928 ( $M^+$ ), 893 ( $M^+$  - Cl), 844 ( $M^+$  - 3 CO), 809 ( $M^+$  - 3 CO - Cl), 499, 454, 426, 307

Rhenium complex 7: Under argon, [Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>Cl] (27.3 mg, 0.075 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous toluene (10 mL). At 60 °C, 5 (47 mg, 0.075 mmol) was added in a countercurrent of argon and the reaction mixture heated to 80 °C for 15 h. The cooled solution was filtered, washed with toluene (1 mL), suspended in ether, filtered, and dried in a high vacuum to give 7 (66 mg, 0.071 mmol, 94%) as a yellow powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, TMS):  $\delta = 9.04$  (s, 1 H, CH(6')), 8.88 (d, 1H, CH(6)), 8.21 (dd, 1H, CH(4')), 8.17 (d, 1H, CH(3')), 8.08 (dd, 1H, CH(3)), 7.86 (dd, 1H, CH(4)), 7.22 (m, 2H, CH(7,8)), 7.12 (m, 2H, CH(7,8)), 6.96 (m, 2H, CH(7,8)), 6.90-6.78 (m, 10H, CH(7,8)), 6.00 (quint., 1H, CHO), 4.54 (s, 2H, CH(9)), 3.99 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>COO), 3.06 ("t"d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.85 (dd, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.52 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z: 928 (M<sup>+</sup>), 893 (M<sup>+</sup> - Cl), 844  $(M^+ - 3 \text{ CO}), 499 (M^+ - 1), 471, 454, 307.$ 

> Received: May 15, 1997 [Z10442IE] German version: Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2878-2881

Keywords: energy transfer • molecular switches • photochemistry · photoswitchable systems · rhenium

- [1] V. Balzani, F. Scandola, Supramolecular Photochemistry, Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1991.
- [2] J.-M. Lehn, Supramolecular Chemistry, VCH, Weinheim, 1995.
- [3] A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, C. P. McCoy, Nature 1993, 364, 42.
- [4] L. Fabbrizzi, A. Poggi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1995, 24, 197.
- [5] H. D. Becker, K. A. Amin, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3182.
- [6] B. Neises, W. Steglich, Angew. Chem. 1978, 90, 556; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 522.
- a) G. Tapolsky, R. Duesing, T. J. Meyer, Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 2285; b) L. A. Worl, R. Duesing, P. Chen, L. Delle Ciana, T. J. Meyer, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1991, 849.

## **Polycationic Dendrimers\*\***

Peter R. Ashton, Kazusato Shibata, Andrew N. Shipway, and J. Fraser Stoddart\*

Dedicated to Professor Achim Müller on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Dendritic molecules<sup>[1]</sup> carrying multiple cationic sites are unlike their polyanionic counterparts<sup>[2]</sup>—still very rare.<sup>[3]</sup> The catalytic activity of a dendrimer coated with quaternary ammonium centers on its surface has been investigated,<sup>[4]</sup> and a series of dendrimers in which the core and branch points are either ammonium<sup>[5]</sup> or phosphonium sites<sup>[6]</sup> have been reported. However, the potential applications of dendrimers might be hindered by the low yields of their syntheses.

We are interested in creating dendritic microenvironments, in which a wide range of different chemical reactions can be carried out.<sup>[7]</sup> It is not unlikely that dendrimers with cavities that are splattered with positive charges and yet largely lipophilic should be able to bind simultaneously counterions-in the shape of reactive nucleophiles<sup>[8]</sup>-and organic substrates.<sup>[9]</sup>

Here we present a particularly attractive and efficient convergent approach (Scheme 1) to gram quantities of

[\*] Prof. J. F. Stoddart

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California at Los Angeles 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095 (USA) Fax: Int. code + (310) 206-1843 e-mail: Stoddart@chem.ucla.edu P. R. Ashton, Dr. K. Shibata, Dr. A. N. Shipway School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham (UK)

This research was sponsored in the UK by the Engineering and Physical [\*\*] Sciences Research Council.

