• **ARTICLES** • February 2014 Vol.57 No.2: 282-288 **·** SPECIAL ISSUE **·** The Frontiers of Chemical Biology and Synthesis doi: 10.1007/s11426-013-5042-2

# **FeCl3·6H2O-catalyzed selective reduction of allylic halides to alkenes with concomitant oxidation of benzylic alcohols to aldehydes**

ZHANG HouCai<sup>1</sup>, LIU RuiTing<sup>1</sup> & ZHOU XiGeng<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis and Innovative Materials; Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, *Shanghai 200433, China* 2 *State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai 200032, China* 

Received September 15, 2013; accepted November 8, 2013; published online December 23, 2013

Iron-catalyzed direct reduction of allylic halides with benzylic alcohol was achieved, providing a new, simple, and efficient method for conducting highly regioselective hydrodehalogenation. This method not only features a readily available reductant, an inexpensive catalyst, simple manipulation, and good tolerance of functional groups including nitriles, nitro, esters, and methoxyl groups, it also has mild reaction conditions and shows complete regioselectivity in that only halides sited at the allylic position are reduced. Alternatively, this method can be applied in the selective transformation of benzylic alcohols to aromatic aldehydes without overoxidation to carboxylic acids.

**selective reduction, allylic halides, Fe-based catalysts, hydrodehalogenations, benzyl alcohols** 

## **1 Introduction**

The selective reduction of organic halides to hydrocarbons is an important reaction in organic synthesis [1] and environmental remediation [2]. Reduction of halide groups has also proven to be an important and efficient strategy for regulating the biological activity of natural and designed products for their final utility as potential pharmaceuticals [3]. Halides have also been applied as protecting and directing groups in organic synthesis [4], wherein a removal of halide functionalities is often needed after fulfilling their obligations [5]. Consequently, many methods have been developed for transformation of organic halides to the corresponding hydrocarbon compounds [6–8]. Metal-catalyzed reductive hydrodehalogenation of organic halides holds great promise because of many effective metals and numerous usable hydrogen sources that are available [7].

 $\overline{a}$ 

Although the application of Fe-based catalysts has yielded excellent results, these hydrodehalogenations are typically conducted in the presence of strong reductants such as LiAiH<sub>4</sub>, alkylmagnesium halides, lithium [9], or by using expensive biomimetic complexes that are difficult to obtain (e.g. hematin, coenzyme F430) as catalysts [10]. These obstacles limit the scope of their applications. For example, the use of  $LiAlH<sub>4</sub>$  restricts the functional group tolerance of these reactions. In addition, the carbonyl groups that are very common and ubiquitous in many organic molecules have also been found to be cleavable upon treatment with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> [11].

Few existing methods allow halide substituents with apparently similar reactivities to be distinguished in dehalogenation processes [12]. Therefore, the development of reductant systems that permit the selective manipulation of different halide groups in reductive dehalogenation is highly desirable. On the industrial scale in particular, the application of cheap, readily available and environmentally benign reductants and catalysts in combination with a catalytic

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (email: xgzhou@fudan.edu.cn)

<sup>©</sup> Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013 chem.scichina.com link.springer.com

cycle designed to form minimal waste and to operate with maximum simplicity is essential.

Several methods for catalytic hydrodehalogenation of organic halides, using alcohols as a hydrogen source, have been developed [13]. These systems can still be improved, however, because most of them require the use of an expensive catalyst, stoichiometric metal-containing reductant, or base. Allylic halides remain important and versatile molecules with many applications in synthetic organic chemistry and in industrial chemical processes [14]. Therefore, efficient methods and techniques for their hydrodehalogenations are desirable. During studies on FeCl<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed nucleophilic substitution reactions of allylic and propargylic alcohols [15], we found that allylic alcohols, allylic ethers, and allylic acetates could be selectively reduced by benzyl alcohol in the presence of  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$ . As a part of our continuing research on making use of benzyl alcohols as practical and versatile reductants, we were interested in the possibility of reducing allylic chlorides. We now report the catalytic reduction of allylic halides to alkenes with the concomitant oxidation of benzylic alcohol to aromatic aldehyde using  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  as catalyst, without any additives. This method shows good tolerance of functional groups and complete regioselectivity in that only halides sited at the allylic position are reduced.

## **2 Experimental**

#### **2.1 Materials and methods**

Aldehydes, ketones and alcohols were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Catelysts were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Unless otherwise noted, all manipulations were performed in air. NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR and  $^{13}$ C NMR using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as the solvent with TMS as the internal standard. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel. All iron salts were commercially produced and purity was A.R.

