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#### Abstract

The major collision-induced dissociations of $\mathrm{Ph}^{\overline{\mathrm{C}}} \mathrm{Et}_{2}$ involve the losses of $\mathrm{H}^{*}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. Loss of $\mathrm{H}^{-}$ occurs from the phenyl ring, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is eliminated principally by the process $\mathrm{PhC}^{-} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{Et})=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}{ }^{-}+$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, while methane is lost by the stepwise process $\mathrm{Ph}^{-} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \longrightarrow\left\{\mathrm{Me}^{-}\left[\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\} \longrightarrow\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{-} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{Et})=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, in which the second step (deprotonation) is rate-determining. The characteristic fragmentation of both $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$is loss of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. This loss occurs without atom randomisation and Dewar benzene intermediates are proposed. The ion $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$also loses $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$; this is a slow process which is preceded or accompanied by both intra- and inter-ring hydrogen scrambling.


The benzyl/tropylium cation story is one of the most researched in gas-phase ion chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ The tropylium cation is the more stable of the two ions and its formation from the benzyl cation is accompanied or preceded by hydrogen (H) and carbon (C) randomisation. Randomisation reactions also occur for simpler aromatic systems, e.g. the benzene ${ }^{2}$ and pyridine ${ }^{3}$ radical cations, where H and C scrambling occur by different mechanisms, ${ }^{2,3}$ with H scrambling being the faster reaction. ${ }^{4}$
The structure and reactivity of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}^{-}$species are also of interest. There are (at least) three discrete $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{-}$ions; $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}{ }^{-}$, cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}^{-}$(from deprotonation of cycloheptatriene), and a third isomer formed by deprotonation of bicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene (norbornadiene). ${ }^{5-7}$ The three isomers may be distinguished by their exchange reactions with $\mathrm{MeOD}\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ and cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{-}$incorporate up to two and seven deuteriums respectively), ${ }^{5}$ and by their infra-red photochemistry. ${ }^{6}$ Cyclo$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}^{-}$rearranges to $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}^{-}$when $\mathrm{HO}^{-}$is allowed to react with cycloheptatriene, and it is suggested that the rearrangement proceeds through the intermediacy of a $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{-}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ complex. ${ }^{7}$
Aryl H scrambling reactions are not as well known (or understood) for negative ions as they are for positive ions. The first observation was for ions $(\mathrm{Ph})_{n} \mathrm{X}^{-\cdot}(n=3, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{As}$ and $n=$ $4, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Si}) ;{ }^{8}$ more recently for benzoyl enolate anions ${ }^{9}$ and ions $\operatorname{PhO} \bar{C} H R\left(R=\right.$ alkyl, allyl, or aryl). ${ }^{10}$ However there are also cases where a loss involving an aryl hydrogen is specific, e.g. from the molecular anions of fluoroacetanilides ${ }^{11}$ and benzil monoxime, ${ }^{12}$ and from arylthiophenoxide anions. ${ }^{13}$ Finally, the methylene hydrogens of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}{ }^{-}$retain their positional identity during ion molecule reactions, as evidenced by the reaction shown in equation (1). ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CD}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{MeONO} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CD}=\mathrm{NO}^{-}+\mathrm{MeOD} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The collision-induced mass spectrum of a polyatomic negative ion is often a structural fingerprint of that ion. ${ }^{15}$ Unfortunately, the benzyl anion only eliminates $\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and these losses are not particularly diagnostic. In this paper we describe the collision-induced dissociations of the substituted benzyl systems $\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Et})_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \overline{\mathrm{C}}$. We address two questions:
(i) what are the characteristic fragmentations of alkyl- and aryl-substituted benzyl negative ions, and
(ii) does hydrogen or carbon scrambling occur prior to or during these fragmentations?

## Results and Discussion

Collisional-induced (activation) mass spectra (c.a. mass spectra) were measured using a VG ZAB 2HF mass spectrometer operating in the chemical ionisation mode. Both mass-analysed ion kinetic energy spectra and linked scan ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{B}$ ) techniques were used as appropriate. Deprotonation of the hydrocarbons was effected using either $\mathrm{HO}^{-}$or $\mathrm{DO}^{-}$reagent ions. Collisional activation was achieved using He in the second collision cell. Full experimental details are recorded in the Experimental section. The c.a. mass spectra are either shown in Figures 1-3 or listed in Tables 1 and 2. Compounds used for this study are numbered (1)-(15).