## COMMUNICATIONS



Scheme 1. Schematic representation of the convergent approach to the synthesis of a third-generation polycationic dendrimer with quaternary ammonium linkages.

polycationic wedges and dendrimers.<sup>[10]</sup> The core and branching points are based on a mesitylene framework. Generation growth is achieved by using the high-yielding Menschutkin reaction, in which the growing dendritic wedge bearing a reactive benzylic CH<sub>2</sub>Br group at the focal point is attached to the benzylic CH<sub>2</sub>NEt<sub>2</sub> functionalities in a monomer unit, thereby producing new cationic sites.[11] The larger "passive" wedge is isolated, and the new benzylic CH<sub>2</sub>OH group then converted into the reactive CH<sub>2</sub>Br group to afford a larger "active" wedge. The series of first-, second-, and thirdgeneration wedges are incorporated into the corresponding dendrimers by allowing the active wedges to react with the core, namely, 1,3,5-tris(diethylaminomethyl)benzene (C). To ensure that the Menschutkin reaction is high-yielding, the amines (i. e., the monomer M and the core C) must be of extremely high purity. As new cationic sites are created, the "soft"  $PF_{6}$  anion is introduced to aid the solubility of the positively charged macromolecules in organic solvents.

The synthesis<sup>[12]</sup> of the monomer **M**, the core **C**, the firstgeneration (g=1) wedge **1GW**  $\cdot$  2PF<sub>6</sub>, and the zero-generation (g=0) dendrimer **0GD**  $\cdot$  3 PF<sub>6</sub> are summarized in Scheme 2. 1,3,5-Tris(bromomethyl)benzene (2), which was obtained by bromination of 1,3,5-tris(hydroxymethyl)benzene<sup>[13]</sup> (1) with three equivalents of  $Ph_3P/CBr_4$  in THF, was treated with an excess of  $Et_2NH$  to give the core C (97%) yield). Reaction of 1 with two equivalents of  $Ph_3P/CBr_4$  in THF afforded 3,5-bis(bromomethyl)phenylmethanol (3, 55%) yield), which was converted into the monomer M (86% yield) by treatment with excess Et<sub>2</sub>NH. Reaction of 3 with excess Et<sub>3</sub>N yielded 4.2Br quantitatively. After counterion exchange with  $NH_4PF_6/H_2O$  to produce  $4 \cdot 2PF_6$ , the  $CH_2OH$ group in 4<sup>2+</sup> was converted into a CH<sub>2</sub>Br group with HBr/ HOAc to afford, after counterion exchange, the wedge 1GW  $\cdot$  2PF<sub>6</sub> (g = 1, 89% yield). Successive treatment of 2 with an excess of NEt<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> yielded the dendrimer

## COMMUNICATIONS



Scheme 2. Synthesis of the key building blocks—namely, the monomer **M**, the core **C**, the first-generation wedge  $1\text{GW} \cdot 2\text{PF}_6$  (g = 1), and the zero-generation dendrimer  $0\text{GD} \cdot 3\text{PF}_6$  (g = 0)—from 1,3,5-tris(hydroxymethyl)benzene (1). a) 45% HBr in AcOH, 20°C, 46 h, 98%; b) CBr<sub>4</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub>/THF, 20°C, 2 h, 55%; c) 1. 45%. HBr in AcOH, 20°C, 20 h; 2. NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O, 100%; d) Et<sub>3</sub>N/Me<sub>2</sub>CO/MeOH, 20°C, 2 h, 100%; e) Et<sub>2</sub>NH, 20°C, 4 h, 86%; f) Et<sub>2</sub>NH/Et<sub>2</sub>O, 20°C, 23 h, 97%; g) Et<sub>3</sub>N/MeOH, 20°C, 2 h, 49%.

**0GD**  $\cdot$  3 PF<sub>6</sub> (g = 0, 67 % yield), which is stable<sup>[14]</sup> upon heating in CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub> at 100 °C in the presence of about ten equivalents of NaOMe.

