MODEL STUDIES IN THE TAXANE DITERPENE SERIES - Part. I

by Humberto CERVANTES, Duc DO KHAC, Marcel FETIZON and Frédéric GUIR

Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique, Ecole Polytechnique

91128 PALAISEAU Cedex . France

and

Jean-Claude BELOEIL, Jean-Yves LALLEMAND and Thierry PRANGE Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, C.N.R.S.

91190 GIF-sur-YVETTE . France

(Received in France 24 March 1986)

Abstract : Photochemical [2 + 2] cycloaddition of enol acetate 10a to cyclohexene led to a 3 : 1 mixture of the tetracyclic photoadducts and B which, through reverse aldol reactions, under mild alkaline conditions produced two compounds : a tetracyclic ketone 13 and a tricyclic diketone 14 . Hydrolytic cleavage in methanolic hydrochloric acid of 15 and 16 resulting from irradiation of the enol ether 10bto cyclohexene gave rise to the rearranged tricyclic diketone 14 only. The trans stereochemistry 3a, 8β of the fused-ring system in 14, established by X-ray measurements, is different from the stereochemistry of the naturally occuring taxane derivatives .

Ejus elementi compositionem quod vim habet in taxo baccata, photochimiae beneficio, quidam in exemplo simpliciore reddito experti sunt. Perincommode tamen accidit ut materiae ita guaesitae figura dissimilis sit ejus rei natura praebitae.

This work was submitted as part of a 3ème cycle D. Thesis - Humberto CERVANTES-CUEVAS, 26.01.1983 - Université PARIS XI - Centre d'ORSAY, France and a conference : H. CERVANTES, D. DO KHAC, Thierry PRANGE and M. FETIZON* : Fifth asian symposium on medicinal plants and spices - 647, August 1984 -SEOUL (S. Korea) .

INTRODUCTION

Taxus baccata had a very bad reputation in the roman world . According to PLINY the ELDER $\binom{x}{1}$, even its shadow is fatal to mammals, including men $\frac{1,2,3}{1}$. Since that time, interest in taxane and its derivatives ⁴ grew up . Now it goes far beyond the structural novelty of the uncommon tricyclic carbon framework 1, due to the rather potent antileukemic and antitumoral activity⁵ of taxol 6^{2} , and cephalomannine 7^{3} , among others (scheme 1).

In spite of numerous investigations 8,9,10,11,12 no total synthesis of any taxane diterpenes has been reported yet . If the strategy consists in building first rings A and B, then introducing ring C, the major problem to settle is the construction of the latter ring with the right stereochemistry . Among the various methods which show some promise in the formation of functionalized eight membered ring systems, we selected an intermolecular de MAYO's reaction $^{13(22)}$:

(*) In order to underline this time-honoured observation, a short summary of our paper is also provided in latin . ()00)

The stereochemistry of the trans fused ring of 6 13 at C-1 and C-8 remained undetermined .

a photochemical cycloaddition of cyclohexene, or, more generally, an olefin, to an enol derivative of a cyclohexane-1,3-dione $\underline{4}$. The resulting adduct $\underline{5}$, undergoes a retroaldol reaction leading to the expected product $\underline{6}$ (scheme 1).

In order to overcome the problems connected to regiospecificity and to focus our attention on the stereochemistry of the final compound $\underline{6}$, we decided to study a model reaction, in which a fairly accessible cyclohexane-1,3-dione would have a plane of symmetry. For this purpose, <u>symmetrical bicyclo [3.2.1] octane-2,4-dione</u> 7 is almost ideal, since it is closely related to the compound $\underline{8}$, which would lead to taxane derivatives, and very easily prepared in large quantity from commercially available α -fenchol $\underline{9a}$ (scheme 1).





 $\frac{2}{2} = C_{B}H_{5}CONHCHCHOH$

2 № = сн₃сн=о-со NHСH-снон-со-Сн₅









~ = 11







However, it has been found previously that, although there is a fairly good regio and stereoselectivity in many photochemical [2 + 2] cycloadditions, the structure of the final product is difficult to predict ¹⁴.

Our exploratory experiments have, thus, been carried out with model substances 10a and 10b (scheme 1).

