5 were evaluated.

#### 2.0 Results and Discussion

The frozen sponge sample was thawed and extracted 3 times with MeOH followed by dichloromethane. The combined crude extract (4.2 g) was partitioned using a modified Kupchan method<sup>9,10</sup> followed by reversed phase HPLC to yield callipeltins N-Q. Callipeltin N (1) was obtained as a white amorphous solid

and showed the doubly charged molecular ion at m/z 766.4563  $[M+2H]^{2+}$  in the HRESIMS spectrum corresponding to the molecular formula  $C_{70}H_{120}N_{18}O_{20}$  ( $\Delta$  +2.5 ppm). Extensive analysis of 2D NMR data of COSY, Edited-HSQC, HSQCTOCSY, HMBC and by comparison of chemical shifts with those of callipeltin A<sup>1</sup> revealed one residue each of alanine (Ala),  $\beta$ -methoxytyrosine ( $\beta$ -MeOTyr), 3,4-dimethylglutamine (3,4-diMeGln), N-methylglutamine (NMeGln), leucine (Leu), arginine (Arg), threonine (Thr), 4-amino-7-guanidino-2,3dihydroxy heptanoic acid (AGDHE), and 3-hydroxy-2,4,6trimethylheptanoic acid (TMHEA). There was however one amino acid that that was different from components of known callipeltins. This was the amino acid homoproline (Hpr). Identification of homoproline was carried out using HSOCTOCSY correlations between the  $\alpha$ -carbon at 51.8 ppm and the methylene protons at 2.13/1.58, 1.66/1.50, 1.64/1.47 and 3.78/2.82 ppm respectively indicating that the carbons and associated protons were part of the same spin system. The deshielded methylene group at  $\delta_H$  3.78/2.82 ppm and  $\delta_C$  43.2 ppm suggest that this carbon is attached to a nitrogen atom to form a ring thus accounting for the correct number of double bond equivalents in the molecule. Even though homoproline has been reported here for the callipeltins for the first time it has been known to be part of the structurally related neamphamide, homophymine<sup>6</sup> and papuamide.<sup>7</sup>

The sequence of amino acids in callipeltin N (1) was determined by HMBC correlations (Figure 1). It was consistent with those found in callipeltin  $A^1$  with the exception of N-methylalanine which has been replaced by homoproline in the structure of callipeltin N (1).

The absolute stereochemistry of D-alanine, D-arginine, D-threonine, L-homoproline and L-leucine in callipeltin N (1) was determined by complete acid hydrolysis of 1 and Marfey's derivatisation of the resulting amino acids using 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-L-leucinamide (FDLA)<sup>12</sup> and the comparison of the LCMS data of the derivatives of 1 with the FDLA derivatives of corresponding standards. The configurations of the remaining





stereocentres have not been determined and are assumed to be the same as in callipeltin A based on similarities of  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR chemical shifts.<sup>1</sup>

Callipeltin O (2) was obtained as a white amorphous solid and showed the doubly charged molecular ion at m/z 759.4392 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup> ( $\Delta$  +1.7 ppm) in the HRESIMS spectrum corresponding to the molecular formula C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>118</sub>N<sub>18</sub>O<sub>20</sub>. The primary structure of callipeltin O (2) was determined by extensive analysis of 2D NMR data and was consistent with that of callipeltin N except for the presence of 3-methylglutamine replacing 3,4-dimethylglutamine in callipeltin N (1) (Figure 2).

The stereochemistry of the amino acids was determined to be Dalanine, D-arginine, L-homoproline, D-threonine, and L-leucine by Marfey's method.<sup>12</sup> The configurations of the remaining stereocentres of callipeltin O have not been determined and all except 3-MeGln are assumed to be the same as in callipeltin A based on similarities of <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts.<sup>1</sup>

### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

 $\begin{array}{c} 4 & Tetrahedron \\ \textbf{Table 1.} ^{1}H \text{ and } ^{13}C \text{ NMR data (CD}_{3}OD) \text{ for compounds } \textbf{1, 2, 3 and 4} \end{array}$ 

aa/C-pos	1		aa/position	2		C-pos	3		C-pos	4	
	${\delta_{\rm H}}^a$	${\delta_C}^b$		$\delta_{H}{}^{a}$	${\delta_C}^b$		$\delta_{H}^{c}$	${\delta_C}^d$		$\delta_{H}{}^{c}$	${\delta_C}^d$
HPr			HPr			HPr			HPr		
α	5.25 d (4.5)	51.8	α	5.24 d (5.7)	51.9	α	5.27 ovl.	52.2	α	5.29 ovl.	52.2
β	2.13 bm, 1.58 ovl.	25.1	β	2.13 d (13.4), 1.58 ovl.	25.1	β	2.34 d (13.8), 1.67 ovl.	26.2	β	2.33 d (13.8), 1.67 ovl.	26.4
γ	1.66 ovl., 1.50 ovl.	19.9	γ	1.67 ovl., 1.46 ovl.	19.9	γ	1.77 ovl., 1.43 d (4.0)	20.5	γ	1.76 ovl., 1.44 d (4.0)	20.6
δ	1.64 ovl., 1.47 d (8.1)	24.9	δ	1.65 ovl., 1.46 ovl.	24.9	δ	1.74 ovl., 1.53 d (12.8)	24.8	δ	1.72 ovl., 1.53 d (12.8)	24.8
£	3.78 d (12.9), 2.82 m	43.2	£	3.81 d (12.2), 2.87	43 3	£	4.12 ovl., 3.27	43 7	£	4 11 ovl 3 26 ovl	43.8
CO	2.02 11	169.7	CO		169.7	СООН	0.11	172.3	СООН		172.2
ß-MeOTvr			β-MeOTvr			ß-MeOTvr			β-МеОТу	r	
α	4.95 d (9.3)	51.8	α	4.99 d (9.5)	51.8	α	5.27 ovl.	52.4	α	5.29 ovl.	52.5
β	4.48 d (9.4)	82.7	β	4.48 d (9.4)	82.7	β	4.33 ovl.	83.7	β	4.33 ovl.	83.7
C4		128.1	C4		128.1	C4		128	C4		127.9
C5/C9	7.21 d (8.4)	129.5	C5/C9	7.22 d (8.3)	129.5	C5/C9	7.21 d (8.4)	129.1	C5/C9	7.20 d (8.4)	129.1
C6/C8	6.76 d (8.3)	114.7	C6/C8	6.78 d (8.5)	114.5	C6/C8	6.80 d (8.2)	114.8	C6/C8	6.79 d (8.2)	114.8
C7		157.2	C7		157.2	C7		157.5	C7		158.0
ОН	n.a.		ОН	n.a.		ОН	n.a.		ОН	n.a.	
OMe	3.13 s	55.57	OMe	3.13 s	55.6	OMe	3.17 s	55.7	OMe	3.17 s	55.7
CONH	8.37 d (10.0)	169.7	CONH	8.33 d (10.1)	170.0	CONH	7.63 d (9.8)	170.6	CONH	7.63 d (9.8)	170.6
N-MeGln			N-MeGln			N-MeGln			N- MeGln		
a	4.81 dd (9.4,	55 1	a	4 80 dd (8 9, 6 5)	55 3	a	4 94 m	55.9	a	4 93 m	55.9
Q	1.58 m, 1.46 d	22.0	0	150 avi 151 avi	24.0	0	1.94 ovl., 1.69	22.2	0	1.02 ovi 1.70 ovi	22.2
