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#### Abstract

The addition of nucleophiles to vinyl sulfide-allylic acetates mediated by ( $\pi$-allyl) palladium intermediates has been shown to occur exclusively on the allyl terminus remote from sulfur, thereby effecting the equivalent to a Michael reaction. Due to the intervention of the palladium intermediate the process is completely stereospecific as contrasted to the Michael reaction itself where control of stereochemistry is often not readily exercised. A Diels-Alder-palladium-Michael equivalent provided definition of stereochemistry complementary to that obtainable in the native Michael reaction.


## Introduction

The control of stereochemistry in Michael reactions remains a vexing problem in organic chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ The source of the difficulty often resides in the inability to situate oneself experimentally in a position of complete kinetic or thermodynamic control. The former is particularly troublesome because of the ready reversibility often exhibited in these reactions. Furthermore, even if one is safely ensconsed in the kinetic regime, the definition of the preferred mode of addition in reactions involving cyclohexenone derivatives has been alternatively claimed as proceeding by axial ${ }^{2}$ and equatorial ${ }^{3}$ attack. The stereochemical result of a Michael reaction also appears to be inordinately sensitive to reaction conditions. ${ }^{1,3}$

The limited control that can be exercised in this process can be briefly summarized to include the following cases: (1) single isomers are often obtained in the addition of nucleophiles to $\alpha$-substituted $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyls, although the control evidenced here is clearly in the subsequent enolate protonation step; ${ }^{4}$ (2) $\gamma$-substituents will usually efficiently direct addition to the less hindered side of the olefin; ${ }^{5}$ (3) control by more remote substituents is not well-precedented except in the presence of a rigid polycyclic system; ${ }^{6}$ (4) chiral acyclic Michael acceptors and nucleophiles that typically impose rigidity by counterion chelation have been found to provide good to excellent asymmetric induction. ${ }^{7}$ Despite these examples, the prediction of the stereochemical outcome of Michael additions often remains quite difficult.
We have designed and developed an equivalent to the Michael reaction employing ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium chemistry in the key nucleophilic addition. The intervention of this metal intermediate allows the potential for complete stereochemical control in this process. A previous report by Negishi ${ }^{8}$ on the Pd-catalyzed allylation of potassium enoxyborates has appeared in which 1,3 -dichloro-2-butene was employed as an electrophile, thereby effecting (after hydrolysis) an equivalent to the Michael process, but none of the stereochemical aspects of this reaction were investigated. Additional reports of transition-metal catalysis of Michael reactions have appeared, ${ }^{9}$ although none deals

[^0]with the stereochemistry of this reaction.

## Results and Discussion

The application of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium chemistry to the construction of an equivalent to the Michael reaction requires the regiospecific attack of a nucleophile on a het-eroatom-substituted allyl moiety ${ }^{10}$ on the terminus remote from the substituent. Regiochemical directing effects in

unsymmetrically substituted allylpalladium complexes have been studied. ${ }^{11}$ The results of these investigations show that the less substituted allyl terminus is preferentially attacked by a nucleophile. Modest selectivity is effected by alkyl groups based presumably on steric grounds ${ }^{11}$ and substantial regioselectivity is exerted by substituents capable of $\sigma$-electron withdrawal. ${ }^{8,12-17}$ Examples of the latter are listed below.


Thus, the obtention of the required regioselectivity for a Michael equivalent was well-precedented. Any further mechanistic rationalization of the basis of this electronic effect with respect to, e.g., a possible induced asymmetric disposition of the metal in these complexes suffers from a significant lack of structural data on the presumed in-

[^1]termediate $\pi$-allyl complexes.
In principle, two general precursors could be employed to obtain the required heteroatom-substituted $\pi$-allyl complex, namely, 1 or 2, where $Y$ serves as the designated



1
2
leaving group subject to displacement by the nucleophilic $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ complex. As the goal to our work was to establish a stereospecific Michael equivalent, $\pi$-allyl precursor 2 appeared more desirable as specific control of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}$ stereochemistry as well as definition of leaving groups $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}>$ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ in the enone acetal or ketal 1 appeared to be nontrivial problems.

Complete retention of configuration in the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of "soft" carbon nucleophiles has been demonstrated ${ }^{18}$ and as a result any stereochemistry defined with respect to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}$ bond in 2 will be completely maintained in the addition of the nucleophile.

