# Synthesis, anti-GABA activity and preferred conformation of bicuculline and norbicuculline enantiomers 

J Kardos ${ }^{1}$, T Blandl ${ }^{1}$, ND Luyen ${ }^{1}$, G Dörnyei ${ }^{1}$, E Gács-Baitz ${ }^{1}$, M Simonyi ${ }^{1}$, DJ Cash ${ }^{2}$, G Blaskó ${ }^{3}$, Cs Szántay ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Central Research Institute for Chemistry, The Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1525 Budapest, PO Box 17, Hungary;<br>${ }^{2}$ Biochemistry Department, School of Medicine, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, 65201 USA;<br>${ }^{3}$ EGIS Pharmaceuticals, 1475 Budapest, PO Box 100, Hungary

(Received 19 October 1995; accepted 18 March 1996)


#### Abstract

Summary - Synthesis of erythro- $( \pm)-[1 S R, 9 R S]$-norbicuculline and threo- $( \pm)-[1 S R, 9 S R]$-noradlumidine from piperonal was performed using Bischler-Napieralski cyclization as a key step. Resolution gave rise to $(+)$ )-[1S,9R]-norbicuculline ( $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC) and $(-)-[1 R, 9 S]$-norbicuculline ( $[1 R, 9 S]$ norBIC) in $>99.5 \%$ enantiomeric purity. Bicuculline enantiomers were readily obtained by methylation of the latter products. $[1 S, 9 R] \mathrm{BIC}$ was about 70 times more potent than $[1 R, 9 S] \mathrm{BIC}$ as an inhbitor of GABA ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor binding and was about 100 and 900 times more potent than $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC at pH 7.1 and 5.0 respectively. Similarly, $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC was much less potent than $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC as an inlibitor of GABA-specific ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion flux. The observed increase of about two orders of magnitude in the in vitro biological activity caused by $N 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substitution in $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC was attributed to different conformations for erythro- and nor-erythro-bicucullines indicated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclear Overhauser enhancements of [ $1 S, 9 R$ ] BIC and [1S,9R] norBIC. bicuculline enantiomer / norbicuculline enantiomer / Bischler-Napieralski cyclization / $1 \mathbf{H}$ nuclear Overhauser enhancement / $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{GABA}$ binding $/{ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion flux


Since the announcement by Curtis et al [1] that the phthalideisoquinoline (PIQ) alkaloid, $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC possessed potent inhibitory activity against the depressant action of GABA in the central nervous system, it has been of interest to study the effect of its antipode, $[1 R, 9 S]$ BIC. The effect of $[1 R, 9 S]$ BIC, however, has not been unequivocally reported because of the scarcity of this natural product and the confusion caused by the reversal of the sign of optical rotation for quaternary bicuculline derivatives [2]. Based on the available structural data and in vitro biological activity measurements of 39 PIQ derivatives, a good correlation was found [3] between activities and preferred conformations of erythro and threo PIQs and analogs.

We report herein a synthetic route for bicuculline and norbicuculline enantiomers. The effect of N methyl substitution on $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor activity and preferred conformations were evaluated by $\left[{ }^{[3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ GABA binding, GABA specific ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion flux and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclear Overhauser enhancement ( nOe ) measurements respectively.

## Chemistry

[ $1 S, 9 R$ ] BIC 11a, first encountered as a constituent of Dicentra cucullaria [4] and subsequently found in other species of genera Rhoeadales [5, 6], was first totally synthesized by Groenewoud and Robinson [7] as early as 1936. Reissert synthesis of [ $1 S R, 9 R S$ ] norBIC has been cited [8] as an unpublished result of Kerekes [8], however, total synthesis of [1SR, $9 R S$ ] norBIC 9 has not been described up to now.

