

Desulfurative Chlorination of Alkyl Phenyl Sulfides

Daniele Canestrari,[†] Stefano Lancianesi,[†] Eider Badiola, Chiara Strinna, Hasim Ibrahim,^{*®} and Mauro F. A. Adamo^{*®}

Centre for Synthesis and Chemical Biology (CSCB), Department of Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St. Stephen's Green, Dublin 2, Ireland

(5) Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The chlorination of readily available secondary and tertiary alkyl phenyl sulfides using (dichloroiodo)benzene (PhICl₂) is reported. This mild and rapid nucleophilic chlorination is extended to sulfa-Michael derived sulfides, affording elimination-sensitive β -chloro carbonyl and nitro compounds in good yields. The chlorination of enantioenriched benzylic sulfides to the corresponding inverted chlorides proceeds with high stereospecificity, thus providing a formal entry into enantioenriched chloro-Michael adducts. A mechanism implying the formation of a dichloro- λ^4 -sulfurane intermediate is proposed.

A lkyl chlorides are fundamentally important synthetic intermediates and motifs found in halogenated natural products.¹ A general entry into this class of compounds is the $S_N 2$ displacement of alkyl alcohols with chloride ion.² However, activation of the strong sp³ hybridized C–O bond toward chloride ion attack is necessary and is achieved by conversion of alkyl alcohols, for instance, into the corresponding sulfonate esters.³ Chlorodehydration of alcohols by in situ activation of the C–O bond has been studied extensively (Scheme 1a),⁴ providing a range of transformations best exemplified by the Appel reaction.^{2,5,6} Catalytic variants of the Appel reaction,⁷ and other innovative catalytic chlorodehydrations, have recently been reported.⁸ However, despite the progress made, limitations remain such as the formation of side products,

Scheme 1. S_N2 Substitution Strategies to Alkyl Chlorides; G⁺ = Activating Group

(a) Conventional strategy: chlorodehydration of alcohols



(b) This work: desulfurative chlorination of phenyl sulfides



narrow substrate scope, reactivity issues, and the use of multiple reagents.

In this letter, we describe an alternative approach to nucleophilic chlorination starting from easily accessible alkyl phenyl sulfides (Scheme 1b) and disclose an extension to sulfa-Michael-derived substrates which can be chlorinated without the formation of significant elimination side products.

Sp³ hybridized C–S bonds are generally weaker than the corresponding C–O bonds and can be activated toward nucleophiles by sulfur oxidation to sulfonium ions. This reaction manifold has been exploited in the desulfurative fluorination of thioacetals, dithioacetals, and trithioorthoesters⁹ and, to a lesser extent, in the analogous chlorinations.¹⁰ However, *direct* halogenative C–S bond cleavage without the anchimeric assistance of geminal heteroatoms has been the subject of few studies. In these cases, activation of the C–S bond toward substitution by a fluoride ion was promoted by oxidative S-methylation,¹¹ S-nitrosylation,¹² or S-halogenation.⁹

In contrast, reports on analogous chlorinations are rare.¹³ The reaction of molecular chlorine (Cl_2) with propylene sulfide was shown to result in ring opening caused by a net addition of Cl_2 across the primary C–S bond.¹⁴ Likewise, ring opening chlorinolysis of thiacyclobutane was reported to occur with sulfuryl chloride (SO_2Cl_2) .¹⁵ To the best of our knowledge, the only other examples stem from activated phenyl sulfides capable of generating stable carbenium ion intermediates. Thus, treatment of trityl phenyl sulfide or benzhydryl phenyl sulfide with PhICl₂ was reported to form the corresponding chlorides.¹⁶

It is well established that the reaction of *primary* alkyl phenyl sulfides with chlorinating agents forms phenyl α -chloro-sulfides



ACS Publications © XXXX American Chemical Society

Received: January 9, 2017

arising from a chloro-Pummerer rearrangement.¹⁷ We reasoned that a combination of an activated *secondary* alkyl phenyl sulfide such as **1a** (Scheme 2) and PhICl₂, acting as both the oxidant

Scheme 2. Chlorination of Alkyl Phenyl Sulfides^{*a,b*}



^{*a*}Reactions performed on 0.5 mmol scale at 0.17 M (3 mL). ^{*b*}Isolated yield after SiO₂ chromatography. ^{*c*}Yield of corresponding trifluoroethyl ether derivative. ^{*d*}NMR Yield. ^{*e*}Styrene (1.1 equiv) was added after 5 min. ^{*f*}Time: 10 s. ^{*g*}1.0 mmol.

and the source of the weakly basic chloride ion, could allow for chloride ion displacement of the in situ generated chlorosulfonium ion intermediate to become competitive with chloride α proton abstraction to the thionium ion (or Pummerer) intermediate (Scheme 1b).

