Pergamon

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 8 (1998) 3059–3064

BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS

SYNTHESIS OF A SERIES OF SULFINIC ACID ANALOGS OF GABA AND EVALUATION OF THEIR $GABA_B$ RECEPTOR AFFINITIES

Nicholas I. Carruthers,* James M. Spitler, Shing-Chun Wong, David J. Blythin, Xiao Chen, Ho-Jane Shue, Hoyan S. She,[§] Joe F. Lee , Charles Rizzo,[§] Pauline C. Ting, and Robert E. West, Jr.[§]

Departments of Chemical Research and Allergy and Immunology[§],

Schering-Plough Research Institute,

2015 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, NJ 07033, U.S.A.

Received 12 June 1998; accepted 11 September 1998

Abstract: A series of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) **1** analogs was prepared in which the carboxylic acid group of GABA was replaced with a sulfinic acid group and their affinity for the $GABA_B$ receptor investigated.
© 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) **1** is a major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian central nervous system (CNS) mediating effects via at least two distinct receptors,[1] $GABA_A$ and $GABA_B$. The $GABA_B$ receptor was first described by Bowery in 1980[2] and was subsequently shown to be implicated in many different pharmacological situations in both the central and peripheral nervous system. $GABA_B$ agonists exert a role in gastric acid secretion and neuropeptide release from sensory C-fibers while $GABA_B$ antagonists exert a role in petit-mal seizures and cognitive disorders. In an earlier publication,[3] we described the synthesis of a series of 3-amino-N-hydroxypropanesulfonamides **2** and found that they fragmented under physiological conditions to afford the corresponding sulfinic acid **3**, which is a potent $GABA_B$ agonist. Noting that **3** also possessed significant $GABA_A$ affinity, the synthesis and biological evaluation of siclofen (**4**), the sulfinic acid analog of the first selective $GABA_B$ agonist baclofen (**5**), was undertaken.[4] Thus, **4** was prepared and found to be a selective $GABA_B$ agonist also. Given these results we wished to explore the effects of other substituents and adjust the length of the backbone of **3** to determine whether improvements in biological activity and receptor selectivity could be made.



0960-894X/98/$ - see front matter © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
PII: S0960-894X(98)00565-4

Chemistry.[5]

The lower homolog **6** (Table 1) was obtained commercially,[6] and the higher homolog **7** was synthesized from 1,4-butane sultone (**8**). The sultone **8** was treated with potassium phthalimide to afford the corresponding acyclic sulfonic acid. Conversion of the sulfonic acid to the sulfonyl chloride followed by treatment with hydrazine effected removal of the phthalimido group and reduction of the acid chloride to give **7**.[7]



8 7

Reagents: (i) Potassium phthalimide, EtOH, reflux, 18 h, (66%); (ii) PCl_5, PhH, reflux, 3 h, (82%); (iii) H_2NNH_2, EtOH, reflux, 1 h, (66%).

The α-methyl analog **9** was synthesized in an analogous manner from 1,3-propane sultone (**10**), which was first alkylated with MeI,[8] and then subjected to the sequence above.



10 9

Reagents: (i) ^nBuLi, THF, -78 ° C; (ii) MeI, (72%); (iii) Potassium phthalimide, EtOH, reflux, 18 h, (78%); (iv) PCl_5, PhH, reflux, 3 h, (82%); (v) H_2NNH_2, EtOH, reflux, 1 h, (56%).

Preparation of the β-methyl analog **11** was achieved as shown in Scheme 1. 3-Aminoisobutyric acid (**12**) was transformed to alcohol **13**, which was converted to the benzothiazole derivative **14**, thus providing a latent sulfinic acid.[9] Manipulation of the nitrogen protecting group was followed by an oxidation/reduction sequence that unmasked the sulfinic acid to afford **11**.



12 13 14 11

Reagents: (i) HCl, EtOH, (100%); (ii) Ph_3CCl, Et_3N, CH_2Cl_2, (100%); (iii) $LiAlH_4$, THF, (61%); (iv) PBu_3, 2,2'-dithiobis(benzothiazole), THF, (98%); (v) CF_3CO_2H, (100%); (vi) $^tBOC_2O$, Et_3N, DMF (59%); (vii) mCPBA, CH_2Cl_2, (90%); (viii) $NaBH_4$, EtOH; (ix) CF_3CO_2H, (43%, two steps).