Stabilised enolate negative ions fragment through the intermediacy of ion (orbiting) complexes [equation (2)], from which the incipient anion $\mathrm{X}^{-}$may either be displaced, or effect deprotonation, nucleophilic displacement, or elimination. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{We}$ will show that the majority of fragmentations of $\mathrm{Ph}_{\overline{\mathrm{C}}} \mathrm{Et}_{2}$ may also be explained in terms of ion complexes. The c.a. mass spectrum of this ion together with those of its labelled derivatives are listed in Table 1.
The symmetrical ion $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Et}_{2}$ was chosen for study in order that appropriate fragmentations of the side-chain can be investigated using intramolecular isotope effects. Fragmentations are complex and are summarised in Scheme 1. The major fragmentation involves loss of a phenyl hydrogen atom as shown in equation (3). Whether this $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ is lost randomly from the ring, or whether it originates from a specific position following $\mathbf{H}$ randomisation, is not known. Whatever the mechanism, there is a pronounced deuterium kinetic isotope effect $[H / D>4, c f$. spectra (1) and (6) (Table 1)] operating for the process. Apart from this process and the minor formation of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$[equation (4)], all other fragmentations may be rationalised as proceeding through ion complexes. The c.a. spectra of (3) and (4) (Table 1) show that loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ occurs through (a) by two specific 1,2-elimination reactions [equations (5) and (6)]. Of the two processes, that shown in equation (5) is by far the major contributor. Similar eliminations have been described before; they are considered to be stepwise processes. ${ }^{16}$ Other processes may proceed through methyl anion complex (b), which may decompose to $\mathrm{Me}^{-}$[equation (7)], cause deprotonation of the aromatic ring [equation (8)], or

Table 1. C.a. mass spectra of $\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Et})_{2}$ and labelled analogues

| Loss | $\stackrel{(1)}{(1)}_{\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{C}}}{ }^{a}$ | $\stackrel{(2)}{\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Et})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{Me}\right)}$ | $\stackrel{(3)}{\mathrm{Ph} \tilde{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Et})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)}$ | $\stackrel{(4)}{\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Et})\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)}$ | $\stackrel{(5)}{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{CEt}_{2}}$ | $\left(\stackrel{(6)}{\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{D}}{3}^{)}\right) \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Et}_{2}}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| D ${ }^{+}$ |  |  | $b$ | $20^{\text {c }}$ | $100^{c}$ | $42^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{HD} \end{aligned}$ | 26 | 25 | $b$ | $\begin{gathered} 20^{c} \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $100^{c}$ | $42^{\text {c }}$ |
| ${ }_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}^{{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}$ | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.0 \\ & 28.9 \end{aligned}$ | 28.0 | 51 | 3 | 45 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 24.9 |  | 18 | 18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | 2 | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{\cdot} \\ & { }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{5} \end{aligned}$ | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | 6.1 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2.7 | 3.5 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \\ & { }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{8} \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 3 |  | 2.5 | 3 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Formation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}^{-} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}- \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 2 | 1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$ |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The peak widths at half height are as follows [loss (volts $\pm 0.2$ ) $m / z$ ]: $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}(38.8) 146, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (not resolved) $145, \mathrm{CH}_{4}(61.0) 131, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{\circ}$ (not resolved) 118 , $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (76.2) 103, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}(52.5) 77$, and $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ (22.4) $15 .{ }^{b}$ Small not resolved. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{D}^{\cdot}=\mathrm{H}_{2}=2$ a.m.u.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}$ |
| (1) | Ph | H | Et | Et | 12 |
| (2) | Ph | H | Et | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{Me}$ | 12 |
| (3) | Ph | H | Et | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CD}_{3}$ | 12 |
| (4) | Ph | H | Et | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 12 |
| (5) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | H | Et | Et | 12 |
| (6) |  | H | Et | Et | 12 |
| (7) | Ph | H | H | Ph | 12 |
| (8) | Ph | H | H | Ph | 13 |
| (9) | Ph | D | D | Ph | 12 |
| (10) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | H | H | Ph | 12 |
| (11) |  | H | H | Ph | 12 |
| (12) | Ph | H | Ph | Ph | 12 |
| (13) | Ph | H | Ph | Ph | 13 |
| (14) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ | H | Ph | Ph | 12 |
| (15) |  | H |  |  | 12 |

effect the six-centre elimination reaction shown in equation (10).*


$$
\left[\begin{array}{rl}
*
\end{array} \begin{array}{rl}
H / D & =112 \pm 001 \\
{ }^{12} C /{ }^{13} C & =1.00 \pm 0.01 \\
+\quad H / D & =3.75 \pm 0.2
\end{array}\right]
$$

(16)

The loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ [equation (8)] is a major fragmentation of $\mathrm{Ph}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Et}_{2}$; the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope effects derived from the spectra of ions from the labelled compounds (2)-(6) $\dagger$ for this process are listed alongside formula (16). The deprotonation step is clearly rate-determining, but the first step [the formation of $(b)$ ] shows no ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ isotope effect. Interestingly, the spectrum (Table 1) of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \overline{\mathrm{C} E t} t_{2}$ shows loss of both $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in the abundance ratio $18: 3$. Thus the pronounced deuterium isotope effect operating for the second step in equation (8) allows the operation of a second (and minor) loss of methane [see equation (9)], not observed in the other spectra.