#### **2.2 Synthesis**

Allylic chlorides and allylic bromides were prepared according to the literature [16]. Allylic reduction of allylic chloride with benzyl alcohol was carried out according to the typical procedure: To a mixture of 1,3-diphenylallyl chloride (114 mg, 0.5 mmol) and benzyl alcohol (0.2 mL, 2.0 mmol) in 1 mL toluene was added 5 mol%  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$ (7 mg, 0.025 mmol) and then the reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the mixture was quenched with saturated  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$ solution and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer was dried over Na2SO4. After filtration and removal of the solvent by vacuum, the crude product was purified with flash chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (100/1 to 50/1) as the eluent.

#### **2.3 Characterizations**

**2a:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.21–7.40 (m, 10H), 6.50 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.37–6.43 (m, 1H), 3.58 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 2H).

**2b:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.22–7.24 (d,  $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.06–7.13 (m, 6H), 6.40 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.23–6.31 (m, 1H), 3.47 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H).

**2c:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.28 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 6.83–6.85 (m, 4H), 6.37 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 6.15–6.22 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 3.45 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 2H).

**2d:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.21 (m, 7H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.15–6.21 (m, 1H), 3.54 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H).

**2e:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.26–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.24–6.32 (m, 1H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H).

**2f:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.13–7.35 (m, 9H), 6.31–6.44 (m, 2H), 3.52 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1.1H), 3.46 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 0.9H).

**2g:** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 7.08–7.35 (m, 9H), 6.23–6.43 (m, 2H), 3.52 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 0.7H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1.3H), 2.31–2.32 (m, 3H).

**2h:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.03–7.33 (m, 9H), 6.57 (d, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 0.5H), 6.10–6.26 (m, 1.5H), 3.48 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1.2H), 3.43 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 0.8H), 2.24 (s, 1.7H), 2.24 (s, 1.3H).

**2i:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.14–7.36 (m, 7H), 6.82–6.86 (m, 2H), 6.19–6.44 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.52 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 0.5H), 3.48 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1.5H).

**2j:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.11–7.27 (m, 8H), 6.22–6.42 (m, 2H), 3.49 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (s, 1.8H), 2.32 (s, 1.2H).

**2k:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.37 (m, 7H), 6.30–6.48 (m, 2H), 3.60 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 0.8H),  $3.57$  (d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, 1.2H).

2**l:** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 8.12–8.18 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.47 (m, 7H), 6.47–6.61 (m, 1.6H), 6.27–6.34 (m, 0.4H),  $3.64$  (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 0.6H),  $3.59$  (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 1.4H).

**2m:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.03–8.19 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.64 (m, 7H), 6.30–6.56 (m, 2H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H).

**2n:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.14 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.45 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.44–6.57 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.62 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 0.9H), 3.53 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1.1H).

**2o:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.13–8.17 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.16 (m, 4H), 6.44–6.59 (m, 2H), 3.63 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 0.6H), 3.55 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1.4H), 2.33 (s, 3H).

**2p:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.55–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.42 (m, 7H), 6.25–6.53 (m, 2H), 3.57–3.61 (m, 2H).

**2q:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.95–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.40 (m, 7H), 6.30–6.48 (m, 2H), 4.35 (q, *J* = 6.8, 2H),  $3.57-3.60$  (m, 2H),  $1.38$  (t,  $J = 6.8$ , 3H).

**2r:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.09–7.35 (m, 9H), 6.29–6.48 (m, 2H), 3.51–3.55 (m, 2H), 2.57 (m, 2H), 1.59  $(m, 2H), 1.27-1.32$   $(m, 4H), 0.88$   $(m, 3H).$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.9, 140.4, 137.6, 137.4, 135.5, 131.0, 130.9, 129.6, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 127.1, 126.2, 126.1, 38.8, 35.4, 31.5, 29.8, 22.4, 14.1.

**5a:** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 7.44–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.68–7.88 (m, 2H), 10.01 (s, 1H).

**5b:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 2.42 (s, 3H), 7.31–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.75–7.77 (m, 2H), 9.95 (s, 1H).

**5c:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ* 7.49-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.80–7.82 (m, 2H), 9.97 (s, 1H).

**5d:** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.71 (d, 1H), 7.56–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.46 (m, 4H), 6.70–6.76 (m, 1H).

**6a:** <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 4.58 (s, 2H), 5.01 (d, 1H), 6.31–6.36 (m, 1H), 6.62 (d, 1H), 7.22–7.43 (m, 15H).