After initial optimization, we discovered that by simply combining sulfide 1a and PhICl₂ in dry dichloromethane at room temperature, a color change from yellow to orange occurred within 5 min accompanied by rapid consumption of sulfide 1a. We were delighted to confirm the formation of chloride 2a which was isolated in 71% yield after SiO₂ chromatography (Scheme 2). All subsequently tested substrates gave, under the same reaction conditions, complete conversion to the chlorinated products within 5 min as judged by ¹H NMR spectra of the crude products. Chlorides 2b, 2c, and 2e-g were isolated in good yields after chromatography. However, crude chlorides 2d, 2h, and 2i were sensitive toward purification by chromatography and were isolated in good yields as their corresponding trifluoroethyl ether derivatives 2da, 2ha, and 2ia (Scheme 2).¹⁸ Sulfide 1j underwent desulfurative chlorination in 85% yield demonstrating that the reaction scope included activated tertiary phenyl sulfides. Moreover, nonactivated tertiary phenyl sulfides 1k-m were also suitable substrates affording chlorides 2k-m in moderate yields (Scheme 2). Secondary nonactivated phenyl sulfides, however, gave complex mixtures under the above conditions.

Considering that phenyl sulfides 1 were readily chlorinated under mild reaction conditions with PhICl₂, we turned our attention to easily accessible sulfa-Michael derived phenyl sulfides to probe the suitability of this reaction for the preparation of elimination sensitive β -chloro carbonyl compounds.¹⁹ Chalcone (5) derived sulfide 3a was chosen as the test substrate and was allowed to react with PhICl₂ under the same reaction conditions to those used in Scheme 2. Chloride 4a was obtained as the major product accompanied by *anti*- chloro sulfide **6** and small amounts of *anti*-dichloride 7 (Table 1, entry 1).²⁰ Lowering the temperature to 0 $^{\circ}$ C resulted in a

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions ^a				
SPh C Ph 3a	PhICl ₂	CI O Ph 4a	Ph+Ph 6 SPh	Ph+Ph 7 Cl
entry	solvent	temp	time (min)	ratio (4a:6 :7) ^b
1	CH_2Cl_2	rt	5	81:16:3
2	CH_2Cl_2	0 °C	30	72:27:1
3 ^c	CH_2Cl_2	rt	5	80:0:20
4 ^{<i>d</i>}	CH_2Cl_2	rt	5	95:2:3 (84) ^e
5 ^d	THF	rt	5	91:9:0

^{*a*}Conditions: **3a** (0.5 mmol), PhICl₂ (0.55 mmol), solvent (3 mL, 0.17 M); all reactions proceeded to complete conversion (\geq 98%). ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR of crude product. ^{*c*}2.0 equiv of PhICl₂. ^{*d*}Freshly prepared PhICl₂. ^{*c*}Yield of isolated **4a**.

slower reaction and in shifting of the product ratio toward chloro sulfide 6 (Table 1, entry 2). Interestingly, doubling the amount of $PhICl_2$ gave chloride 4a in a comparable ratio, but with *anti*-dichloride 7 as the sole side product.²¹ The reaction worked also without precautions in nonpurified solvents but gave higher amounts of side products (see the Supporting Information (SI) for details).