Scheme 1.

Similar tactics were used to obtain the β-hydroxy analog **16**, Scheme 2. Allylation of potassium phthalimide gave **17**, which was epoxidized to **18**. The epoxide was readily opened with mercaptobenzothiazole anion giving **19**, which was elaborated to **16**.



Reagents: (i) Potassium phthalimide, 18-crown-6, $PhCH_3$, (82%); (ii) mCPBA, CH_2Cl_2, (99%); (iii) NaOMe, 2-mercaptobenzothiazole, MeOH, (70%); (iv) H_2NNH_2 EtOH, 50 °C; (v) $^tBOC_2O$, CH_2Cl_2, (86%, two steps); (vi) mCPBA, CH_2Cl_2, (99%); (vii) $NaBH_4$, EtOH; (viii) CF_3CO_2H, (63%, two steps).

Scheme 2.

The γ-methyl analog **20** was prepared from 1,3-dibromobutane (**21**), which was condensed first with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole, then potassium phthalimide to yield **22**. Further transformations of **22** gave target **20**, as shown in Scheme 3.



Reagents: (i) NaOEt, EtOH, 2-mercaptobenzothiazole, (100%); (ii) Potassium phthalimide, DMF, Cs_2CO_3, $^nBu_4NHSO_4$, (30%); (iii) H_2NNH_2, EtOH, 50 °C, (87%); (iv) $^tBOC_2O$, CH_2Cl_2 (70%); (v) mCPBA, CH_2Cl_2, (68%); (vi) $NaBH_4$, EtOH; (vii) CF_3CO_2H, CH_2Cl_2 (55%, two steps).

Scheme 3.

N-Substituted analogs **23** were prepared as diagrammed in Scheme 4, from 3-aminopropanol (**24**) that was initially converted to intermediate **25**. N-Alkylation of **25** was followed by generation of a sulfinic acid moiety and removal of the BOC group to yield N-substituted analogs **23**.



Reagents: (i) $^tBOC_2O$, CH_2Cl_2, (100%); (ii) PBu_3, 2,2'-dithiobis(benzothiazole), THF, (83%); (iii) NaH, THF, RX, (R = CH_3, 53%; R = benzyl, 34%; R = 3-Cl-benzyl, 85%); (iv) mCPBA, CH_2Cl_2, (R = CH_3, 97%; R = benzyl, 66%; R = 3-Cl-benzyl, 65%); (v) $NaBH_4$, EtOH; (vi) CF_3CO_2H, (R = CH_3, 100%; R = benzyl, 63%; R = 3-Cl-benzyl,64% , two steps).

Scheme 4.

Biological Results and Discussion.

The in vitro binding data for the sulfinic acid analogs is summarized below in Table 1 These results confirm that the carboxylic acid functionality of GABA may be replaced with a sulfinic acid moiety to afford potent $GABA_B$ ligands. However, unlike phosphinic acids[10, 11] which show only very weak $GABA_A$ binding, the sulfinic acids retain considerable affinity for the $GABA_A$ receptor, compounds **3**, **9**, **11** and **16** exhibited $GABA_A$ IC_{50}'s of 0.47, 2.2, 50 and 13.1 μM respectively.[12] Therefore, the sulfinic acid moiety alone is not responsible for the selectivity of siclofen. Shortening the backbone to two carbons as in analog **6** completely abolishes $GABA_B$ affinity. In contrast, lengthening the backbone to four carbons, as in analog **7** retains good $GABA_B$ binding affinity. The addition of a simple methyl group along the GABA backbone in both the phosphinic acid[10] and sulfinic acid series leads to reduced $GABA_B$ affinity-indicating poor tolerance for any steric bulk. Methylation of the amino moiety is acceptable as evident by the reasonable binding activity of **23a**. However, larger substituents such as the benzyl group in **23b** or the 3-chlorobenzyl group in **23c** decrease biological activity 60 fold. The introduction of a β-hydroxyl substituent, **16**, does confer modest receptor selectivity (**16**, IC_{50} = 13.1 μM $GABA_A$). As noted previously[4] a β-(4-chlorophenyl) substituent (**4**, IC_{50} = >100 μM $GABA_A$) also confers receptor selectivity for the $GABA_B$ receptor over the $GABA_A$ receptor. In this respect sulfinic acids parallel their carboxylic acid anaogs, where β-hydroxyl and β-(4-chlorophenyl)substituents also impart receptor selectivity.