#### **3 Results and discussion**

## **3.1 Hydrodechlorination of allylic chlorides using benzyl alcohol**

In our initial experiments, the reaction of allylic chloride **1a** with benzyl alcohol was chosen as a model reaction and carried out in the presence of various Lewis acids under different reaction conditions, in order to develop the optimum reaction conditions (Table 1). Only a trace amount of hydrodechlorination product **2a** was obtained when a mixture of **1a** and PhCH<sub>2</sub>OH (4 equiv.) was treated using 5 mol% FeCl<sub>3</sub> $\cdot$ 6H<sub>2</sub>O in toluene at room temperature (Table 1, entry 1). Elevating the reaction temperature was favorable to the reaction (entries 2 and 3); when the reaction was performed in toluene at 80 °C, **2a** was afforded in the highest yield (Table 1, entry 3). Inferior results were observed when the reaction was performed with strong coordinating solvents such as THF and nitromethane (entries 5–6). Significantly, in the absence of a solvent the reaction could also give **2a** at 85% yield (Table 1, entry 7). Isopropanol did not work as a reducing agent (Table 1, entry 8). The stoichiometric ratio of **1a** and benzyl alcohol did not observably affect the yield (Table 1, entries 3 *vs*. 4). To further improve the yields in this transformation, the effect of metal sources was systematically examined. It was discovered that use of  $Fe (acac)_3$  as a replacement was ineffective (Table 1, entry 12). Moreover, treatment of a mixture of **1a** and PhCH<sub>2</sub>OH with  $InCl<sub>3</sub>, BiCl<sub>3</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, CuCl<sub>2</sub>, or PdCl<sub>2</sub> in toluene gave  $2a$$ only at low yield (Table 1, entries 13–17). Other metals showed no activity for the reduction (Table 1, entries 18 and 19). Further investigation results indicated that no desired

product could be formed in the absence of a catalyst (Table 1, entry 20). Replacement of  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  with anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> led to a slight increase of the yield (Table 1, entry 21). Taking into account the price of anhydrous  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$ , the reactions were carried out only with  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  thereafter.

These optimized conditions were then applied to the reduction of other substrates. As shown in Table 2, various allylic chlorides were efficiently reduced by benzyl alcohol. The change of substituent from the *para*- to the *ortho*- position on the phenyl ring led to a slight decrease of the yield, probably due to the steric effect (Table 2, entries 2 and 4). To our delight, various electron-rich, electron-neutral, and electron-deficient substituents were well tolerated. The presence of strong electron-withdrawing groups such as trifluoromethyl and nitro on the benzene rings slightly decreased the yields of alkenes (Table 2, entries 10–16). Significantly, when aryl-substituted allylic chlorides **1e** and **1f**, which have a chloride substituent at the *para*-position in the benzene ring, were used as substrates, only the chloride at the allylic position was reduced, even with an elevated reaction temperature and a higher catalyst loading (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). For the allylic chlorides bearing different substituents at the 1- and 3- positions, two reduction isomers involving double-bond isomerization were observed, and the isomer distributions were controlled by the nature of the substituent on the phenyl ring (Table 2, entries 6–18). It was noted that nitro, ethoxycarbonyl, acetyl, and cyano substituents on the phenyl ring, which are incompatible in other Fe-based catalytic systems using  $LiAiH<sub>4</sub>$  and alkylmagnesium halides as reductants [17], were efficient in this transformation (entries 12–17).

### **3.2 Hydrodebromination of allylic bromides using benzyl alcohol**

It was found that a variety of allylic bromides could also be selectively reduced by BnOH in the present catalytic system, to give the corresponding alkenes in moderate to excellent yields (Table 3). We carried out a series of experiments to illustrate the chemoselectivity of the present reductive system. Mixtures of benzylic halides and allylic halides were prepared and treated with  $PhCH<sub>2</sub>OH-FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$ . In all cases, no reduction products arising from benzylic halides were observed, while allylic halides were smoothly reduced.