As demethylation of PIQ alkaloids results in decomposition of the molecule, a linear approach to [ $1 S R, 9 R S$ ] BIC via [ $1 S R, 9 R S$ ] norBIC had to be elaborated to meet our demand to produce $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC and $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC as well as $[1 R, 9 S]$ BIC and $[1 R, 9 S]$ norBIC. For this purpose the Bischler-Napieralski route, applied to the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-[1SR,9RS]-$\alpha$-narcotine [9-11], seemed to be appropriate. The two building blocks needed for Bischler-Napieralski cyclization, ie, carboxylic acid 4 (scheme 1; stereostructures are represented according to [12]) and homopiperonylamine 6 [13], were both prepared from pipe-



Scheme 1.
ronal 1. According to Ziegler and Fowler [14], 1 gave a Schiff base 2 with cyclohexylamine. This was treated with butyllithium at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{THF} /$ hexane solution to produce carboxylic acid 3 after hydrolysis. Phthalide-3-carboxylic acid 4 was obtained from 3 in two steps; addition of HCN was followed by aqueous sulfuric acid hydrolysis [9]. Compound 4 was then converted to acyl chloride 5 with thionyl chloride, which, without isolation, was reacted with homopiperonylamine 6 obtained from piperonal 1 in two steps via nitrostyrol [13], to supply amide 7. $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ cyclization of 7 led to $\Delta^{1,9}$-norBIC 8 containing a double bond between the two rings ("enamine form') even in the protonated salt form (no C9-H
signal could be observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of 8 in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution). Sodium borohydride reduction of $\mathbf{8}$ resulted in a diastereomeric mixture of erythro-[1SR, $9 R S]$ norBIC 9 and its threo epimer ( $\pm$ )-[1SR,9SR]noradlumidine ( $[1 S R, 9 S R]$ norADLD, 10) in a ratio of about $5: 1$. The relative stereochemistry of the chiral atoms Cl and C 9 in the two compounds was deduced from the characteristic chemical shifts of the C 2 '- H protons ( 5.90 and 6.93 ppm for the major and minor epimers respectively) and by comparing the different nOe values of protons $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{H}$ (table I) with other erythro and threo PIQ alkaloids [15].

After separating the two diastereomeric racemates on the column, the major erythro base 9 was resolved in acetone with ( - )- and ( + )-O,O-dibenzoyltartaric acid and the enantiomeric purity was checked on a chiral HPLC column (Chiralcel OD) showing a baseline separation of $9 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$. After repeated recrystallization of the two diastereomeric salt-pairs, $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC 9a and $[1 R, 9 S]$ norBIC $9 \mathbf{b}$ were obtained in more than $99.5 \%$ optical purity. Eschweiler-Clark or methyl iodide methylation of the optically pure [ $1 S, 9 R$ ] norBIC and $[1 R, 9 S]$ norBIC gave $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC and $[1 R, 9 S]$ BIC $11 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ respectively, the

Table I. Nuclear Overhauser enhancement data (\%) in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

| Proton irradiated | Proton observed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C} 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}$ | C8-H | C9-H | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| $10^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H8 | - | 4.4 | - | 15.4 | - |
| H9 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 15.3 | - | - |
| H1 | 5.6 | - | 5.5 | 7.4 | - |
| $12^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H8 | - | 6.8 | - | 3.5 | - |
| H9 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 4.4 | - | 4.4 |
| H1 | 2.0 | - | 9.8 | 8.2 | $6.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $9 \mathrm{a}^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H8 | 1.9 | 3.4 | - | 15.0 | - |
| H9 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 17.8 | - | - |
| H1 | 1.0 | - | 5.4 | 6.8 | - |
| $11 a^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H8 | - | 7.6 | - | 10.7 | - |
| H9 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 12.0 | - | 0.8 |
| H1 | 3.6 | - | 10.3 | 8.9 | $6.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} J_{\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \delta_{\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{Hax}}=2.74 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} J_{\mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz} ;$ $\delta_{\text {C } 4 \text { Hax }}=2.75 \mathrm{ppm}$. c Share of $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ is higher in [1SR,9SR] ADLD than in $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC. ${ }^{d} J_{\text {C1-н.c9-H }}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \delta_{\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Hax}}=$ 2.43 ppm . ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}{J_{\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cy}-\mathrm{H}}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \delta_{\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{Hax}}=2.24 \mathrm{ppm}$; no nOe was observed between $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}_{\text {ax }}$ protons suggesting the following configuration: $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is equatorial ( $\alpha$ ); lone pair electrons at $N 2$ ( $N 2$-LPE) are axial ( $\beta$ ).
former being identical in every respect (mp, TLC, IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{MS}$ ) with the natural sample, thus providing a final proof of the erythro assignment for [1SR,9RS] norBIC (9).