Synthesis of 8,8-dimethyl bicyclo [3.3.1] octane-2,4 dione 7 (scheme 1)

 α -fenchol <u>9a</u> was converted into its tosylate <u>9b</u> which gave α -fenchene ¹⁵ <u>11a</u> upon solvolysis. The best pratical method for oxidation of α -fenchene is ozonolysis ¹⁶. The resulting α -fenchocamphorone ¹⁷ (apocamphor) <u>11b</u> is then submitted to a selenium dioxide oxidation ¹⁸, whereby the yellow α diketone <u>12</u> (norcamphorquinone) is obtained in good yield. Diazomethane reacts with this non enolizable diketone with ring expansion and o-methylation of the intermediate diketone <u>7</u>. The latter enol ether <u>10b</u> is hydrolysed into diketone <u>7</u> in the presence of diluted hydrochloric acid ¹⁹. Acetylation of the dione <u>7</u> with acetic anhydride, in the presence of n-butyllithium, according to the method of PATTENDEN ²⁰ leads to the enol acetate <u>10a</u>.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

The photocycloaddition of enol acetate <u>10a</u> was investigated first . Irradiation of <u>10a</u> with a large excess of cyclohexene in methylene chloride at - 50°C, using pyrex filtered light from a medium pressure Hg lamp, gave a mixture of two adducts <u>A</u> and <u>B</u>, in a combined yield of 80 % (scheme 3) . Attempts to separate these isomers met with limited success . When the mixture <u>A</u> + <u>B</u> is treated with potassium hydroxide in anhydrous ethanol, the major compound (65 %) which is highly fluorescent in U.V. light in t.l.c. (silica gel Merck PF 254) is the tetracyclic enone <u>13</u> ^{13,21} (U.V. ^{EtOH}_{λ max} : 252 rm, $\epsilon = 6.900$, I.R. $_{v \ C=0} = 1678 \ cm^{-1}$; no olefinic proton in ¹H n.m.r.).

A tentative assignment of 13 C chemical shifts of compound <u>13</u> is shown on scheme 2. No other pure compounds could be isolated (scheme 2).

In the presence of potassium hydroxide in aqueous ethanol at 20°C, the mixture <u>A</u> + <u>B</u> was converted into two ketones in 80 % yield (ratio 2 : 3). The major compound which is not fluorescent in t.l.c. under the conditions described above, is a crystalline compound, m.p. 91-92°C (pentane). There is a very weak absorption in U.V. ($\lambda_{max} = 300 \text{ nm} (\varepsilon = 56)$, 220 nm ($\varepsilon = 248$)) indicative of a non conjugated system. In the infared spectrum, there is a very intense absorption band at 1678 cm⁻¹, which coincides with the $\nu_{C=0}$ band of <u>14</u>. Such low values have already been observed in diketones similar to the expected one <u>15</u> (scheme 3).

Since no firm proof of the structure of our compound could be obtained from its

 ^{1}H and ^{13}C n.m.r. spectra, it was submitted to X-ray crystallography studies which gave results shown on scheme 3 .

As expected, rings B and C of $\underline{14}$ are transfused. However, their relative stereochemistry with respect of the bridgehead protons is opposite to taxane's one. The minor component of the mixture is the unsaturated ketone $\underline{13}$



SCHEME 2

Triclinic crystals of <u>14</u> were obtained from pentane-ether solution (0,2 x 0,4 x 0,3 mm). Crystal data : $C_{16}H_{24}O_2$, space group P_1^- (2 = 2), a = 11.006 (4) ; b = 10.127 (3) ; c = 6.897 (3) ; Å, a = 99°.8 ; β = 101°.6 and γ = 106°.2 ; U = 701.26 Å³ ; 1300 independent reflections were measured with I > 2 σ (I) on a four circle PW 1100 automatic diffractometer using Cu-K_a radiation. The structure was solved by direct method ²² and anisotropically refined to a final conventional R factor of 5.4% (all hydrogen atoms were located on difference Fourier synthesis).

The atomic co-ordinates for this work are available on request from the Director of the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, CAMBRIDGE CG_2 IEW. Any request should be accompanied by the full literature citation for this communication.

The figure shows one of the two independent molecules in the unit cell .



Similarly, photocycloaddition of enol ether <u>10b</u> to cyclohexene led to two products \underline{C} and \underline{D} (ratio : 3 : 1) which could be readily separated. However the crude mixture of \underline{C} and \underline{D} , treated with methanolic 0,1 N hydrochloric acid, at room temperature, afforded a mixture of two easily separable compounds. The major compound (55 %) based on the starting methyl ether, is the diketone <u>14</u>. The minor compound is \underline{C} (25 %). No trace of the unsaturated ketone <u>13</u> could be detected (scheme 4).

