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#### Abstract

An effective synthesis of the tricyclic core structure of the new diterpene crotogoudin was achieved. The synthesis features an intermolecular domino Michael reaction to construct a bicyclo[2.2.2]octane motif and an aldol condensation to close ring B. Stork reductive alkylation with allyl bromide proceeded from the $\beta$ side, resulting in the wrong stereochemistry at C-10.
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Two closely related 3,4-seco-atisane diterpenes crotogoudin (1) and crotobarin (2) were recently discovered during the screening of plant extracts from Madagascan plants Croton goudotii and C. barorum in 2010 (Figure 1). ${ }^{1}$ Initial cell-cycle analysis led to the conclusion that these two compounds arrest the cells at the G2/M stage. The enone functionality present in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ might prohibit their development as drugs, however, this functional group might help to elucidate the cellular target by affini-ty-based methods. ${ }^{2}$ Due to the interesting biological profile and the challenging structural features these new natural products appear as interesting targets for total synthesis. We focused our research on the simpler of the two compounds, namely crotogoudin (1). This novel diterpene contains a polycyclic ring system with a six-membered ring fused to a bicyclo[2.2.2] octane subunit. The absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ has not been established yet.

crotogoudin (1) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
crotobarin (2) R = OAc

atisane (3)

Figure 1 Structures of crotogoudin (1), crotobarin (2), and atisane (3)

Our retrosynthetic plan for ( $\pm$ )-crotogoudin (1) features a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation ${ }^{3}$ and intramolecular translactonization leading to ketone 4 (Scheme 1). This step most likely corresponds to the biosynthetic pathway. ${ }^{4}$ With an advanced target molecule like 4, a late- or early-stage formation of the bicyclic ring system can be chosen. We opt-

[^0]ed for the latter strategy where the synthesis will begin with a bicyclo[2.2.2] octane derivative. The A ring in ketone $\mathbf{4}$ could be introduced via a Stork reductive alkylation strategy. ${ }^{5}$ The closure of the B ring would rely on an intramolecular aldol condensation of bicyclo[2.2.2] octane derivative 6 , which would arise from enone 7 and methyl acrylate via a domino Michael reaction. ${ }^{6}$ Construction of this bicylic motif by intermolecular domino Michael reaction has been broadly described in the literature. ${ }^{7}$ However, application of this strategy for the synthesis of atisanerelated compounds was unknown. ${ }^{8,9}$ On the other hand intramolecular double Michael reactions were used in the synthesis of atisirene ${ }^{10}$ and atisine. ${ }^{11}$ Vinylogous ester 8 appeared as an appropriate starting material for our plan.
 oxidation


Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of crotogoudin (1)

The synthesis commenced with addition of the Grignard reagent derived from 1-chlorobutanol to vinylogous ester $\mathbf{8}$, which is easily available from 1,3-cyclohexanedione ${ }^{12}$ (Scheme 2). After the 1,2-addition to vinylogous ester 8 and acid-mediated hydrolysis of the addition product, enone 7a was obtained in good yield. Next, the hydroxyl group of enone 7a was protected as tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether before it was introduced in the double Michael reaction with methyl acrylate ( 2 equiv) to afford bicyclic keto ester 9a as a single diastereomer. Best results were obtained with $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (1.3 equiv) as base in a mixture of THF and hexane. The orientation of the ester group could be inferred from the NOESY spectrum which shows a cross peak between $15-\mathrm{H}$ and $14-\mathrm{H}$ (atisane numbering). This assignment was confirmed by X-ray analysis on a similar compound in the synthesis of the core structure of palhinine A. ${ }^{13}$ Fluoride-mediated cleavage of the silyl ether converted keto ester 9 a into primary alcohol $\mathbf{9 b}$.

Since the yields for the formation of hydroxyenone $7 \mathbf{7 a}$ were moderate, we investigated a slightly modified route to $9 \mathbf{b}$ which utilizes readily available THP-protected 4chlorobutanol for the Grignard addition to vinylogous ester 8 . ${ }^{14}$ Acid-mediated hydrolysis of the vinylogous hemiacetal obtained from the Grignard reaction led to enone 7c in $86 \%$ yield. Treatment of the lithium enolate, generated from 7 c using $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 2.5 equiv) as base with methyl acrylate ( 2.5 equiv) resulted in formation of bicyclic compound 9 c also in high yield and as a single isomer (diastereomers on the acetal carbon of the THP group). Acidinduced cleavage of the THP protecting group provided alcohol 9b as well. Although the use of THP as a protecting group made workup and NMR analysis inconvenient (diastereomeric mixture on the acetal carbon), the yields were higher in comparison to the use of the previous method. Subsequent Dess-Martin oxidation of primary alcohol 9b gave keto aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ in good overall yield. Base-mediated intramolecular aldol condensation provided enone 10. The olefinic H of enone $\mathbf{1 0}$ resonates at $\delta=$ 6.93 ppm .