Wedge growth (Scheme 3) as far as the thirdgeneration wedge (g = 3, **3GW**<sup>14+</sup>) was achieved by a repetitive sequence of steps: a) successive Menschutkin reactions between M and wedges 1GW  $\cdot$  2PF<sub>6</sub> (g=1) and 2GW  $\cdot$  6PF<sub>6</sub> (g=2) in Me<sub>2</sub>CO, b) subsequent counterion exchange. c) treatment of the benzylic alcohol functionality with HBr/HOAc to give the benzylic bromide, and d) counterion exchange with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>. All the steps proceeded with better than 90% yields. Dendrimers 1GD  $\cdot$  9 PF<sub>6</sub> (g=1), **2GD** · 21 PF<sub>6</sub> (g=2), and **3GD** · 45 PF<sub>6</sub> (g=3) with 9, 21, and 45 cationic sites, respectively, were synthesized in yields of 90, 99, and 96% by allowing the core C to react with the wedges  $1GW \cdot 2PF_6$ ,  $2GW \cdot 6PF_6$ , and  $3GW \cdot$ 14PF<sub>6</sub> in turn in Me<sub>2</sub>CO to effect the three necessary Menschutkin reactions followed by counterion exchange. They are all stable white solids which decompose without melting above

200 °C; they are very soluble in organic solvents such as Me<sub>2</sub>CO, DMF, DMSO, MeNO<sub>2</sub>, and MeOH. The constitutions and purities of all the wedges and dendrimers were established by LSI mass spectrometry, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. The LSI mass spectra of **1GW** · 2PF<sub>6</sub>, **2GW** · 6PF<sub>6</sub>, **3GW** · 14PF<sub>6</sub>, **0GD** · 3PF<sub>6</sub>, and **1GD** · 9PF<sub>6</sub> display peaks at m/z = 543, 1706, 4027, 710, and 2451, respectively, corresponding to  $[M - PF_6]^+$ . **2GD** · 21PF<sub>6</sub> and **3GD** · 45 PF<sub>6</sub> do not exhibit  $[M - PF_6]^+$  ions, possibly as a result of their highly charged character.

An extremely efficient synthetic route to polycationic dendrimers has been established. There is every reason to believe that further growth of the dendrimers beyond the third generation will be possible using, for example a "double-stage" approach.<sup>[15]</sup> This simple and very high-yielding synthetic methodology for constructing monodisperse macro-



Scheme 3. Synthesis of up to third-generation dendrimers and wedges from the monomer M, the core C, and the first-generation wedge  $1GW \cdot 2PF_6$ .

molecular polyelectrolytes, whose solubility can be influenced by the nature of the counterions, has important implications when it comes, for example, to investigating interfacial phenomena and devising new analytical procedures<sup>[16]</sup> and catalytic processes.

## **Experimental Section**

**3**: m.p.  $94-95 \,^{\circ}$ C; MS(EI):  $m/z = 294 [M^+]$ , 213  $[M^{\tau} - Br]$ , 134  $[M^+ - 2Br]$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.80$  (br s, 1 H), 4.45 (s, 4 H), 4.68 (s, 2 H), 7.32 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 32.7$ , 64.4, 127.3, 128.7, 138.6, 142.2; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>O: C 36.77, H 3.43; found: C 36.85, H 3.32. C: b.p. 129 °C (0.40 torr); MS (EI):  $m/z = 333 [M^+]$ ; <sup>14</sup>NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.02$  (t, 18 H, J = 7 Hz), 2.49 (q, 12 H, J = 7 Hz), 3.53 (s, 6H), 7.14 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 1.1.7$ , 46.6, 57.4, 128.0, 139.3; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>2</sub>;H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>3</sub>: C 75.62, H 11.78, N 12.60; found: C 75.50, H 11.86, N 12.86. **M:** b.p. 129 °C (0.10 torr); MS (EI):  $m/z = 278 [M^+]$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):

**M**: b.p.  $129 \,^{\circ}$ C (0.10 torr); MS (EI):  $m/z = 278 \, [M^+]$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.02$  (t, 12H,  $J = 7 \,$ Hz), 2.49 (q, 8H,  $J = 7 \,$ Hz), 3.53 (s, 4H), 4.63 (s, 2H),

7.15–7.22 (m, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta$  = 11.4, 46.5, 57.3, 64.7, 126.1, 128.8, 139.5, 141.2; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: C 73.33, H 10.86, N 10.06; found: C 73.40, H 10.86, N 9.92.