Since the amount of ketone <u>14</u>, resulting from the rearrangement of the mixture $\underline{C} + \underline{D}$ is larger than the amount of the major cycloaddition product \underline{C} , we may assume that both \underline{C} and \underline{D} are converted into <u>14</u>, very likely at different rates .



H. CERVANTES et al.

As the configuration of H_g, either in <u>C</u> or in <u>D</u> has been confirmed by X-ray analysis of compound <u>14</u> (scheme 4), and ¹H n.m.r. (400 MHz) spectra of compounds <u>C</u> and <u>D</u> show that ${}^{3}J_{H_{9}-H_{8}}$ coupling is about the same (9.75 Hz and 10.50 Hz respectively), hence proton H-9 should be β in both compounds (scheme 5) should H-9 be α , the ${}^{3}J_{H_{9}-H_{8}}$ coupling constant would be smaller (≈ 4 Hz) 23 .



<u>Scheme 5</u> : 2D ¹H-¹³C chemical shift correlation spectrum of compounds $\underline{C} = \underline{15}$

Assignment of the ${}^{1}_{H}$ (400 MHz) and ${}^{13}_{C}$ (100 MHz) spectra of compound <u>C</u> was obtained from two dimensional n.m.r. experiments : ${}^{1}_{H-1}H$ chemical shift correlation 24 (COSY) and ${}^{1}_{H-1}C$ chemical shift correlation 25 (scheme 5). This method was very efficient, especially for the assignment of ${}^{1}_{H}$ and ${}^{13}_{C}$ spectra of ${}^{-}_{CH_2-}$, 4,5,6,7,12 and 13. The spectra of compound <u>D</u> were interpreted by comparison with the results discussed above for compounds <u>C</u>. ${}^{13}_{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of <u>C</u> and <u>D</u> were in full agreement with their assigned structure <u>15</u> (cis-anti-cis) and <u>16</u> (cis-anti-trans) respectively. The latter was the most unstable in acidic condition using to open the cyclobutane ring (scheme 4). In particular there is a large difference in the chemical shifts of C₂ and C₉ (5 ppm), C₈ (10 ppm) and C₃ (17 ppm) for <u>15</u> and <u>16</u>, produced probably by conformational changes of C and D rings (scheme 6). On the other hand, the cyclobutyl "a" carbon resonance of the trans-fused isomer <u>E</u> comes at appreciably higher field, compared to the cis-anti-cis stereoisomer <u>F</u> 26 .

Hence, the geometry of A and B rings (with a $\operatorname{cis}(H_{9\beta})$ and $\operatorname{MeO}_{\beta}(C_9)$ configuration) was identical in the two isomers <u>15</u> and <u>16</u> and the photocycloaddition occured from the <u>endo</u> side. It seems likely that photochemical [2 + 2] cycloaddition of cyclohexene to enol-ether <u>10</u> affords a stereoselective route for B and C rings, different from the stereochemistry of the naturally occuring taxane derivatives.



(Carbon-13 chemical shifts of <u>E</u> and <u>F</u>, δ (CS₂) downfield from CCl₄ as internal standard)

After the completion of our studies, BLECHERT and al. ²⁷ described a preparation of tricyclic compound <u>17</u>, starting from bicyclic enol-ether <u>18</u>, and INOUYE and al. ²⁸ presented a synthesis of <u>19</u> from enol ether <u>20</u> with a cis $C_3\beta$, $C_8\beta$ fusion for B anc C rings (scheme 6). Recently, BERKOWITZ and al. ²⁹ reported a synthesis of tricyclic compounds <u>21</u>, in which the stereochemistry B/C fused ring at C_3 has remained undetermined, from a photocycloaddition of cyclohexene with homocamphorquinone derivatives <u>22</u> (scheme 7).



scheme 7

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr S. KAN (Université PARIS XI), Dr M. BERTRANNE and Dr G. ARANDA (Ecole Polytechnique) for recording 1 H (400 MHz) and 13 C n.m.r. spectra . We also thank Professor R. LESUEUR for the latin summary .

