



# The formation and control of disinfection by-products using chlorine dioxide

Chen-Yu Chang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yung-Hsu Hsieh<sup>a</sup>, I-Chen Shih<sup>a</sup>, Shen-Sheng Hsu<sup>a</sup>,  
Kuo-Hua Wang<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering, National Chung-Hsing University, 250 Kuo-Kuang Road, Taichung, Taiwan, ROC

<sup>b</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering and Health, Yuanpei Technical College, 306 Yuanpei Street, Hsinchu, Taiwan, ROC

Received 13 October 1999; accepted 9 December 1999

## Abstract

In this study, chlorine dioxide ( $\text{ClO}_2$ ) was used as an alternative disinfectant with vanillic acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, and humic acid as the organic precursors in a natural aquatic environment. The primary disinfection by-products (DBPs) formed were trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs). Under neutral conditions ( $\text{pH} = 7$ ) for vanillic acid, more total haloacetic acids (THAAs) than total trihalomethanes (TTHMs) were found, with a substantial increase during the later stages of the reaction. In the case of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, the amount of THAAs produced was minimal. Raising the concentration of  $\text{ClO}_2$  was not favorable for the control of THAAs in low concentrations of vanillic acid.  $\text{ClO}_2$  could reduce the total amount of TTHMs and THAAs for higher concentration of vanillic acid. It was found that the humic acid treatment dosage was not significant. Under alkaline conditions ( $\text{pH} = 9$ ), the control of TTHMs and THAAs for the treatment of vanillic acid was better and more economical, however, an appreciable amount of inorganic by-products were observed. Under the same alkaline condition, the control of THAA for the treatment of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid was not beneficial and for the treatment of humic acid was not significant. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Disinfection by-products; Chlorine dioxide; Trihalomethanes; Halogenated acetic acids; Disinfectant

## 1. Introduction

Recently municipal drinking water has become highly contaminated by human activity, requiring increased chlorination, which leads to the formation of harmful chlorine by-products, such as trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs). Since the detection of trihalomethanes in the 1970s by the US Environmental Protection Agency in the New Orleans La., USA, waterworks, the use of chlorine in water disin-

fection has been proven leading to the formation of disinfection by-products (DBPs) (Bellar et al., 1974; Rook, 1974; Oliver, 1983; Koch and Krasner, 1989; Peters et al., 1990). These compounds are produced by chemical reactions between organic compounds and the applied chlorine. These DBPs are potentially carcinogenic and teratologic substances (National Cancer Institute, 1976; Bull and Kopfler, 1991; Craun et al., 1994). Their presence in drinking water has given rise to health concerns, which have translated into regulations in several countries. This problem triggered the search for an alternative disinfectant (AWWA, 1986; Myer, 1990; White, 1992) to prevent or reduce the possibility of DBPs formation in disinfected water (Krasner et al., 1989; Arora et al., 1990). Chlorine dioxide ( $\text{ClO}_2$ ) was

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +886-1-285-5367; fax: +886-2-287-4469.

E-mail address: cychang@enve.ev.edu.tw (C.-Y. Chang).

investigated, as one of the promising disinfectants as a substitute for chlorine (Lykins and Griese, 1986; Narkis, 1995) for the following reasons. It is a strong disinfectant that is effective over a wide pH range (White, 1992). ClO<sub>2</sub> can eliminate bad odors (White, 1992; Edwards and Amirtharajah, 1993) iron and manganese in untreated water (Aieta and Berg, 1986; White, 1992). It is very successful in killing bacteria and especially most efficient in deactivating viruses. A smaller dosage and less reaction time are required for ClO<sub>2</sub> to produce the same disinfection effects as chlorine. The ClO<sub>2</sub> manufacturing system is also easy to install, operate and maintain. Because of these characteristics, ClO<sub>2</sub> was investigated as one of the promising substitute disinfectants for chlorine. The National Research Council (NRC, 1980) reported on the use of ClO<sub>2</sub> as an alternative disinfectant.