In order to verify our predictions regarding regiochemical control as exerted by the heteroatom, the simple (phenylthio)cyclohexenyl allylic acetate 3 (X = SPh, Y = OAc ) was prepared and subjected to treatment by sodium dimethylmalonate and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ (diphos) $)_{2}$ in $\mathrm{DME}\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, 10 min ). The desired regioisomer product 4 was obtained exclusively in an isolated yield of $78 \%$. ${ }^{19}$


The preparation of a stereochemically defined precursor was accomplished by the use of a Diels-Alder reaction. The required diene was assembled by the following reaction sequence: thiophenol was conjugatively added to crotonaldehyde, the resulting product was chlorinated with NCS and then treated with $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ to provide 3-(phenyl-thio)but-2-enal. Ketal exchange with isopropenyl acetate yielded 1-acetoxy-3-(phenylthio)-1,3-butadiene (5:1 ratio $E, E: E, Z$ ). Reaction of the $E, E$ diene with acrolein provided cis-3-acetoxy-4-formyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene (5) (Scheme I) ( $63 \%$ ). The aldehyde 5 was selectively reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\left(-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$-toluene, $\left.1 \mathrm{~h}, 78.4 \%\right)$ and the resulting alcohol protected as its dimethyl-tert-butylsilyl ether (TBSCl), DMF, (imidazole, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$ ) to provide the $\pi$-allyl precursor 6. This type of precursor affords a particularly stringent challenge for the predicted dominance of the electronic directing effect of SPh vs. the steric directing of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBS}$ as the entering nucleophile is required to add cis at the position adjacent to the silyl ether. Reaction of the allylic acetate 6 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}$ ( $4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and sodium dimethylmalonate $\left(85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}\right.$, DME) yielded a single product 7 in $78 \%$ yield. In order to verify the stereochemical integrity of this process as well as demonstrate the potential synthetic applicability of this methodology, 7 was lactonized ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+} \mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $36 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$ ) and decarboxylated ( $\mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 66 \%$ ) to give the bicyclic system 8. Mercury-catalyzed hydrolysis $\left(\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%\right.$ ) provided the cis bicyclic keto lactone 9 as a single product. Treatment of the cyclohexenone 10 (Scheme I) with sodium diethyl-
(18) Trost, B. M.; Weber, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1611.
(19) Appropriate control reactions were run under identical conditions except no catalyst was included. These showed no nucleophilic addition.
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${ }^{a} \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ (room temperature, $27 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4},-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{TBSCl}$, imidazole, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \% .^{d} \mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{Pd}$ (diphos) ${ }_{2}$, $\mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}, 85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}, 78 \%$. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NHF}$, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$. $f^{\mathrm{Me}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{LiCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4.5 \mathrm{~h}$, $66 \%$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, 78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{THF}$, $\mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}$, room temperature, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3} \mathrm{HF}$, room temperature, $15 \mathrm{~h}, 43 \%$. ${ }^{j} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{LiCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}, 30 \%$.
malonate gave essentially exclusively the trans Michael product 11, which on decarboxylation and lactonization gave the trans keto lactone 12 . The preparation of 12 allowed for a spectral comparison with the cis product 9 and verified the stereochemical assignment. ${ }^{20}$ In addition, the preparation of 12 points out the stereochemical complementarity of the "native" Michael reaction and the tandem Diels-Alder-palladium equivalent to the Michael
(20) The coupling constants of the allylic CHOAc in the $\pi$-allyl precursors $6,13,15$ were uniformly $\leq 5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, indicating a cis disposition in these compounds; likewise the products derived from these materials, namely, 8 , the malonate and sulfone products of 13 , and 16 , clearly show the allylic methine which in each case possesses no large ( $>5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) coupling constants to the adjacent ring position, demonstrating the cis stereochemistry in each case. Preparation of the corresponding trans products verifies these assignments.

Scheme II

${ }^{a} \mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Pd}$ (diphos $)_{2}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 89 \%$. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 6 \% \mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Hg}$, room temperature, 2 h , $51 \% .^{c} \mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} \text { (diphos) }\right)_{2}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \% .{ }^{d} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{LiCl}^{2}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \% .{ }^{e} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, 76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{DME}, \mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, room temperature, $28 \mathrm{~h}, 30 \%$.