Experimental Section

<u>General methods</u> : All reactions were performed under argon unless otherwise specified, using standard syringe techniques for transfer of materials . Where necessary organic solutions were dried over $MgSO_4$ and the product was isolated by filtration and evaporation of the filtrate using rotary evaporator . Infared spectra were measured on a PERKIN-ELMER 399 spectrophotometer . Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained at 400 MHz . ¹³C n.m.r. spectra were recorded at 25.2 MHz using a VARIAN CFT.20 or a VARIAN XL.100 spectrometer operating in the FOURIER transform mode . Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ) downfield from tetramethylsilane as internal standard . Coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz) with the following abreviations for splitting patterns : s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet . Melting points were determined on a BUCHI melting point apparatus and are uncorrected . Preparative t.1.c. plates were prepared of about 1 mm in thickness (Merck silica gel PF - 254) . Column chromatography was performed on 230-400 mesh MERCK silica gel 60 . Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and ether were dried by distillation over sodium benzophenone . Dichloromethane was purified by washing with sulfuric acid and water, followed by distillation from phosphorus pentoxide .

Irradiations were carried out in dichloromethane as the solvent under argon by using a TW 150 W HANAU lamp and a pyrex filter (λ > 295 nm). The reaction was followed by U.V. absorption of the starting material.

2D spectra : All spectra were recorded on a BRUKER WM 400 (conc. 80 mg/0.6 ml), ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$ chemical shift correlation (COSY) : the applied pulse sequence was $(\pi/2) - (t_1) - (\pi/4) - (FID, t_2)$. The spectral width in F₁ and F₂ was 1200 Hz ; the number of data points in t₂ was 2048, and 512 increments were recorded . Before FOURIER transformation, the data were multiplied with unshifted sinebell . Total acquisition time was 10 hours . The $\pi/2$ pulse was 10 µs .

 $\frac{1 H^{-13} C^{1} \text{ shift correlation}}{(\tau_{1}) - (\pi/2, {}^{1}\text{H}; \pi/2, {}^{13}\text{C}) - (\tau_{2}) - (BB, {}^{1}\text{H}; FID, t_{2}) \text{ with } \tau_{1} = 0.00357 \text{ s and } \tau_{2} = 001785 \text{ second}$. The spectral width in F₁ was 1200 Hz and in F₂, 7246 Hz; the number of data points in t₂ was 4096 and 256 increments were recorded. Before FOURIER transformation, the data were multiplied with unshifted sine-bell in F₂ and exponential in F₁. Total acquisition was 20 hours . The $\pi/2$ pulse was 14 µs for ${}^{13}\text{C}$ and the decoupler $\pi/2$ pulse was 43 µs.

<u>a-fenchene</u> (<u>11a</u>) : Fenchyl alcohol (12 g, 0.078 mol) and 4-toluenesulfonyl chloride (19 g, 0.10 mol) were heated at reflux (120°C) in pyridine (120 ml) for 24 h. The reaction mixture was added to cold aqueous NaHCO₃, and the mixture was extracted with pentane (3 x 60 ml), organic layers combined, washed with aqueous HCl (10 %), water, brine and dried (MgSO₄). Filtration and concentration gave 10.06 g (95 %) of <u>11a</u>. I.R. (film) : 3065, 1665 and 875 cm⁻¹. ¹H n.m.r. (400 MHz) δ : 0.93 (3H, s, CH₃) ; 0.95 (3H, s, CH₃) ; 1.03 (1H, m) ; 1.25 (2H, m) ; 1.65 (1H, t) ; 1.85 (1H, m, H₃₆, ³J = 5 Hz, ²J = 18 Hz) ; 1.92 (1H, m) ; 2.03 (1H, d, ³J = 5 Hz) ; 2.41 (1H, d, H_{3α}, ²J = 18 Hz) ; 4.61 (1H, s, H₁₀) ; 4.81 (1H, s, H₁₀) ; ¹³C n.m.r. : 53.8 (C₁) ; 156.7 (C₂) ; 37.6 (C₃) ; 45.0 (C₄) ; 28.8 (C₅ or C₆) ; 28.7 (C₅ or C₆) ; 46.0 (C₇, s) ; 20.8 (C₉) ; 21.8 (C₈) ; 102.9 (C₁₀, t) .