In this investigation, ClO<sub>2</sub> was used to treat a simulated water system containing humic acid, vanillic acid and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, which have been detected in natural bodies of water (Yamada and Somiya, 1979) and were found as organic precursors in the chlorination disinfection process (Rice, 1980). Our purpose was to study the disinfection effects of ClO<sub>2</sub> in respect to the control of disinfection by-product formation for its potential use in municipal water supplies. This provides the theoretical base for using ClO<sub>2</sub> as a disinfectant in waterworks in Taiwan.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Procedure

In this DBP study, 5 ml of phosphate buffer solution, the proper amount of ClO<sub>2</sub>, and organic precursors (humic acid, vanillic acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid) under controlled parameters, were added to each BOD bottle reactor with de-ionized water and kept at 20°C in an incubator. This mixture was sampled at different time intervals over a total of 7 days. Three bottles were collected for analysis. The samples were immediately analyzed for ClO<sub>2</sub> residual concentration. A 10 mL portion from the 0.2 μm filtrate was collected to analyze the inorganic DBPs (Cl<sup>-</sup>, ClO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and ClO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) using ion chromatography (DIONEX, series 4500, column AS-12A, 4 mm (10–12), P/N 46034). In the remaining samples, 1 g of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was added to terminate the reaction. One portion of the sample was used to determine the amount of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and UV absorption analysis.

The THMs sample was prepared in a 40 ml brown glass bottle (with a Teflon ring and screw-on cap) with 100 mg of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl as the preservative. Water was then added to the above solution to fill the bottle. Samples were capped with Teflon-lined seals, returned to the

Table 1  
The parameters in DBPs experiment

Parameter		Unit
Organic acids	5, 10, 20	mg-DOC/L
pH	7 ± 0.5, 9 ± 0.5	unit
Temperature	20	°C
ClO <sub>2</sub>	15, 30	mg-ClO <sub>2</sub> /L
Reaction time	0–168	h
Analysis	DOC, ClO <sub>2</sub> , ClO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , ClO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> , THMs, UV <sub>254</sub>	

laboratory in a cooler and stored in a cold room until they were analyzed. The analytical methods followed the EPA 524.2. Samples were adjusted to pH 4.5 in the field and extracted with normal pentane, containing dibromomethane and 1,2-dibromopropane as the internal standards. The THMs were analyzed using a HP5890II plus gas chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detector (GC-ECD), a one-column injector and a J&W DB-5 capillary column. The HAAs water samples were prepared by adding 150 mL NH<sub>4</sub>Cl per 100 ml of the sample with the pH adjusted to below 0.5. Samples were extracted with methy-tert-butylether (MTBE) esterized using diazomethane and analyzed using GC-ECD based on EPA standard 552.2 (see Table 1).

### 2.2. Quality control

All samples were collected in duplicate with control samples included for all-target analytes. All DBPs methods incorporated surrogate internal standards and quantification was based on response factors established by multi-level calibration with fortified samples analyzed under identical conditions. For the THMs, raw water samples (matrix spikes; *n* = 11) were analyzed at a fortification level of 5 μg/L (chloroform = 25 μg/L). The overall recovery was 98.3 ± 2.7%. The HAAs method precision was estimated at ±20%. The mean recovery of HAAs was typically >92% as estimated from the recovery of the added MBBA internal standard. DBPs identified by GC-ECD were confirmed by GC-MS.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Effect of organic acid species

#### 3.1.1. Low dosage of ClO<sub>2</sub> for treating low DOC in neutral solution

By comparing the unit consumption of ClO<sub>2</sub> by DOC, TTHMs and THAAs in Table 2, the amount of decomposition products from the large molecular, humic acid, was much greater than that from vanillic acid and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid. A possible reason is that the

humic acids' various functional groups, such as hydroxyl group (R–OH), carbonyl (–CO), carboxyl (–COOH), phenolic and quinoid groups react easily with ClO<sub>2</sub>.

