6-O-Glycosylation of Morphine Derivatives Using Thioglycosides as Glycosyl Donors

Igor Rukhman, Lev Yudovich, Gennadiy Nisnevich, Arie L. Gutman*

Department of Chemistry, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel Fax +972(4)343341; E-mail: chgutman@tx.technion.ac.il *Received 13 December 1999; revised 3 April 2000*

Abstract: A novel approach was developed for the synthesis of the pharmaceutically important morphine and dihydromorphine $6-\beta$ -D-glucuronides. The key step involves a selective 6-O-glycosylation of 3-O-protected morphines and dihydromorphines with thioglyco-sides that serve as glycosyl donors in the presence of thiophilic promoters. This novel approach may be useful for the O-glycosylation of other alkoloid derivatives.

Key words: alkaloid glycosides, glycosylation, thioglycosides, morphine $6-\beta$ -D-glucuronide, dihydromorphine $6-\beta$ -D-glucuronide

Morphine and other opioid analogs¹ are used as powerful analgesics. It has been shown¹ that the major pathway for their detoxification in human body is conjugation with D-glucuronic acid in the liver to produce several glucuronides: morphine 3- β -D-glucuronide (M3G), morphine 6- β -D-glucuronide (M6G) and morphine 3,6- β -D-diglucuronide (M3,6-diG).

It has been demonstrated,^{2,3} that much of the analgesic effect after dosing morphine is due not to morphine itself, but rather to one of its main metabolites, M6G. Furthermore, it has been shown that morphine's other main metabolite, M3G antagonizes the analgesic effect of morphine. This potential pharmaceutical importance of M6G as a powerful analgetic and replacement for morphine prompted several researchers to explore ways for its selective synthesis.

Several methods for M6G preparation have been reported: The first method is based on the Koenigs–Knorr reaction and was originally used by Yoshimura⁴ and subsequently by others.^{5,6} However, this approach leads to variable yields (from 0% to 70%) of the conjugate and difficulties in purification. The trace amounts of heavy metal ions are very difficult to remove in the intermediate conjugate and also in the final product.

The second approach is based on the adopted protocol of Shmidt and Grundler,⁷ patented by Scheinmann et al.^{8a} and improved by Brown et al.^{8b} Although the latter reported yields of up to 50–70%, several researchers had difficulties in reproducing these results.^{6,9} In our attempts to adopt this method, using either boron trifluoride-diethyl ether complex or trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf)^{8a} as catalysts, similar difficulties^{6,9} were encountered and in all cases the yield of the desired glycoside was below 30%.

In view of these difficulties, we tried to devise a synthetic method, which avoids toxic and/or expensive reagents,

and which cleanly produces the fully protected morphine 6- β -D-glucuronide. This intermediate should then be hydrolysed to the analgesic agent morphine 6- β -D-glucuronide, avoiding tedious and expensive purification steps. Our idea was based on literature reports that iodonium ion or other thiophilic promoters like dimethyl(methylth-io)sulfonium triflate (DMTST)¹⁰ promote highly stereose-lective glycoside preparation. In particular, it was reported that action of *N*-iodosuccinimide (NIS) together with triflic acid (TfOH)^{11–13} or DMTST^{14–18} on 2-*O*-esterified thioglycosides caused the rapid, high yielding and stereoselective 1,2-trans-glycosylation of glycosyl acceptors.

The thioglycosides 1a-f were prepared in two steps by a modification of a published procedure¹⁹ in 85–95% overall yield (see experimental part). We prefered this method because the direct action of thiols and boron trifluoride-diethyl ether complex on the methyl 1,2,3,4-tetra-*O*-acyl-D-glucopyranuronates was less productive and resulted in maximum 60–70% yields^{14,20} of thioglycosides 1a-f.

In our tentative experiments we tested conjugation between 3-O-acetylmorphine (2a) and thioglycosides 1a and 1b under various reaction conditions. It was found from these experiments that while NIS/TfOH and DMTST as promoters afforded similar results, in the case of the latter more than a 5-fold excess was required. On the other hand, 1.5 equivalents of N-iodosuccinimide together with 1.1-1.2 equivalents of the trifluoromethanesulfonic acid facilitated the reaction. However, the initial experiments showed that the acetyl protecting group does not hold well under the reaction conditions which leads to a complicated mixture of undesirable products like morphine (6), di-O-acetylmorphine (5) and undetermined side products (Scheme 1). Decreasing of the reaction temperature did not cause significant improvement in the yield of the desired β -isomer (Scheme 1).