р	(7.5) 1.81 ovl., 1.63	23.9	р	1.39 001., 1.51 001.	24.0	μ	0.00	23.5	р	1.92 001., 1.70 001.	23.5
γ	ovl.	30.9	γ	1.80 ovl., 1.63 ovl.	31.0	γ	1.98 m	31	γ	1.97 m	31.1
NMe <sub>3</sub>	2.97 s	29.2	NMe <sub>3</sub>	2.98 s	29.3	NMe <sub>3</sub>	2.82 s	29.7	NMe <sub>3</sub>	2.81 s	29.9
CONH	n.a.	169.8	CONH	n.a.	169.9	CONH	n.a.	169.2	CONH	n.a.	169.2
CONH <sub>2</sub>	n.a.	175.9	CONH <sub>2</sub>	n.a.	175.9	CONH <sub>2</sub>	n.a.	176.1	CONH <sub>2</sub>	n.a.	176.2
Leu	4.50	10.5	Leu			Leu	1.52 1 (2.5)	10.5	Leu		40.7
α	4.59 m 1.72 ovl., 1.29	49.5	α	4.60 m 1.75 ovl., 1.29 d	49.4	α	4.73 d (9.6) 1.70 ovl., 1.44 d	48.5	α	4.72 d (9.6) 1.69 ovl., 1.43 d	48.7
β	d (6.7)	38.5	β	(6.6)	38.5	β	(7.4)	39.2	β	(7.4)	39.2
γ	1.72 ovl.	24.7	γ	1.73 ovl.	24.7	γ	1.69 ovl.	24.5	γ	1.69 ovl.	24.6
Ме-б	0.94 d (6.7)	22.2	Ме-б	0.95 d (6.5)	22.2	Ме-б	0.96 d (6.6)	22.4	Ме-б	0.96 d (6.7)	22.3
Me-ε	0.90 d (6.4)	19.9	Ме-ε	0.91 d (6.4)	19.9	Me-ε	0.94 d (6.4)	20.1	Me-ε	0.93 d (6.5)	20.2
CONH	7.28 d (7.6)	173.3	CONH	7.28 d (7.8)	173.3	CONH	8.00 d (8.4)	174.1	CONH	8.00 d (7.8)	174.2
Arg		(	Arg			Arg			Arg		
α	4.36 ovl. 1.91 m, 1.63	51.9	α	4.35 ovl.	52.0	α	4.37 d (4.8) 1.93 ovl., 1.71	52.7	α	4.37 d (4.8)	52.7
β	ovl.	26.8	β	1.94 m, 1.65 ovl.	26.8	β	ovl.	28	β	1.93 ovl., 1.65 ovl.	28.1
γ	1.63 ovl	24.9	γ	1.58 ovl.	24.8	γ	1.72 ovl.	25	γ	1.69 ovl.	25.0
δ	3.14 ovl.	40.6	δ	3.15 ovl.	40.6	δ	3.20 ovl.	40.4	δ	3.2 ovl.	40.4
Guan	7.32 t (5.7)	157.2	Guan	7.31 t (5.8)	157.1	Guan	7.43 ovl.	157.2	Guan	7.43 ovl.	157.2
CONH	7.76 ovl.	172.6	CONH	7.84 d (8.4)	172.5	CONH	8.22 d (7.2)	172.4	CONH	8.23 d (7.4)	172.3
Thr-1			Thr-1			Thr-1			Thr-1		
α	3.90 ovl.	62.3	α	3.94 ovl.	62.2	α	4.24 d (6.4)	59.8	α	4.23 d (6.5)	59.8
β	4.31 ovl.	65.5	β	4.29 ovl.	65.6	β	4.09 d (7.4)	67.1	β	4.09 d (7.4)	67.1
γ	1.27 d (6.2)	18.8	γ	1.28 d (6.7)	18.9	γ	1.31 d (5.9)	19.1	γ	1.31 d (5.1)	19.2
ОН	n.a.		OH	n.a.		OH	n.a.		ОН	n.a.	
CONH	8.32 bs	170.6	CONH	8.21 d (4.4)	170.7	CONH	8.22 d (7.2)	171.3	CONH	8.23 d (7.4)	171.5