${ }^{a} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, benzylamine, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4},-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$, then $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4},-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.75 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\mathrm{ClCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3},-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.25 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ DE $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{Pd}$ (diphos) $)_{2}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 81 \%$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{LiCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 9.25 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$, reflux, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$. ' ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{NaH}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \%$.
reaction which clearly enhances the synthetic potential of the latter.
As a further demonstration of this methodology, cis-3-acetoxy-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene (13) was prepared by mesylation, LAH reduction, and reacetylation of the previously prepared alcohol (14). Treatment of 13 with $\operatorname{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and either sodium dimethylmalonate (DME, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$ ) or methyl so-dio[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]acetate (DME, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20$ $\min , 89 \%)^{19}$ followed by hydrolysis yielded the corresponding cis products (Scheme II). Reaction of 4-methyl-cyclohex-2-enone with the same nucleophiles was shown to again provide the corresponding trans products. ${ }^{20}$

An additional synthetic strategy was demonstrted by the elaboration of the aldehyde 5 to the $\pi$-allyl precursor 15
(Scheme III) which can effect an intramolecular version of the palladium reaction. The precursor was assembled by reductive amination (benzylamine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 6$ h followed by $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, 0.75 \mathrm{~h}$, all at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of 5 ( $86 \%$ ) followed by acylation of the amine $\left(\mathrm{ClCCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3},-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.25 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$ ). Reaction of 15 with NaH and $\mathrm{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)\left(\mathrm{DME}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ gave the cis bicyclic lactam 16 in $81 \%$ yield. ${ }^{19}$ Interestingly, reaction of 15 in the absence of catalyst ( $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DME}$, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ) gave exclusively the trans product 17, again demonstrating the complementarity of the Pd-based methodology (now to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction) and simplifying the spectral stereochemical characterization. ${ }^{20}$

Both the lactone and lactam cases amply demonstrate not only the novel stereospecific nature of this reaction but also elucidate the rich menu of functional groups available for further elaboration.

A curious result was obtained on attempted reaction of the vinyl substituted analogue of 18 (prepared by Wittig methylenation of 5) under the standard Pd reaction conditions. Rather than simple malonate addition to provide 19, the malonate addition product 20 was isolated.


This product is assumed to result from Pd-mediated isomerization of the terminal olefin to give 21 , which can then form the pentadienylpalladium complex 22. Interestingly, the addition of the nucleophile to 22 proceeds exclusively on the terminus most remote from SPh, again demonstrating the powerful directing effect of this group.

## Conclusions

The viability of a palladium-mediated stereospecific equivalent to the Michael reaction has been demonstrated. The Diels-Alder-( $\pi$-allyl)palladium tandem of reactions allows the obtention of stereochemistry opposite to that typically available in the Michael reaction. Furthermore,
additional stereodefinitions by the Diels-Alder reaction at positions more remote to the site of nucleophilic addition will permit the realization of complete stereocontrol where effectively none could be anticipated in the "native" Michael process. ${ }^{21}$ We are currently actively exploring

this extension as well as the employment of heteroatom nucleophiles in the Pd reaction.

## Experimental Section

General Data. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were recorded on a Varian Model EM-390 ( 90 MHz ) or a Bruker WH-400 ( 400 MHz ) spectrometer. Chemical shifts were expressed in $\delta$ units (ppm) with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard unless otherwise stated. Coupling constants ( $J$ ) are reported in hertz; splitting patterns are designated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet, t , triplet; q , quartet; b, broad.
Low-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a DuPont 21490 B spectrometer at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV . Precise masses were obtained on a VG 7035 instrument.
Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer PE 467 spectrophotometer and are calibrated with the $1601-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ peak of polystyrene. All absorption frequencies are reported in reciprocal centimeters.
Medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) and flash chromatography were run by using Woelm silica gel ( $32-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the indicated solvent. Preparative TLC plates were supplied by Analtech.
Chemical analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc. in Knoxville, TN.
Unless otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. In experiments requiring dry solvents, ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl immediately before use. Pyridine, hexanes, methylene chloride (C$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), and triethylamine were distilled from calcium hydride.
All palladium catalysts were handled in an inert atmosphere of nitrogen. All reactions were run under a positive pressure of nitrogen.
3-Acetoxy-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene (3). 3-(Phenylthio) cyclohex-2-enone ${ }^{22}(0.397 \mathrm{~g}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 4 mL of THF and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Dibal- H ( 1.92 mL of 2 M solution in THF) was added and the reaction stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Standard aqueous workup provided the allylic alcohol as a light yellow oil ( $84 \%$ ), which was immediately carried into the next reaction. The crude alcohol ( $0.327 \mathrm{~g}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 4 -(Dimethylamino) pyridine ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added followed by acetic anhydride ( $0.152 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and then quenched with 5 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the organic phase was separated, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to yield 3 as a light yellow oil ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.4$ (d, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}$, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) $2930,1735,1370,1230,1000,905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, m/e 248 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 205, 187, 173, 155, 110, 109, 97, 91, 77, 60,43 , exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 248.0870$, found 248.0861 .
3-[Bis(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene (4). 3-Acetoxy-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene (3) ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.81$ mmol ) was dissolved in 1.6 mL of DME and added to a solution containing $\operatorname{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}(0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0081 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium dimethylmalonate ( 0.81 mL of 1 M DME solution) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The
(21) Eventual vinyl sulfide hydrolysis must then proceed without epimerization in order to maintain the stereospecificity. We have already obtained preliminary evidence to show that this can be accomplished.
(22) Bakuzis, P.; Bakuzis, M. L. F. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 235 .
reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then cooled to room temperature; the solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography (hexene:ether, 15:1) on silica gel yielded 0.20 g of $4(78 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2920,1730,1430,1250,1135 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e 320\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, $260,189,111,110,109,91,79,77,43$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 320.1081$, found 320.1093.