#### **3.3 Oxidization of allylic alcohols using 1a**

The selective oxidation of benzylic alcohols to aromatic aldehydes is one of the most significant and widely used methods in organic syntheses at the industrial level [18]. Oxidation methods based on non-[O]-containing oxidants remain little explored [19], although they are of potential academic and industrial significance, particularly for the oxidation of substrates with oxygen sensitive functionalities. Considering that  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  and allylic chlorides are  $C1$ 

**Table 1** Screening conditions for reduction of **1a** to **2a** a)

	Ph <sup>-</sup>	CI catalyst, reductant Ph solvent, temp. 1a	Ph - Phi 2a		
Entry	Catalyst	Reductant	Solvent	$T({}^{\circ}C)$	Yield $(b)$ $(\%)$
$\mathbf{1}$	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	r.t.	trace
$\sqrt{2}$	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	55	50
3	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	88
4 <sup>c</sup>	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	89
5	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	<b>THF</b>	80	25
6	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	80	40
7	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>		80	85
8	FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	$P_{I}$ OH	Toluene	80	$\boldsymbol{0}$
9	$FeCl3·6H2Od$	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	80
10	FeCl <sub>3</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>e)</sup>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	60
11	$FeCl3·6H2Of)$	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	86
12	$Fe (acac)_3$	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	$\boldsymbol{0}$
13	InCl <sub>3</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	15
14	BiCl <sub>3</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	21
15	ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	34
16	CuCl <sub>2</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	30
17	PdCl <sub>2</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	45
18	NiCl <sub>2</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	trace
19	YCl <sub>3</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	$\mathbf{0}$
20	-	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	$\boldsymbol{0}$
21	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	<b>BnOH</b>	Toluene	80	90

a) Reaction conditions: allylic chloride (0.1 mmol), benzyl alcohol (0.4 mmol), FeCl3·6H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mol%) in toluene for 1 h; b) yield determined by GC-MS; c) 0.8 mmol BuOH; d) 20 mol% FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O; e) reaction time: 0.5 h; f) reaction time: 2 h.

**Table 2** Reduction of allylic chlorides with benzyl alcohol catalyzed by  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>a</sup>$ 

СI 5 mol% FeCl <sub>3</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O $R^2 + R^{17}$ $R^2$ R <sup>1</sup>								
	R <sup>1</sup>	$R^2$ toluene, 80 °C 4.0 eq. BnOH	2	3				
Entry	$\mathbb{R}^1$	$R^2$	Time (h)	Product (yield, %) <sup>b)</sup>	2/3			
	$C_6H_5$	$C_6H_5$		2a(88)				
$\overline{c}$	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$		2b(85)				
3	$p$ -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$p$ -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		2c(80)				
4	$o$ -Me $C_6H_4$	$o$ -Me $C_6H_4$		2d(75)				
5	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		2e(70)				
6	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$		$2f/3f(75)$ <sup>c)</sup>	55/45			
7	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$	$C_6H_5$		$2g/3g(82)$ <sup>c)</sup>	35/65			
8	$o$ -Me $C_6H_4$	$C_6H_5$		$2h/3h(78)$ <sup>c)</sup>	40/60			
9	$p$ -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$		$2i/3i$ (78) <sup>c)</sup>	25/75			
10	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$		$2j/3j$ (75) <sup>c)</sup>	52/48			
11	$p$ -CF <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$	2	$2k/3k$ (60) <sup>c)</sup>	65/35			
12	$p$ -O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$	4	<b>2I/3I</b> (70) <sup>c)</sup>	70/30			
13	$m$ -O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$	4	$2m/3m$ (60) <sup>c)</sup>	55/45			
14	$p$ -O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$p$ -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4	$2n/3n(68)$ <sup>c)</sup>	55/45			
15	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$	$p$ -NO <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4	$2o/3o(67)$ <sup>c)</sup>	30/70			
16	$p$ -NCC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$	4	$2p/3p(61)$ <sup>c)</sup>	65/35			
17	$p$ -EtOCOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$	4	$2q/3q(73)$ <sup>c)</sup>	55/45			
18	$p$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$	2	$2r/3r(71)$ <sup>c)</sup>	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$			

a) Reaction conditions: allylic chloride (0.5 mmol), benzyl alcohol (2.0 mmol), FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mol%) in toluene (1 mL) at 80 °C; b) isolated yield; c) obtained as a mixture of double bond transposed isomers; d) ratios of isomers based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

	Br 5 mol% $FeCl3·6H2O$ $R^2 + R^1$ $\sim_{\mathsf{R}^2}$ toluene, 80 °C D <sup>2</sup>						
		4.0 eq. BnOH		3			
Entry	R <sup>1</sup>	$R^2$	Time (h)	Product(yield, $\%$ ) <sup>b)</sup>	$2/3$ <sup>d)</sup>		
	$C_6H_5$	$C_6H_5$		2a(89)			
2	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$	$p$ -Me $C_6H_4$		2b(90)			
3	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>		2e(81)			
4	$p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	$C_6H_5$		$2f/3f(83)$ <sup>c)</sup>	51/49		

**Table 3** Reduction of allylic bromides with benzyl alcohol catalyzed by  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>a</sup>$ 

