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#### Abstract

A new green chemical method for the aza-Michael reaction of nitrogen heterocycles with enones in water as a solvent without the use of any catalysts under high-pressure conditions is described.
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The conjugate addition of nitrogen nucleophiles including NH -containing heterocycles to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones and esters is one of the most important carbon-nitrogen bond-forming reactions in organic synthesis and leads to a pharmacologically important family of $\beta$-amino carbonyl compounds. ${ }^{2}$ The so-called aza-Michael reaction generally can be catalyzed or promoted by a variety of Brønsted ${ }^{3}$ or Lewis acids containing $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Cs}$ and $\mathrm{Mg},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~B},{ }^{5}$ $\mathrm{Al},{ }^{6} \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Ti}$ and $\mathrm{Hf},{ }^{7} \mathrm{Fe}$ and $\mathrm{Co},{ }^{8} \mathrm{Ni}$ and $\mathrm{Cu},{ }^{9} \mathrm{Zn},{ }^{10} \mathrm{Y},{ }^{11}$ $\mathrm{Zr},{ }^{12} \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Rh}$ and $\mathrm{Pd},{ }^{13} \mathrm{In},{ }^{14}$ lanthanides $(\mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Yb}),{ }^{15} \mathrm{Pt}$ and $\mathrm{Au},{ }^{16}$ and $\mathrm{Bi} .{ }^{17}$ In addition, inorganic solid supports, ${ }^{18}$ fluorides, ${ }^{19}$ organocatalysts ${ }^{20}$ including asymmetric catalysts, ${ }^{21}$ polymer-supported organobase, ${ }^{22}$ and even enzymes ${ }^{23}$ have also recently been developed for the same purpose. Despite this enormous progress, there have been only a few reports on the use of nitrogen heterocycles as Michael donors due to their low nucleophilicity. Moreover, the reported methods are often associated with some drawbacks, such as the polymerization of Michael acceptors, and the use of harmful organic solvents or expensive catalysts. Although some alternative procedures which might be feasible for environment-friendly transformations have also been reported, ${ }^{24}$ conceptually new versions using noncatalytic and aqueous systems are rare. ${ }^{25,26}$
In our continuing efforts to demonstrate the outstanding value of high pressure in organic synthesis,,${ }^{27,28}$ we have been interested in devising a new uncatalyzed and aqueous process for the aza-Michael reaction of nitrogen heterocycles with enones (Scheme 1).
To establish the optimal conditions for transformations of this type, we first examined the reaction of 1,2,4-triazole (1a, 1.1 equiv) with 2 -cyclohexenone (2a) under various conditions including high pressure as well as microwave irradiation, and the results are summarized in Table 1. ${ }^{29}$


Scheme 1

Table 1 Aza-Michael Reaction of 1,2,4-Triazole (1a) with 2-Cyclohexenone (2a) under High-Pressure or Microwave Conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$


| Entry | Conditions | Solvent | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1 MPa, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 71 |
| 2 | 0.2 GPa, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 95 |
| 3 | 0.4 GPa, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 98 |
| 4 | 0.6 GPa, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 100 |
| 5 | MW, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 76 |
| 6 | $0.6 \mathrm{GPa}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | MeOH | $67^{\text {d }}$ |
| 7 | MW, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | MeOH | 55 |
| 8 | $0.6 \mathrm{GPa}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | MeCN | 81 |
| 9 | MW, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | MeCN | Trace |
| 10 | $0.6 \mathrm{GPa}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 90 |
| 11 | MW, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Trace |
| 12 | $0.6 \mathrm{GPa}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ | no solvent ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 94 |

[^0]Among the several solvents examined, water gave the best result, and when the reaction was conducted at 0.6 GPa and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 hours, 3 a was isolated in nearly quantitative yield (entry 4, Table 1). At lower pressures, slightly reduced yields were obtained (entries 2 and 3, Table 1).

