Communications

Cross-Coupling

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200501860

Efficient Aryl-(Hetero)Aryl Coupling by Activation of C-Cl and C-F Bonds Using Nickel Complexes of Air-Stable Phosphine Oxides**

Lutz Ackermann,* Robert Born, Julia H. Spatz, and Daniel Meyer

Transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of organomagnesium compounds^[1–4] are useful tools for the synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted biaryls, which are valuable building blocks for the synthesis of natural products, liquid crystals, polymers, and ligands.^[5] Predominantly aryl iodides and bromides, and more recently aryl chlorides,^[6] are employed as electrophiles. In contrast, the catalytic activation of unreactive C–F bonds remains extremely challenging because of the inherent strength of the C–F bond.^[7,8] The activation of C–F bonds in general is of utmost importance because this transformation contributes to the fundamental understanding of the reactivity of very stable bonds, and because the selective synthesis of partially fluorinated compounds is still a challenge.

Herrmann showed elegantly that efficient nickel-catalyzed^[9] cross-couplings between electronically nonactivated aryl fluorides and aryl Grignard reagents^[10] can be accomplished at ambient temperature,^[11] if appropriate stabilizing ligands are employed.^[12,13] Recently, we employed modular air-stable dioxo- and diaminophosphine oxides in palladiumcatalyzed Suzuki cross-coupling reactions between aryl chlorides and boronic acids.^[14] Herein, we report the use of airstable phosphine oxide preligands^[15] for the activation of C– Cl and C–F bonds^[16] in cross-coupling reactions.

The catalytic activity of different preligands was first evaluated in the Kumada cross-coupling reaction of electronically deactivated 4-chloroanisole (1a) with phenyl magnesium chloride (2a) at ambient temperature (Table 1 and the Supporting Information).^[17] Diaminophosphine oxide 7 gave rise to more efficient catalysis than the corresponding diaminophosphine chloride $6^{[14]}$ (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). However, significant amounts of the undesired homocoupling product **5**a were also generated. Similar results were obtained with dioxophosphine oxides 8 and 9 (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). A more selective reaction was achieved with sterically

 [*] Dr. L. Ackermann, R. Born, J. H. Spatz, D. Meyer Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München
 Department Chemie und Biochemie
 Butenandtstrasse 5–13, Haus F, 81377 München (Germany)
 Fax: (+49) 89-218-077-425
 E-mail: Lutz.Ackermann@cup.uni-muenchen.de

- [**] This research was supported by Prof. Paul Knochel, the DFG (Emmy Noether-Programm), the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, and the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität.
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

Table 1: Ligand optimization studies.[a]

		OMe		
OMe	MgCI 3 mol % [Ni(acac) ₂], 3 mol % L	\bigcirc	+ PhOMe	e (4a)
CI T	THF, 20 °C		+ MeOC ₆	₅ H ₄ -C ₆ H ₄ OMe (5a)
1a	2a	3a		
Entry	Ligand (L)		<i>t</i> [h]	1 a/3 a/4 a/5 a
1	Me Me Me Me Me	6	24	16: 76 :1:6
2	Me H P N Me Me N N Me Me Me Me	7	1	4: 85 :1:10
3	(EtO) ₂ PHO	8	1	/: 89 :1:10
4	Me O P H Me O H Ph Ph	9	1	/: 91 :1:7
5	H N-Mes	10	1	/: 96 :/:4
6	Me Me Me N N N Me Me Me Me	11	1	/: 97 :/:3
7	Me Me Me CI Me Me Me Me Me Me	12	1	41: 45 :9:5
8	Me Me Me OAr Me Me Me Me	13	1	65: 22 :10:3
9	Eto POEt	14	1	66: 29 :2:2
10	Ph ₂ PHO	15	1	/: 89 :1:9
11	tBu ₂ PHO	16	1	/: 97 :/:3
12	R ^O H	17	1	/: 99 :/:1

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.00 mmol), **2a** (1.50 mmol), $[Ni(acac)_2]$ (3 mol%), L (3 mol%), THF (2 mL); GC yield; Ar=4-MeOC₆H₄.

hindered phosphine oxide **10** and particularly **11**, which bear aromatic substituents on nitrogen (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). Again, the corresponding phosphine chloride **12** gave rise to less efficient and less selective catalysis (Table 1, entry 7).