1-Acetoxy-3-(phenylthio)-1,3-butadiene. Crotonaldehyde $(47.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.49 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in 100 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and the solution cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Thiophenol ( $47.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.47 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was then added followed by 0.1 mL of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 24 h . The solution was then concentrated at aspirator pressure and the resulting oil distilled ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 97-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to provide the Michael adduct ( 75.3 g ) in $90 \%$ yield as a colorless oil. This compound was immediately carried on to the next reaction.

3 -(Phenylthio) butanal ( $37.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 420 mL of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ and the solution cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $N$-Chlorosuccinimide ( $33.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was then added and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 h . The solution was then filtered and the filtrate was treated with $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(34.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then filtered and the filtrate concentrated to 100 mL on a rotary evaporator with minimum heating. Toluene ( 300 mL ) was added and the solution was again filtered and concentrated to 50 mL and chromatographed on silica gel. Hexane was used as eluant until all the toluene was off the column, and then hexane:ether ( $6: 1$ ) was employed, yielding 3 -(phenylthio) but-2-enal ( $21.3 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ) as an orange oil. The product consisted of a 7:1 mixture of the $E: Z$ isomers as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, which was contaminated with a small amount of starting material. This compound was immediately carried on to the next reaction.

3-(Phenylthio)but-2-enal ( $19.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.108 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), isopropenyl acetate ( $178 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $p$-toluenesulfonic acid (catalytic amount), and hydroquinone (catalytic amount) were dissolved in toluene (216 mL ) in a 1-L flask equipped with a short-path distillation head. The reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acetone was slowly removed from the mixture via distillation over 48 h . The solution was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated on a rotary evaporator with minimum heating. The resulting oil was chromatographed on silica gel with use of $15: 1$ hexane:ether as eluant, yielding $12.5 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%)$ of the diene as a $5: 1 E, E: E, Z$ mixture: $(E)$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.1$ (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; (mixture) IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3030,1735,1340,1200,1170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e 220\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, $178,110,109,87,69,43$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 220.0557$, found 220.0552 .
cis-3-Acetoxy-4-formyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene (5). 1-Acetoxy-3-(phenylthio)-1,3-butadiene ( $11.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), acrolein $(17.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.256 \mathrm{~mol})$, and a catalytic amount of hydroquinone were dissolved in 102 mL of toluene under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 27 h and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Crystallization of the resulting oil was effected in pentane-ether at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yielding $8.9 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$ of 5 as a light yellow powder: $\mathrm{mp} 58-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.7(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.6(\mathrm{bd}$, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1-1.8$ (m, 2 H ), 1.98 (s, 3 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2920, 1730, 1535, 1445, 1375, $1235,1110,880 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e 276\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 233,218,217,216,205$, $189,188,187,178,177,152,147,125,111,110,109,107,79,77$, $60,57,43$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} 276.0819$, found 276.0782.