In an attempt to reduce the extent of the hydrolytic side reactions we investigated a broad range of substrates with more stable protecting groups, 3-*O*-protected morphines 2a-c and thioglucosides 1a-f and tested their coupling under various conditions (Scheme 2).

The best results were achieved when phenyl thioglycosides **1d–f** protected by isobutyryl, benzoyl or pivaloyl groups reacted with 3-*O*-benzoylmorphine (**2c**) to provide the desired glycosides **3f–h** with high stereoselectivity (α / β ratio from 5:95 to 1:99) and good to high yield without undesirable side reactions (Table 1).



Scheme 1

The developed method could be used as a general approach to *O*-glycosylation of other morphine derivatives. Thus, we prepared the dihydro analog **9** by reacting 3-*O*-benzoyldihydromorphine (**8**) with thioglycoside **1d** and the obtained α/β ratio was 3:97. The purification by crystallization afforded the desired β -isomer **9** in 87% isolated yield (Scheme 3).

Glycosides **3a-h** and **9** were purified by crystallization from EtOH or *i*-PrOH. The ¹H NMR spectra of all compounds showed doublet resonance of anomeric protons at about $\delta = 4.9$ with an axial-axial coupling in agreement with the β -configurations (Table 2). Alkaline hydrolysis⁸ of **3a-h** and **9** gave morphine 6- β -D-glucuronide or dihydromorphine 6- β -D-glucuronide (**10**) respectively, in good yields. The structures of M6G and **10** were confirmed by NMR experiments and IR measurements and the spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature.^{4-6,21}

In conclusion, a novel simple method for the stereoselective synthesis of morphine and dihydromorphine $6-\beta$ -D- glucuronides was developed. The method is based on the glycosylation of 3-*O*-protected morphine or dihydromorphine with thioglycosides in the presence of thiophilic promoters. The process provides both high stereoselectivity and up to 90% yields. The synthesis avoids toxic and/ or expensive reagents, cleanly produces the desired product avoiding tedious purification and can be easily scaled up for M6G preparation in pharmaceutically sufficient quantities. This novel approach may be useful for *O*-glycosylation of other alkaloid derivatives.

CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃ were distilled over CaH₂ and stored over molecular sieves 4 Å. Anhyd. Et₂O from Bio-Lab Ltd. (Jerusalem, Israel) was used without additional purification. All 3-*O*-protected morphines were crystallized and vacuum dried overnight at 40 °C. Silica gel 60 (Merck, 230–400 mesh) for column chromatography was used. Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates (Merck) were used for TLC. All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-400 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as a solvent. Mps were determined in open capillary tubes with Electrothermal IA 9300 Digital melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Chemical ionization (CI) mass spectrometry was



Scheme 2

Synthesis 2000, No. 9, 1241-1246 ISSN 0039-7881 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

fable 1	6-O-Glycosylation of	Morphine Derivatives 2a-	-c and 8 Using Thioglycosides 1a	-f as Glycosyl Donors
---------	----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------

Morphine De- rivative	Thioglycoside	Reaction Temp. (°C)	Promoter	Product ^a	Yield ^b (%)	$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \end{bmatrix}_{\rm D} (c = 1, \\ \rm CHCl_3)^{\rm c}$	Mp ^d (°C)	MS (CI) <i>m/z</i> (M ⁺ + 1)
2a	1a ²¹	-15	NIS/TfOH	3a ^e	26	-131.6	178-179	645
2a	1a	-15	DMTST	3a	20			
2a	1a	-40	NIS/TfOH	3a	32			
2a	1b ²³	-12	NIS/TfOH	3a	35			
2a	1c ²⁴	-15	NIS/TfOH	3b	24	-84.6	115-116	831
2a	1c	-15	DMTST	3b	22 ^f			
2a	1c	-23	NIS/TfOH	3b	32			
2a	1e ²⁴	-12	NIS/TfOH	3b	41			
2c	1c	-12	DMTST	3g	61	-84.2	158-163	893
2c	1c	-12	NIS/TfOH	3g	73			
2c	1c	-23	NIS/TfOH	3g	78			
2c	1e	-12	NIS/TfOH	3g	87			
2a	1d	-12	NIS/TfOH	3c	36 ^f	-112.0	188-189	729
2b	1b	-12	NIS/TfOH	3d	42	-138.9	176-177	661
2b	1d	-12	NIS/TfOH	3e	70	-108.8	184-185	745
2c	1d	-12	NIS/TfOH	3f	85	-114.4	144-147	791
2c	1d	-23	NIS/TfOH	3f	87			
2c	1f	-12	NIS/TfOH	3h	93	-104.8	190-191	833
8	1d	12	NIS/TfOH	9	87	-88.0	70-72	793

^a Satisfactory microanalyses obtained: C, ±0.39; H, ±0.30; N, ±0.23. Exception, **3a**: C, +0.47.