The threo racemate ( $[1 S R, 9 S R]$ norADLD, 10) and its methylated product ( $[1 S R, 9 S R]$ ADLD, 12) were also obtained but not biologically evaluated.

## Biological data

Enantiomers of 9 and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were initially evaluated for inhibition of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{GABA}$ binding in membranes from the rat cerebral cortex. As shown in table II, GABA ${ }_{A}$ receptors expressed greater enantioselectivity towards 11a and 11b than 9a and 9b, a result that is consistent [3] with the higher potency of 11a. As racemic bicuculline and norbicuculline appeared to have identical activities and so were thought to possess similar conformations [3], we were quite surprised to find that $N 2-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow N 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substitution in 11a caused an approximately 100 -fold increase in inhibition of $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ receptor binding compared with 9 a .

The effect of $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substitution on [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-GABA binding was further evaluated with the protonated 9a and 11a analogs. By protonation at pH 5.0 [16], the affinity of 11a increased ( $K_{i}=$ $0.39 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{GABA}} / K_{i}=0.11$ ), while that of 9 a decreased ( $K_{i}=340 \mu \mathrm{M}, K_{\mathrm{GABA}} / K_{i}=0.0001$ ).

Substantial increase in the affinity caused by $N 2$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substitution of unprotonated ( $K_{9 a} / K_{13 a}=100$ ) and protonated ( $K_{9 a} / K_{11 \mathrm{a}}=870$ ) analogs and the differential effect of protonation on the affinities of 11a ( $K_{112} / \mathrm{K}_{112, \mathrm{H}+}=2.3$ ) and $9 \mathrm{a}\left(K_{99} / \mathrm{K}_{9 a, \mathrm{H}+}=0.27\right)$ suggested that the erythro conformations of bicuculline and norbicuculline were different. A comparison of the nOe values and the chemical shift data for 11a and 9a (table I) revealed that (i) nOe interactions of $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H}$ in $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC were twice as large as in $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC; (ii) smaller $\delta_{\text {C4Hax }}$ in $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC ( 2.24 ppm vs 2.43 ppm ) indicated that $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ is in the shielding
zone of the phthalide ring; (iii) the nOe effects of C 8 H and both $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H}$ were larger in $[1 S, 9 R]$ norBIC than in $[1 S, 9 R]$ BIC. These nOe interactions are indicated in figure 1. The nOe data are in agreement with a conformational change of [ $1 S, 9 R$ ] norBIC which results in an increased distance between the lone-pair electrons ( $N 2$-LPE) and the carbonyl group (fig 1).
The inhibitory effect of these bicuculline derivatives (9a, 9b, 11a and 11b) on bicuculline-sensitive GABA binding and GABA receptor function was compared (table II). Inhibition of the in vitro binding of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ GABA is parallel to the inhibition of GABAmediated influx of ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions into the membrane vesicles ( $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GABA, $6 \mathrm{~s}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) by the same concentrations $(46 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of the bicuculline derivatives. It is apparent that 9 a was a less potent antagonist of $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ function ( ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$flux) than 11a.

The $N 2-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substitution is apparently responsible for a substantial improvement of antiGABA activity of erythro PIQ derivatives. The unusually large effect was explained by the conformational change in ring B resulting in different $N 2$-LPEcarbonyl distances in nor-erythro- and erythro-bicucullines.
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Fig 1. Different conformations of the bicuculline (A) and norbicuculline ( $\mathbf{B}$ ) skeleton as indicated by the nOe interactions.