Scheme 2 Synthesis of enone 10 via intermolecular domino Michael reaction, followed by aldol condensation

The next challenge involved allylic oxidation of enone $\mathbf{1 0}$ to diketone 14. Being disappointed by inefficiency of different selenium $\left(\mathrm{SeO}_{2}\right.$, dioxane, reflux; $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, \mathrm{TBHP}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t.) and chromium-based methods (3,5-dimethylpyrazole, $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t. $)^{15}$ to introduce a carbonyl moiety in the allylic position, we were successful with a four-step sequence starting with allylic bromination (Scheme 3). Thus, Ziegler-Wohl bromination of enone 10 furnished bromide $\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{dr}=1: 1)$. This was followed by substitution of the bromide to the corresponding acetate 12. Only one diastereomer of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was observed. We attribute this to a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$-type mechanism. Without addition of acetic acid, a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers was obtained. A subsequent base-mediated hydrolysis of acetate 12 furnished allylic alcohol 13. Under these conditions no epimerization next to the ester function (C-15) took place. Also during the aldol condensation ( $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ ) this stereocenter remained untouched. A Dess-Martin oxidation of alcohol 13 resulted in diketone 14 ( $66 \%$ yield for four steps). After further experimentation we found even a sin-gle-step procedure for the desired transformation of enone 10 to 1,4 -enedione 14 , namely a Pd-catalyzed oxidation using tert-butylhydroperoxide. ${ }^{16,17}$
We next faced the challenge of introducing substituents at $\mathrm{C}-10$. This was achieved by $[3+2]$ cycloaddition of freshly prepared diazomethane ${ }^{18}$ to the electron-poor double bond of enedione $\mathbf{1 4}$ at room temperature. This resulted in formation of a pyrazoline derivative, which underwent nitrogen elimination even under ambient temperature to give diketone 5 (Scheme 3). ${ }^{19}$ The presence of the $10-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group is evident from an additional peak in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 at $\delta=2.17 \mathrm{ppm}$. The regiochemistry can be explained by attack of the negatively charged carbon center at the less hindered position of the enedione. ${ }^{20,21}$ Next, a Stork reductive alkylation was called for construction of the quaternary center at C-10. Accordingly, dienolate A, prepared by treatment of diketone 5 with lithium in liquid ammonia, was quenched with allyl bromide. After workup only one regio- and stereoisomer was detected and its structure was assigned to $\mathbf{1 5} .^{22}$ As can be seen, C-allylation took place on the less hindered carbon atom (C10), while the other enolate underwent O-allylation. Unfortunately, the stereochemistry of the newly formed stereocenter was opposite with respect to the one required for $\mathrm{C}-10$ of crotogoudin (1). This can be explained by shielding of the enolate face syn to the ester group at $\mathrm{C}-15$. The stereochemistry in the allylation step followed from a relatively strong NOESY cross peak between the allyl CH to 14-H (methylene group). In addition, the other $14-\mathrm{H}$ showed a NOESY correlation with $15-\mathrm{H}$ ( $\alpha$ proton next to the ester group).
In summary, an efficient synthesis of the carbocyclic core structure of crotogoudin (1) has been achieved by exploiting an intermolecular domino Michael reaction to access bicyclo[2.2.2] octane derivative $\mathbf{9 b}$, followed by intramolecular aldol condensation resulting in enone 10. Its Pdcatalyzed allylic oxidation, followed by 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition with diazomethane gave diketone 5 . The shorter






Scheme 3 Allylic oxidation of enone 10 to enedione 14, introduction of a methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-10$, followed by allylation of dienolate $\mathbf{A}$ with allyl bromide to give $\mathbf{1 5}$
route to 5 via enone 7c proceeded in $30 \%$ overall yield starting from vinylogous ester $\mathbf{8}$ with a longest linear sequence of seven steps. Further studies on the facial selectivity of the Stork reductive alkylation and application of the present strategy toward the total synthesis of crotogoudin (1) and its analogues are in progress in our laboratory.
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