The c.a. mass spectra of the diphenylmethane anion and of its labelled derivatives are listed in Table 2; these are spectra produced by electric sector (E) scans. The c.a. linked scan (E, B)

[^0](4) $\quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}+\left(E \mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{C}:\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{\cdot} \overline{\mathrm{CE}} \mathrm{t}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{*}$

(6)

(a)



(b)



Scheme 1.

Table 2. C.a. mass spectra of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{-}$and labelled derivatives

|  |  | $\underbrace{\text { Loss }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Formation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. | Ion | ${ }^{+}$ | D* | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | HD | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{\text { }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}{ }^{\text {- }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}{ }^{-}$ |
| (7) | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{-}$ | 100 |  | 84 |  | 2 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| (8) | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}^{-}$ | 100 |  | 80 |  | 1.5 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| (9) | $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CD}^{-}$ | 100 | $75^{a}$ | $75^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| (10) | $\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CH}^{-}$ | 100 | $36^{a}$ | $36^{a}$ | 12 | $1.01^{\text {b }}$ | $0.70{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $0.16{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | $0.15{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |
| (11) | $\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}^{-}$ | 100 | $17^{a}$ | $17^{a}$ | 13 | $1.13{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.94{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $0.20{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.18{ }^{e}$ |  | 0.05 |  | 0.05 |

${ }^{a}$ Both $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\cdot}=2$ a.m.u. ${ }^{b-e}$ An average of 10 individual scans.
spectra of the trityl anion and labelled derivatives are shown in Figures 1-3. In these cases the c.a. spectra showed unresolved peaks, and linked scan spectra were required to achieve the appropriate resolution. Certain fragmentations are common to both systems. The major fragmentations of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}$ are losses of $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$, loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is preceded or accompanied by hydrogen scrambling within each phenyl ring, and the process has an isotope effect H/D of close to 3 (see Table 2 and Figures 1-3). We propose that fluorenyl anions [equation (11), $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or Ph ] are product ions of these reactions. The cleavage reactions shown in equations (12) and
(13) are minor processes; in the case of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$process (13) cooccurs with inter-ring H transfer (see later).
The most characteristic fragmentation of the two systems is loss of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. This reaction is specific; there is neither evidence of the benzylic carbon inserting into phenyl rings nor of intra- or inter-ring hydrogen scrambling. Both $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-}$ eliminate $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$; Figure 2 shows specific losses of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}$, Figure 3, loss of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$. Isotope effects $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ of 1.45 and 1.32 (correction has been made for statistical factors where appropriate) are noted for the losses of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ from $\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CH}^{-}$(Table 2) and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}^{-}$(Figure 2)


Figure 1. C.a. mass spectrum of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$[major peaks in the c.a. mass spectrum of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-}$are: $m / z$ (loss) $\% 242\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) 100,192$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) 11,168\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} 3,167\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{\circ}\right)$, and $\left.166\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) 22\right]$

(12) $\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \dot{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{Ph}{ }^{\text {- }}$

respectively. We propose that this fragmentation occurs through Dewar benzene intermediates (c) ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or Ph ) and that overall sequence occurs as shown in equation (14) (Scheme 2). We have reported earlier ${ }^{17}$ the specific loss of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ from $\mathrm{PhS}^{-}$, but at that time offered no mechanism for the reaction because of the multitude of complex decompositions noted for this system. It is probable that this decomposition of $\mathrm{PhS}^{-}$is directly analogous to that shown in equation (14).

