287. High Asymmetric Induction in Conjugate Additions of RCu · BF₃ to Chiral Enoates

Preliminary Communication¹)

by Wolfgang Oppolzer and Heinz J. Löher Département de Chimie Organique, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève 4

(11.XI.81)

Summary

1,4-Additions of PhCu \cdot BF₃, *n*-Bu \cdot BF₃ and MeCu \cdot BF₃ to the *trans*-8-phenylmenthyl enoates 1 proceeded with high chiral induction. Saponification of the resulting esters 2 gave the corresponding enantiomerically pure β -substituted alkanoic acids 3 and the recovered (-)-8-phenylmenthol in good overall yields. Analogous additions to the *cis*-crotonate 1 led preferentially to the acids 3 enantiomeric to those obtained from the *trans*-crotonate 1, although with lower selectivity. A stereochemical model is proposed consistent with the observed results (*Scheme 2*, *Table*).

The highly enantioselective formation of C, C-bonds is of fundamental importance in organic synthesis²). Elegant efforts to achieve asymmetric induction in *Michael* reactions involve the use of chiral basic catalysts [2], cosolvents [3] and nucleophiles [4]. However, enantioselective C, C-bond closure β to a carbonyl group has been accomplished more efficiently by 1,4-addition of organometallic reagents to a,β -unsaturated oxazolines [5], *t*-leucine-, *t*-butylester-aldimines [6], oxazepines [7], carboxylic amides derived from *l*-ephedrine [8] and *a*-carbonyl-*a*, β -ethylenic sulfoxides [9]³). All these methods rely on a rigid substrate conformation owing to a chelation with the metal. We report here an entirely different concept which in terms of flexibility and efficiency offers an advantageous alternative.

Recently, the BF₃-mediated 1,4-addition of organocopper reagents to a,β unsaturated carbonyl compounds has been reported by *Yamamoto et al.* [12] (*Scheme 1*). The particularly smooth reaction may be attributed to a transition state A [12] or **B** implying either a synplanar or antiplanar C=C/C=O-arrangement. In context with asymmetric ene [13] and cycloaddition reactions [14-16] we assume that enoates of secondary alcohols prefer a conformation where the C=O is anti-

¹) Presented by one of us (W.O.) at the 11th Northeast Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Rochester, Oct. 19, 1981.

²) Reviews [1].

³) For further methods to generate a chiral center in a β -position to a carbonyl group see [10] [11].



planar with the olefinic C, C-, and synplanar with the alkoxy-C, H-bonds; furthermore, in enoates derived from (–)-8-phenylmenthol [14] **1** of from other secondary alcohols [16] the appropriately positioned aryl group may shield selectively one enantiotopic face of the enoate. The conformational rigidity of such enoates as well as the π,π -orbital overlap should be enhanced by the coordination of the C=O group with a *Lewis* acid.

Accordingly we anticipated that a reagent $R^3Cu \cdot BF_3$ could add to the 8-phenylmenthyl enoates 1 preferentially at the olefinic face opposite to the phenyl ring via a transition state **B** (Scheme 2). The experimental results in support of this hypothesis are summarized in Scheme 2 and the Table.

Esterification⁴) of *trans*-crotonic acid and *trans*-2-heptenoic acid [18] furnished the *trans*-enoates 1 ($R^1 = H$, $R^2 = Me$) and 1 ($R^1 = H$, $R^2 = n$ -Bu), respectively whilst the *cis*-crotonate 1 ($R^1 = Me$, $R^2 = H$) was prepared by esterification⁴) of tetrolic



Table. 1,4-Additions of $R^3Cu \cdot BF_3$ to chiral enoates $1 \rightarrow 2$ and enantiomeric purity of the thus obtained β -substituted alkanoic acids 3

Entry	R ¹	R ²	R ³	Yield of 2 %	e.e. of 3 %
a	H	Me	C ₆ H ₅	76	$> 99^{a}$) 99.3 ^b)
b	Н	Me	n-C4H9	75.4	$> 99^{\circ}$) 99.5 ^b)
с	Me	Н	C ₆ H ₅	36 (72) ^d)	24 ^a)
d	Me	н	n-C4H9	76	70°)
e	Н	n-C ₄ H ₉	Me	28 (48) ^d)	78°)

^a) ¹H-NMR. (360 MHz) and HPLC. (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc/MeOH 3:7:0,24) of amide derived from 3 and L-phenylalaninol.