3-Acetoxy-4-(hydroxymethyl)-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. The aldehyde $5(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 7.2 mL of toluene and cooled to $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Methanol ( 3.6 mL ) was then added, followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in small portions. The solution was stirred at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then partitioned between 50 mL of ice-cold aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with two $50-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were combined, washed with 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 100 mL of brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to yield a yellow oil. Flash chromatography (ether: hexane, 1:1) provided 0.789 g of alcohol ( $78 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\mathrm{d}, J=5.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3620$, 3520, 2930, 1720, 1440, 1370, 1250, $1025 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, m/e $278\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, $235,219,218,187,110,109,91,81,79,43$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ 278.0976, found 278.0989.
cis-3-Acetoxy-4-[(dimethyl-tert -butylsiloxy)methyl]-1(phenylthio)cyclohexene (6). cis-3-Acetoxy-4-(hydroxy-methyl)-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 20.3 mL of DME and the solution cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Imidazole ( $0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethyl-tert-butylsilyl chloride ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 8.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added, and the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . The solution was then partitioned between 100 mL of ether and 100 mL of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was washed twice with 50 mL of ether. The combined ether solutions were washed twice with 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 100 mL brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated at reduced pressure to yield a yellow oil. Flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ether, 2:1) gave 1.47 g of $6(92.4 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=9.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.0(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2930,2860,1725,1250,840$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 332,223,202,201,200,186,117,109,91,89,75$, 73, 43. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ SiS: C, $64.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.22 ; \mathrm{Si}, 7.13$; $\mathrm{S}, 8.15$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.26 ; \mathrm{Si}, 6.94 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.95$.
cis -3-[Bis(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-4-[(dimethyl-tert -butylsiloxy)methyl]-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene (7). Sodium dimethylmalonate ( 12.2 mL of a 1 M DME solution) was added to a flask and the solvent was removed by evaporation. Pd(diphos) $\mathbf{2}^{(0.0369 \mathrm{~g}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}) \text { was then added followed by } 4 \mathrm{~mL}, ~}$ of DME and the reaction was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The allylic acetate $6(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DME and the solution added to the reaction mixture. The solution is maintained at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min and then cooled to room temperature and partitioned between 100 mL of EtOAc and 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 50 mL of EtOAc. The EtOAc solutions were combined, washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, 20:1) to yield $7(0.371 \mathrm{~g}, 78.4 \%)$ as a clear yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.8-1.4 (m, 3 H ), $0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.0(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2930,2860$, $1738,1460,1440,1250,1200,1150,1080,910,890,750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}$, $m / e 464\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 433,407,332,304,201,189,163,91,89,73,59,57$, $55,43,41$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SiS} 464.2051$, found 464.2055.

5-(Methoxycarbonyl)-4-0xo-8-(phenylthio)-cis -3-oxabicy-clo[4.4.0]dec-7-ene. The silyl ether $7(0.316 \mathrm{~g}, 0.681 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 2.7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Triethylammonium hydrogen fluoride $(0.715 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) was then added and the mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and partitioned between saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 100 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were washed twice with 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 100 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated at reduced pressure. Flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ether, $2: 1$ ) provided $0.190 \mathrm{~g}(87.7 \%)$ of product as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=11.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=11.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2920$, 1730, 1260, $1210,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 318\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 260,259,246$, $201,109,97,91,83,79,77,71,69,65,57,55,43,41$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 318.0925$, found 318.0917 .

4-Oxo-8-(phenylthio)-cis-3-oxabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-7-ene (8). The ester lactone ( $0.182 \mathrm{~g}, 0.572 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 2.86$ mmol ) were dissolved in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(2.27 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, the solution was and heated in a $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 4.5 h . The reaction was then cooled and partitioned between 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
solutions were washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The resulting yellow oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, $2: 1$ ) to give $0.099 \mathrm{~g}(66.4 \%)$ of 8 as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.7(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=11.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=16.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=16.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2940,1740,1455,1390$, $1250,1100,860,800,680,640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 260\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 201,151$, $110,109,107,105,91,79,77,65,51,41$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 260.0870$, found 260.0874 .

4-Oxo-cis-3-oxabicyclo[4.4.0]decan-8-one (9). $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(0.084$ g, 0.31 mmol ) dissolved in 1.23 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) was added to the vinyl sulfide $8(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{~mol})$ dissolved in an equal volume of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 24 h , then cooled, and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and then taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and refiltered through Celite and glass wool. The filtrate was then concentrated and purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ether, $2: 1$ ), providing 0.038 g of 9 ( $73.8 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=11.3,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=11.3,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.7$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2920,1740$, $1255,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 168,127,96,86,84,81,68,67,57,55$, $54,53,47,41$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3} 168.0786$, found 168.0785. trans-12: exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3} 168.0786$, found $168.0790 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=15.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95 (d, d, $J=15,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.75 (d, d, $J=16,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.5$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=16,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H).
cis -3-Acetoxy-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene (13). The allylic acetate-alcohol $14(0.707 \mathrm{~g}, 2.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 12.7 mL ) and cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Triethylamine ( $0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.216 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The solution was then partitioned between 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 100 mL of ice-cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were washed with 100 mL of ice-cold $1.0 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ice-cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 100 mL of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 8 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ice-cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ brine, dried over anydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated, and quickly taken on to the next reaction.