We assumed that side products 6 and 7 were the result of stereoselective anti-addition of in situ formed phenylsulfenyl chloride (PhSCl) to chalcone (5) generated during the reaction by dehydrochlorination of 4a,²² presumably due to traces of HCl present in PhICl₂. Indeed, the quality of PhICl₂ was found to be crucial for reproducibility in experiments with sulfides 3^{23} . We found that PhICl₂ generated from PhI/NaClO₂/HCl²⁴ gave consistently better chloride to side product ratios compared with PhICl₂ prepared by other methods.²⁵ Repeating the reaction with freshly prepared PhICl₂ with strict exclusion of moisture in dry dichloromethane or THF gave significantly improved chloride 4a to side product ratios (entries 4 and 5). In contrast to PhICl₂, use of NCS²⁶ or SO₂Cl₂¹⁴ as the chlorinating agents proved to be far less selective,²⁷ giving rise to complex mixtures with chloride 4a to side product ratios of 32:68 and 57:43, respectively.²⁸

Having studied the chlorination of sulfide 3a, we proceeded to examine other β -sulfido carbonyl compounds according to the conditions of entry 4 in Table 1, ensuring that PhICl₂ was either freshly prepared or used within 3 weeks of preparation. Generally, these substrates underwent rapid conversion to the corresponding β -chloro derivatives in good yields (Scheme 3). Scope included β -sulfido ketones 3a-c, β -sulfido esters 3d-j, and β -sulfido amides 31 and 3m. Interestingly, thioester 3k having two electronically modified phenylsulfenyl groups also underwent the chlorination resulting in a 78% yield. β -Aryl- β chloro nitro compounds such as 4n are known to be prone to dehydrochlorination.²⁹ However, using our method, we were able to obtain 4n as an inseparable mixture with *trans-\beta*nitrostyrene and nitro chloride 40 in pure form in 55% yield after recrystallization. Finally, and in order to demonstrate the scalability of this reaction, the chlorination was carried out with 5.0 mmol of sulfide 3i affording chloride 4i in an excellent 90% yield (Scheme 3).

Given that the catalytic asymmetric sulfa-Michael addition has been studied extensively leading to the development of methods that deliver aryl sulfides with high enantiopurity,³⁰ we





^aReactions performed on 0.5 mmol scale at 0.17 M (3 mL). ^bIsolated yield after chromatography. ^cIsolated yield after recrystallization. ^dReaction performed on 5.0 mmol scale with 1.04 equiv of PhICl₂. ^eStyrene (1.1 equiv) was added after 5 min. ^fContains 25% *trans-β*-nitrostyrene.

embarked on a preliminary investigation to uncover the stereochemical course and thus to gain insight into the mechanism operating in our chlorination. Treatment of β -sulfido esters (S)-3i and (S)-3j of 97% ee³¹ with PhICl₂ gave the corresponding inverted chloride (R)-4i and (R)-4j in good yields and in 84% and 86% ee, respectively, establishing that the reaction proceeded with high stereospecificity (es) (Scheme 4).





^aReactions performed on 0.5 mmol scale at 0.085 M (6 mL). ^bIsolated yield after chromatography. ^cEe's were determined by HPLC analysis on chiral stationary phase.

Similarly, β -sulfido amide (S)-31 could be converted to the β chloro amide (R)-41 in 89% ee and 92% es, with further enantioenrichment to 94% ee achieved after a single recrystallization. The absolute configuration of chloro amide 41 was confirmed as being R by X-ray analysis, establishing that the chlorination proceeded with inversion of configuration. Interestingly, the chlorination of sulfides (S)-1e and (S)-1g gave the corresponding chlorides in lower stereospecificities to those obtained from the β -sulfido carbonyl series, hinting at a possible interaction of the carbonyl lone pairs with a sulfidederived intermediate.

Our rationale for the presented desulfurative chlorination is outlined in Scheme 5. The capacity of $aryl-\lambda^3$ -iodanes to oxidize





organoelement compounds of groups 15 and 16 with concomitant transfer of one³² or both³³ heteroatom ligands is well established,34 with the latter being favored when both ligands are the same and of moderate trans-influence.³⁵ The latter also applies for reactions with PhICl₂.³⁶ For instance, treatment of diphenyl selenide or diphenyl sulfide with PhICl₂ was reported to form dichloro- λ^4 -selane or -sulfurane, respectively.³⁷ Given the known oxidative dichlorination of aryl sulfides with Cl₂,³⁸ together with its similarities in reactivity and oxidation power to PhICl₂, we propose the generation of highly reactive dichloro- λ^4 -sulfurane A in equilibrium with its diastereomeric chlorosulfonium salt B³⁹ as key intermediates. Due to the absence of Lewis acids capable of binding a chloride ion,37,38c,40 this equilibrium is likely to favor the side of sulfurane A. Chloride ion displacement of PhSCl from intermediates A or B by an S_N^2 mechanism furnishes the desired inverted chloride (R)-Cl (path a or a'). Alternative pathways arising from carbenium ion C (path b), or concerted ligand coupling (1,2-chloride shift) from sulfurane A via D (path c), which is expected to proceed with retention of configuration,^{21a,b} would generate the undesired chloroenantiomer (S)-Cl.