### 3.1.2. Low dosage ClO<sub>2</sub> for treating of high DOC in neutral solution

In Table 3, the unit consumption of ClO<sub>2</sub> for DOC was greater in the case of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid than with vanillic acid. The formation of TTHMs and THAAs was reversed, indicating an increase for vanillic acid and the decomposition products from *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid reacting easily with ClO<sub>2</sub>. The unit con-

sumption of ClO<sub>2</sub> per unit of DOC in humic acid was much greater in the case of vanillic acid, however the amounts of TTHMs and THAAs were much less than that in vanillic acid. This indicates that more ClO<sub>2</sub> is required to break down its large structure. TTHMs reformed after 16 h of reaction in vanillic acid, indicating that TTHMs can be controlled through reaction time.

### 3.1.3. High dosage of ClO<sub>2</sub> for treating high DOC in neutral solution

In Table 4, The consumption of ClO<sub>2</sub> was greatest for humic acid and the removal ratio of DOC was the

Table 2

Treatment of organic acids with ClO<sub>2</sub> (DOC = 5.0 mg/L, ClO<sub>2</sub> = 15.0 mg/L, pH = 7)

	Vanillic acid	<i>p</i> -Hydroxy benzoic acid	Humic acid
ClO <sub>2</sub> /DOC <sup>a</sup>	3.9	4.9	4.7
TTHM, µg/L	2.0	2.6	3.1
TTHMs/DOC <sup>b</sup>	0.91	1.95	1.47
THAAs, µg/L	2.8	4.4	16.0
THAAs/DOC <sup>c</sup>	1.28	3.31	7.53
Major products <sup>d</sup>	CHCl <sub>3</sub> and TCAA		

<sup>a</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> consumed/DOC removal.

<sup>b</sup> Final amount of TTHMs/DOC removal.

<sup>c</sup> Final amount of THAAs/DOC removal.

<sup>d</sup> Major products of TTHMs and THAAs.

Table 3

Treatment of organic acids with ClO<sub>2</sub> (DOC = 10.0 mg/L, ClO<sub>2</sub> = 15.0 mg/L, pH = 7)

	Vanillic acid	<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzoic acid	Humic acid
ClO <sub>2</sub> /DOC <sup>a</sup>	1.60	2.05	1.89
TTHMs, µg/L	4.8	3.7	2.8
TTHMs/DOC <sup>b</sup>	0.76	1.00	0.62
THAAs, µg/L	69.2	4.1	27.1
THAAs/DOC <sup>c</sup>	11.06	1.10	6.11
Major products <sup>d</sup>	CHCl <sub>3</sub> and TCAA		

<sup>a</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> consumed/DOC removal.

<sup>b</sup> Final amount of TTHMs/DOC removal.

<sup>c</sup> Final amount of THAAs/DOC removal.

<sup>d</sup> Major products of TTHMs and THAAs.

Table 4

Treatment of organic acids with ClO<sub>2</sub> (DOC = 10.0 mg/L ClO<sub>2</sub> = 30.0 mg/L, pH = 7)

	Vanillic acid	<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzoic acid	Humic acid
ClO <sub>2</sub> /DOC <sup>a</sup>	1.74	2.22	7.84
TTHMs, µg/L	4.4	3.6	3.9
TTHMs/DOC <sup>b</sup>	0.65	0.51	1.79
THAAs, µg/L	48.0	2.3	37.1
THAAs/DOC <sup>c</sup>	7.03	0.33	17.11
Major products <sup>d</sup>	CHCl <sub>3</sub> and DCAA		

<sup>a</sup> ClO<sub>2</sub> consumed/DOC removal.

<sup>b</sup> Final amount of TTHMs/DOC removal.

<sup>c</sup> Final amount of THAAs/DOC removal.