^b Isolated yield.

^c Recorded at 20°C.

^d Mps are uncorrected.

^e Lit.^{4b} $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ -140 (*c* = 0.5, CHCl₃), mp 186–188°C.

^f Determined by HPLC.

performed with a Varian Matt-71. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory of the Institute of Chemistry (The Hebrew University, Jerusalem). HPLC was carried out on a Merck-Hitashi Lachrom Series 7000 apparatus with 284 nm UV detector. HPLC analyses were performed at 25 °C and a flow rate of 1 mL/min on the column LiChrospher 100 RP-18 by using the following system: A: 0.1% aq solution of sodium heptanesulfonate (1 L), adjusted to pH 5.5 with AcOH (2 mL) and 25% aq NH₃ (2.4 mL), B: MeOH. Gradient: 0 min.: 90% A : 10% B, 5 min: 90% A : 10% B, 10 min: 50% A : 50% B, 15 min.: 50% A : 50% B, 25 min: 10% A : 90% B, 45 min: 10% A : 90% B. Optical rotations were measured at 589 nm with JACSO DIP-370 polarimeter. The structures of all compounds were consistent with their spectroscopic data.

3-O-Benzoylmorphine (2c); Typical Procedure

To a solution of morphine hydrochloride trihydrate (7.52 g, 20 mmol) in H₂O (100 mL) was added solid NaHCO₃ (8.40 g, 100 mmol) in three portions and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. Benzoyl chloride (1.83 g, 13 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added

dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at r.t. A second portion of benzoyl chloride (1.83 g, 13 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added dropwise over 2 h, and the resulting suspension was stirred for an additional 48 h at r.t.(monitored by HPLC). More CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) was added, the aqueous layer was separated and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The residue was crystallized from EtOH to give 6.90 g of **2c** (95% yield); mp 180–182 °C (Lit.²² mp 178–184 °C).

3-O-Benzoyldihydromorphine (8)

In analogy to the above procedure, compound **8** was obtained from dihydromorphine (6.20 g, 20 mmol) and benzoyl chloride (3.66 g, 26 mmol) as a white solid in 90% yield; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –166.5 (c = 1, CHCl₃); mp 308–310 °C (dec.)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.18$ (d, 1 H, J = 7.8 Hz, C-3 Bz), 7.61 (t, 1 H, J = 7.5 Hz, C-3 Bz), 7.48 (t, 2 H, J = 7.5 Hz, C-3 Bz), 6.86, 6.69 (2 d, 2 H, J = 8.5 Hz, H_{arom}), 4.56 (d, 1 H, J = 5.1 Hz), 4.15 (t, 1 H, J = 4.9 Hz), 3.69 (br s, 1 H), 3.09 (d, 1 H, J = 4.2 Hz), 3.03 (d, 1 H, J = 18.6 Hz), 2.53 (dd, 1 H, J = 12.0, 4.2 Hz), 2.42 (m, 1 H), 2.40