#### Table 1 (continued)

aa/C-pos	1		aa/position	2		C-pos	3		C-pos	4	
	${\delta_{\rm H}}^a$	${\delta_C}^b$		${\delta_{H}}^{a}$	${\delta_C}^b$		${\delta_H}^c$	${\delta_C}^d$		${\delta_{H}}^{c}$	${\delta_C}^d$
Thr-2			Thr-2			Thr-2			Thr-2		
α	5.41 m	55.2	α	5.36 d (8.9)	55.3	α	4.38 d (6.3)	59	α	4.38 d (6.3)	59.1
β	5.57 m	70.9	β	5.58 m	70.8	β	4.13 ovl.	67	β	4.13 ovl.	67.1
γ	1.2 ovl.	13.8	γ	1.22 d (4.3)	13.3	γ	1.27 d (6.4)	19	γ	1.27 d (6.4)	19.0
CONH	8.84 d (9.9)	171.8	CONH	8.76 d (9.7)	171.6	CONH	n.a.	171.7	CONH	n.a.	
3,4-diMeGln			3-MeGln			3,4-diMeGln			3- MeGln		
α	4.18 d (9.7)	57.6	α	4.22 m	59.3	α	4.44 d (4.3)	55.6	α	4.44 d (4.3)	57.3
β	2.39 m	36.4	β	2.59 ovl.	31.9	β	2.23 m	38.2	β	2.55 m	32.9
β-Μe	1.21 ovl.	14.1	β-Ме	1.21 d (4.5)	16.2	β-Μe	1.03 d (7.2)	12.4	β-Μe	1.06 d (6.9)	15.9
γ	2.75 m	41.9	γ	2.52 dd (14.4, 5.4)	38.8	γ	2.67 ovl	40.6	γ	2.40 dd (14.4, 5.8)	38.1
				2.31 dd (14.4, 7.4)				$\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}$		2.20 m	
γ-Ме	1.27 d (6.7)	13.2		n.a.		ү-Ме	1.20 d (7.3)	14.4	n.a.		
CONH <sub>2</sub>	n.a.	179.4	CONH <sub>2</sub>	n.a.	176.2	CONH <sub>2</sub>		178.6	$CONH_2$	8.36 s	176.1
CONH	9.36 d (3.0)	172.3	CONH	8.81 d (5.7)	172.1	CONH	8.66 d (8.2)	172.1	CONH	n.a.	171.9
AGDHE			AGDHE			AGDHE			AGDHE		
α	3.91 d ovl.	71.2	α	3.93 ovl.	71.3	α	4.00 d (7.7)	71.5	α	4.00 d (7.4)	71.5
β	3.61 dd (9.0, 1.8)	73.9	β	3.62 dd (8.6, 2.0)	73.7	β	3.72 dd (7.5, 2.9)	74	β	3.71 d (7.5)	74.0
γ	4.17 ovl.	49.5	γ	4.18 m	49.5	γ	4.16 ovl.	49.5	γ	4.15 ovl.	49.6
δ	1.71 ovl., 1.57 ovl.	28.5	δ	1.70 ovl., 1.58 ovl.	28.5	δ	1.66 ovl.	28.3	δ	1.65 ovl.	28.3
3	1.58 ovl.	25.2	3	1.59 ovl.	25.1	ε	1.63 ovl.	25.1	З	1.63 ovl.	25.0
ζ	3.20 ovl.	40.8	ζ	3.19 ovl	40.8	ζ	3.20 ovl.	40.6	ζ	3.20 ovl.	40.8
γ-NH	7.74 ovl.		γ-NH	7.68 d (9.4)		γ-NH	7.77 d (9.8)		γ-NH	7.74 d (9.6)	
Guan	7.43 t (5.6)	157.2	Guan	7.38 t (5.7)	157.2	Guan	7.43 ovl.	157.2	Guan	7.43 ovl.	157.2
СО	n.a.	174.9	СО		175.1	СО	n.a.	174.5	СО	n.a.	174.4
Ala			Ala			Ala			Ala		
α	4.31 ovl.	49.8	α	4.30 ovl.	49.8	α	4.33 ovl.	49.6	α	4.32 ovl.	49.8
β	1.42 d (7.3)	16.6	β	1.41 d (7.2)	16.5	β	1.43 d (7.4)	16.3	β	1.42 d (7.4)	16.4
CONH	8.25 d (6.3)	174.9	CONH	8.23 d (6.8)	174.8	CONH	8.27 d (7.0)	174.6	CONH	8.27 d (7.0)	174.6
TMHEA			TMHEA			TMHEA			TMHEA		
1	n.a.	177.4	1	n.a.	177.5	1	n.a.	177.6	1	n.a.	177.5
2	2.60 m	43.5	2	2.60 ovl.	43.5	2	2.64 m	43.4	2	2.63 m	43.4
3	3.1)	78.2	3	3.47 dd (8.7, 3.2)	78.3	3	2.2)	78.3	3	3.51 d (8.8)	78.4
4	1.73 ovl.	32.3	4	1.73 ovl.	32.4	4	1.77 ovl.	32.3	4	1.76 ovl.	32.4
5	1.16 m	38.2	5	1.17 m	38.2	5	1.21 m	38.1	5	1.20 m	38.2
6	1.61 ovl.	24.9	6	1.58 ovl.	25.0	6	1.63 ovl.	25	6	1.66 ovl.	25.0
7	0.92 d (6.6)	23.4	7	0.93 d (6.5)	23.4	7	0.96 d (6.6)	23.4	7	0.96 d (6.7)	23.4
8	1.07 d (6.9)	13.3	8	1.07 d (6.9)	13.3	8	1.09 d (7.1)	13.1	8	1.09 d (7.1)	13.3
9	0.96 d (7.0)	16.1	9	0.97 d (6.9)	16.1	9	1.01 d (7.0)	16	9	1.00 d (7.0)	16.1
10	0.85 d (6.5)	20.2	10	0.86 d (6.5)	20.3	10	0.90 d (6.6)	20.2	10	0.89 d (6.6)	20.3

<sup>a</sup>600 MHz; <sup>b</sup>150 MHz; <sup>c</sup>500 MHz; <sup>d</sup>125 MHz. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C assignments aided by HSQC, COSY, HMBC and HSQCTOCSY experiments. Coupling constants are in parantheses and given in Hz. Overlap (ovl.); Not available (n.a.).