(d)

Other fragment ions observed for $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$are $m / z 167,166$, 165, 115, and 77 (Figure 1) formed respectively by losses of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{*}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6},\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, and $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10}$. The spectrum of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-}$(see legend to Figure 1) shows that no fragmentation involves insertion of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ into a phenyl ring to


Figure 2. C.a. mass spectrum of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}^{-}$


Figure 3. C.a. mass spectrum of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$
effect carbon scrambling. All of these fragmentations are interrelated: consider first the loss of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ (to form $m / z 165$ ) and the formation of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 77)$. The complex nature of these fragmentations can be seen in Figures 2 and 3. We propose that the loss of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ forms the fluorenyl anion (d), and a possible simplified mechanism is shown in equation (15). If $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}\right) \overline{\mathrm{C}}$ loses $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{D}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{HD}_{5}$, peaks at $m / z 170,169$, and 165 would be observed in Figure 2. However, peaks are observed at $m / z 170,169,168,166$, and 165 corresponding to losses of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{HD}_{5}$. In addition, Figure 2 shows the formation of ions $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{HD}_{4}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}{ }^{-}$. There is therefore a process in which a single hydrogen (deuterium) is being transferred from one ring to another. We suggest this occurs as shown in Scheme 3.

Ion (e) may transfer an ortho $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{D}^{+}$to form $(f)$ and $(g)$; these ions transfer $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{D}^{+}$across rings to form ( $h$ ) and (i), each of which may form ( $j$ ). Assuming statistical elimin-


Scheme 3.
ation, a 1:2 ratio of $(e)$ to $(j)$ gives the following ratios: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{D}^{-}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{HD}_{4}{ }^{-}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5}{ }^{-}=100: 50: 50: 50$, and $m / z$ 165:166:168:169:170 $=15: 20: 20: 100: 26$. Examination of Figure 2 shows a rough correlation with these figures, but that there is an appreciable deuterium isotope effect operatiing (e.g. see the abundances of $m / z 166$ and 170). A similar treatment for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$(Figure 3) will give only $m / z 170$ and 171 if no intra-ring $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ scrambling occurs. The presence of $m / z 169\left(-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{HD}_{5}\right)$ shows that the loss of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ from $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$ involves both intra-ring H scrambling as well as specific H transfer between rings.

The loss of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$from $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$yields $m / z 166$ (Figure 1), but the data in Figures 2 and 3 do not indicate whether this reaction occurs following H scrambling. However, the positions of ring hydrogens remain intact during loss of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, as evidenced by specific losses of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ shown in Figures 2 and 3 respectively.* This process must involve ortho H transfer as shown in equation (16). Finally, the formation of $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$


115, Figure 1) occurs by specific losses of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. The sequence of this consecutive process is not known; one possibility is shown in equation (17)
In conclusion, this study answers the questions posed in the introduction:
(i) the characteristic fragmentation of $\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Et}_{2}$ is $\beta$-cleavage to the anion site to form $\left\{\mathrm{Me}^{-}\left[\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]\right\}$ which then undergoes a number of subsequent reactions. Phenyl-

[^1]substituted benzyl anions eliminate $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$in addition loses $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$;
(ii) examples have been discovered where fragmentation of aromatic systems occur with the hydrogen skeleton of the ion remaining intact, e.g. losses of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ from $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$. In contrast, there are slow processes which involve both inter-ring H transfer and intra-ring H scrambling, e.g. loss of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ from $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{C}^{-}$.

## Experimental

C.a. mass spectra were recorded on a Vacuum Generators ZAB 2HF mass spectrometer operating in the negative chemical ionization mode. All slits were fully open to obtain maximum sensitivity and to minimise energy resolution effects. ${ }^{18}$ The chemical ionisation slit was used in the ion source; ionising energy 70 eV (tungsten filament), trap current $100 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, ion source temperature $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, accelerating voltage 8 kV . Liquids (1)-(6) were introduced through the septum inlet which was maintained at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solids (7)-(15) were introduced via the direct probe assembly, compounds (7)-(11) at 100 and compounds (12)-(15) at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ions from (1)-(8) and (10)(15) were generated by $\mathrm{H}^{+}$abstraction by $\mathrm{HO}^{-}\left(\right.$or $\mathrm{H}^{-}$or $\mathrm{O}^{-}$) or from (9) by D abstraction with $\mathrm{DO}^{-}$(or $\mathrm{D}^{-}$or $\mathrm{O}^{-\circ}$ ). Reactant negative ions were generated from either $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (injected through the septum inlet system) by 70 eV electrons. ${ }^{9,19}$ The indicated source gauge pressure (of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was typically $2 \times 10^{-4}$ Torr. The substrate pressure was typically $5 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. The estimated total pressure within the source is $10^{-1}$ Torr. The pressure of He in the second collision cell was $2 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr, measured by an ion gauge situated between the electric sector and the second collision cell. This produced a decrease in the main beam signal of $c a .10 \%$ and thus corresponds to essentially single-collision conditions. The same pressure was used in the first collision cell for linked scan experiments. C.a. mass spectra were measured with He in the second cell and by scanning the electric sector. C.a. linked scan mass spectra were measured with He in the first cell and by simultaneously scanning ( $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{B}$ ) the magnetic and electric sectors. Compounds (1), (7), and (12) were commercial products.