Scheme 3

Table 2 ¹H NMR Data for Compounds 3a-h and 9

Product					¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃), δ , J (Hz)
	H-1' (J _{1',2'})	H2' (<i>J</i> _{1',2'} , <i>J</i> _{2',3'})	H-5' (J _{4',5'})	H-6 m	Others
3a ⁹	4.88 d (7.2)	5.07 dd (7.2, 7.7)	4.09 d (8.8)	4.28	$ 6.69, 6.52 (2 d, 2 H, J = 8.0, H_{arom}), 5.65 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5, 8-H), 5.25 (m, 3 H, 3'-H, 4'-H, 7-H), 4.86 (d, 1 H, J = 6.5), 3.72 (s, 3 H, CO_2CH_3), 3.33 (m, 1 H), 3.02 (d, 1 H, J = 18.9), 2.61 (m, 1 H), 2.56 (m, 1 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H, NCH_3), 2.29-2.39 (m, 2 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H, C-3 COCH_3), 2.08 (s, 3 H, COCH_3), 2.03 (s, 6 H, 2 COCH_3), 1.93-2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.90 (m, 1 H) $
3b	4.96 d (6.4)	5.57 dd (6.4, 6.2)	4.46 d (8.1)	4.49	7.97, 7.91, 7.87 (3 d, 6 H, J = 7.7, 3, C ₆ H ₅), 7.27-7.52 (m, 9 H, 3 C ₆ H ₅), 6.64, 6.50 (2 d, 2 H, J = 8.1, H _{arom}), 5.82 (m, 2 H, 3'-H, 4'-H), 5.72 (d, 1H, J = 10.0, 8-H), 5.31 (d, 1 H, J = 10.0, 7-H), 5.28 (d, 1 H, J = 5.8), 3.63 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ CH ₃), 3.36 (m, 1 H), 3.03 (d, 1 H, J = 18.8), 2.69 (br s, 1 H), 2.57 (m, 1 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H, NCH ₃), 2.28–2.43 (m, 2 H), 2.10 (s, 3 H, C-3 COCH ₃), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.87 (m, 1 H)
3c	4.91 d (7.6)	5.10 dd (7.6, 8.0)	4.10 d (9.2)	4.28	6.68, 6.50 (2 d, 2 H, J = 8.0, H _{arom}), 5.63 (d, 1 H, J = 10.0, 8-H), 5.28 (m, 3 H, 3'-H, 4'-H, 7-H), 4.87 (d, 1 H, J = 5.6), 3.69 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ CH ₃), 3.32 (m, 1 H), 3.02 (d, 1 H, J = 18.8), 2.42–2.60 (m, 5 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H, NCH ₃), 2.27–2.40 (m, 2 H), 2.26 (s, 3 H, C-3 COCH ₃), 2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.88 (m, 1 H), 1.05–1.13 [m, 18 H, 3 CH(CH ₃) ₂]
3d	4.91 d (7.7)	5.04 dd (7.7, 8.5)	4.08 d (9.5)	4.33	$ 6.77, 6.52 (2 d, 2 H, J = 8.2, H_{arom}), 5.64 (d, 1 H, J = 9.7, 8\text{-H}), 5.22-5.29 (m, 3 H, 3'-H, 4'-H, 7-H), 4.93 (d, 1 H, J = 5.8), 3.87 (s, 3 H, C-3 OCO_2CH_3), 3.72 (s, 3 H, CO_2CH_3), 3.33 (m, 1 H), 3.03 (d, 1 H, J = 19.7), 2.62 (s, 1 H), 2.56 (m, 1 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H, NCH_3), 2.20-2.38 (m, 2 H), 2.10 (s, 3 H, COCH_3), 2.01-2.10 (m, 1 H), 2.01 (s, 6 H, 2 COCH_3), 1.89 (d, 1 H, J = 9.7) $