Callipeltin P (3) was obtained as a white amorphous solid showing the doubly charged molecular ion at m/z 775.4505 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup> ( $\Delta$  - 0.72 ppm) in the HRESIMS spectrum corresponding to the molecular formula  $C_{70}H_{122}O_{21}N_{18}$ . The molecular formula indicated an addition of 18 units to the mass

of callipeltin N (1). It also indicated an unsaturation number one less than that of callipeltin N suggesting that callipeltin P (3) was the linear form of callipeltin N (1). The structure was fully supported by both 1D and 2D NMR data (Table 1, Figure S25) and MS/MS fragmentation data (Figure S18). The

#### Tetrahedron

#### Table 2

stereochemistry of each of the amino acid has not been determined and are assumed to be the same as in callipeltin N (1). Callipeltin C the acyclic version of callipeltin A was found to have the same amino acid configurations as callipeltin A.<sup>2</sup>



Figure 1 Selected HMBC and some observed ROE correlations in  $CD_3OD$  for callipeltin N (1)

Callipeltin Q (4) was obtained as a white amorphous solid showing the doubly charged molecular ion at m/z 768.4437 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup> ( $\Delta$  0.66 ppm) in the HRESIMS spectrum corresponding to the molecular formula C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>120</sub>O<sub>21</sub>N<sub>18</sub>. The molecular formula indicated an addition of 18 units to the mass of callipeltin O (2), with an unsaturation number one less suggesting that callipeltin Q (4) was the linear form of callipeltin O (2). The structure was supported by both 1D and 2D NMR data (Table1, Figure S36) and MS/MS fragmentation data (Figure S29. The stereochemistry of each of the amino acids has not been determined and is assumed to be the same as in callipeltin O (2).



**Figure 2.** Selected HMBC and some observed ROE correlations in CD<sub>3</sub>OD for callipeltin O (**2**).

The compounds were evaluated for their antiproliferative activity against A2058 (melanoma), HT-29 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma) and human lung fibroblast MRC-5 cell lines. The cyclic compounds, **1** and **2**, showed antiproliferative activity against all cell lines, while their linear forms, **3** and **4**, had no apparent effect on the cells up to a concentration of 20  $\mu$ M (Table 2). This clearly suggests that peptide cyclisation is essential for the observed activity. With IC<sub>50</sub>-values of 0.17, 0.19, 0.21 and 0.16  $\mu$ M against A2058, HT-29, MCF-7 and MRC-5 respectively, compound **1** showed a 2- to 11-fold higher cytotoxic potency than **2**, which displayed IC<sub>50</sub>-values of 0.67, 2.08, 0.48 and 1.37  $\mu$ M against the same cell lines. No distinction could be seen for the cytotoxic potency of compound **1** and **2** between the non-malignant and the malignant cell lines indicating high cellular non-specificity.

Cytotoxicity data of callipeltin N – Q (1 - 4) towards normal cell line MRC-5 and cancer cell lines A2058, HT-29 and MCF-7. Values are means  $\pm$  SD based on 3 independent determinations.

	IC50 (µM)							
Compound	A2058	HT-29	MCF-7	MRC-5				
1	0.17±0.04	0.19±0.05	0.21±0.21	0.16±0.14				
2	0.67±0.03	2.08±0.08	$0.48 \pm 0.08$	1.37±0.23				
3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.				
$a_{n,a} = not active at 20 \mu M.$								