<sup>d</sup> Major products of TTHMs and THAAs.

least. This suggested that humic acid has a larger structure than the others acids and it cannot be destroyed easily. The production of THAAs was greater in the case of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid. This could be attributed to the reaction of its functional groups on the structure or its middle products with  $\text{ClO}_2$ . By considering the structures of vanillic acid and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, the presence of activating group, *o*-methoxy group ( $-\text{OCH}_3$ ) in vanillic acid renders it to be more active in reaction with  $\text{ClO}_2$  than *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid under this condition. In addition, the low concentration of TTHMs and THAAs from *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid favors the control of by-product formation.

### 3.1.4. Low dosage of $\text{ClO}_2$ for treating high DOC in alkaline solution

In an alkaline solution, the consumption of  $\text{ClO}_2$  by the three organic acids and the production of TTHMs were similar, as shown in Table 5. In the formation of THAAs, vanillic acid produced a greater amount than the other two acids, and with a different trend, which indicates the difficulty in controlling THAAs formation.

## 3.2. Influence of $\text{ClO}_2$ dosages

### 3.2.1. Various dosages of $\text{ClO}_2$ in treating low DOC in neutral solution

The formation of THAAs from vanillic acid was faster and with greater amounts under a high dosage of  $\text{ClO}_2$ . This shows unfavorable control of THAAs formation using vanillic acid. In the case of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, there was a high residual concentration of  $\text{ClO}_2$ . Increasing the  $\text{ClO}_2$  dosage increased the formation of TTHMs and THAAs. The residual percentage of humic acid with a high dosage of  $\text{ClO}_2$  was lowered, but the residual percentage of DOC was higher, indicating the increased decomposition of the humic acid molecular structure by the stronger oxidizing power of  $\text{ClO}_2$  at higher doses. Further reaction under these conditions produced more intermediates without removing the DOC.

### 3.2.2. Various dosages of $\text{ClO}_2$ for treating high DOC in neutral solution

Under this condition, a higher dosage of  $\text{ClO}_2$  favored the oxidation reaction in this system. The final consumption of  $\text{ClO}_2$  at a higher dosage was greater

Table 5  
Treatment of organic acids with  $\text{ClO}_2$  (DOC = 10.0 mg/L,  $\text{ClO}_2$  = 15.0 mg/L, pH = 9)

	Vanillic acid	<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzoic acid	Humic acid
$\text{ClO}_2/\text{DOC}^a$	1.22	1.29	2.50
TTHMs, $\mu\text{g/L}$	3.0	2.5	2.6
TTHMs/DOC <sup>b</sup>	0.44	0.36	0.74
THAAs, $\mu\text{g/L}$	46.7	7.9	26.2
THAAs/DOC <sup>c</sup>	6.3	1.1	7.6
Major products <sup>d</sup>	CHCl <sub>3</sub> and DCAA		

<sup>a</sup>  $\text{ClO}_2$  consumed/DOC removal.

<sup>b</sup> Final amount of TTHMs/DOC removal.

<sup>c</sup> Final amount of THAAs/DOC removal.

<sup>d</sup> Major products of TTHMs and THAAs.

Table 6  
Effect of increasing  $\text{ClO}_2$  on treatment

	DOC, mg/L	DOC removal, %	$\text{ClO}_2$ consumed, %	TTHMs, $\mu\text{g/L}$	THAAs, $\mu\text{g/L}$	Major product of HAAs
Vanillic acid	5.0	Increased	Reduced	Reduced	Increased	TCAA
	10.0	Increased	– <sup>b</sup>	– <sup>a,b</sup>	Reduced	– <sup>c</sup>
<i>p</i> -hydroxy benzoic acid	5.0	Increased	Reduced	Increased	Increased	TCAA
	10.0	Increased	Reduced	– <sup>b</sup>	– <sup>a,d</sup>	– <sup>c</sup>
Humic acid	5.0	Reduced	Increased	Reduced	– <sup>b</sup>	TCAA
	10.0	Increased	– <sup>a</sup>	Reduced	Reduced	– <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Different trends of formation.