3e	4.92 d (7.2)	5.11 dd (7.2, 7.5)	4.10 d (9.3)	4.27	6.69, 6.51 (2 d, 2 H, J = 7.6, H _{arom}), 5.64 (d, 1 H, J = 9.5, 8-H), 5.27 (m, 3 H, 3'-H, 4'-H, 7-H), 4.87 (d, 1 H, J = 6.3), 3.80 (s, 3 H, C-3 OCO ₂ CH ₃), 3.70 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ CH ₃), 3.32 (m, 1 H), 3.02 (d, 1 H, J = 18.8), 2.45–2.61 (m, 5 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H, NCH ₃), 2.29-2.43 (m, 2 H), 2.26 (m, 1 H), 1.99 (m, 1 H), 1.87 (d, 1 H, J = ? Hz), 1.04-1.16 [m, 18 H, 3 CH(CH ₃) ₂]
3f	4.95 d (7.5)	5.01 dd (7.5, 8.1)	4.05 d (9.3)	4.31	8.17 (d, 2 H, $J = 7.6$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 7.58 (t, 1 H, $J = 7.1$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 7.48 (td, 2 H, $J = 7.6$, 7.1, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 6.84, 6.56 (2 d, 2 H, $J = 8.2$, H _{arom}), 5.67 (d, 1 H, $J = 9.1$, 8-H), 5.25 (m, 3 H, 3'-H, 4'-H, 7-H), 4.92 (d, 1 H, $J = 5.5$), 3.68 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ CH ₃), 3.34 (m, 1 H), 3.06 (d, 1 H, $J = 18.8$), 2.63 (br s, 1 H), 2.56 (m, 1 H), 2.26–2.53 (m, 7 H), 2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.93 (d, 1 H, $J = 10.8$), 1.00–1.07 [m, 12 H, 2 CH(CH ₃) ₂], 0.84, 0.80 [2 d, 6 H, $J = 6.7$, CH(CH ₃) ₂]
3g	5.00 d (6.2)	5.44 dd (6.2, 6.0)	4.37 d (8.0)	4.49	
3h	4.92 d (7.3)	5.06 dd (7.3, 7.9)	4.07 d (9.3)	4.28	8.17 (d, 2 H, $J = 7.7$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 7.58 (t, 1 H, $J = 7.7$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 7.47 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.7$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 6.82, 6.56 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$, H _{arom}), 5.68 (d, 1 H, $J = 10.0$, 8-H), 5.26 (m, 3 H, 3'-H, 4'-H, 7-H), 4.88 (d, 1 H, $J = 5.8$), 3.69 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ CH ₃), 3.35 (m, 1 H), 3.06 (d, 1 H, $J = 18.8$), 2.63 (br s, 1 H), 2.58 (m, 1 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H, NCH ₃), 2.30–2.40 (m, 2 H), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.92 (m, 1 H), 1.10, 1.06, 0.92 (3 s, 27 H, 3 t-C ₄ H ₉)
9	4.94 d (7.5)	4.81 dd (7.5, 7.4)	3.93 d (9.2)	4.13	8.20 (d, 2 H, $J = 7.7$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 7.59 (t, 1 H, $J = 7.5$, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 7.49 (td, 2 H, $J = 7.7$, 7.5, C-3 C ₆ H ₅), 6.91, 6.64 (2 d, 2 H, $J = 8.0$, H _{arom}), 5.18 (m, 2 H, 3'-H, 4'-H), 4.71 (d, 1 H, $J = 4.2$), 3.66 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ CH3), 3.09 (m, 1 H), 3.01 (d, 1 H, $J = 18.7$), 2.51 (m, 1 H), 2.38 (m, 5 H), 2.24 (m, 3 H), 1.88 (m, 3 H), 1.76 (d, 1 H, $J = 10.6$), 1.51 (m, 3 H), 1.05 [m, 12 H, 2 CH(CH ₃) ₃], 0.89, 0.86 [d, 3 H, $J = 7.0$, CH(CH ₃) ₃]