Table II. $[3 \mathrm{H}]$ GABA binding and GABA-specific ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion flux inhibition data in membranes from the rat cerebral cortex.

| Compound | Binding ${ }^{\text {a }}$ inhibition affinity |  |  | ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$transport ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ inhibition influx |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{i}(\mu M)$ | $K_{11 a} / K_{i}$ | $K_{G A B A} / K_{i}$ | dpm | \% |
| GABA | $0.018 \pm 0.001$ | 49.4 | 1.0 | $383 \pm 48$ | $0 \pm 7$ |
| 9 a | $91.5 \pm 9.2$ | 0.01 | 0.002 | $274 \pm 59$ | $28 \pm 8$ |
| 9b | $365 \pm 70$ | 0.002 | 0.0005 | $360 \pm 15$ | $6 \pm 4$ |
| 11a | $0.89 \pm 0.04$ | 1.0 | 0.02 | $5 \pm 20$ | $99 \pm 5$ |
| 11b | $64.0 \pm 6.4$ | 0.014 | 0.0003 | $282 \pm 37$ | $26 \pm 10$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reduced $\chi_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{2}$ values were the following: $0.34,2.81,1.52,7.35$ and 0.94 for GABA, 9a, 9b, 11a and 11b respectively; other displacement experiments gave similar results; bdata $\pm$ SEM were from six to ten determinations.

## Experimental protocols

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were taken on a UXR 400 spectrometer. Mass spectra were run on a MS 902 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were taken on a Nicolet 205 FT-I. HPLC was run on a PU 4000 with a Chiralcel OD column eluted with isopropanol. Analyses indicated by the symbols of the elements were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of theoretical values.

## Synthesis

## 2-Formyl-5,6-methylenedioxybenzoic acid 3

Compound 3 was prepared from piperonal 1 in three steps according to Ziegler and Fowler [14] in $63 \%$ yield, mp $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref [14]: mp 165-165.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

## 6,7-Methylenedioxyphthalide-3-carboxylic acid 14

To the stirred suspension of $\mathbf{3}(5 \mathrm{~g}, 25.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 15 mL ), cooled to $0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of $\mathrm{KCN}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 154 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 25 mL ) was added over 15 min and stirred for an additional 15 min . A mixture of conc $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{aq}(17.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 17.5 mL ) was added and stirred for 5 h while cooling. The precipitate was filtered off and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined precipitate and oil, obtained by evaporating the dried EtOAc solution, was refluxed for 2 h in a mixture of conc $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 15 mL ). After cooling, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic phase was dried and evaporated. $\mathrm{On} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ treatment the residue gave colourless crystals of $4(4.65 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%) \mathrm{mp} 190-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}) ;$ IR (KBr) $\gamma$-lactone, $1775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 1720$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.21$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}), 8.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOH}$ ).

## Amide 7

To a stirred solution of carboxylic acid $4(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene, ( 40 mL ) thionyl chloride ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise at room temperature, then the mixture was refluxed for 2 h . The mixturc containing acyl chloride 5 was evaporated in vacuo. The amine 6 [13] ( $2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in benzene ( 80 mL ) and $2.5 \% \mathrm{aq} \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution ( 18 mL ) was added with stirring. A solution of acyl chloride 5 in benzene ( 30 mL ) was added and stirred for 1 h . The precipitate formed was filtered off. The benzene solution was dried and evaporated. The residue and the precipitate were combined and recrystallized from EtOAc to yield amide $7(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%)$, mp 165$166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; anal $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{7}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O})$; mass spcctrum $m / z(\%)$ 369 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 126$ ), 339 (2), 177 (25), 148 (100); IR (KBr) NH, $3280 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, lactone $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, amid $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, benzyl- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}), 5.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C5-H), 7.12 (m, 1H, C3'-H), $7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $/ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ); 34.65 (benzyl-C), $40.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right.$ ), 78.47 $(\mathrm{OCH}), 100.50+103.25\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 106.33\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right), 107.85(\mathrm{C} 5)$, 108.65 (C2), 114.11 (C2'), 115.89 (C3'), 121.27 (C6), 131.77 (C1), 137.36 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ ), 144.57, 145.79, 147.27 and 149.41 ( $\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4$, C 4 and $\left.\mathrm{C}-5^{\circ}\right), 166.07(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