Apocamphor (11b) : A solution of 20.0 g of α -fenchene 11a in 180 ml of absolute methanol was exhaustively ozonized at - 70 to - 80°C. The ozonized solution was then added to a vigorously stirred mixture of 150 ml of absolute methanol, 40 ml of glacial acetic acid and 80 g of sodium iodide. The resulting iodine colored solution was stirred for 4 h and diluted with a liter of water. Sodium bisulfite was added until the color of the iodine disappeared ; this was followed by the addition of sodium carbonate until the solution was basic. The reaction mixture was then extracted with 800 ml of diethyl ether and the ethereal extract was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The drying agent was removed by filtration and the solvent was distilled under reduced pressure. The residu gave 12.05 g of 11b (60 %); m.p. = 124-125°C. I.R. (CCl₄) : 1752 cm⁻¹. ¹H n.m.r. δ : 1.04 (6H, s, 2 CH₃) ; 1.40 (3H, m) ; 1.48 (1H, m, H₅) ; 1.78 (1H, d, H_{3x2} ²J = 20

Hz); 2.03 (3H, m, H₄ + H_{5β} + H_{6β}); 2.2 (1H, d, H₁); 2.41 (1H, d of d, ${}^{2}J = 20$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz); ${}^{13}C$ n.m.r.: 59.4 (C₁); 218.8 (C₂); 44.2 (C₃); 43.5 (C₄); 27.7 (C₅); 22.8 (C₆); 45.4 (C₇); 21.7 (C₈); 20.8 (C₉).

<u>Norcamphorquinone</u> (12): Apocamphor <u>11b</u> (3.7 g) and selenium dioxide (7 g) were heated at 140°C in acetic acid (5 ml) for 15 h. After cooling the reaction mixture was treated with 2N aqueous NaOH and extracted with ether (3 x 40 ml). The organic layers combined, washed with H_2O , then brine and dried (MgSO₄). Filtration and concentration gave 3.6 g of <u>12</u> (90 %). m.p. = 113-116°C; I.R. (CCl₄) : 1780 and 1760 cm⁻¹. ¹H n.m.r. δ : 1.11 (3H, s, Me-8) ; 1.15 (3H, s, Me-9) ; 1.7 (2H, m, $H_{5\alpha} + H_{6\alpha}$) ; 2.24 (2H, m, $H_{5\beta} + H_{6\beta}$) ; 2.52 (2H, d of d, $H_1 + H_4$). ¹³C n.m.r. : 57.7 (C₁) ; 202.7 (C₂) ; 202.7 (C₃) ; 57.7 (C₄) ; 23.0 (C₅) ; 23.0 (C₆) ; 41.05 (C₇) ; 23.0 (C₈) ; 19.9 (C₉).

<u>Enol-ether</u> (10b) : 3 g of diazomethane in ether (prepared from 21.0 g of diazald, with the diazald kit) was added dropwise with cooling at 0°C to 3.5 g of norcamphorquinone <u>12</u> in ether (100 ml), then at 25°C overnight . The reaction mixture was treated with 10 % aqueous HOAC (100 ml) . The organic layer washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄) . Filtration and concentration gave 3.82 g of <u>10b</u> (100 %) : yellow liquid . I.R. (film) : 3065, 1665 and 1660 cm⁻¹ . ¹H n.m.r. δ : 0.98 (3H, s, Me-9) ; 1.1 (3H, s, Me-10) ; 1.57 (1H, m, H_{7α}) ; 1.68 (1H, m, H_{6α}) ; 2.24 (2H, m, H_{6β} + H_{7β}) ; 2.31 (1H, d, H₅, ³J = 6 Hz) ; 2.36 (1H, d, H₂, ³J = 7 Hz) ; 3.69 (3H, s, 0-Me) ; 5.15 (1H, s, H₃) . ¹³C n.m.r. : 59.5 (C₁) ; 203.8 (C₂) ; 98.0 (C₃) ; 183.7 (C₄) ; 52.1 (C₅) ; 25.3 (C₆) ; 28.9 (C₇) ; 46.6 (C₈) ; 25.6 (C₉) ; 20.8 (C₁₀) ; 56.0 (0-Me) .

