PHOTOOXYGENATION OF 3- AND 2-SILYLOXYBENZOFURANS: REARRANGEMENT OF DIOXETANES VIA α-SILYLPEROXY KETONES TO KETOESTER CLEAVAGE PRODUCTS

Waldemar Adam*, Elmar Kades and Xiaoheng Wang Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-8700 Würzburg, F.R.G.

Abstract: Photooxygenation of 2-methyl-3-silyloxybenzofurans 1 afforded isolable dioxetanes 2, the latter rearranged *via* α -silylperoxy ketones 3 to cleavage products 4; 2-silyloxy-3-methylbenzofuran 6 with ${}^{1}O_{2}$ gave the more stable dioxetane 7.

Photooxygenation of silv ketene acetals afforded dioxetanes, which rearranged on warming into α -silv peroxy esters. ¹) Now we found for the first time an analogous pathway in the reaction of the silv end ether **1a** with ¹O₂ (Eq. 1). The difference in this case is that the rearrangement product, namely the α -silv peroxy ketone



3a, was unstable and rearranged further into cleavage product 4a. Previously, such cleavage products were considered to arise exclusively directly from the corresponding dioxetanes 2 without trespassing α -silylperoxy ketones 3.

2-Methyl-3-silyloxybenzofurans 1 were prepared from 2-methylbenzofuran-3-one ²⁾ according to published methods ³⁾ and characterized ⁴⁾ by NMR, IR, MS and CH analysis. A solution of benzofuran 1a and catalytic amounts of TPP (tetraphenylporphin) in CCl₄ under an oxygen atmosphere was irradiated at -20 °C and the reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR. After about 1h, benzofuran 1a was converted completely into dioxetane 2a, which was confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, ⁵) IR, and peroxide test (KI/HOAc). At 35 °C during about 1h the dioxetane 2a rearranged to the known ester 4a. ⁶) When dioxetane 2a was allowed to stand at -20 °C, besides ketoester 4a the α -silylperoxy ketone 3a ⁷) was observed by its characteristic ¹³C NMR signal at δ 197.5 (C=O). At this temperature, after 20-30 d the dioxetane 2a was completely converted into silylperoxy ketone 3a and ketoester 4a (3a : 4a = 65 : 35). When the reaction mixture was warmed up from -20 to 35 °C, all silylperoxy ketone 3a was converted into ketoester 4a in a period of 4h. These results imply that dioxetane 2a rearranged *via* the intermediary silylperoxy ketone 3a into the ketoester 4a. During

this process no prototropic ene reaction product 5a was detected.

The photooxygenation of benzofuran 1b gave under the same conditions as employed for benzofuran 1a the dioxetane 2b, which was characterized ⁵⁾ by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR, and the peroxide test. Similarly, no prototropic ene reaction product 5b was detected. The dioxetane 2b rearranged at ambient temperature into the ketoester 4b, which was characterized ⁹⁾ by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR and MS. The difference in the rearrangement of dioxetanes 2b *versus* 2a is that no α -silylperoxy ketone 3b was observed during NMR monitoring. If the reaction course of dioxetane 2b to ketoester 4b is the same as that of 2a to 4a, presumably the intermediary silylperoxy ketone 3b appears to be too unstable for NMR detection.

Furthermore, the regioisomeric silyloxybenzofuran, namely 2-dimethyl-t-butylsilyloxy-3-methylbenzofuran (6), was prepared from 3-methylbenzofuran-2-one ¹⁰) according to published methods ³) and characterized by NMR ¹¹) IR, MS and CH analysis. The photooxygenation of benzofuran 6 gave under the same conditions as used for the regioisomeric benzofurans 1 the dioxetane 7 (Eq. 2) within 30 min, which was



confirmed by NMR, ¹²⁾ IR and the peroxide test (KI/HOAc). No ene product was detected; moreover, dioxetane 7 was more stable than its regioisomer 2. At about 20 °C dioxetane 7 was converted slowly within about 9 d to the ketoester 8, the usual dioxetane cleavage product. In this process no intermediary α -silylperoxy lactone analogous to the α -silylperoxy ketone 3a was detected.