(s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.21 (m, 2 H), 1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.66 (m, 1 H), 1.19–1.41 (m, 3 H).

MS (CI): $m/z = 392 (M^+ + 1)$.

 ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3): δ = 133.6, 131.9, 130.3, 130.2, 128.4, 121.1, 119.2, 92.2, 66.6, 59.7, 46.9, 42.8, 42.5, 36.6, 28.2, 20.5, 18.2

Anal. calcd for $\rm C_{24}H_{25}NO_4$ (391.5): C 73.64; H 6.44; N 3.58. Found C 73.24; H 6.57; N 3.97.

Synthesis 2000, No. 9, 1241-1246 ISSN 0039-7881 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

Table 3 ¹³C NMR Data for Compounds 3a-h and 9

Product	¹³ C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃), δ					
	C-1'	C-5	Others			
3a	118.9	99.0	169.9, 169.1, 168.5, 167.2, 149.9, 132.2, 131.5, 131.3, 130.1, 128.7, 121.7, 89.3, 73.2, 72.6, 71.9, 71.1, 69.2, 58.6, 52.7, 46.2, 43.4, 42.9, 40.9, 35.6, 20.8, 20.5, 20.4, 20.3			
3b	118.9	98.1	168.6, 167.8, 165.4, 165.0, 164.8, 151.2, 133.2, 133.05, 133.0, 131.9,131.6, 131.0, 130.3, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 121.8, 89.4, 72.6, 72.4, 71.7, 71.6, 69.2, 58.7, 58.6, 46.2, 43.4, 42.8, 40.8, 23.3, 20.9, 20.3			
3c	118.9	98.9	175.8, 175.1, 175.0, 168.6, 167.3, 150.0, 132.2, 131.4, 130.2, 128.7, 121.6, 89.6, 73.0, 72.7, 71.5, 70.8, 69.0, 58.6, 52.6, 46.1, 43.6, 43.0, 41.0, 35.6, 33.7, 20.8, 20.6, 18.8, 18.75, 18.7, 18.6			
3d	119.0	98.0	169.8, 169.5, 169.3, 154.5, 150.3, 132.6, 132.1, 131.7, 130.0, 128.7, 121.4, 89.1, 89.0, 72.7, 72.6, 72.2, 72.1, 71.9, 71.0, 69.4, 58.6, 58.5, 55.4, 53.1, 46.1, 43.6, 42.9, 41.0, 40.9, 35.6, 20.8, 20.5, 20.4			
3e	118.9	98.8	175.8, 175.1, 175.0, 168.6, 167.3, 150.0, 132.2, 131.1, 130.2, 128.7, 121.6, 89.6, 73.0, 72.7, 71.5, 70.8, 69.0, 58.5, 52.6, 46.1, 43.6, 42.9, 41.0, 35.6, 33.7, 20.8, 20.6, 18.9, 18.8, 18.7, 18.6			
3f	119.0	98.4	175.8, 175.2, 175.1, 170.2, 167.3, 152.3, 133.4, 132.4, 131.3, 130.4, 130.3, 129.4, 128.9, 128.5, 121.9, 89.7, 71.5, 70.8, 69.3, 58.6, 52.7, 46.2, 44.0, 43.1, 41.2, 35.8, 33.8, 33.6, 21.0, 18.8, 18.5			
3g	119.0	98.1	167.8, 165.3, 165.0, 164.3, 164.0, 150.2, 133.2, 133.1, 132.8, 132.2, 131.7, 131.6, 130.4, 130.2, 129.75, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 129.16, 128.9, 128.85, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 121.9, 90.1, 72.9, 72.4, 71.6, 71.4, 69.2, 58.7, 52.6, 46.2, 43.8, 43.0, 41.1, 35.5, 20.9			
3h	118.9	98.7	176.8, 176.1, 175.9, 167.1, 164.2, 151.0, 133.3, 132.3, 131.5, 130.4, 129.5, 128.9, 128.4, 121.9, 89.9, 73.3, 72.9, 71.6, 70.9, 69.4, 58.6, 52.7, 46.2, 44.2, 43.1, 41.4, 38.6, 35.9, 27.1, 27.0, 26.9, 21.1			
9	118.7	97.8	175.5, 175.0, 174.9, 167.3, 164.1, 149.6, 133.3, 132.2, 131.2, 130.5, 130.2, 129.2, 128.4, 122.1, 89.3, 72.4, 71.9, 71.7, 71.1, 69.1, 59.3, 52.5, 46.1, 43.1, 42.8, 38.4, 37.2, 33.6, 33.4, 29.5, 29.2, 23.5, 20.5, 19.7, 18.7, 18.6, 18.4			

3-O-(Methoxycarbonyl)morphine (2b):

Compound **2b** was prepared from morphine hydrochloride trihydrate (7.52 g, 20 mmol) and methyl chloroformate (2.50 g, 26 mmol) as a white solid in 85% yield; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –193.0 (*c* = 1, CHCl₃), mp 118–119 °C (dec.) [Lit.²³ mp 116–120 °C (dec)].

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.84$, 6.62 (2 d, 2 H, J = 8.2 Hz, H_{arom}), 5.78, 5.24 (2 d, 2 H, J = 10.0 Hz, 8-H, 7-H), 4.98 (d, 1 H, J = 6.4 Hz), 4.18 (m, 1 H,), 3.90 (s, 3 H, C-3 OCO₂CH₃), 3.62 (m, 1 H), 3.03 (d, 1 H, J = 18.9 Hz), 2.66 (m, 1 H), 2.55 (dd, 1H, J = 3.8, 11.6 Hz), 2.40 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.34 (m, 2 H), 2.12 (m, 2 H), 1.90 (m, 1 H).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 153.5, 144.8, 134.0, 133.0, 132.5, 132.3, 127.7, 120.7, 119.7, 92.4, 65.8, 58.8, 55.6, 46.3, 42.9, 42.6, 40.3, 35.1, 20.7.

MS (CI): m/z = 344 (M⁺ + 1).

Anal. calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}NO_5$ (343.4): C 66.46; H 6.16; N 4.08. Found: C 66.82; H 6.52; N 3.87.