Recently, Dankwardt showed that a sterically encumbered phosphite facilitates efficient nickel-catalyzed Kumada cross-coupling reactions at elevated temperature.^[11] However, neither the corresponding phosphite **13** (Table 1,

7216

entry 8) nor arylphosphonate **14**^[18] (Table 1, entry 9) exhibited reactivity comparable to that observed with phosphine oxide **11**. In contrast, alkyl-substituted secondary phosphine oxides yielded quantitative conversion of electrophile **1a** (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). In particular, the sterically hindered 1-adamantyl-substituted derivative **17**^[19] gave rise to selective catalysis (Table 1, entry 12).^[20] The high catalytic activity achieved with secondary phosphine oxides is most likely a result of the generation and subsequent deprotonation of phosphinous acid nickel complexes featuring anionic electron-rich P-bound ligands.^[21]

The catalyst derived from phosphine oxide **11** facilitated efficient conversion of a representative set of electron-rich aryl chlorides at ambient temperature (Table 2). Also the functionalization of pyridyl chlorides (Table 2, entries 8–10) proceeded in high yields.

With optimized catalytic systems in hand, we tested the air-stable phosphine oxides **11**, **16**, and **17** as preligands in the cross-coupling of aryl fluoride **18a** (Table 3, entries 1–3). Interestingly, diaminophosphine oxide **11** exhibited superior catalytic activity in the activation of C–F bonds (Table 3, entry 1). Highly efficient catalysis was achieved with this preligand even at ambient temperature with different Grignard reagents (Table 3, entries 1, 4, and 5). These results

suggest an improved reactivity compared to that in known protocols for nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl fluorides at ambient temperature.^[12] Heteroarenes (Table 3, entries 7–10) gave rise to the corresponding products in high yields.^[13a] Also the synthesis of terphenyl derivatives (Table 3, entries 11 and 12) and an amino-substituted biaryl (Table 3, entry 13), as well as the conversion of sterically more hindered naphtha-



Scheme 1. Selective functionalization of arene lh; dba = trans, transdibenzylideneacetone.

Table 2: Kumada cross-coupling of aryl chlorides at ambient temperature.^[a]

1c

1 d

ada cross-couping of ary chondes at ambient temperature.								
	R ← CI + XMg ←	3 mol % [Ni(aca R ² 3 mol % 1 ⁴	$\xrightarrow{\text{ac}_2} \qquad \qquad$					
	1	2 THF, 20 °C, 5	– 21 h 3					
Aryl chlorid	e (Grignard reagent	Produc	t				
MeO	1a CIMgP	h 2a	MeO	3 a				
MeO	1a CIMgP	h 2a	MeO	3 a				
Me	1b CIMgP	h 2a	Me	3 b				
	1a CIMgP 1a CIMgP 1b CIMgP	h 2a h 2a h 2a	MeO Ph MeO Ph Me Ph	3a 3a 3b				

2 h

2 b

2 b

4-BrMgC₆H₄OMe

4-BrMgC₆H₄OMe

4-BrMgC₆H₄OMe

7	CI Me	le	4-BrMgC ₆ H ₄ OMe	2 b	≪≻─OMe Me	3 e	89
8	CI N CI	1 f	4-BrMgC ₆ H ₄ OMe	2 b	OMe	3 f	87
9	CI	1g	ClMgPh	2a	Ph N	3 g	85
10	CI	1 g	4-BrMgC ₆ H ₄ OMe	26	OMe	3 h	85

[a] Reaction conditions: 1 (1.00 mmol), 2 (1.50 mmol), [Ni(acac)₂] (3 mol%), 11 (3 mol%), THF (2 mL), 20°C; yield of isolated product. [b] 17 (3 mol%) instead of 11.