<sup>b</sup> Insignificant effect.

<sup>c</sup> TCAA as the major product at low dosage, DCAA as the major product at high dosage.

<sup>d</sup> Higher than which at low dosage in the former stage, lower in the later stage.

Table 7  
Effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> treatment in alkaline solution (ClO<sub>2</sub> = 15.0 mg/L, DOC = 10.0 mg/L, pH = 9)

	DOC removal	ClO <sub>2</sub> consumed	TTHMs formed	THAAs formed	Major product of HAAs
Vanillic acid	– <sup>b</sup>	Increased	Reduced <sup>a</sup>	Reduced	DCAA <sup>c</sup>
<i>p</i> -Hydroxybenzoic acid	Increased	– <sup>b</sup>	Reduced	Increased <sup>a</sup>	DCAA <sup>c</sup>
Humic acid	Reduced	– <sup>b</sup>	Reduced	– <sup>b</sup>	DCAA <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Different trends of formation in neutral and alkaline solutions.

<sup>b</sup> Insignificant effect.

<sup>c</sup> TCAA as the major product in neutral solution.

than that at a lower dosage. The removal percentage for DOC and concentration of chlorate anion were much higher. To a lesser extent, the concentrations of chlorite and chloride anions were also higher.

Table 6 summarizes the results, indicating that increased dosages of ClO<sub>2</sub> increase the DOC removal percentage, decrease the ClO<sub>2</sub> consumption and the formation of TTHMs. The major product from a low concentration of organic acid solution was TCAA, but not DCAA, and the situation was reversed for higher acid concentration.

### 3.2.3. Low dosage of ClO<sub>2</sub> for treating high DOC under different pH values

Using either a phosphate or boric acid buffer solution with vanillic acid with ClO<sub>2</sub> to control the treatment pH, the resulting for DOC removal was better in an alkaline solution than in a neutral solution. The formation of TTHMs and THAAs was substantially reduced with an almost equal quantity of ClO<sub>2</sub>. However, the formation of chlorite and chlorate anions was much higher than with a lower dosage. The alkaline solution did not control the formation of THAAs from *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid. With regard to ClO<sub>2</sub> consumption, DOC removal, formation of TTHMs and THAAs, there was little difference for humic acid reactions in alkaline or neutral solutions.

Table 7 shows that the pH influence was more pronounced for TTHMs in the case of vanillic acid. The production of THAAs from *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid was more pronounced and concentrated. For humic acid, pH provided almost no influence on the formation of TTHMs and THAAs.

## 4. Conclusions and suggestions

Under the conditions of pH 7 and 9, DOC between 5 and 10 mg/L and a chlorine dioxide dosage between 15 and 30 mg/L (higher dosage than the normal operation conditions (0.1–1 mg ClO<sub>2</sub>/L) to simulate the dosage after a rainstorm). The formation of TTHMs was

around 1.2–10.2 µg/L and THAAs, 2.3–69.2 µg/L from the ClO<sub>2</sub> reactions with vanillic acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid and humic acid in water. According to the experimental results, ClO<sub>2</sub> is a recommendable alternative disinfectant.

Compared with the control factors for DBPs formation, overall, there was no relationship between pH and ClO<sub>2</sub>/DOC, but the result indicate that higher pH is favorable for the removal of humic acid with large molecular weight. Lower pH was favorable to the removal of vanillic acid and *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid with small molecular weight. Otherwise in the formation of DBPs, lower pH reduced TTHMs production, but increased the HAAs and TOX production. Increased ClO<sub>2</sub> dosage increased the ratio of DOC removal and TTHMs, THAAs all increased. It was suggested that a higher ClO<sub>2</sub> dosage increased the chance of reaction with activating groups (–OH, –OCH<sub>3</sub>) on the structure of the organic precursors.