**4** · 2PF<sub>6</sub>: m.p. 185 – 187 °C (decomp); MS (LSI): *m/z*: 481 [*M*<sup>+</sup> – PF<sub>6</sub>]; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  = 1.31 (t, 18H, *J* = 7 Hz), 3.17 (q, 12H, *J* = 7 Hz), 4.49 (s, 4 H), 4.60 (d, 2 H, *J* = 6 Hz), 5.46 (t, 1 H, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.40 (s, 1 H), 7.56 (s, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz): 7.4, 52.0, 58.9, 62.0, 128.4, 132.0, 134.5, 144.4; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>40</sub>F<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OP<sub>2</sub>: C 40.26, H 6.44, N 4.47; found: C 40.32, H 6.39, N 4.34.

**1GW** · 2PF<sub>6</sub>: **4** · 2PF<sub>6</sub> (14.1 g, 22.4 mmol) was added to 45%. HBr in HOAc (60 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 65 h before being concentrated under vacuum to yield a brown oil, which was treated with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> to afford **1GW** · 2PF<sub>6</sub> as a white solid (13.8 g, 20.0 mmol, 89%); m.p. 183 – 185 °C (decomp); MS (LSI):  $m/z = 543 [M^- - PF_6]$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.31$  (t, 18H, J = 7 Hz), 3.17 (q, 12H, J = 7 Hz), 4.50 (s, 4H), 4.83 (s, 2H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.72 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 7.4$ , 32.9, 52.0, 58.5, 129.1, 135.0, 136.1, 139.9; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>39</sub>BtF<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>: C 36.59, H 5.70, N 4.06; found: C 36.33, H 5.50, N 3.88.

**2GW** 6PF<sub>6</sub> and **3GW** 14PF<sub>6</sub>: Wedge **1GW** 2PF<sub>6</sub> or **2GW** 6PF<sub>6</sub> and the monomer **M** (0.45 mol%) were heated at reflux in Me<sub>2</sub>CO. After removal of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was treated with an excess of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> in aqueous Me<sub>2</sub>CO. The precipitated PF<sub>6</sub> salts were isolated by filtration, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, and dried before being stirred with 45% HBr in HOAc at room temperature for 65 h. Concentration of the solution under vacuum afforded a brown oil, which was treated with aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> to yield the appropriate second- or third-generation wedge

**2GW** ·6PF<sub>6</sub>: white solid (97% yield); decomposition without melting above 130 °C; MS (LSI):  $m/z = 1706 [M^- - PF_6]$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.33$  (t. 36 H. J = 7 Hz). 1.45 (t. 12 H, J = 7 Hz), 3.19 (m, 32 H), 4.53 (s. 8 H), 4.66 (br s. 8 H), 4.80 (s. 2 H), 7.69 (s, 3 H), 7.76 (s. 4 H), 7.82 (s. 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 7.4$ , 8.4, 32.6, 52.0, 52.7, 58.2, 60.3, 129.2, 129.3, 129.4, 135.6, 136.5, 138.6, 140.0; elemental analysis calcd for  $C_{39}H_{107}BrF_{36}N_6P_6$ : C 38.28, H 5.83, N 4.54; found: C 38.28, H 5.89, N 4.59.

**3GW**·14PF<sub>6</sub>: white solid (95% yield); decomposition without melting above 100°C; MS (LSI):  $m/z = 4027 [M^+ - PF_6]$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.33$  (br s, 72 H), 1.50 (m, 36 H), 3.20 (br s, 72 H), 4.52 (br s, 16 H), 4.68 (br s, 24 H), 4.80 (s, 2 H), 7.60-7.95 (m, 21 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 7.4, 8.4, 52.0, 52.7, 58.2, 59.8, 129.2, 129.5, 138.5, 139.0;$  elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>135</sub>H<sub>233</sub>BrF<sub>83</sub>N<sub>14</sub>P<sub>14</sub>: C 38.87, H 5.87, N 4.70; found: C 38.78, H 6.08, N 4.75.