It was previously reported ¹³) that the photooxygenation of 2- or 3-methylbenzofurans did not lead to ene products. Similarly, neither did we observe that the photooxygenation of the silyloxy derivatives **1a**,**b** and **6** afforded any prototropic ene products. The significant difference in the cases of 2- or 3-methylbenzofurans is that ketoester products were formed, postulated to arise *via* ring opening of the corresponding dioxetanes, ^{13a}) while the silyloxy derivatives **1a**,**b** and **6** gave isolable dioxetanes **2a**,**b** and **7** as primary products. Furthermore, the study of deuterium incorporation in the photooxygenation of 3-methylbenzofuran indicated that the observed ketoester could not derive from ene reaction followed by Hock cleavage of the resulting hydroperoxide. ^{13a}) However, the process **2a** \rightarrow **3a** \rightarrow **4a** (Eq. 1) conclusively establishes that the isolable dioxetane **2a** rearranged *via* silyl migration into the α -silylperoxy ketone **3a**, the latter undergoing subsequent Hock-Criegee cleavage ¹⁴) into the ketoester **4a**. Such Hock-Criegee cleavage of silyl peroxides into dicarbonyl products was recently documented ¹⁵) in the photooxygenation of the O-silylated cyclic enediols.

The present case of the photooxygenation of 3-silyloxybenzofuran 1 (Eq. 1) and the previously reported photooxygenation of the silyl ketene acetals ¹) and ene diols ¹⁵) clearly demonstrate that the resulting silyloxy-substituted dioxetanes rearrange very readily into the corresponding α -silylperoxy carbonyl products. Such transformations are rare for alkoxy-substituted dioxetanes; in fact, only recently ¹⁶) was an

example documented.

At least two reasons may be offered to rationalize the greater propensity of silyloxylated versus alkoxylated dioxetanes to rearrange into α -peroxy carbonyl compounds. On one hand, an α -silyloxy group stabilizes a carbocationic center substantially better than an α -alkoxy group, so that opening of the dioxetane ring by C-O bond heterolysis leading to a 1,4-dipole is facilitated over the more usual O-O bond homolysis affording cleavage products (Eq. 3, step a). On the other hand, silyl groups like the proton migrate more readily to



anionic sites than the corresponding alkyl groups (Eq. 3, step b), in view of the fact that silicon accommodates more effectively positive charge compared to carbon.

The unusual feature in the present case is the fact that the resulting α -silylperoxy carbonyl compounds subsequently fragment into the dioxetane cleavage product *via* a Hock-Criegee rearrangement (Eq. 1). Fortunately, monitoring the progress of the photooxygenation by low temperature ¹H NMR uncovered the intermediary dioxetanes and their α -silylperoxy carbonyl rearrangement products, which otherwise would have remained unnoticed. We suspect that the pathway $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$ (Eq. 1) occurs in the photooxygenation of enol type substrates more generally than presently recognized. Apparently, any substituent on the enol oxygen that is capable of stabilizing cationic centers and shows propensity to migrate to anionic sites should qualify. The prototype would be an enol itself, for which ${}^{1}O_{2}$ addition would produce hydroxy-substituted dioxetanes and subsequent rearrangement would lead to α -hydroperoxy carbonyl products *via* transposition of a proton instead of a silyl group in step b of Eq. 1.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB Nr. 172 "Molekulare Mechanismen kanzerogener Primärveränderungen"), the Sander-Stiftung and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for their generous financial support.

References and Notes:

- a) Adam, W.; del Fierro, J.; Quiroz, F.; Yany, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2127.
 b) Adam, W.; Wang, X.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., submitted.
- 2. Powers, C. J.; Mertes, M. P. J. Med. Chem. 1970, 13, 1102.
- a) House, H. O.; Czuba, L. J.; Gall, M.; Olmstead, H. D. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2324.
 b) Clark, R. D.; Heathcock, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1396.
- 4. 1a: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.28 (s, 9H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 7.12-7.44 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.3 (q), 11.0 (q), 110.9 (d), 117.8 (d), 121.9 (d), 123.2 (d),

125.1 (s), 133.2(s), 140.8 (s), 152.0 (s); MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 220 (67) [M⁺], 205 (17) [M⁺ - CH₃], 177 (13), 151 (14), 121 (16), 73 (100).