Entry

1

2^[b]

3

4

5

6

Yield [%]

97

99

92

97

97

99

3 a

30

3 d

OMe

Communications

 Table 3:
 Kumada cross-coupling with aryl fluorides.^[a]

	~F +	×1	√a 3 m	ol % [1	Ni(acac) ₂]		
	R ¹	7.4		3 mol	% 11 R ¹	^{_∕″} R²	
	18		2 ^{1H}	F, 20 °	°C, 5–24 h 3		
Entry	Aryl fluoride		Grignard reage	nt	Product		Yield [%]
1	MeO	18 a	ClMgPh	2a	MeO — Ph	3 a	80
2 ^[b]	MeOF	18 a	ClMgPh	2a	MeO	3 a	55
3 ^[c]	MeO — F	18a	ClMgPh	2a	MeO	3 a	64
4	F	18 b	4-BrMgC ₆ H ₄ OMe	2 b	Ph — OMe	3 a	88
5 ^[d]	Me	18 c	4-BrMgC ₆ H ₄ OMe	2 b	Me-OMe	3 c	88
6 ^[c]	MeO	18b	4-BrMgMes	2c	MeO-Me	3i	88
7	N F	18 d	4-BrMgC ₆ H₄OMe	2 b	Come	3 f	90
8	N F	18 d	CIMgPh	2a	N	3j	63
9	F	18 e	ClMgPh	2a	Ph	3 g	72
10	F N	18 e	4-BrMgC ₆ H₄OMe	2 b	OMe	3 h	95
11	Ph-F	18 f	4-BrMgC ₆ H ₄ OMe	2 b	Ph-OMe	3 k	88
12	Ph-	18 f	ClMgPh	2a	Ph-	31	89
13 ^[e]	N-K-F	18g	ClMgPh	2a	NPh	3 m	61
14	F	18 h	ClMgPh	2a	Ph	3 n	84
15	F	18 h	4-BrMgC ₆ H₄OMe	2 b	OMe	30	94
16	F F F	18i	4-BrMgC ₆ H₄OMe	2 b	-	-	-

[a] Reaction conditions: **18** (1.00 mmol), **2** (1.50 mmol), [Ni(acac)₂] (3 mol%), **11** (3 mol%), THF (2 mL), 20 °C; yield of isolated product; $Mes = 2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2$. [b] **16** (3 mol%) instead of **11**. [c] **17** (3 mol%) instead of **11**. [d] [Ni(acac)₂] (5 mol%), **11** (5 mol%). [e] [Ni(acac)₂] (8 mol%), **11** (8 mol%).

lene fluoride **18h** (entries 14 and 15), was feasible with phosphine oxide **11**. However, electron-poor polyfluorinated **18i** was not converted.

Finally, a combination of a palladium-catalyzed amination^[14] and a nickel-catalyzed Kumada cross-coupling of the resulting electron-rich aryl fluoride **18j** was used for the regioselective functionalization of fluorobenzene **1h** (Scheme 1).

In summary, we reported the first use of air-stable secondary phosphine oxides for the activation of C–F bonds in aryl fluorides. In particular, a complex derived from the sterically congested preligand **11** showed activity superior to that of known protocols for the nickel-catalyzed crosscoupling reactions of aryl Grignard reagents at ambient temperature.