**0GD** · 3 PF<sub>6</sub>: Et<sub>3</sub>N (5 mL) was added with constant stirring to a solution of **2** (0.13 g, 0.39 mmol) and NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (0.15 g, 1.3 mmol) in Me<sub>2</sub>CO (5 mL), and then the reaction was stirred for 3 h. The solution was filtered and dried over K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and the solvent removed. The product was purified by recrystallization from Me<sub>2</sub>CO/hexane to give **0GD** · 3 PF<sub>6</sub> (white solid, 67 % yield); MS(EI): *m/z* = 7110 [*M* · – PF<sub>6</sub>]: 'H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.56$  (t, 27 H, *J* = 7 Hz), 3.51 (q, 18 H, J = 7 Hz), 4.79 (s, 6H). 808 (s, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 8.0, 53.5, 59.9, 130.8, 139.6.$ 

 $1GD\cdot 9\,PF_6, 2GD\cdot 21\,PF_6, and 3GD\cdot 45\,PF_6;$  Wedge  $1GW\cdot 2\,PF_6, 2GW\cdot 6\,PF_6, or$   $3GW\cdot 14\,PF_6$  (3.1 equiv) and the core C were heated at reflux in Me\_2CO for 100 h. The solution was then added to an excess of aqueous  $NH_4PF_6$ , and the mixture stirred for 10 min. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with H\_2O, and dried.

**1GD** · 9PF<sub>6</sub>: white solid (90% yield); decomposition without mélting above 200°C; 'H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.33$  (br s, 54 H), 1.50 (br s, 18 H), 3.18 (br s, 48 H), 4.51 (br s, 12H), 4.68 (brs, 12H), 7.60 – 8.00 (m, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 7.4$ , 8.4, 52.0, 52.7, 58.1, 59.8, 129.2, 129.5, 138.4; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>84</sub>H<sub>156</sub>F<sub>34</sub>N<sub>9</sub>P<sub>9</sub>: C 38.85, H 6.05, N 4.85; found: C 38.64, H 6.46, N 4.52.

**2GD** · 21 PF<sub>6</sub>: white solid (99% yield); decomposition without melting above 200°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta$  = 1.33 (br s, 108H), 1.47 (m, 54H), 3.19 (m, 108H), 4.53 (br s, 24H), 4.65 (br s, 36H), 7.60 – 8.00 (m, 30H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta$  = 7.4, 8.4, 52.0, 52.7, 58.2, 60.2, 129.2, 129.3, 129.4, 138.2, 138.3, 138.4, 138.5; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>198</sub>H<sub>360</sub>F<sub>126</sub>N<sub>21</sub>P<sub>21</sub>: C 39.12, H 5.97, N 4.84; found: C 39.12, H 5.84, N 4.92.

**3GD** · 45 PF<sub>6</sub>: white solid (96% yield); decomposition without melting above 200°C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>5</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.33$  (br s, 216 H), 1.51 (br s, 126 H), 3.18 (br s, 228 H), 4.52 (br s, 48 H), 4.67 (br s, 84 H), 7.70 – 7.90 (m, 66 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 7.4$ , 8.4, 52.0, 52.7, 58.2, 59.8, 129.2, 129.5, 138.4; elemental analysis calcd for C<sub>426</sub>H<sub>768</sub>F<sub>270</sub>N<sub>45</sub>P<sub>45</sub>: C 39.22, H 5.93, N 4.83; found: C 39.09, H 5.94, N 4.78.

Received: June 17, 1997 [Z10564IE] German version: Angew. Chem. **1997**, 109, 2902–2905