<u>8,8-Dimethylbicyclo [3.3.1] octane-2,4-dione</u> (7) : A solution of <u>10b</u> (4.0 g) in ether (60 m1) was added to aqueous 4N HC1 (60 m1) with stirring at 20°C overnight . The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 x 60 m1) . The organic layers combined washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄) . Filtration and concentration gave 3.80 g of dione <u>7</u> (97 %) . m.p. = 208-211°C (MeOH- CH_2Cl_2) . I.R. (CHCl₃) : 1726, 1704 cm⁻¹ . ¹H n.m.r. δ : 0.98 (3H, s, Me-9) ; 1.1 (3H, s, Me-10) ; 1.91 (2H, m, H_{6α} + H_{7α}) ; 2.32 (2H, m, H_{6β} + H_{7β}) ; 2.55 (2H, m, H₁ + H₅) ; 3.03 (1H, 2 t, ²J = 19 Hz, ⁴J = 2 Hz, H_{3β}) ; 3.38 (1H, d, ²J = 19 Hz, H_{3α}) . ¹³C n.m.r. : 60.0 (C₁) ; 207.6 (C₂) ; 50.9 (C₃) ; 207.6 (C₄) ; 60.0 (C₅) ; 25.2 (C₆) ; 25.2 (C₇) ; 41.9 (C₈) ; 24.76 (C₉) ; 22.1 (C₁₀) . Anal. calc. for $C_{10}H_{14}O_7$: C, 72.26 ; H, 8.49 ; Found : C, 71.96 ; H, 8.48 .

Encl-Acetate (10a) : N-butyllithium (1.6 M) in hexane was added dropwise to a mixture of $\frac{7}{2}$ (2 g) in THF (140 ml) and triphenylmethane (10 mg) with stirring under argon at - 30°C (until the colour turned to pink), then acetic anhydride (3 ml) was added. The reaction mixture was left to warm to room temperature, and treated with H₂O (60 ml), extracted with ether (3 x 50 ml), washed with aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 %), water, brine and dried (MgSO₄). Filtration and concentration to dryness gave 2.3 g of 10a (80 %), yellow liquid. I.R. (film) : 1770, 1672, 1625 cm⁻¹. ¹H n.m.r. δ : 1.02 (3H, s, Me-9); 1.18 (3H, s, Me-10); 1.59 (1H, t, H_{7α}, ²J = 10 Hz, ³J = 10 Hz); 1.88 (1H, t, H_{6α}, ²J = 10 Hz, ³J = 10 Hz); 2.25 (3H, s, O=C-CH₃); 2.25 (2H, m, H_{6β} + H_{7β}); 2.40 (1H, d, H₁, ³J = 6 Hz, ⁴J = 1.5 Hz); 2.45 (1H, d of d, H₅; ³J = 6 Hz & ⁴J = 1.5 Hz); 5.86 (1H, s, H₃). ¹³C n.m.r. : 59.5 (C₁); 203.1 (C₂); 113.7 (C₃); 167.2 (C₄); 51.3 (C₅); 28.8 (C₆); 24.3 (C₇); 48.0 (C₈); 25.4 (C₉); 20.6 (C₁₀); 173.9 (-C-acetate); 21.4 (Me-C).

Anal. calc. for C₁₂H₁₆O₃ : C, 69.21 ; H, 7.74 ; Found : C, 68.98 ; H, 7.75 .

<u>Photocycloaddition of enol-acetate</u> 10a to cyclohexene : A solution of 10a (2.2 g) in CH_2CI_2 (50 ml) and cyclohexene (30 ml) was purged with argon for 0.5 h in a photoreaction vessel then irradiaded with a TW.150.W HANAU medium pressure Hg lamp through a pyrex filter at - 50°C for 6 h until t.1.c. (SiO₂, EtOAc : pentane 1 : 4) indicated loss of starting material . Concentration to dryness gave 2.7 g of two photoadducts A + B / 3 : 1 (after crystallisation from pentane ; m.p. =

145-155°C); I.R. $(CC1_4) \delta$: 1730 and 1694 cm⁻¹, major compound <u>A</u>: ¹H n.m.r. δ : 2.87 (1H, d, ³J = 9.75 Hz, H₁₁); 2.77 (1H, q (2t), J = 9 Hz); 2.52 (1H, d, J = 6.75 Hz, H₁); 1.95 (3H, s, C-Me); 0.93 (6H, s, Me-15 + Me-16); minor compound <u>B</u>: 2.67 (1H, d, J = 10.5 Hz, H₁₁); 2.4 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz, H₁); 1.93 (3H, s, -C-Me); 0.91 (6H, s, Me-15 + Me-16).