Experimental Section

Representative procedure for nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of aryl fluorides (Table 3, entry 15): A solution of $[Ni(acac)_2]$ (7 mg, 0.03 mmol, 3 mol%) and **11** (13 mg, 0.03 mmol, 3 mol%) in dry THF (0.5 mL) was stirred for 10 min at ambient temperature under N_2 . 1-Fluoronaphthalene (18h) (148 mg, 1.01 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred for 5 min. Thereafter, 2b (0.5 M in THF, 3.0 mL, 1.50 mmol) was added. The resulting dark solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 h. Et_2O (75 mL) and H_2O (75 mL) were added to the reaction mixture. The separated aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (2×75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (*n*-pentane/Et₂O, $300:1 \rightarrow 200:1 \rightarrow 150:1 \rightarrow$ 100:1) to yield **30** as a pale yellow solid (223 mg, 94%).

Received: May 29, 2005 Published online: October 17, 2005

Keywords: C–C coupling · C–F activation · Grignard reaction · nickel · phosphine oxides

- [2] R. J. P. Corriu, J. P. Masse, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1972, 144.
- [3] K. Tamao, J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 653, 23–26.
- [4] P. Knochel, I. Sapountzis, N. Gommermann, in *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, 2nd ed. (Eds.: A. de Meijere, F. Diederich), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2004**, pp. 671–698.
- [5] A. de Meijere, F. Diederich, Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions, 2nd ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004.
- [6] A. F. Littke, G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 4350–4386; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.

2002, *41*, 4176–4211.

- [7] T. G. Richmond, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3378–3380; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3241–3244.
- [8] T. Braun, R. N. Perutz, Chem. Commun. 2002, 2749-2757.
- [9] T. Takahashi, K. Kanno in *Modern Organonickel Chemistry* (Ed.: Y. Tamaru), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005, pp. 41-55.

^[1] K. Tamao, K. Sumitani, M. Kumada, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1972**, 94, 4374–4376.

- [10] For an unselective coupling with an alkyl Grignard reagent, see: Y. Kiso, K. Tamao, M. Kumada, J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 50, C12-C14.
- [11] For a recent study on thermal and microwave-mediated reactions, see: J. W. Dankwardt, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 932-938.
- [12] V. P. W. Böhm, C. W. K. Gstöttmayr, T. Weskamp, W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 3500–3503; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3387–3389.
- [13] See also: a) F. Mongin, L. Mojovic, B. Guillamet, F. Trecourt, G. Quéguiner, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8991–8994; b) K. Lamm, M. Stollenz, M. Meier, H. Görls, B. Walther, J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 681, 24–36.
- [14] L. Ackermann, R. Born, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 2497–2500; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2444–2447.
- [15] N. V. Dubrovina, A. Börner, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 6007– 6010; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5883–5886.
- [16] For the use of alkyl-substituted phosphine oxides in nickelcatalyzed cross-couplings with aryl chlorides, see: a) G. Y. Li, *Angew. Chem.* 2001, 113, 1561–1564; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.

2001, 40, 1513–1516; b) G. Y. Li, W. J. Marshall, Organometallics **2002**, 21, 590–591.

- [17] For a thorough evaluation of a variety of preligands, see the Supporting Information in: V. P. W. Böhm, T. Weskamp, C. W. K. Gstöttmayr, W. A. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem.* 2000, *112*, 1672–1674; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000, *39*, 1602–1604.
- [18] The corresponding arylphosphonate derived from phosphine oxide 11 could not be obtained by standard synthetic procedures; this underscores the steric demand of preligand 11. A practical protocol for the synthesis of arylphosphonates: L. J. Gooßen, M. K. Dezfuli, *Synlett* 2005, 445–448, and references therein.
- [19] J. R. Goerlich, A. Fischer, P. G. Jonas, R. Schmutzler, Z. Naturforsch. B **1994**, 49, 801–811, and references therein.
- [20] For use in C–H bond functionalization reactions with aryl chlorides, see: L. Ackermann, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3123–3125.
- [21] For the synthesis of a phosphinous acid nickel complex, starting from [Ni(acac)₂] and phosphine oxide 15, see: B. Walther, H. Hartung, M. Maschmeier, U. Baumeister, B. Messbauer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1988, 566, 121–130.