8 *p***-NCC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> <b>C**<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> **4 2p/3p** (62)<sup>c)</sup> 75/25 a) Reaction conditions: allylic bromide (0.5 mmol), benzyl alcohol (2.0 mmol), FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mol%) in toluene (1 mL) at 80 °C; b) yield determined by GC-MS; c) obtained as a mixture of double-bond-transposed isomers; d) ratios of isomers based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

5 *p***-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> <b>1 2g/3g** (88)<sup>c)</sup> 31/69 6  $C_6H_5$  *p***-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>** 1 **2g/3g**(85)<sup>c)</sup> 39/61 7 *p***-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> <b>26**/74 **2**i/3i (91)<sup>c</sup> 26/74

readily available and inexpensive, and that the reduced products (alkenes) are important starting materials in organic synthesis and that no base or other additive is required in the above reaction process, we expanded the utility of this reaction in the selective oxidation of other alcohols to the corresponding aldehydes. As illustrated in Scheme 1, a series of benzylic alcohols  $RC_6H_4CH_2OH$   $(R = H(4a)$ , Me  $(4b)$ , Cl  $(4c)$ , PhCH=CH<sub>2</sub>  $(4d)$ ) were investigated by oxidation with  $1a$  in the presence of 5 mol% of FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O. In general, the electronic effect of substituents on benzene rings has significant impact on the yield. For example, the reaction of **1a** with benzylic alcohols with an electron-donating methyl (**4b**) gave excellent results (Scheme 1), whereas benzylic alcohols bearing electron-withdrawing groups such as chloro gave the corresponding product at 85% yield. 3- Phenylprop-2-en-1-ol could also be oxidized by **1a** under these conditions, giving the corresponding 3-phenylacrylal- dehyde **5d** at 75% isolated yield.

#### **3.4 Proposed mechanism for the Fe-catalyzed hydrodehalogenation of allylic halides using benzyl alcohol**

To elucidate the mechanism of the reduction of allylic halides, the following reactions were performed. First, we examined the reaction of allylic chloride (**1a**) with benzyl alcohol in the presence of 5 mol% of  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  in toluene at room temperature. As shown in Scheme 2, when the reaction was carried out at 20 °C for 6 h, the allylic benzyl ether **6a** was isolated at 35% yield. The disproportionation of **6a** took place successfully in only the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub>. 6H2O and toluene, providing **2a** and **5a** in high yields. Furthermore, GC-MS analysis and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data indicated that the reduction product **2a**, which resulted from the reaction of **1a** with deuterated PhCH<sub>2</sub>OD in the presence of anhydrous FeCl3, does not contain deuterium. These results suggest that the hydrogen at the benzylic position rather than the hydroxyl hydrogen of benzyl alcohol is transferred to allylic halides.

In addition, treatment of  $5q$  and  $5q'$  with PhCH<sub>2</sub>OH under the same conditions gave the reduction products with similar ratios of isomers (Scheme 3). These results are clearly in agreement with the involvement of free allylic cations in this process.

On the basis of the above results, a plausible reaction mechanism for the  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$ -catalyzed reduction of allylic halides with benzyl alcohol is outlined in Scheme 4. First, the nucleophilic substitution of allylic halide with benzyl alcohol leads to the formation of an allylic benzyl ether as a reactive intermediate (**6**). Subsequently, the disproportionation of allylic benzyl ether through  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$ -mediated selective

$$
R = Ph (5a, 90%)
$$
\n
$$
R = Ph (5a, 90%)
$$
\n
$$
P = Ph (5a, 90%)
$$



**Scheme 2** Elucidating the mechanism of the reduction of allylic halides.



**Scheme 3** Selectivity for the formation of two reduction isomers.



**Scheme 4** A plausible mechanism for the FeCl<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed reduction of allylic halides with benzyl alcohol.

cleavage of the C–O bond and the subsequent hydrogen transfer reaction gives the desired reduction products and benzaldehyde, as previously observed [15e].

#### **4 Conclusions**

In summary, the direct reduction of allylic halides to alkenes using benzyl alcohol as a reductant has been established. The reduction system is selective for the reduction of only halides sited at the allylic position. The advantages of reductive dehalogenation are the ability to utilize commercially available and cheap reductants and catalysts, ease of handling, good tolerance of functional groups, and mild reaction conditions without the requirement of any additives. Moreover, the oxidation product (benzyl aldehyde) is easily isolated as a common bulk chemical, making this transformation an attractive addition to the portfolio of reductions. Alternatively, the reaction also provides an economical, efficient, and functional group-friendly method for the selective oxidation of benzylic alcohols without overoxidation to carboxylic acids.