The mesylate ( $0.906 \mathrm{~g}, 2.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 2 mL of THF and added to a $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ slurry of LAH ( $0.289 \mathrm{~g}, 7.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of THF. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 0.5 h , and then subjected to a standard $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-aqueous NaOH workup. Flash chromatography of the resulting cis-3-hydroxy-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene on silica gel (hexane:ether, 10:1) provided $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(55.3 \%)$, which was immediately carried on to the next reaction.
cis-3-Hydroxy-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene ( $0.367 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67$ mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.67 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2} .4$-(Dimethylamino)pyridine ( $0.206 \mathrm{~g}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic anhydride ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added. The reaction was complete in 5 min and was quenched with ice-cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with 30 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were washed twice with 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 50 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The resulting oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, $4: 1$ ), yielding $0.419 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of $13:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.4$ (d, $J$ $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.9,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2920,1730,1365$, $1230,1000,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, m/e $262\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 202,110,109,95,93$, $91,77,43$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 262.1026$, found 262.1016.
cis-3-[Bis(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. The allylic acetate $13(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 2.8 mL of DME under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Pd(diphos) $)_{2}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium dimethylmalonate ( 1.14 mL of 1 M solution in DME) were added, and the solution was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . The solution was cooled to room temperature and flash chromatographed on silica gel (hexane: ether, $15: 1$ ) to yield a colorless oil ( $0.273 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.6(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
3.35 (d, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2920,1740,1435$, $1260,1200,1020 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 334\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 274,204,203,133,93$, 91, 77; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S} 334.1237$, found 334.1232.
cis-4-Methyl-3-[[[(p-tolylsulfonyl)methoxy]carbonyl]-methyl]-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. The allylic acetate 13 ( $0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 0.298 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1.49 mL of DME under $\mathrm{N}_{2} . \mathrm{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}(0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.31 mL of a 1 M solution of methyl sodio[p-tolylsulfonyl)acetate in DME were added, and the solution was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated at reduced pressure to yield a yellow-brown precipitate. The crude product was subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ether, $5: 1$ ), yielding $0.113 \mathrm{~g}(88.6 \%$ ) of product was a 1.5:1 mixture of cis diastereomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{bs}$, 0.4 H ), 5.3 (bs, 0.6 H ), $4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.0$ (m, 0.4 H ), $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 1.7-1.5$ (m, 2.4 H ), 0.95 (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2$ H); IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) $2920,1745,1330,1200,1140,1080,905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 430\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 275,274,243,242,203,165,105$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 430.1271$, found 430.1238 .
cis -3-[(Methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. 1. Decarboxylation of cis -3-[Bis(meth-oxycarbonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. The malonate addition product $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{LiCl}(0.11$ $\mathrm{g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated in a $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 12 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted twice with 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions were washed with 100 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The resulting oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, 10:1), yielding 0.11 g of product ( $78 \%$ ).
2. $\quad \mathrm{Na} / \mathbf{H g}$ Reduction of cis -4 -Methyl-3-[(p-tolyl-sulfonyl)(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. The vinyl sulfide ( $0.013 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(0.017$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $6 \%$ sodium amalgam ( $0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was then partitioned between 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 50 mL of ether. The aqueous phase was separated and further extracted with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ether. The combined ether solutions were washed with 50 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The resulting oil was chromatographed on a preparative-layer silica gel plate (hexane:ether, $2: 1)$, yielding $0.0043 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%)$ of the vinyl sulfide-methyl ester: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=15.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=15.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.7-1.5(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2920,1740,1435,1260,1150$, $685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 276\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 204,203,167,161,160,125,110$, $109,107,94,93,91,79,77,75,65,43,41$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 276.1183$, found 276.1173.
cis -3-[(Methoxycarbonyl)(p-tolylsulfonyl)methyl]-4methylcyclohexanone. cis-3-[(Methoxycarbonyl)(p-tolyl-sulfonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene ( 0.033 g , $0.076 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 0.3 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) and heated at $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The solution was then cooled to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated at reduced pressure. The resulting oil was redissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered through glass wool, and concentrated. Chromatography on a silica gel preparative plate (ether:hexane, $2: 1$ ) gave 0.017 g ( $65.8 \%$ ) of the product as a 1.5:1 mixture of cis diastereomers: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H})$, 3.05 (d, d, $J=15,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.4-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $2930,1740,1720,1435,1335,1140,905 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 281,249$, $228,183,182,151,150,139,123,95,91$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 60.33 ; H, 6.56 ; S, 9.46 , Found: C, 60.36; H, 6.75 ; S, 9.20. trans-3-[(Methoxycarbonyl)(p-tolylsulfonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-
cyclohexanone: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ single diasteromer $\delta 7.75$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.6-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