## $\Delta^{1,9-N o r b i c u c u l l i n e 8} 8$

Amide $7(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in freshly distilled $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$, was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under argon. The cooled mixture was poured onto ice ( 300 g ), cxtracted with diethyl ether, the water phase was then neutralized with conc $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, the yellow precipitate filtered, dissolved in EtOAc and acidified with conc HCl to pH 4 . The crystals were filtered to
give $\Delta^{1,9}$-norBIC. $\mathrm{HCl} 8(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) \mathrm{mp} 173-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (MeOH); anal $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl})$; mass spectrum $m / z$ (\%) 351 ( $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HCl}, 85$ ), 322 (100), 294 (11), 177 (91), $36(\mathrm{HCl})$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})=\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{H}_{2}, 3290 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, lactone $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 3.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.90$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.12+6.22\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{s}, 2-2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C8-H), $7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 7.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C2'-H).

## Norbicuculline 9 and noradlumidine 10

Cyclized product $8(5.4 \mathrm{~g} 13.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{AcOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, conled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the stirred mixture $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 42.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in small portions ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) then stirred for 1 h . Excess reducing agent was then decomposed with acetone ( 2 mL ). The mixture was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography on 250 g 63-200 mesh Kieselgel 60 (eluent: benzene/acetone, 1:1) gave $9(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%) \mathrm{mp} 184-$ $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $10(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%) \mathrm{mp} 203-204^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 9: Anal $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ (C, H, N, O); mass spectrum $m / z(\%) 353\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 335(2), 176$ (100), 149 (3); IR ( KBr ) $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, 1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1.86 (br s, 1H, NH), 2.43 (m, $J=15.5+8.05+5.4 \mathrm{HZ}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C4- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ ), $2.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=15.5+5.4+4.5 \mathrm{IIz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.73$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, J=11.6+5.4+5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right), 2.83(\mathrm{~m}, J=11.6+$ $\left.8.0+4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}\right), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}), 5.72$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=4+1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H}), 5.99+6.15(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{s}, 2-2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 5.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7+1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}^{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H})$, 6.74 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3 '-\mathrm{H})$, see table I for nOe data; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 29.72$ (C4), 40.65 (C3), $56.76(\mathrm{C} 1), 84.62(\mathrm{C} 9), 100.91+103.15\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 106.28$ (C8), 109.16 (C5), 109.88 (C6'), 113.21 (C2'), 115.29 (C3'), 124.93 (C4a), 130.80 (C8a), 139.78 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ ), 144.49 (C5'), 146.07 and 146.54 ( C 6 and C 7 ), 148.95 ( C 4 '), 167.48 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ). 10: Anal $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O})$; mass spectrum $m / z(\%) 353\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, \mathrm{I}\right)$, 335 (3), 176 (100), 149 (4); IR (KBr) $\mathrm{C}=0,1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 2.55-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.90-3.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H})$, $5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{H}), 5.88+6.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C2'-H), $7.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)$, see table I for nOe data; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 29.91(\mathrm{C} 4), 41.52(\mathrm{C} 3), 58.04(\mathrm{C} 1), 84.63$ $(\mathrm{C} 9), 100.82+103.35\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 106.21(\mathrm{C}), 109.11$ (C5), 109.78 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 113.65 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 114.62 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 126.26 (C4a), 130.32 (C8a), 140.42 ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{\prime}$ ), 144.85 ( C 5 '), 145.94 and 146.45 ( C 6 and C7), 149.23 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 167.24 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ).
$(+)-[1 S, 9 R]-$ and (-)- $11 R, 9 S]-$ Norbicuculline 9 a and $9 b$
To the solution of $9(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 12 mL ), a solution of (-)- $O, O$-dibenzoyltartaric acid (DBTA, 200 mg , 0.6 mmol ) in acetone ( 4 mL ) was added. After stirring for 30 min the mixture was left to stand at room temperature for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$. The tartrate salt was filtered, recrystallized from acetone, then dissolved in water ( 20 mL ) treated with conc $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ( pH 8 ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Having distilled off the solvent the residue was crystallized from MeOH to produce $9 \mathrm{a}\left(80 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%\right.$ ) $\mathrm{mp} 195-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : optical rotation ( $c=1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+256^{\circ}$; enantiomeric purity (HPLC) $>99-95 \%$. Mother liquor treated with ( + )-DBTA ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) similarly gave $9 \mathbf{~ b}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ): optical rotation ( $c=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-250^{\circ}$; enantiomeric purity (HPLC) $>99.5 \%$.