- 1 (a) Pinder AR. The hydrogenolysis of organic halides. *Synthesis-stuttgart*, 1980, (6): 425–452; (b) Hudlicky M. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. In Trost BM, Fleming I. Eds. Pergamon: Oxford, 1991, 8: 895; (c) Parry RJ, Li Y, Gomez EE. Biosynthesis of the antitumor antibiotic sparsomycin. *J Am Chem Soc*, 1992, 114(15): 5946–5959; (d) Dorman G, Otszenski JD, Prestwich GD. Synthesis of highly tritiated 4-benzoyl-L-phenylalanine, a photoactivatable amino acid. *J Org Chem*, 1995, 60(7): 2292–2297; (e) Trestiege I, Maleczka Jr RE. A new approach for the generation and reaction of organotin hydrides: The development of reactions catalytic in tin. *J Org Chem*, 1999, 64 (2): 342–343; (f) Davies CJE, Page MJ, Ellul CE, Mahon MF, Whittlesey MK. Ni(I) and Ni(II) ring-expanded N-heterocyclic carbene complexes: C–H activation, indole elimination and catalytic hydrodehalogenation. *Chem Commun*, 2010, (46): 5151–5153
- 2 (a) Hitchman ML, Spackman RA, Ross NC, Agra C. Disposal methods for chlorinated aromatic waste. *Chem Soc Rev*, 1995, (24): 423–430; (b) Hites RA. Environmental behavior of chlorinated dioxins and furans. *Acc Chem Res*, 1990, 23(6): 194–201
- 3 (a) Arai H, Ashizawa T, Gomi K, Kono M, Saito H, Kasai M. Synthesis and antitumor-activity of various 6-demethylmitomycins and 6-demethyl-6-halomitomycins. *J Med Chem*, 1995, 38(16): 3025– 3033; (b) Anizon F, Moreau P, Sancelme M, Voldoire A, Prudhomme M, Ollier M, Severe D, Riou JF, Bailly C, Fabbro D, Meyer T, Aubertin AM. Syntheses, biochemical and biological evaluation of staurosporine analogues from the microbial metabolite rebeccamycin. *Bioorg Med Chem,* 1998, 6(9): 1597–1603; (c) Marminon C, Facompre M, Bailly C, Hickman J, Pierre A, Pfeiffer B, Renard P, Prudhomme P. Dimers from dechlorinated rebeccamycin: Synthesis, interaction with DNA, and antiproliferative activities. *Eur J Med Chem,* 2002, 37(5): 435–440
- 4 (a) Liégault B, Petrov I, Gorelsky SI, Fagnou K. Modulating reactivity and diverting selectivity in palladium-catalyzed heteroaromatic direct arylation through the use of a chloride activating/blocking group. *J Org Chem*, 2010, 75(4): 1047–1060; (b) Masuda N, Tanba S,

*We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21132002 & 21272038), the National Basic Research Programm of China (973 program, 2009CB825300), and the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (B108) for financial support.* 

Mori A. Stepwise construction of head-to-tail-type oligothiophenes via iterative palladium-catalyzed CH arylation and halogen exchange. *Org Lett*, 2009, 11(11): 2297–2300; (c) Zhao D, Wang W, Yang F, Lan J, Yang L, Gao G, You J. Copper-catalyzed direct C arylation of heterocycles with aryl bromides: Discovery of fluorescent core frameworks. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2009, 48 (27): 3296–3300