Methanol, MeCN, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ also gave 3a, but in somewhat lower yields due to incomplete conversion (entries 6, 8 , and 10 , Table 1 ). When the reaction was conducted in MeOH , the desired adduct 3 ( $67 \%$ ) was obtained along with a considerable amount ( $24 \%$ ) of the corresponding dimethyl acetal derivative (entry 6, Table 1 ). ${ }^{30}$ Consistent with the reported examples, ${ }^{20 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, 24 \mathrm{~h}}$ microwave irradiation facilitated the reaction in water and in MeOH (entries 5 and 7, Table 1), but a high-pressure environment is superior because of its cleanliness. For comparison, we also examined the same reaction at atmospheric pressure in water at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but in this case the reaction was very slow, and after three days $\mathbf{3 a}$ was obtained in $71 \%$ yield (entry 1 , Table 1). The reaction under solvent-free conditions proved to be also useful in producing 3a and the yield reached $94 \%$ (entry 12, Table 1).
With these results in hand, we performed experiments to clarify the generality of this method for other substrates. The results are summarized in Table 2. ${ }^{31}$
The efficiency of this synthetic procedure strongly depends on the reactivity of the Michael acceptors. Thus, the reaction of cyclic enones such as $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{2 c}$, and $\mathbf{2 g}$ with nitrogen heterocycles such as 1a, pyrazole (1c), benzotriazole ( $\mathbf{1 e}$ ), and purine ( $\mathbf{1 g}$ ) gave the corresponding adducts $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{3 1}, \mathbf{3 o}, \mathbf{3 q}$, and $\mathbf{3 r}$ in excellent yields (entries $1,2,6,9,12,14$, Table 2). In the last example, a mixture of the N9- and N7-alkylated regioisomers ( $\mathbf{3 q}$ and $3 \mathbf{r}$ ) was obtained in a ratio of around 1.4:1. ${ }^{32}$ As represented by the reaction of $\mathbf{2 f}, \beta$-substitution of cyclic enones considerably reduced the reactivity, probably due to steric and electronic reasons (entry 5, Table 2).
On the other hand, acyclic enones $\mathbf{2 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 e}$ were less reactive, and rather drastic conditions were necessary to derive substantial amounts of the products (entries 3, 4, 10, Table 2). Interestingly, when the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathbf{2 d}$ was performed, the desired adduct $\mathbf{3 d}$ and its regioisomer $\mathbf{3 e}$ were obtained in respective yields of $36 \%$ and $29 \%,{ }^{33}$ accompanied by the unavoidable polymerization of $\mathbf{2 d}$ (entry 3, Table 2).
Among several nitrogen heterocycles, a family of imidazole derivatives, that is, imidazole (1b), 2-methylimidazole (1d), and benzimidazole (1f), showed remarkably distinctive behavior in the present aza-Michael reactions (entries 7, 11, 13, Table 2). Thus, a significant amount of $\mathbf{3 j}$, which might be formed by the Morita-Baylis-Hill-man-type dimerization of $\mathbf{2 a}$, was obtained as a byproduct, and this tendency was dramatically increased when $\mathbf{1 d}$ was used as the donor molecule (up to $60 \%$ yield). ${ }^{34}$
In conclusion, we have developed a new efficient method for the aza-Michael reaction of a variety of nitrogen heterocycles to enones using an aqueous system under high pressure. Notably, this reaction can be performed under completely uncatalyzed and essentially neutral conditions, and should be useful for easily preparing $\beta$-amino ketone derivatives. ${ }^{35}$ Further studies to extend the scope of this reaction are now in progress.

Table 2 High-Pressure-Promoted Aza-Michael Reaction of Heterocycles $\mathbf{1}$ with Enones $\mathbf{2}$ in Water ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Entry Heterocycle

Table 2 High-Pressure-Promoted Aza-Michael Reaction of Heterocycles $\mathbf{1}$ with Enones 2 in Water ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (continued)
Entry Heterocycle Enone
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed at 0.6 GPa and $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ using $1(1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield. Yields in parentheses are recovery of $\mathbf{2}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ At 0.8 GPa , r.t., 60 h .
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ At $0.8 \mathrm{GPa}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ At $0.8 \mathrm{GPa}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ At $0.6 \mathrm{GPa}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions were carried out using $\mathbf{1 a}(1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{2 a}$ (1.0 mmol ) in solvent (ca. 3 mL ).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yield.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ At 120 W and 50 psi .
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Additionally, 24\% of the corresponding dimethyl acetal of 3a was isolated.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ The reaction was performed using $\mathbf{1 a}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathbf{2 a}$ (ca. 1.3 mL , 13 equiv).