Table 1: $GABA_B$ Binding Affinity[13] for Sulfinic Acid Analogs of GABA.

Structure	$GABA_B$ IC_{50} (μM)
3 	0.04
4 	1.2
6 	> 100
7 	0.72
9 	3.8

Structure	$GABA_B$ IC_{50} (μM)
11 	> 100
16 	0.82
20 	11.0
23a 	0.52
23b 	32
23c 	38

In a functional assay[14] compounds **3**, **4**, **16** and **23a** exhibited pD_2 values of 5.8, 4.7, 4.7 and 5.3 respectively, indicating that the compounds are, albeit weak, $GABA_B$ agonists. In summary, these observations indicate that a sulfinic acid more closely resembles a carboxylic acid than a phosphinic acid in this system and may find further applications in other areas of biology as a bioisosteric replacement for a carboxyl group.

References and Footnotes.

1. Krogsgaard-Larsen, P. *Medicinal Research Reviews,* **1988**, *8*, 27.
2. (a) Bowery, N. G. ; Hill, D. R. ; Hudson, A. L. ; Doble, A. ; Middlemiss, D. N. ; Shaw J. ; Turnbull, M. *Nature* **1980**, *283*, 92., (b) Hill, D. R. ; Bowery, N. G. *Nature* **1981**, *290*, 149.
3. Shue, H-J. ; Chen, X. ; Blythin, D. J. ; Carruthers, N. I. ; Spitler, J. M. ; Wong, S-C. ; Chapman, R. W. ; Rizzo, C. ; West, R.; She, H. S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 1709.
4. Carruthers, N. I. ; Spitler, J. M. ; Wong, S-C. ; Blythin, D. J. ; Chen, X. ; Shue, H-J. ; Mittelman, S. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1995**, *5*, 237.
5. Compounds containing a chiral center were prepared as mixtures of stereoisomers.
6. This compound was purchased from Sigma.

7. The thermal instability of sulfonyl hydrazides is precedented and a literature search found one example from the polymer literature; Emerson, D. W.; Emerson, R. R.; Joshi, S. C.; Sorensen, E. M.; Turek, J. E.; *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 4634.
8. Durst, T. ; Du Manoir, J. *Can. J. Chem.* **1969**, *47*, 1230.
9. The use of 2-mercaptobenzothiazole as a latent sulfinic was first described by: Ueno, Y. ; Kojima, A. ; Okawara, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1984**, 2125. However we found that mCPBA/CH_2Cl_2 was a more satisfactory oxidant than the $KMnO_4$/AcOH described in this reference.
10. Froestl, W.; Mickel, S. J.; Hall, R. G.; von Sprecher, G.; Strub, D.; Baumann, P. A.; Brugger, F.; Gentsch, C.; Jaekel, J.; Olpe, H-R.; Rihs, G.; Vassout, A.; Waldmeier, P. C.; Bittiger, H.; J. *Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 3297.
11. Froestl, W.; Mickel, S. J.; von Sprecher, G.; Diel, P. J.; Hall, R. G.; Maier, L.; Strub, D.; Melillo, V.; Baumann, P. A.; Bernasconi, R.; Gentsch, Hauser, K.; C.; Jaekel, J.; Karlsson, G.; Klebs, K.; Maitre, L.; Marescaux, C.; Pozza, M. F.; Schmutz, M.; Steinmann, M. W.; van Riezen, H.; Vassout, A.; Mondadori, C.; Olpe, H-R.; Waldmeier, P. C.; Bittiger, H.; J. *J.Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 3313.
12. Zukin, S. R.; Young, A. B.; Snyder, S. H.; *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1974**, *71*, 4802.
13. Asano, T.; Ui, M.; Ogasawara, N.; *J. Biol. Chem.* **1985**, *260*, 12653.
14. Chapman, R. W.; Danko, G.; del Prado, M.; Egan, R. W.; Kreutner, W.; Rizzo, C. A.; Hey, J. A. *Pharmacology* **1993**, *46*, 315.