- 5 (a) Frimmel J, Zdrazil M. Hydrogenlysis of organochloorinated pollutants-parallel hydrodesulfurization of methylrthiophene and hydrodechlorination of dichlorobenzene over carbon-supported nickel, molybdenum and nickel-molybdenum sulfide catalysis. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol*, 1995, 63(1): 17–24; (b) He J, Ritalahti KM, Yang KL, Koenigsberg SS, Löffler FE. Detoxification of vinyl chloride to ethene coupled to growth of an anaerobic bacterium. *Nature*, 2003, 424(6944): 62–65
- 6 (a) Logan ME, Oinen ME. Dechlorination of aryl chlorides with sodium formate using a homogeneous palladium catalyst. *Organometallics*, 2006, 25(4): 1052–1054; (b) Urbano FJ, Marinas JM, Hydrogenolysis of organohalogen compounds over palladium supported catalysts. *J Mol Catal A*, 2001, 173(1-2): 329–345; (c) Selva M, Tundo P, Perosa A. Hydrodehalogenation of halogenated aryl ketones under multiphase conditions. 5. Chemoselectivity toward aryl alcohols over a Pt/C catalyst. *J Org Chem*, 1998, 63(10): 3266–3271; (d) Viciu MS, Grasa GA, Nolan SP. Catalytic dehalogenation of aryl halides mediated by a palladium/imidazolium salt system. *Organometallics*, 2001, 20(16): 3607–3612; (e) Zawisza AM, Muzart J. Pdcatalyzed reduction of aryl halides using dimethylformamide as the hydride source. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2007, 48(38): 6738–6742; (f) Moon J, Lee S. Palladium catalyzed-dehalogenation of aryl chlorides and bromides using phosphite ligands. *J Organomet Chem*, 2009, 694(3): 473–477
- 7 Alonso F, Beletskaya IP, Yus M. Metal-mediated reductive hydrodehalogenation of organic halides. *Chem Rev*, 2002, 102(11): 4009–4092
- 8 (a) Hara T, Kaneta T, Mori K, Mitsudome T, Mizugaki T, Ebitanic K, Kaneda, K. Magnetically recoverable heterogeneous catalyst: Palladium nanocluster supported on hydroxyapatite-encapsulated gamma-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanocrystallites for highly efficient dehalogenation with molecular hydrogen. *Green Chem*, 2007, 9(11): 1246–1251; (b) Esteruelas, MA, Herrero J, Olivàn M. Dehalogenation of hexachlorocyclohexanes and simultaneous chlorination of triethylsilane catalyzed by rhodium and ruthenium complexes. *Organometallics*, 2004, 23(16): 3891–3897; (b) Peterson AA, McNeill K. Catalytic dehalogenation of  $sp^2$  C−F and C−Cl bonds in fluoro- and chloroalkenes. *Organometallics*, 2006, 25(21): 4938–4930; (c) Wang JL, Zhu ZY, Huang W, Deng ML, Zhou XG. Air-initiated hydrosilylation of unactivated alkynes and alkenes and dehalogenation of halohydrocarbons by tris(trimethylsilyl)silane under solvent-free conditions. *J Organomet Chem*, 2008, 693(12): 2188–2192
- 9 (a) Fakhfakh MA, Franck X, Hocquemiller R, Figadère B. Iron catalyzed hydrodebromination of 2-aryl-1,1-dibromo-1-alkenes. *J Organomet Chem*, 2001, 624(1-2): 131–135; (b) Czaplik WM, Grupe S, Mayer M, von Wangelin AJ. Practical iron-catalyzed dehalogenation of aryl halides. *Chem. Commun*, 2010, (46): 6350–6352; (c) Moglie Y, Alonso F, Vitale C, Yusb M, Radivoy G. Active-iron-promoted hydrodehalogenation of organic halides. *Appl Cat A*: *Gen,* 2006, 313(1): 94–100
- 10 (a) Baxter RM. Reductive dechlorination of certain chlorinated organic-compounds by reduced hematin compared with their behavior in the environment. *Chemosphere*, 1990, 21(4-5): 451–458; (b) Krone UE, Thauer RK, Hogenkamp HPC, Steinbach K. Reductive formation of carbon-monoxide from CCl<sub>4</sub> and freon-11, freon-12, and freon-13 catalyzed by corrinoids. *Biochemistry*, 1991, 30(10): 2713–2719; (c) Maldotti A, Amadelli R, Bartocci C, Carassiti V, Polo E, Varani G. Photochemistry of iron-porphyrin complexes. Biomimetics and catalysis. *Coord Chem Rev*, 1993, 125(1-2): 143–154; (d) Gantzer CJ, Wackett LP. Reductive dechlorination catalyzed by bacterial transition-metal coenzymes. *Environ Sci Technol*, 1991, 25(4): 715–722
- 11 Fu NY, Zhao XM, Yuan YF, Wang JT. Reductive deoxygenation of

carbonyl to methylene by LiAlH4/InBr3. *Chinese Chem Lett*, 2003, 14(10): 1018–1020