1b: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 0.20$ (s, 6H), 1.10 (s, 9H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 7.12-7.48 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = -4.3$ (q), 11.1 (q), 18.1 (s), 25.7 (q), 111.0 (d), 117.8 (d), 122.0 (d), 123.2 (d), 125.1 (s), 133.5 (s), 140.6 (s), 152.1 (s);

MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 262 (100) [M⁺], 247 (4) [M⁺ - CH₃], 205 (96), 177 (58), 73 (51).

- 5. $2a: {}^{1}H$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.36$ (s, 9H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 7.0-7.38 (m, 4H); {}^{1}C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.7$ (q), 17.1 (q), 111.0 (s), 111.9 (d), 117.7 (s),122.6 (d), 123.1 (d), 126.5 (s), 132.1 (d), 160.4 (s).
- 6. 4a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.37 (s, 9H), 2.31 (s. 3H), 7.06 (d, 1H), 7.28 (d, 1H), 7.49 (dd, 1H), 8.01 (d, 1H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -0.4 (q), 20.9 (q), 123.5 (d), 125.7 (d), 132.2 (d), 133.6 (d), 138.4 (s), 150.8 (s), 164.3 (s), 169.2 (s).
- 7. 3a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C): δ = 0.16 (s, 9H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 7.0-7.7 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C): δ = -1.5 (q), 18.3 (q), 108.7 (s), 112.7 (d), 118.9 (s), 122.0 (d), 124.7 (d), 138.9 (d), 170.0 (s), 197.5 (s).
- 2b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.35 (s, 3H), 0.47 (s, 3H), 1.04 (s, 9H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 6.9-7.4 (m, 4H);
 ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -3.2 (q), -2.6 (q), 17.0 (q), 17.7 (s), 25.2 (q), 111.0 (s), 111.8 (d), 117.6 (s), 122.5 (d), 122.9 (d), 126.1 (s), 132.0 (d), 160.1 (s).
- 9. 4b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.40 (s, 6H), 1.05 (s, 9H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 7.0-8.1 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -4.8 (q), 17.8 (s), 21.0 (q), 25.6 (q), 123.7 (d), 124.5 (s), 125.7 (d), 132.0 (d), 133.6 (d), 151.2 (s), 163.9 (s), 169.4 (s).
- 10. Picollo, O.; Fillipini, L.; Tinucci, L.; Valoti, E.; Litterio, A. J. Chem. Research, 1985, 258.
- 11. 6: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.29$ (s, 6H), 1.01 (s, 9H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 7.06-7.29 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -4.4$ (q), 6.5 (q), 18.0 (s), 25.5 (q), 87.9 (s), 109.8 (d), 117.5 (d), 121.2 (d), 122.2 (d), 131.4 (s), 148.1 (s), 155.3 (s); MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 262 (38) [M⁺], 177 (8), 148 (47), 120 (32), 91 (31), 73 (100).
- 12. 7: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.20 (s, 3H), 0.31 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 9H), 1.83 (s, 3H), 6.70-7.40 (m, 4H);

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -3.6 (2 × q), 16.3 (q), 17.7 (s), 25.4 (q), 94.5 (s), 110.6 (s), 111.1(d), 122.3 (d), 123.9 (d), 130.7 (s), 131.7 (d), 159.3 (s).

13. a) Berdahl, D. R.; Wasserman, H. H. Israel J. Chem. 1983, 23, 409.

b) Basselier, J. J.; Cherton, J. C.; Caille, J. C.R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C. 1976, 273, 514.

- 14. Frimer, A. A. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 359.
- 15. Adam, W.; Wang, X.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31; in press
- 16. Balci, M.; Taskesenhigil, Y.; Harmandar, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3339.

(Received in Germany 29 January 1990)