In the study of influence by organic precursors, the concentration of organic acids and the ratio of DOC removal were increased at higher organic concentrations. The formation of TTHMs and THAAs, which were transformed from unit DOC consumption (TTHMs/DOC, THAAs/DOC), was also increased. The possible reason is that ClO<sub>2</sub> only breaks down large molecular structures into smaller structures. The above phenomenon is encouraging in humic acid reactions. According to these experiment observations, the reaction occurred fast during the initial 24 h and a certain level of DBPs was obtained. After 24 h, the greater the reaction time, the greater the production, but the level of increase was not great. This phenomenon will supply some operational options at the water treatment facilities.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the research grant No. NSC85-2211-E005-018 from the National Science Council in Taiwan.

**References**

- Aieta, E.M., Berg, J.D., 1986. A view of chlorine dioxide in drinking water treatment. *J. AWWA* 78, 62–73.
- Arora, H., Le Chevallier, M.W., Dixon, K.L., 1990. DBP occurrence survey. *J. AWWA* 89, 60–68.
- AWWA Research Foundation & KIWA, 1986. Use of Alternative Disinfectants, Chlorination By-Products: Production and Control, pp. 174–188.
- Bellar, T.A., Lichtenberg, J.J., Korner, R.C., 1974. The occurrence of organohalids in chlorinated drinking water. *J. AWWA* 66, 703–706.
- Bull, R.J., Kopfler, F.C., 1991. Health Effect of Disinfectants and Disinfection By-Products. AWWA Res. Fdn., Denver, CO.
- Craun, G.F., Bull, R.J., Clark, R.M., Doull, J., Grabow, W., Marsh, G.M., Okun, D.A., Regli, S., Sobsey, M.D., Symons, J.M., 1994. Balancing chemical and microbial risks of drinking water disinfection, part I. Benefits and potential risks. *J. Water SRT-Aqua* 43, 192–199.
- Edwards, G.A., Amirtharajah, A., 1993. Removing color caused by humic acids. *J. AWWA* 77, 50–62.
- Koch, B., Krasner, S.W., 1989. Occurrence of distribution by-products in a distribution system. In: Proceedings of the Annual Conference sponsored by American Water Works Association, Pt. 2, pp. 1203–1230.
- Krasner, S.W., McGuire, M.J., Jacangelo, J.G., Patania, N.L., Reagen, K.M., Aieta, E.M., 1989. The occurrence of disinfection by-products in US drinking water. *J. AWWA* 81, 41–53.
- Lykins Jr., B.W., Griese, M.H., 1986. Using chlorine dioxide for trihalomethane control. *J. AWWA* 78, 88–93.
- Myer, A.G., 1990. Evaluating alternative disinfectants for THM control in small systems. *J. AWWA* 82, 77–84.
- Narkis, N., 1995. Disinfection of effluents by combinations of chlorine dioxide and chlorine. *Wat. Sci. & Technol.* 31, 105–114.
- National Cancer Institute, 1976. Report on Carcinogenesis Bioassay of Chloroform, Bethesda, MD.
- National Research Council, 1980. Drinking Water and Health, vol. 2, National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Oliver, B.G., 1983. Dihaloacetonitriles in drinking water: algae and fulvic acid as precursors. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 17, 80–83.
- Peters, R.J.B., de Leer, E.W.B., de Galan, L., 1990. Dihaloacetonitriles in dutch drinking water. *Water Res.* 24, 797–800.
- Rice, R.G., 1980. The use of ozone to control trihalomethanes in drinking water treatment. *Ozone Sci. & Eng.* 2, 75–99.
- Rook, J.J., 1974. Formation of haloform during chlorination of natural waters. *Water Treatm. Exam.* 23, 234–243.
- White, G.C., 1992. The Handbook of Chlorination and Alternative Disinfectants, 3rd ed. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, pp. 150–151.
- Yamada, H., Somiya, I., 1979. Influence of process conditions on the effect of ozone treatment of organic substance in the water. *Ozone Sci. & Eng.* 1, 61–72.