In summary, we have discovered four new depsipeptides callipeltins N-Q that add to the class first exemplified by callipeltin A. Callipeltins N and O showed cytotoxic activities similar to those observed for other related macrocyclic depsipeptides such as callipeltins A and B<sup>2</sup>, homophyamine<sup>6</sup> theopapuamide  $^{8a}$ , neamphamide  $A^5$ , pipecolidepsin  $A^{12}$ underlying the importance of macrocyclisation as well amino acid composition in biological activity. Of the three cell lines that were tested the results showed that callipeltin N containing the 3,4-dimethylGln was on average six times more cytotoxic than callipeltin O containing the 3-methylGln suggesting the significance of that position to bioactivity. The strong antifungal activities observed in the crude extracts may have been attributed to the presence of macrocyclic callipeltins (N and O) and linear callipeltins (P and Q) as both macrocyclic and liner callipeltins are known for their antifungal activities.<sup>1,4</sup> The compound map<sup>13</sup> (Figure S38) shows the close distribution of the depsipeptides that share a high degree of structural homology to the callipeltins where differences are due to different amino acids introduced at selected positions. The variability could be explained by the presence of various isoforms of the bacterial NRPS enzymes or alternatively enzymes that have broad substrate specificity<sup>1</sup> allowing the introduction of new amino acids into the peptidic frame work in response to selection pressure.

#### 3.0. Experimental Section

**3.1 General experimental procedures**NMR data, both 1D and 2D were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova spectrometer at 600 and 150 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C respectively using an ID probe. High resolution mass spectrometry data were recorded using a Waters LCT Premier coupled to a Waters Acquity UPLC system and a ThermoScientific LTQXL-Discovery Orbitrap coupled to an Accela HPLC system. Optical rotation measurements were recorded using a Perkin Elmer, Model 343 Polarimeter at 589 nm. Semi-preparative HPLC purifications were performed on an Agilent 1100 HPLC system consisting of a binary pump, degasser, DAD and a preparative fraction collector. All solvents used were of HPLC grade.

#### 3.2 Collection

The sponge Asteropus sp. was collected by hand using SCUBA at a depth of 7-15 m from Mary Island, Russell group, Solomon Islands (9.02°S, 158.74°E) in June 2006 during a sample collection expedition in the central province of the Solomon Islands. The sponge (greenish exterior and light brown inside) was spongy and soft to touch and had a porous interior. Oscules were very small (0.5 mm). It was identified by Dr J. Hooper, Queensland Museum, Australia. A voucher specimen (SI06-5s-

### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

has been preserved at the Marine Reference Collection, The University of the South Pacific, Suva, Fiji Islands.

#### 3.3 Extraction and isolation

150 g frozen sample was thawed and extracted 3 times with MeOH followed by dichloromethane. The combined crude extract (4.2 g) was partitioned using a modified Kupchan method.<sup>9,10</sup> The antifungal activities were found to be in the dichloromethane fraction. Further purification of this fraction was performed using a semi-preparatory C18 HPLC column (Ace 5 C18-HL, 50 x 10 mm) using water-acetonitrile (with 0.05% TFA) as solvent and a solvent gradient system from 0 to 100% acetonitrile in 30 min to afford **1** (38 mg), **2** (7.7 mg), **3** (2.9 mg) and **4** (2.8 mg).

**3.3.1 Callipeltin N (1).** White amorphous solid, 38.5 mg;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -17.2° (*c* 0.0015 M, methanol) IR (MeOH, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3338, 3213, 2959, 2936, 2871, 1657, 1532, 1520, 1452, 1384, 1201, 1186, 1137, 837, 803, 719. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data in CD<sub>3</sub>OD are given in Table 1. HRESIMS *m*/*z* 766.4563 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup>  $\Delta$  +2.5 ppm from calculated for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>120</sub>O<sub>20</sub>N<sub>18</sub>.

**3.3.2 Callipeltin O (2).** White amorphous solid, 7.7 mg;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -11.2° (*c* 0.00023 M, methanol); IR (MeOH, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3338, 3213, 2959, 2936, 2871, 1657, 1532, 1520, 1452, 1384, 1201, 1186, 1137, 837, 803, 719. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data in CD<sub>3</sub>OD are given in Table 1. HRESIMS *m*/*z* 759.4385 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup>  $\Delta$  1.7 ppm from calculated for C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>118</sub>O<sub>20</sub>N<sub>18</sub>.