In conclusion, a novel chlorination reaction from alkyl phenyl sulfides has been developed. This rapid transformation enables the preparation of alkyl chlorides under mild reaction conditions, allowing the isolation of elimination sensitive benzylic β -chloro carbonyl and nitro compounds. Moreover, it proceeds with high stereospecificity thus providing an access to enantioenriched benzylic chlorides. We believe that the herein outlined chlorination of phenyl sulfides represents a viable alternative to the chlorodehydration of alcohols with the potential to serve as a platform for the development of related C-halogen and other C-X bond forming reactions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.7b00077.

Experiment details, spectral data, copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (PDF)

X-ray crystallographic data for (*R*)-4l (CIF)

X-ray crystallographic data for *syn*-chloro sulfide **6b** (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: hasimibrahim@rcsi.ie. *E-mail: madamo@rcsi.ie.

ORCID ©

Hasim Ibrahim: 0000-0003-1386-3641 Mauro F. A. Adamo: 0000-0001-9072-3648

Author Contributions

[†]D.C. and S.L. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Enterprise-Ireland CF Fund (CF20144034), H2020-RISE (OCN4OS), and IRC (GOIPG/2015/3942). We thank Dr. Brendan Twamley (TCD) for X-ray analysis.

REFERENCES

(1) For a key review, see: Chung, W.-J.; Vanderwal, C. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4396.

(2) Bohlmann, R. In *Comprehensive Organic Transformations*, 2nd ed.; Larock, R. C., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1999; pp 689–702.

(3) For example, see: (a) Cahiez, G.; Lefèvre, N.; Poizat, M.; Moyeux, A. *Synthesis* **2013**, 45, 231. (b) Liu, Y.; Xu, Y.; Jung, S. H.; Chae, J. *Synlett* **2012**, 23, 2692. (c) Braddock, D. C.; Pouwer, R. H.; Burton, J. W.; Broadwith, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 6042.

(4) Recent examples: (a) Moerdyk, J. P.; Bielawski, C. W. Chem. -Eur. J. 2014, 20, 13487. (b) Nguyen, T. V.; Bekensir, A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1720. (c) Villalpando, A.; Ayala, C. E.; Watson, C. B.; Kartika, R. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3989.

(5) (a) Appel, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 801.
(b) Pluempanupat, W.; Chantarasriwong, O.; Taboonpong, P.; Jang, D. O.; Chavasiri, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 223.

(6) For thia-Apple type chlorination of alkyl thiols, see: (a) Weiss, R. G.; Snyder, E. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1968**, 1358. (b) Still, I. W. J.; Kutney, G. W.; McLean, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 560.

(7) Denton, R. M.; An, J.; Adeniran, B. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 3025.

(8) An, J.; Denton, S. M.; Lambert, T. H.; Nacsa, E. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 2993.

(9) Hugenberg, V.; Haufe, G. J. Fluorine Chem. 2012, 143, 238.

(10) Sugiyama, S.; Diakur, J. M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2713.

(11) Ichikawa, J.; Sugimoto, K.; Sonoda, T.; Kobayashi, H. Chem. Lett. 1987, 16, 1985.

(12) York, C.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Olah, G. A. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 9. (13) For $S_N 2$ chlorination of a benzylic arylsulfoxide, see: Pinna, G.;

Bellucci, M. C.; Malpezzi, L.; Pisani, L.; Superchi, S.; Volonterio, A.; Zanda, M. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 5268.

(14) Stewart, J. M.; Cordts, H. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5880.
(15) Bordwell, F. G.; Pitt, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 572.

(16) Schreiber, K. C.; Fernandez, V. P. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 2910.

(17) Dilworth, B. M.; McKervey, M. A. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3731.

(18) (a) Shi, L.; Horn, M.; Kobayashi, S.; Mayr, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2000, 15, 8522, (b) Orlariá, M.; Balla, F.; Baržiá, S. L. Ora, Churr,

2009, *15*, 8533. (b) Orlović, M.; Polla, E.; Borčić, S. J. Org. Chem. **1983**, *48*, 2278.

