


Photochemical Hydroxylation of Anthracene-9,10-dione in Sulphuric Acid Solution

By A DOUGLAS BROADBENT* and JOHN M STEWART


(Department of Chemistry, Mount Allison University Sackville, N B, Canada EOA 3C0)

Summary Near u v irradiation of anthracene-9,10-dione in deoxygenated 96% H_2SO_4 generates the sulphate ester of 2-hydroxyanthracene-9,10-dione in high yield along with the 1-hydroxy isomer and the novel reduction products anthracen-9(10*H*)-one and 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'(9*H*,9'*H*)-dione, contrary to previous results, the product distribution and the rate of reaction are dependent upon the concentration of O_2 in the solution


Two recent publications^{1,2} dealing with the photochemical reaction of anthracene-9,10-dione (**1**) in H_2SO_4 solution have reported conflicting results. One paper¹ concludes that the sulphate ester of 2-hydroxyanthracene-9,10-dione (**2**) is the sole product of an efficient, regioselective, substitution reaction, while the other² reports that a small amount of the 1-hydroxy isomer (**3**) is also formed and undergoes a secondary photochemical reaction yielding 1,4-dihydroxyanthracene-9,10-dione (**4**). Both publications, however, agree that the presence or absence of O_2 has no profound effect upon the reaction. We now report that the product distribution is more complex than previously described, that O_2 has some novel effects on both the product distribution and the rate of reaction, and, when O_2 is absent, (**1**) undergoes an unusual photoreduction yielding anthracen-9(10*H*)-one (**8**) and 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'(9*H*,9'*H*)-dione (**6**).




- (1) $\text{R}^1 = \text{R}^2 = \text{R}^3 = \text{H}$
 (2) $\text{R}^1 = \text{R}^3 = \text{H}, \text{R}^2 = \text{OH}$
 (3) $\text{R}^1 = \text{OH}, \text{R}^2 = \text{R}^3 = \text{H}$
 (4) $\text{R}^1 = \text{R}^3 = \text{OH}, \text{R}^2 = \text{H}$
 (5) $\text{R}^1 = \text{R}^2 = \text{OH}, \text{R}^3 = \text{H}$



(6)



(7)



(8) $\text{R} = \text{H}$

(9) $\text{R} = \text{OH}$

Irradiation of (**1**) in 96% H_2SO_4 at 15 °C, stirring with N_2 , with a medium-pressure Hg lamp through Pyrex, gave (**2**) as the major isolated product [80% yield based on (**1**)

consumed] but accompanied by (3) and the reduction products (8) and (6). An identical reaction, but stirred by O₂, was very similar except that only traces of (8) and (6) were detected, but, in this case, isolable quantities of the minor products (4) and 1,2-dihydroxyanthracene-9,10-dione (5) were found. The initial rate of conversion of (1) was lower in the presence of O₂, but the final yield of (2) was somewhat larger than in the N₂-stirred reaction. In the more oxidising environment of 100% H₂SO₄, where the HSO₄⁻ concentration is considerably lower,³ the reaction was much less efficient, particularly under O₂, and gave only traces of the reduction products even under N₂.

Analysis of the reaction products by t.l.c. showed rapid accumulation of (8) only in the N₂-stirred reaction and its later conversion into (6). Studies of the interaction of anthracene-9,10-diol (7) and its tautomer 10-hydroxyanthracen-9(10H)-one with deoxygenated H₂SO₄ in the dark indicated rapid back-oxidation to (1) and also the formation of (8). In the photochemical reaction, we propose that reduction of (1) occurs, *via* reaction of the excited state of the protonated quinone with HSO₄⁻ (nucleophilic substitution¹ or electron transfer and subsequent addition of HSO₄[•]), yielding (7), which then undergoes disproportionation *via* (9) to (1) and 9,10-dihydroxy-9,10-dihydroanthracene,⁴ if not oxidised to (1) by the solvent or O₂. The latter product readily dehydrates to give (8), which undergoes efficient photo-oxidation to (6) in H₂SO₄.⁵ Such extensive reduction of (1) in photochemical reactions is quite rare.⁶

The dihydroxylated products (4) and (5) were generated in small but isolable quantities only from the photochemical

reaction in the presence of O₂. This was quite evident from comparative spectrophotometric examination of the irradiated H₂SO₄ solutions. The monohydroxy products (2) and (3) were shown to be quite stable under the irradiation conditions, so that neither (4) nor (5) is generated by a secondary photochemical reaction of (3) as previously suggested³ but presumably by secondary thermal reactions which are greatly enhanced by O₂.⁷

The kinetics of the photochemical reaction have been studied on an optical bench using incident light at 366 nm and monitoring the loss of (1) at 270 nm or the appearance of hydroxy products at 520 nm, where (1) has negligible absorbance. The quantum yield of (2) is *ca.* 0.5 in 96% H₂SO₄ under N₂, and may be even higher with optimum mixing to ensure homogeneity during irradiation, a situation not easily achieved in the viscous solvent. In direct contrast to the previous studies, the rate data show that O₂ is an effective quencher of the reaction, particularly at the low light intensities used on the optical bench. As the incident light intensity is increased, the very low rate of product formation in the O₂-stirred solution increases until it approaches that for an N₂-stirred reaction, indicating that the reaction may be quenched by and also consume O₂ so that depletion occurs.

Our results show that O₂ has a pronounced influence on both the product distribution and the rate of reaction.

This work was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

(Received, 28th April 1980; Com. 446.)

¹ G. G. Mihai, P. G. Tarassoff, and N. Filipescu, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I*, 1975, 1374.

² A. V. El'tsov, Y. K. Levental, and O. P. Studzinskii, *J. Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.)*, 1975, 2407.

³ M. Liler, 'Reaction Mechanisms in Sulphuric Acid,' Academic Press, London, 1971, p. 19.

⁴ K. H. Meyer, *Justus Liebig's Ann. Chem.*, 1911, **379**, 37; S. Coffey, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 1953, 1068; A. M. Dawson and A. Johnson, *J. Soc. Dyers Colour.*, 1966, **82**, 49.

⁵ N. Filipescu, E. Avram, and K. D. Welk, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1977, **42**, 507.

⁶ S. A. Carlson and D. M. Hercules, *Anal. Chem.*, 1973, **45**, 1794; O. P. Studzinskii, N. I. Rtishchev, and A. V. El'tsov, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1977, **13**, 160.

⁷ A. D. Broadbent and R. P. Newton, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1972, **50**, 381.