^b) **3a**: $[a]_D^{25} = -56.8^\circ (c=9, C_6H_6);$ **3b**: $[a]_D^{25} = -4.18$ (neat).

c) HPLC. (SiO₂ hexane/EtOAc/MeOH 3:7:0.24) of amide derived from 3 and p-phenylglycinol.

d) Yield in parenthesis based on recovered 1.

⁴) The corresponding acid was treated with (-)-8-phenylmenthol [14] (0.5 mol-equiv.), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (1 mol-equiv.) and p-N, N-dimethylaminopyridine [17] in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 16 h to give the esters 1 in 83-95% yield. acid [19] and subsequent partial hydrogenation in presence of Lindlar-catalyst. In analogy to the described procedure [12], PhLi (2 mol-equiv.) was added to purified CuI (2 mol-equiv.) in ether at $-40^{\circ} \rightarrow -20^{\circ}$. Successive slow addition of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ (2mol-equiv.) and of the enoate 1a (1 mol-equiv.) to the dark solution at -70° , stirring of the mixture for 10 min at -70° , raising the temperature slowly to +25°, quenching with sat. aq. NH₄Cl-solution and work-up yielded the 1,4-adduct $2a^5$) in 76% yield. Saponification⁶) of 2a allowed the recovery of the auxiliary (-)-8-phenylmenthol (98% yield) and afforded (R)-(-)-3-phenylbutyric acid (3a) in over 99% enantiomeric purity. This dramatically high induction was assigned by chiroptic measurements [20] and further confirmed by conversion of 3a into the amide of L-phenylalaninol⁷) and subsequent analysis of the latter [21] by HPLC, and ¹H-NMR, in comparison with the amide obtained⁷) from racemic 3-phenylbutyric acid and L-phenylalaninol. Under analogous reaction conditions as described for the conversion $1a \rightarrow 2a$ *n*-BuCu · BF₃ was added to the trans-crotonate 1 giving the expected ester 2b in 75.4% yield. Saponification⁶) of **2b** gave optically pure (-)-(S)-3-methylheptanoic acid (3b). The absolute configuration of 3b was determined by its optical rotation [22] which indicated an enantiomeric purity of 99.5% in agreement with the HPLC. analysis [21] of the corresponding p-phenylglycinol amide⁷). Similar 1,4-addition of PhCu · BF₃ and of *n*-BuCu \cdot BF₃ to the *cis*-crotonate 1 gave after saponification⁶) (+)-(S)-3-phenylbutyric acid (3c, 24% e.e.) and (+)-(R)-3-methylheptanoic acid (3d, 70% e.e.), respectively, which were analyzed as described above. Although the sign of asymmetric induction in the reaction $cis-1 \rightarrow 2c$ and $cis-1 \rightarrow 2d$ agrees with our stereochemical model its is definitely lower. Cis/trans-isomerization of the cis-crotonate 1 prior to 1,4-addition seems unlikely since cis-1 was recovered unchanged after partial conversion to 2c. Nevertheless, by reversing the order of group introduction, either enantiomer of a β -substituted carboxylic acid 3 should be accessible in high enantiomeric excess via the trans-esters 1. Thus, addition of MeCu · BF₃⁸) to the trans-2-heptenoate 1 and saponification⁶) of the adduct 2e yielded (+)-(R)-3methylheptanoic acid $(3d \equiv 3e)$ in over 72% chiral purity.

Further options include the use of new, either 'si-face' or 're-face' directing auxiliary alcohols, which are presently being developed in this laboratory⁹). Combination of these possibilities with a plethora of known stereocontrolled routes to a,β -conjugated esters [23] offers considerable promise. We are exploring systematically the scope and limitations of this asymmetric 1,4-addition reaction as well as its applicability to the synthesis of natural products.

⁵⁾ All new compounds were characterized by IR., ¹H-NMR. (360 MHz) and mass spectroscopy.

⁶⁾ Compound 2 (0.66 mmol) was heated in a mixture of 11.6 N NaOMe in MeOH (7.5 ml) and water (4 mol-equiv.) at 75° for 24 h. Subsequent work-up and separation of neutral and acidic products furnished (-)-8-phenylmenthol and the corresponding acid 3.

⁷) The corresponding acid 3 was heated in an excess of oxalyl chloride at 40° for 2 h. After evaporation a solution of the residue in dioxane was added slowly to a mixture of the chiral amine (1.1 mol-equiv.), NEt₃ (2 mol-equiv.) in dioxane at +5°. Stirring of the mixture at RT. for 2 h. work-up and rapid chromatography (SiO₂, hexane/EtOAc, 2:3) gave the corresponding amide in over 70% yield.