**3.3.3 Callipeltin P (3)**. White amorphous solid, 2.9 mg;  $[\alpha]_D^{20.0}$  - 14.8° (*c* 0.000048 M, methanol); IR (MeOH, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3338, 3213,

2959, 2936, 2871, 1657, 1532, 1520, 1452, 1384, 1201, 1186, 1137, 837, 803, 719. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD- $\delta_4$ ) are given in Table 1, HRESIMS *m*/*z* 775.4505 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup>  $\Delta$  -0.72 ppm from calculated for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>122</sub>O<sub>21</sub>N<sub>18</sub>.

**3.3.4 Callipeltin Q** (4). White amorphous solid, 2.8 mg;  $[\alpha]_D^{20.4}$ -20.0 (*c* 0.000016 M, methanol) IR (MeOH, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3338, 3213, 2959, 2936, 2871, 1657, 1532, 1520, 1452, 1384, 1201, 1186, 1137, 837, 803, 719. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD) are given in Table 1. HRESIMS *m*/*z* 768.4437 [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup>  $\Delta$  0.66 ppm from calculated for C<sub>69</sub>H<sub>120</sub>O<sub>21</sub>N<sub>18</sub>.

#### **3.4 Determination of absolute stereochemistry**

#### 3.4.1. General procedure for peptide hydrolysis

To determine the absolute stereochemistry of callipeltins N and O, 0.2 mg of the compounds were hydrolysed by 6N HCl aq

at a temperature of 110 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was subsequently cooled and dried under nitrogen. To remove the residual HCl, water (0.4 mL) was added and subsequently removed three times and the hydrolysate was dried under N<sub>2</sub> for 24 h. To 50  $\mu$ L of each of 50 mM aqueous solution of standard amino acids and the hydrolysate, 1N NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 $\mu$ L) and 1% L-FDLA (1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-L-leucinamide) (100  $\mu$ L) in acetone were added. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 40 °C for 1 h, with frequent mixing. After cooling at room temperature, the reactions were quenched by the addition of 10  $\mu$ L of 2 N HCl. The samples were diluted by making the volume up to 1 mL with MeOH. The derivatised amino acids were analysed by LC-MS (Table 2).

# 3.4.2 General procedure for LCMS analysis of Marfey's FDLA derivatives

 $2 \ \mu L$  of each of the derivatised mixture of hydrolysed **1** and **2** and standards were injected into a Waters Acquity Xylene bridged hybrid (BEH) C18 column ( $2.1 \times 150 \text{ mm}$ ,  $1.7 \ \mu m$ ) using a water-acetonitrile solvent system, starting from 10-100% acetonitrile in 4.5 min and flushing the column using 100%

acetonitrile with a further 1.5 minutes before returning to the starting gradient. The eluted compounds were detected by a Waters LCT Premier MS system using the following conditions: Capillary voltage 2600 V, cone voltage 50 V, desolvation temperature 350 °C, desolvation gas 650 L/h, cone gas 5 L/h, mass range 150-1500 amu. The instrument was tuned to a resolution of 10,000 (FWHM) and leucine-enkephaline were used as lock mass for internal calibration.

#### 3.5 Biological activities

#### 3.5.1 Antifungal

The crude extract showed inhibition zones at 20 and 21 mm for amphotericin resistant (ATCC90873) and wild type *Candida albicans* (ATCC32354) respectively using susceptibility discs (6 mm in diameter) and at a concentration of 2.5 mg crude extract per disc.

#### 3.5.2 Cell growth inhibitory assay.

The cytotoxicity of compound 1 - 4 were evaluated at ranging concentrations against the malignant cell lines A2058 (ATTC CRL-11147TM), HT-29 (ATCC HTB-38) and MCF-7 (ATCC HTB-22) and the non-malignant cell line MRC-5 (ATCC CCL-171) using a previously reported MTS assay. RPMI containing the maximum DMSO concentration used in each assay (0.4%) was used as negative control and Triton® X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) as positive control.

Table 2. HPLC retention til	ne in minutes of derivatised	callipeltins N(1), O	(2) amino acids and standards
-----------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------------

Amino acid		D-HPr	L-HPr	D-Ala	L-Ala	D-Leu	L-Leu	D-Arg	L-Arg	D-Thr	L-Thr
<sup>a</sup> Standard	<sup>b</sup> tR	2.73	2.85	2.63	2.37	3.26	2.77	1.79	1.88	2.39	2.10
	m/z	424.18	424.18	384.15	384.15	426.20	426.20	469.21	469.21	414.16	414.16
Callipeltin N	tR	-	2.85	2.63	-	-	2.75	1.82	-	2.28	-
	m/z	-	424.18	384.15	-	-	426.20	469.21	-	414.16	-
Callipeltin O	tR	-	2.85	2.63	-	-	2.76	1.82	-	2.28	-
	m/z	-	424.18	384.15	-	-	426.20	469.21	-	414.16	-