**Keywords:** dendrimers • Menschutkin reaction • polycations polyelectrolytes

- a) D. A. Tomalia, A. M. Naylor, W. A. Goddard III, Angew. Chem. 1990, 102, 119-157; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 138-175; b) G. R. Newkome, C. N. Moorefield, F. Vögtle, Dendritic Molecules, VCH, Weinheim, 1996; c) O. A. Matthews, A. N. Shipway, J. F. Stoddart, Prog. Polym. Sci., 1998, 23, 1-56.
- [2] Many dendrimers with polyanionic surfaces have been synthesized, see for example a) K. R. Gopidas, A. R. Leheny, G. Caminati, N. J. Turro, D. A. Tomalia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7335-7342; b) C. J. Hawker, K. L. Wooley, J. M. J. Fréchet, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 1287-1297.
- [3] Apart from cationic sites being introduced into an organic compound during its synthesis, polycationic dendrimers have been produced by a) modification of neutral dendrimers (see for example C. Larré, A.-M. Caminade, J.-P. Majoral, Argew. Chem. 1997, 109, 614-617; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 596-599) and b) incorporating transition matals into the dendritic structure (see for example S. Campagna, G. Dendti, S. Serroni, A. Juris, M. Venturi, V. Ricevuto, V. Balzani, Chem. Eur. J. 1995, 1, 211-221; S. Achar, J. Puddephatt, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1994, 1895-1896).
- [4] J.-J. Lee, W. T. Ford, J. A. Moore, Y. Li, *Macromolecules* 1994, 27, 4632-4634.
- [5] a) K. Rengan, R. Engel, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1992, 757-758;
  b) R. Engel, K. Rengan, C. S. Chan, Heteroatom Chem. 1993, 4, 181-184.
- [6] K. Rengan, R. Engel, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 987-990.
- [7] Although many diverse examples of catalytic dendrimers have been reported, they rely upon the incorporation of a known catalytic site inside or on the surface of the dendritic structure rather than upon the unique dendritic microenvironment itself, see for example a) J. W. J. Knapen, A. W. van der Made, J. C. de Wilde, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, P. Wijkens, D. M. Grove, G. van Koten. *Nature* 1994, *372*, 659-663; b) A. Miedaner, C. J. Curtis, R. M. Barkley, D. L. DuBois, *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, *33*, 5482-5490; c) P. J. Dandliker, F. Diederich, J.-P. Gisselbrecht, A. Louati, M. Gross, *Angew. Chem.* 1995, *107*, 2906-2909; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1995, *34*, 2725-2727.
- [8] For examples of the encapsulation of guest molecules inside dendritic structures, see a) A. M. Taylor, W. M. Goddard III, G. E. Keifer, D. A. Tomalia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2339-2341; b) G. R. Newkome, C. N. Moorefield, G. R. Baker, M. J. Saunders, S. H. Grossman, Angew. Chem. 1991, 103, 1207-1209; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1178-1180; c) C. J. Hawker, K. L. Wooley, J. M. J. Fréchet, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1993, 1287-1297; d) J. F. G. A. Jansen, E. M. M. de Brabander-van den Berg, E. W. Meijer, Science 1994, 266, 1226-1229; e) J. F. G. A. Jansen, E. W. Meijer, Science 1994, 266, 1226-1229; e) J. F. G. A. Jansen, E. W. Meijer, E. M. M. de Brabander-van den Berg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4417-4418.
- [9] Examples of catalyses have been observed in cationic micelles (F. M. Menger, L. G. Whitesell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 707-708) and in polymerized micelles (A. Kumar, G. Oehme, J. P. Roque, M. Schwarze, R. Selke, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 2272-2274; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2197-2199).
- [10] C. J. Hawker, J. M. J. Fréchet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7638-7647.
- [11] The formation of this polycationic dendrimer is exactly analogous to that of the Fréchet dendrimers, except that the nucleophile in this case is an ArCH<sub>2</sub>NEt<sub>2</sub> rather than a phenoxide group. For this reason, the two systems should be compatible in the construction of mixed-monomer dendritic architectures.
- [12] The nomenclature employed for describing the wedges and dendrimers: a number (0, 1, 2, or 3) denoting the generation followed by the letter G for generation, and thereafter by the letter W or D for a wedge or a dendrimer, respectively.
- [13] Although 1 is commercially available, it is convenient and economical to make on a 100-g scale. Esterification of 1,3,5-tribenzenecarboxylic acid (trimesic acid) with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> followed by reduction of the triester affords 1,3,5-tris(hydroxymethyl)benzene in quantitative yield.
- [14] The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a mixture of 0GD  $\cdot$  3PF<sub>6</sub> (10 mg) and NaOMe (5 mg) in CD<sub>3</sub>SOCD<sub>3</sub> (0.7 mL) was essentially unchanged after heating at 100 °C for 72 h.
- [15] K. L. Wooley, C. J. Hawker, J. M. J. Fréchet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4252-4261.
- [16] S. A. Kuzdzal, C. A. Monnig, G. R. Newkome, C. N. Moorefield, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2255-2261.