(19) Le Roux, C.; Gaspard-Iloughmane, H.; Dubac, J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2238. (20) Chloro sulfide 6 was prepared independently by addition of PhSCl to chalcone. See the SI for more details.

(21) We propose that the additional PhICl₂ equivalent rapidly oxidizes the in situ generated PhSCl to PhSCl₃ which undergoes stereoselective *anti*-addition to chalcone. The resulting *anti-β*-chlorophenylsulfonium dichloride intermediate collapses stereospecifically to *anti*-dichloride 7. For similar reactivity of PhSeCl₃ with alkenes, see: (a) Garratt, D. G.; Schmid, G. H. *Can. J. Chem.* **1974**, *52*, 3599. (b) Engman, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 4086.

(22) Colins, C. C.; Cronin, M. F.; Moynihan, H. A.; McCarthy, D. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1267.

(23) For reproducibility issues arising from the quality of PhICl₂, see for example: Nicolaou, K. C.; Simmons, N. L.; Ying, Y.; Heretsch, P. M.; Chen, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8134.

(24) Zhao, X.-F.; Zhang, C. Synthesis 2007, 2007, 551.

(25) (a) Using PhI/NaOCI/HCl in ref 24. (b) Baranowski, A.; Plachta, D.; Skulski, L.; Klimaszewska, M. J. Chem. Res. 2000, 435.

(26) Murphy, M.; Lynch, D.; Schaeffer, M.; Kissane, M.; Chopra, J.; O'Brien, E.; Ford, A.; Ferguson, G.; Maguire, A. R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2007**, *5*, 1228.

(27) Tuleen, D. L.; Stephens, T. B. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 31.

(28) In addition to *anti*-chloro sulfide **6**, regioisomeric *anti*-chloro sulfide **6a** was also formed. For characterization of **6a** and synthesis of *syn*-chloro sulfide **6b**, see the SI.

(29) Taniguchi, T.; Fujii, T.; Ishibashi, H. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8126.

(30) Chauhan, P.; Mahajan, S.; Enders, D. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 8807.

(31) Fang, X.; Li, J.; Wang, C.-J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3448.

(32) (a) Ray, D. G., III; Koser, G. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 5672. (b) Koser, G. F.; Kokil, P. B.; Shah, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **1987**, 28, 5431.

(33) (a) Liu, Z.-D.; Chen, Z.-C. Heteroat. Chem. 1992, 3, 559.
(b) Combes, S.; Finet, J.-P. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 4313. (c) Kang, S.-K.; Ryu, H.-C.; Lee, S.-W. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 610, 38.
(d) Burford, N.; Clyburne, J. A. C.; Gates, D. P.; Schriver, M. J.; Richardson, J. F. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1994, 997.

(34) Zhdankin, V. V. Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry; Wiley: Chichester, 2014.

(35) Ochiai, M.; Sueda, T.; Miyamoto, K.; Kiprof, P.; Zhdankin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 8203.

(36) For oxidative dichlorination of metal complexes with PhICl₂, see for example: (a) Whitfield, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15142. (b) McCall, A. S.; Wang, H.; Desper, J. M.; Kraft, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 1832.

(37) Cartwright, M.; Woolf, A. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 1981, 19, 101.

(38) (a) Tanioku, A.; Nakai, T.; Hayashi, S.; Nakanishi, W. *Heteroat. Chem.* **2011**, *22*, 446. (b) Baenziger, N. C.; Buckles, R. E.; Maner, R. J.; Simpson, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1969**, *91*, 5749. (c) Wilson, G. E., Jr.; Chang, M. M. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1974**, *96*, 7533.

(39) For a ReactNMR observation of a diastereomeric chlorosulfonium salt generated with NCS, see: Foley, D. A.; Doecke, C. W.; Buser, J. Y.; Merritt, J. M.; Murphy, L.; Kissane, M.; Collins, S. G.; Maguire, A. R.; Kaerner, A. J. Org. Chem. **2011**, *76*, 9630.

(40) Brucks, A. P.; Treitler, S.-A.; Liu, D. S.; Snyder, S. A. Synthesis 2013, 45, 1886.