The Labelled Compounds.-All liquid hydrocarbon products were distilled in a small glass T-tube $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ and then further purified by preparative gas chromatography [ $20 \%$ F.F.A.P. on Chromasorb A ( $60-80 \mathrm{mesh}$ ) using a 6 mm diameter $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ glass column, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow rate $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, and
column temperature $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ]. Solids were crystallised as indicated. Label incorporation was determined by positive-ion spectrometry.

Compounds (2)-(4) were made by a standard procedure using the commercial ethyl iodides, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$, and $\mathrm{MeCD}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ respectively ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=65.1 \%$, deuterium incorporation $>99.5 \%$ ). The unlabelled compound illustrates the method. The Grignard reaction ${ }^{20}$ between propiophenone and ethylmagnesium iodide produced 3-phenylpentan-3-ol, which was dehydrated with potassium hydrogen sulphate followed by hydrogenation over $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}^{21}$ to yield 3-phenylpentane in $80 \%$ overall yield.
Compounds (5) and (6) were made as for (2)-(4), except that the first step involves the Grignard reactions of pentan-3-one with $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ ]phenylmagnesium bromide and $\left[2,4,6-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ ]phenylmagnesium bromide ${ }^{22.23}$ respectively [ $\left(5 ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}>99.5 \%\right.$ ); (6; $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}=98 \%\right)$.

Compounds (8)-(11) were available from a previous study. ${ }^{22}$
$\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ Triphenylmethane (13). The Grignard reaction ${ }^{24}$ between methyl benzoate (carbonyl group labelled with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=61.5 \%$ ) and phenylmagnesium bromide gave $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ -triphenylmethan-1-ol [ $97 \%$ yield, m.p. $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from light petroleum (b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )] which was reduced with sodium borohydride in trifluoroacetic acid by a standard method ${ }^{25}$ to yield [ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ]triphenylmethane $\left[81 \%\right.$ yield, m.p. $93-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from light petroleum (b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=61.5 \%$ ].
( $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ Phenyl)diphenylmethane (14). (a) $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ Bromobenzene $(1.43 \mathrm{~g})$ in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added to a stirred mixture of magnesium ( 0.22 g ) in THF $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and the mixture was then stirred under nitrogen, and at reflux for 30 min . Benzophenone ( 1.66 g ) in THF $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added, the mixture heated under reflux for 2.5 h , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, aqueous sulphuric acid $\left(10 \% ; 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added, and the mixture extracted with diethyl ether $\left(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The ethereal extract was washed with aqueous sodium hydrogencarbonate (saturated; $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and water ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ). Removal of the solvent gave a colourless solid which was crystallised from light petroleum (b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give ( $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ phenyl)diphenylmethanol ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ ) as colourless crystals, m.p. $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(b) Reduction ${ }^{25}$ as in the case of (13) (above) gave ( $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ phenyl)diphenylmethane [75\% yield, m.p. 93-94 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from light petroleum (b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{2} \mathbf{H}_{5}>99.5 \%$ ].
$\operatorname{Tri}\left(\left[2,4,6-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]\right.$ phenyl)methane (15). A standard Grignard reaction ${ }^{26}$ between diethyl carbonate and $\left[2,4,6-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]$ phenylmagnesium bromide gave $\operatorname{tri}\left(\left[2,4,6-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]\right.$ phenyl $)$ methanol [yield $88 \%$, m.p. $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from light petroleum (b.p. $40-$ $\left.60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{9}=97 \%$ ] which was reduced ${ }^{25}$ to yield tri( $[2,4,6-$ ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ]phenyl)methane [yield $70 \%$, m.p. $92-94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from light petroleum (b.p. $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{9}=97 \%$ ].
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[^0]:    * The alternative $S_{\mathrm{N}}$ i reaction yielding $\mathrm{Ph} \overline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ seems a less likely possibility.
    $\dagger$ The H/D value from (6) is obtained by assuming statistical randomisation of H and D on the phenyl ring. In qualitative terms, the large $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ effect is apparent merely by comparing the relative losses of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ from $\mathrm{PhCEt}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \overline{\mathrm{CEt}}_{2}$ (see Table 1).

[^1]:    * This indicates that the intra-ring H scrambling which co-occurs with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ loss is concomitant with process $(i) \longrightarrow(j)$ (Scheme 3), not process $(e) \longrightarrow(g)$.