<sup>a</sup>Amino acid standards; <sup>b</sup>LCMS retention time

#### Tetrahedron

#### Acknowledgements

J.T, E.H and M.J acknowledge the financial support of the EU 7<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme Project 'PharmaSea' (Contract no. 312184) and acknowledge the support of the RSC for use of the MarinLit Data Base. K.F and M.C acknowledge the support of the NCDDG (Grant no. U19 CA67786) awarded to Professor C. M. Ireland, University of Utah, USA. J.T and M.S thank Dr Somayah Elsayed Sameer of the University of Aberdeen for advice on amino acid hydrolysis and derivatisation.

#### Supplementary data

HRESIMS, LC-MS/MS, and NMR data of **1**, **2**, **3** and **4** including <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, COSY, HSQC, HMBC, HSQCTOCSY and ROESY are in the supplementary material.

#### **References and notes**

- a) Zampella, A; D' Auria, M. V.; Gomez-Paloma, L.; Casapullo, A; Minale, L.; Debitus, C.; Henini, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6202-6209. b) Sepe, V.; D'orsi, R.; Borbone, N.; D' Auria, M.V.; Bifulco, G.; Monti, M. C.; Catania, A.; Zampella, A. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 833-840.
- D'Auria, M. V.; Zampella, A.; Gomez-Paloma, L.; Minale,L.; Debitus, C.; Roussakis, C.; Le bert, V. *Tetrahedron* 1996, *52*, 9589-9596.
- Newman, D. J.; Cragg, G. M. In: Marine biomedicine from beach to bedside, Baker, B. J. Eds., CRC Press, 2015, pp. 439-448.
- 4. D'Auria, M. V.; Sepe, V.; D'Orsi, R.; Bellota, F.; Debitus, C.; Zampella, A. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 131-140.
- Oku,N.; Gustafson, K. R.; Cartner, L. K.; Wilson, J. A. Shigematsu, N.; Hess, S.; Pannell, L.K.; Boyd, M. R.; McMahon, J. B. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2004**, *67*, 1407-1411
- Zampella, A.; Sepe, V.; Luciano, P.; Bellotta, F.; Monti, M. C.; D'Auria, M. V.; Jepsen, T.; Petek,S.; Adeline, M. T.; Lapre'vo'te, O.; Aubertin, A. M.; Debitus,C.; Poupat, C.; Ahond, A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5319-5327
- (a) Ford, P. W.; Gustafson, K. R.; McKee, T. C.; Shigematsu, N.;Maurizi, L. K.; Panneel, L. K.; Williams, D. E.; de Silva, E. D.; Lassota,P.; Allen, T. M.; Van Soest, R.; Andersen, R. J.; Boyd, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5899–5909. (b) Prasad, P.; Aalbersberg, W.;Feussner, K. D.; Wagoner, R. M. V. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 8529–8531.
- (a) Ratnayake, A. S.; Bugni, T. S.; Feng, X. D.; Harper, M. K.;Skalicky, J. J.; Mohammed, K. A.; Andjelic, C. D.; Barrows, L. R.; Ireland, C. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, 69, 1582–1586. (b) Plaza, A.; Bifulco, G.; Keffer, J. L.; Lloyd, J. R.; Beker, H. L.; Bewley, C. A. *J. Org.Chem.* **2009**, 74, 504–512.
- Tabudravu, J. N.; Morris, L. A.; Bosch, J. J. K.; Jaspars, M. *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 7863-7868.
- 10. Tabudravu, J. N.; Jaspars, M. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 813-815.
- 11. .Fujii, K.; Ikai, Y.; Oka, H.; Suzuki, M. Harada, K. Anal. Chem. **1997**, 69, 5146-5151.
- Coello, L.; Reyes, F.; María, J. M.; Cuevas, M.; Fernández, R. J. Nat. Prod. 2014, 77, 298-303.
- 13. The MarinLit database; http://pubs.rsc.org/marinlit.
- Schmidt, E.W.; Donia, M.S.;McIntosh, J.A.; Fricke, W.F.; Ravel, J. J. Nat. Prod. 2012, 75, 295-304.

8