Thioglycosydes 1d and 1f; General Procedure

Methyl 1,2,3,4-tetra-*O*-acyl-D-glucopyranuronate²⁴ (28 mmol) was added in one portion to a precooled (-5 °C) 38% wt solution of HBr in AcOH (100 mL) and the resulting brown-red mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0°C and for 10 h at r.t. HBr and AcOH were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (60 mL). The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and the solvent was removed under vacuo. The crude methyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-acyl-D-glucopyranosyl bromide obtained was used in the next step without further purification.

Sodium (0.80 g., 35 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd MeOH (100 mL) under Ar and while stirring at r.t. thiophenol (4.53 mL, 40 mmol) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred for an additional 20 min. Then the above crude product was added in one portion and resulting suspension was stirred to completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC, mobile phase was hexane/EtOAc, 4:1). MeOH was evaporated under reduced pressure and the red-violet solid was dissolved in the mixture of CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) and H_2O (100 mL). The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) and the combined organics were washed with sat. aq KHSO₄ solution (2 × 80 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and the solvent was removed under vacuo. The crude product was crystallized from EtOH or *i*-PrOH to give **1d** or **1f** in 85–95% yield.

Methyl (Phenyl 2,3,4-tri-O-isobutyryl-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranosid)uronate (1d)

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 17.2 \ (c = 1, \text{CHCl}_{3}); \text{ mp } 132.4 - 135.6 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}.$

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.45 (m, 2 H, SPh), 7.29 (m, 3 H, SPh), 5.30 (t, 1 H, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 3-H), 5.16 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 9.6, 9.4 Hz, 4-H), 4.99 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 9.8, 9.4 Hz, 2-H), 4.71 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1-H), 4.03 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 5-H), 3.71 (s, 3 H, CO₂CH₃), 2.40–2.53 [m, 3 H, 3 CH(CH₃)₃], 1.16, 1.10 [2 d, 6 H, *J* = 7.2 Hz, CH(CH₃)₃], 1.02–1.07 [m, 12 H, 2 CH(CH₃)₃].

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 175.7, 175.1, 174.8, 166.8, 133.1, 131.5, 128.9, 128.4, 86.5, 72.7, 69.0, 68.9, 52.7, 33.9, 33.8, 18.8, 18.7.

MS (CI): $m/z = 511 (M^+ + 1)$.

Anal. calcd for $C_{25}H_{34}O_9S$ (510.6): C 58.81; H 6.71; S 6.28. Found: C 59.19; H 6.32; S 6.73.

Methyl (Phenyl 2,3,4-tri-*O*-pivaloyl-1-thio-β-D-glucopyranosid)uronate (1f)

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ -21.0 (*c* = 1, CHCl₃), mp 130.8-131.6 °C

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 7.45 (m, 2 H, SC₆H₅), 7.29 (m, 3 H, SC₆H₅), 5.35 (t, 1 H, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 3-H), 5.19 (dd, 1 H, *J* = 10.0, 9.3 Hz, 4-H), 5.03 (t, 1 H, *J* = 9.8, 9.3 Hz, 2-H), 4.72 (d, 1 H, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1-H), 4.04 (d, 1 H, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 5-H), 3.71 (s, 3 H, CO₂CH₃), 1.18, 1.09, 1,07 (3 s, 27 H, 3 *t*-C₄H₉).

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 177.1, 176.4, 176.1, 166.9, 133.0, 131.8, 129.0, 128.4, 86.8, 72.7, 69.1, 52.7, 38.7, 27.1, 27.0.

MS (CI): m/z = 553 (M⁺ + 1).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{40}O_9S$ (552.68): C 60.85; H 7.29; S 5.80. Found: C 60.83; H 7.51; S 5.93.

6-*O*-Glycosylation of Morphine Derivatives 2a-c and 8. General Procedure

A suspension of 1a-f (3.4 mmol), NIS (0.90 g, 3.7 mmol), 2a-c or 8 (2.6 mmol) and 4 Å molecular sieves (3.00 g) in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was stirred for 10 min at r.t. and then cooled to -12° C to $-40 \,^{\circ}$ C. A solution of TfOH (0.27 mL, 2.7 mmol) in anhyd Et₂O (3 mL) was added during 15 min without changing the temperature. The resulting red-violet mixture was stirred to completion of the reacton (reaction was monitored by HPLC and/or TLC using as mobile phase CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/hexane/EtOAc, 6:1:2:1). Then the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), filtered, stirred for 20 min with sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL) and the aqueous layer was separated. The organic layer was washed with 10% aq Na₂S₂O₃ solution (2 × 20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and evaporated. The crude product was chromatographed on a short silica gel column and crystallized from EtOH or *i*-PrOH to give the desired glycosides **3a-h** and **9** (Table 1).