⁸) 10 Mol-equiv., -65° for $10 \text{ h} \rightarrow +20^{\circ}$.

⁹⁾ See for example the preceding communication [16].

Financial support of this work by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, Sandoz SA, Basel, and Givaudan SA, Vernier, is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Mr. J. P. Saulnier, Mr. A. Pinto and Mrs. D. Clément for NMR. and MS. measurements.

REFERENCES

- a) J. D. Morrison & H. S. Mosher, 'Asymmetric Organic Reactions', Prentice-Hall: New Jersey 1971;
 b) H. B. Kagan & J. C. Fiaud, Top. Stereochem. 1978, 10, 175; c) D. Valentine jr. & J. W. Scott, Synthesis 1978, 329; d) J. W. ApSimon & R. P. Seguin, Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 2797.
- [2] a) H. Wynberg & R. Helder, Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 4057; b) K. Hermann & H. Wynberg, J. Org. Chem. 44, 2238 (1979).
- [3] W. Langer & D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 1710 (1979); and references therein.
- [4] a) J. S. Zweig, J. L. Luche, E. Barreiro & P. Crabbé, Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 2355; b) L. Colombo, C. Gennari, G. Resnati & C. Scolastico, Synthesis 1981, 74; c) T. Takeda, T. Hoshiko & T. Mukaiyama, Chemistry Lett. 1981, 797.
- [5] A.I. Meyers, R.K. Smith & Ch.E. Whitten, J. Org. Chem. 44, 2250 (1979).
- [6] a) S. Hashimoto, S. Yamada & K. Koga, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 7450 (1976); b) S. Hashimoto, N. Komeshima, S. Yamada & K. Koga, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 27 (10), 2437 (1979).
- [7] T. Mukaiyama, T. Takeda & K. Fujimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 51, 3368 (1978).
- [8] T. Mukaiyama & N. Iwasawa, Chemistry Lett. 1981, 913.
- [9] G. H. Posner, J. P. Mallamo & K. Miura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 2886 (1981).
- [10] H. Kumobayashi, S. Akutagawa, S. Otsuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 3949 (1978).
- [11] D. Valentine jr., K.K. Johnson, W. Priester, R.C. Sun, K. Toth & G. Saucy, J. Org. Chem. 45, 3698 (1980).
- [12] Y. Yamamoto & K. Maruyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 3240 (1978).
- [13] W. Oppolzer, C. Robbiani & K. Bättig, Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 2015 (1980).
- [14] E.J. Corey & H.E. Ensley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 6908 (1975).
- [15] W. Oppolzer, M. Kurth, D. Reichlin & F. Moffatt, Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 2545, and references cited therein.
- [16] W. Oppolzer, M. Kurth, D. Reichlin, C. Chapuis, M. Mohnhaupt & F. Moffatt, Helv. Chim. Acta 64, 2802 (1981).
- [17] B. Neises & W. Steglich, Angew. Chem. 90, 556 (1978); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 17, 522 (1978).
- [18] P. Coutrot, M. Snoussi & P. Savignac, Synthesis 1978, 133.
- [19] F.-M. Simmross & P. Weyerstahl, Synthesis 1981, 72.
- [20] V. Prelog & H. Scherrer, Helv. Chim. Acta 42, 2227 (1959).
- [21] G. Helmchen, G. Nill, D. Flockerzi, W. Schühle & M.S.K. Youssef, Angew. Chem. 91, 64 (1979); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 18, 62 (1979); G. Helmchen & G. Nill, Angew. Chem. 91, 66 (1979); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 18, 65 (1979).
- [22] P.A. Levene & R.E. Marker, J. Biol. Chem. 95, 153 (1932).
- [23] G.H. Posner, Org. React. 19, 1 (1972); N. Miyaura, N. Sasaki, M. Itoh & A. Suzuki, Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3369; Y. Yamamoto, H. Yatagai & K. Maruyama, J. Org. Chem. 44, 1744 (1979); J. Boutagy & R. Thomas, Chem. Rev. 74, 87 (1974); K. Tanaka, N. Yamagishi, R. Tanikaga & A. Kaji, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 52, 3619 (1979); K. Tamao, T. Kakiu & M. Kumada, Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 619; N. Miyaura & A. Suzuki, Chem. Lett. 1981, 879; G. Friour, G. Cahiez, A. Alexakis & J.F. Normant, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1979, II-515; B. Bogdanović & B. Wermeckes, Angew. Chem. 93, 691 (1981); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 20, 684 (1981).