cis-3-Acetoxy-4-[( $\boldsymbol{N}$-benzylamino)methyl]-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene. The aldehyde $5(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 7.2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and cooled to $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 7.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added, followed by benzylamine ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , and then 3.6 mL of MeOH and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.15$ $\mathrm{g}, 3.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ an additional 0.75 h and then partitioned between 100 mL of EtOAc and 100 mL of ice-cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted twice with 50 mL of EtOAc. The combined EtOAc phases were washed with 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 100 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. Flash chromatography of the resulting oil (hexane:ether, 2:1) yielded $1.14 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of the amine: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $5.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.3$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=5.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{AB}$ quartet, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.6 (d, d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.5 (d, d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.2(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.7-1.5$ (m, 3 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2920, 2830, 1725, 1460, 1370, 1250, 1010, $900,680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e 367\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 188,121,120,119$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NS} 367.1604$, found 367.1617.
cis -3-Acetoxy-4-[[ $N$-benzyl- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[(methoxycarbonyl)-acetyl]amino]methyl]-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene (15). cis-3-Acetoxy-4-[( $N$-benzylamino)methyl]-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene ( $1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 6.0 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solution cooled to $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2} . \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(0.331 \mathrm{~g}, 3.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{ClCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}(0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ were then added, and the mixture was stirred at $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 min . The solution was then partitioned between 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted twice with 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The residual oil was flash chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:ether, $1: 1)$, yielding $1.09 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of $15 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ shows two diastereomers due to amide resonance (ratio 2:1). Amide resonances were demonstrated by observing coalescence of NMR signals at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Major isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.4-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $5.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.55 , 4.45 (AB quartet, $J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.7(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.2$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Minor isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.4-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.8,4.6(\mathrm{AB}$ quartet, $J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $2930,1735,1660,1430,1230,900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 407,316,222$, $221,220,208,201,200,199,186,130,121,120,109,106,93,92$, $91,60,56,55,45,43$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 66.78$; H , 6.26. Found: C, 66.57; H, 6.36.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Benzyl-4-0xo-5-(methoxycarbonyl)-8-(phenylthio)-cis3 -azabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-7-ene (16). The allylic acetate 15 ( 0.131 $\mathrm{g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of DME under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{NaH}(0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 0.393 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added, followed immediately by $\operatorname{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0281 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was then heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then cooled to room temperature, and subjected to preparative TLC on silica gel (ether:hexane, $5: 1$ ), yielding $16(0.093 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) single diastereomer at C-5 $\delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.65,4.55$ (AB quartet, $J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (s, 3 H ), 3.35 $(\mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.6$ (m, 2 H ); IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2920,2875,1740,1650,1440,1160,865 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 407\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 348,187,120,109,106,97,91,79,77,65$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NS} 407.1554$, found 407.1589 Trans isomer 17 formed by treatment of 15 with 1.4 equiv of NaH in DME at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . trans-17: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), one diastereomer at C-5, $\delta 7.4-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65,4.40$ (AB quartet, $J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.3 (d, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.1 (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.7(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Benzyl-4-ox0-8-(phenylthio)-cis -3-azabicyclo[4.4.0]-dec-7-ene. The lactam 16 ( $0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(0.058$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(0.55 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The mixture was heated in a $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 9 h , then cooled to room temperature, and partitioned between 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was separated
and washed with 100 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The resulting oil was flash chromatographed (ether:hexane, 2:1), yielding the product as a yellow oil ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.63,4.50$ (AB quartet, $J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.3 (d, d, $J=12.7,5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=12.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), $2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2900, 1650, 1440, 690 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 349\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 91,72$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ONS}$ 349.1499, found 349.1468.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Benzyl-4-oxo-cis-3-azabicyclo[4.4.0]decan-8-one. The vinyl sulfide ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1), 0.69 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was heated at reflux for 20 h , then cooled to room temperature, diluted with 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and filtered through Celite. The solution was concentrated and the resulting oil was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through glass wool and Celite. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate:hexane, 3:1) on silica gel, yielding 0.029 g $(65 \%)$ of the ketone: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.75,4.45$ (AB quartet, $J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=12.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.2 (d, d, $J=12.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2920, $1720,1645,900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e 257\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 106,92,91,88,86,84,65,49,47,43,41$; exact mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N} 257.1415$, found 257.1449 .
cis-3-Acetoxy-4-vinyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene (18). The aldehyde $5(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.36$ mL of 1 M solution in ether) at room temperature for 15 min and then subjected to a standard aqueous workup. The desired product was obtained by preparative plate chromatography in silica gel (hexane:ether, $2: 1$ ), $0.024 \mathrm{~g}(25 \%)$, and immediately carried on to the next reaction: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.3 (m, 3 H ), 5.8 (d, d, d, $J=15.8,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.65 (d, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.25 (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.05 (d, $J=$ $15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.0(5.3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e 274\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 220,215,214,178$, $165,123,110,105$.