- 12 (a) Enholm EJ, Schulte II JP. Convenient catalytic free radical reductions of alkyl halides using an organotin reagent on non-cross-linked polystyrene support. *Org Lett*, 1999, 1(8): 1275–1277; (b) Cellier PP, Spindler JF, Taillefer M, Cristau HJ. Pd/C-catalyzed room-temperature hydrodehalogenation of aryl halides with hydrazine hydrochloride. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2003, 44(38): 7191–7195; (c) Pham PD, Legoupy S. Organotin reagents supported on ionic liquid: Highly efficient catalytic free radical reduction of alkyl halides. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2009, 50(27): 3780–3782; (d) Hales NJ, Heaney H, Hollinshead JH, Lai SMF, Singh P. The dechlorination of some highly chlorinated naphthalene derivatives. *Tetrahedron*, 1995, 51(28): 7777–7790; (e) Chelucci G, Baldino S, Pinna GA, Pinna G. Synthetic methods for the hydrodehalogenation of halogenated heterocycles. *Curr Org Chem*, 2012, 16(24): 2921–2945
- 13 Wiener H, Blum J, Sasson Y. Transfer hydrogenolysis of aryl halides and other hydrogen acceptors by formate salts in the presence of palladium/carbon catalyst. *J Org Chem*, 1991, 56(21): 6145–6148
- 14 (a) Aslam NA, Rajkumar V, Reddy C, Yasuda M, Baba A, Babu SA. Indium-mediated addition of gamma-substituted allylic halides to N-Aryl alpha-imino esters: Diastereoselective production of beta, beta' dsubstituted alpha-amino acid derivatives with two contiguous stereocenters. *Eur J Org Chem*, (23): 4395–4411; (b) Frye EC, O'Connor CJ, Twigg DG, Elbert B, Laraia L, Hulcoop DG, Venkitaraman AR, Spring DR. Palladium-catalysed cross-coupling of vinyldisiloxanes with benzylic and allylic halides and sulfonates. *Chem Eur J*, 2012, 18(28): 8774–8779
- 15 (a) Huang W, Wang JL, Shen QS, Zhou XG. An efficient Yb(OTf)3 catalyzed alkylation of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds using alcohols as substrates. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2007, 48(23): 3969–3973; (b) Huang W, Wang JL, Shen QS, Zhou XG. Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed propargylation and allenylation of 1,3-dicarbonyl derivatives with propargylic alcohols: One-pot synthesis of multi-substituted furocoumarin. *Tetrahedron*, 2007, 63(47): 11636–11643; (c) Huang W, Shen QS, Wang JL, Zhou XG. One-step synthesis of substituted dihydro- and tetrahydroisoquinolines by FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O catalyzed intramolecular Friedelrafts reaction of benzylamino-substituted propargylic alcohols. *J Org Chem*, 2008, 73(4): 1586–1589; (d) Huang W, Zheng PZ, Zhang ZX, Liu RT, Chen ZX, Zhou XG. Controllable one-step synthesis of spirocycles, polycycles, and di- and tetrahydronaphthalenes from arylubstituted propargylic alcohols. *J Org Chem*, 2008, 73(17): 6845– 848; (e) Wang JL, Huang W, Zhang ZX, Xiang X, Liu RT, Zhou XG. FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O catalyzed disproportionation of allylic alcohols and selective allylic reduction of allylic alcohols and their derivatives with benzyl alcohol. *J Org Chem*, 2009, 74(9): 3299–3304
- 16 (a) Fried J, Florey K, Sabo EF, Herz JE, Restivo AR, Borman A, Singer FM. The reaction of thionyl chloride with allylic alcohols. *J Am Chem Soc*, 1955, 77(15): 4182–4183; (b) Young WG, Caserio Jr FF, Brandon Jr DD. Allylic rearrangements. XLIX. The controlled conversion of α- and γ-methylallyl alcohols to chlorides with thionyl chloride. *J Am Chem Soc*, 1960, 82(23): 6163–6168; (c) Yadav VK, Babu KG. Acetyl chloride-ethanol brings about a remarkably efficient conversion of allyl acetates into allyl chlorides. *Tetrahedron*, 2003, 59(46): 9111–9116
- 17 Obafemi CA, Lee CC. Lithium aluminium hydride reduction of some triarylvinyl bromides and acetates catalyzed by some transition metal chlorides. *Can J Chem*, 1990, 68(11): 1998–2000
- 18 (a) Amer W, Abdelouahdi K, Ramananarivo HR, Zahouily M, Essassi E, Fihri A, Solhy A. Oxidation of benzylic alcohols into aldehydes under solvent-free microwave irradiation using new catalyst-support system. *Curr Org Chem*, 2013, 17(1): 72–78; (b) Gazi S, Ananthakrishnan R, Bromodimethylsulfonium bromide as a potential candidate for photocatalytic selective oxidation of benzylic alcohols using oxygen and visible light. *RSC Adv*, 2012, 2(20): 7781–7787
- 19 Lim M, Oh S, Rhee H. Pd/C catalyzed oxidation of secondary benzylic alcohols using chlorobenzene under an inert condition. *Bull Korean Chem Soc*, 2011, 32(8): 3179–3182