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Dr. Terry Smith of CeNeS Pharmaceuticals for useful discussions.

References

- (1) Muhtadi, F. J. Anal. Profiles Drug. Subs. 1988, 17, 259.
- (2) Osborne, R.; Joel, S.; Trew, D.; Slevin, M. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. **1990**, 47, 12.
- (3) Rossi, G. C.; Parr, Y.-X.; Brown, G. P.; Pasternak, G. P. FEBS Lett. 1995, 369, 192.
- (4) (a) Yoshimura, H.; Oguri, K.; Tsukamoto, H. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1968**, *16*, 2114.
 (b) Yoshimura, H.; Oguri, K.; Tsukamoto, H. *Tetrahedron*

(b) Tosininua, H., Oguri, K., Tsukamoto, H. *Terranearon* Lett. **1968**, 483.

- (5) (a) Carrupt, P. A.; Testa, B.; Bechalany, A.; Tayar, N. E.; Descas, P.; Perrissoud, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 1272.
 (b) Mertz, A. A. H. Int. Patent WO 93/05057 (1993); Chem. Abstr. **1993**, *119*, 271612.
- (6) Lacy, C.; Sainsbury, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3949.
- (7) Schmidt, R. R.; Grundler, G. Synthesis 1981, 885.
- (8) (a) Scheinmann, F.; Lumbard, K. W.; Brown, R. T.; Mayalarp, S. P.; Carter, N. E. Int. Patent WO 93/03051 (1993); *Chem. Abstr.* **1993**, *119*, 226341.
 (b) Brown, R. T.; Lumbard, K. W.; Mayalarp, S. P.; Carter, N. E.; Scheinmann, F.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 8661.
- (9) Berrang, B.; Brine, G. A.; Caroll, F.I. Synthesis 1997, 1165.
- (10) For DMTST preparation see: Okuma, K.; Koike, T.; Yamamoto, S.; Takeuchi, H.; Yonekura, K.; Ono, M.; Ohta, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1992**, 65, 2375.
- (11) O'Neill, R. A.; Shaheer, H. K. In *Modern Methods in Carbohydrate Synthesis*; Khan, S. H.; O'Neill, R. A., Eds.; Harwood Academic: Amsterdam, 1996.
- (12) (a) Veeneman, G. H.; van Leeuwen, S. H.; van Boom, J. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, *31*, 1331.
 (b) Konradsson, P.; Udodong, U. E.; Fraser-Reid, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, *31*, 4313.
- (13) Krog-Jensen, C.; Oscarson, S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 1234.
- (14) Garegg, P. J.; Olsson, L.; Oscarson, S. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, 60, 2200.
- (15) Prabhanjan, H.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. Carbohydrate Res. 1991, 209, C1.
- (16) Murase, T.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. Carbohydrate Res. 1989, 188, 71.
- (17) Andersson, F.; Fugedi, P.; Garegg, P. J.; Nashed, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *21(33)*, 3919.
- (18) Ogawa, T.; Goto, F. Tetrahedron Lett. **1993**, 33, 5099.
- (19) For original procedure see: Kochetkov, N. K.; Malysheva, N. N.; Klimov, E. M.; Demchenko, A. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 381.
- (20) For data see:
 (a)Nakano, T.; Ito, Y.; Ogawa, T. *Carbohydrate Res.* 1993, 243, 43.
 (b) Helferich, B.; Turk, D.; Stoeber, A. *Chem. Ber.* 1956, 89, 2220.
- (21) Scheinmann, F.; Stachulski, A. V.; Joel, S. US Patent 5977326 (1999); *Chem. Abstr.* **1999**, *131*, 322871.
- (22) For complete data see: Mignat, C.; Heber, D.; Schlicht, H.; Ziegler, A. J. Pharm. Sci. **1996**, 85, 690.
- (23) Beilstein 27(2), 131.
- (24) Vlahov, J.; Snatzke, G. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1983, 4, 570. Bentley, K. W. The Chemistry of the Morphine Alkaloids, Oxford, 1954.

Article Identifier: 1437-210X,E;2000,0,09,1241,1246,ftx,en;H09799SS.pdf.