## $(+)-[1 S, 9 R]-$ and $(-)-[1 R, 9 S]-$ Bicuculline 11a and 11b

To 9 a or 9 b ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ each) dissolved in HCOOH $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}), 37 \% \mathrm{HCHO}$ was added $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, neutralized with conc $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$
and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, evaporated and purified on 20 g 63-200 mesh Kieselgel 60 column (eluent: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10: 1$ ) to yield 11a identical with an authentic sample ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 192-$ $193^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : optical rotation $\left(c=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+126^{\circ}($ ref $[6]$ : $[\alpha]_{D^{20}}=+132.7^{\circ}, c=0.49, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); and 11b ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): optical rotation $\left(c=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-124.8^{\circ}\left(\right.$ ref $[6]:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{33}=$ $\left.-128^{\circ}, c=0.27, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (+)-[1SR,9SR]-Adlumidine 12

Compound 10 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was methylated as above. Purification on 10 g 63-200 mesh Kieselgel 60 column (eluent: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 20: 1$ ) gave 12 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) mp $201-202^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ref [7]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR nOe data are summarized in table I.

Inhibition of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] G A B A$ binding and GABA-specific ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion flux
Cortical membranes in 50 mM Tris HC 1 pH 7.1 buffer were incubated in the dark for 40 min with $4 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ GABA at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence or absence of test compounds [3]. Stock solutions ( 2 mM ) of PIQ derivatives were freshly prepared in diluted HCl solution ( pH 3 ) and stored in the dark on ice until use. After incubating 9a and 11a with membranes for 40 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, relative changes in UV absorbances at $\lambda_{\max 2}=326 \mathrm{~nm}$ $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\lambda_{\text {max } 1}=292 \mathrm{~nm}\left(A_{1}\right), A_{2} / A_{1}$, indicated less than 5-6\% opening of the phthalide ring [17]. Non-specific binding was determined in the presence of $46 \mu \mathrm{M} 11 \mathrm{a}$. Goodness of fit for one-site ligand analysis [18] of the displacement experiment was expressed as the reduced $\chi_{r}^{2}$ value (table II.) Saturation data with $2-250 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{GABA}$ indicated $0.8 \mathrm{pmol} / \mathrm{mg}$ protein density of 11a-sensitive binding sites.

For GABA-specitic ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$transport, the fast kinetic technique [19-21] was applied. In the presence or absence of $46 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 9a, 9b, 11a or 11b, a cortical membrane vesicle suspension [21] ( 0.225 mL ) in 10 mM HEPES buffered physiological salt solution (HBSS, pH 7.5 ) was rapidly mixed with 0.225 mL of HBSS containing $15 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}{ }^{36} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion, $40 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{GABA}$ and incubated for $6[19,20]$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; under the conditions the $\mathrm{A}_{2} / \mathrm{A}_{1}$ ratio for 9 a and 11a did not change.
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