4-[1-[Bis(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]ethyl]-1-(phenyl-thio)-1,4-cyclohexadiene (20). The allylic acetate 18 ( 0.03 g , $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{Pd}(\text { diphos })_{2}(0.0098 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 0.65 mL of
a 1 M DME solution of sodium dimethylmalonate were added to 0.4 mL of DME under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solution was then heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , whereupon it was cooled to room temperature and subjected to preparative plate chromatography on silica gel (hexane:ether, $2: 1$ ), yielding 0.045 g of $20(82 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.7$ (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.7(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, J=10,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; M S, m / e 346\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 215,214,213,184,149,109,108,105$, 91, 79, 77, 71, 69, 65, 57, 55, 51, 43.

Registry No. 3, 90083-78-6; 3 (alcohol), 90083-79-7; 4, 90083-80-0; 5, 90083-86-6; 6, 90083-88-8; 7, 90083-89-9; 8, 90084-07-4; 8 (ester lactone), 90083-90-2; 9, 90083-91-3; 10, 90084-09-6; 11, 90084-10-9; 12, 90083-92-4; 13, 90083-93-5; 13 (alcohol), 90083-95-7; 14, 90083-87-7; 14 (mesylate), 90083-94-6; 15, 90084-02-9; trans-15, 90084-03-0; 15 (amine), 90084-01-8; 16, 90084-11-0; 18, 90084-06-3; 20, 90084-08-5; Pd(DIPHOS) ${ }_{2}$, 31277-98-2; TBSCl, 18162-48-6; $\mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}$, 18424-76-5; $(E)-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SPh}) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHOAc}, 90083-81-1 ;(Z)-\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SPh})-$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHOAc}, 90083-85-5 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCHO}, 4170-30-3 ; \mathrm{PhSH}$, $108-98-5 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SPh}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}, 38160-59-7 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SPh})$ $\mathrm{CHClCHO}, 90083-82-2 ;(E)-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SPh})=\mathrm{CHCHO}, 90083-84-4 ;$ $(Z)-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SPh})=\mathrm{CHCHO}, 90083-83-3 ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCHO}, 107-02-8$; $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NHF}, 29585-72-6$ [ LiCl, $7447-41-8 ; \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, 7487-94-7$; $\mathrm{NaCH}-$ $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, 90083-98-0 ; \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 3487-44-3$; 3-(pheny-thio)cyclohex-2-enone, 75717-39-4; isopropenyl acetate, 108-22-5; cis-3-[bis(methozycarbonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio)cyclohexene, 90083-96-8; 4-methyl-3-[( $p$-tolylsulfonyl)(methoxy-carbonyl)methyl]-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene (isomer 1), 90083-97-9; 4-methyl-3-[( $p$-tolylsulfonyl)(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene (isomer 2), 90130-47-5; cis-3-[(meth-oxycarbonyl)methyl]-4-methyl-1-(phenylthio) cyclohexene, 90083-99-1; 3-[(methoxycarbonyl) (p-tolylsulfonyl)methyl]-4methylcyclohexanone (isomer 1), 90084-00-7; 3-[(methoxycarbonyl) ( $p$-tolylsulfonyl)methyl]-4-methylcyclohexanone (isomer 2), 90130-48-6; $N$-benzyl-4-oxo-8-(phenylthio)-cis-3-azabicyclo-[4.4.0]dec-7-ene, $90084-04-1 ; ~ N$-benzyl-4-oxo-cis-3-azabicyclo-[4.4.0]decan-8-one, 90084-05-2.
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The synthesis of 4-desmethoxy-8-nordaunomycinone in protected form is described. Two separate routes were investigated which share a common strategy for the construction of this new five-membered anthracycline ring system.

The clinical utility of anthracycline antibiotics ${ }^{1}$ such as daunomycin 1 has prompted varied approaches to their synthesis ${ }^{2}$ and derivatization. The major thrust in analogue development has been to diminish the cumulative cardiotoxic liability of these antitumor agents. ${ }^{3}$ Deletion of the 4-methoxyl group in daunomycin has resulted in increased potency. ${ }^{4}$ With these thoughts in mind, the

[^2]desmethoxy-8-nor analogue 2 was chosen as a desirable target whose degradation after glycolysis might be facilitated by the vicinal diol portion of the aglycone.



Utilizing existing methodology for the incorporation of the naphthoquinone portion of anthracycline aglycones, ${ }^{5}$
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