<u>Rearrangement of photoproducts A + B</u> : 10 % aqueous KOH (10 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of photoproducts $\underline{A} + \underline{B}$ (410 mg) in EtOH (20 ml) with stirring. After 24 h at room temperature the reaction mixture was treated with 1H HCl (Ph = 7) and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 20 ml). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, and dried (MgSO₄). Filtration and concentration to dryness gave a residue (335 mg) which was purified by flash column chromatography ²⁸ using a mixture of pentane and ethylacetate (4 : 1 v/v) as eluent to give ketone <u>13</u> (117 mg); Rf = 0.5 and diketone <u>14</u> (216 mg), Rf = 0.35.

 $\frac{\text{Ketone}}{(\text{MH}^+)} = \frac{13}{131} \text{ (liquid)} : \text{I.R. (film)} : 1678 \text{ cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{U.V. } \lambda_{\text{max}} = 252.5 \text{ nm} (\varepsilon = 6900, \text{EtOH}), \text{MS, m/z} \\ (\text{MH}^+) = 131 (\text{C.I.}) \cdot \frac{1}{\text{H}} \text{ n.m.r. (400 MHz)} 22H, \delta 3.05 \text{ and } 3.08 (2H, \text{ septuplet } H_4 + H_9, \frac{3}{\text{J}}_{\text{H4}-\text{H9}} = 6 \\ \text{Hz, } \frac{3}{\text{J}} = 6 \text{ Hz and } \frac{3}{\text{J}} = 12 \text{ Hz} \text{ ; 2.31} (1\text{H, d, } H_1, \frac{3}{\text{J}} = 7.5 \text{ Hz} \text{ ; 2.28} (1\text{H, d, } H_{11}, \frac{3}{\text{J}} = 6 \text{ Hz}) \text{ ; } 2.23 (1\text{H, m, H}_{126}) \text{ ; 1.75} (4\text{H, m, 2} \text{ H}_5 + 2 \text{ H}_8) \text{ ; 1.48} (6\text{H, m, 2} \text{ H}_6 + 2 \text{ H}_7 + H_{12\alpha} + H_{13\alpha}) \text{ ; 1.13} (3\text{H, s, Me-16}) \text{ ; 0.98} (3\text{H, s, Me-15}) \cdot \frac{13}{\text{C}} \text{ n.m.r. : } 47.3 (C_1) \text{ ; } 140.6 (C_2) \text{ ; } 39.4 (C_3) \text{ ; } 24.4 (C_4) \text{ ; } 25.1 (C_5) \text{ ; } 22.8 (C_6) \text{ ; } 27.8 (C_7) \text{ ; } 41.0 (C_8) \text{ ; } 179.5 (C_9) \text{ ; } 199.3 (C_{10}) \text{ ; } 61.2 (C_{11}) \text{ ; } 19.5 (C_{12}) \text{ ; } 19.3 (C_{13}) \text{ ; } 51.3 (C_{14}) \text{ ; } 26.7 (C_{15}) \text{ ; } 21.2 (C_{16}) \text{ . } \end{array}$

Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₁₆O : C, 85.68 ; H, 7.19 ; Found : C, 85.15 ; H, 7.20 .

 $\frac{15,16-\text{Dimethyltricyclo } [9.2.1.0.^{3.8}] \text{ tetradecan-2,10-dione} \text{diketone}}{\text{tane-ether}) . I.R. (CCl_4) : 1678 cm⁻¹ . U. V. <math>\lambda_{\text{max}} = 300 \text{ rm} (\varepsilon = 56) \text{ and } \lambda_{\text{max}} = 220 \text{ rm} (\varepsilon = 248, \text{EtOH}) . ^{1}\text{H} \text{ n.m.r.} & \varepsilon : 2.65 (2H, d of d, H_1, ^{3}\text{J} = 6 \text{ Hz and } H_{11}, ^{3}\text{J} = 7.5 \text{ Hz}) ; 2.56 (1H, d of d, ^{2}\text{J} = 18 \text{ Hz and } ^{3}\text{J} = 3 \text{ Hz}) ; 2.32 (2H, m, H_{12\beta} + H_{13\beta}) ; 2.25 (1H, q, H_{9\beta}, ^{2}\text{J} = 18 \text{ Hz and } ^{3}\text{J} = 10 \text{ Hz}) ; 2.11 (1H, t of d, H_{3\beta}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H3-H8}} = 12 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H3-H4\alpha}} = 12 \text{ Hz and } ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H3}} = 42 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H3}} = 12 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H3}} = 44\beta = 3 \text{ Hz}) ; 1.55 (1H, q of d, H_{4\alpha}, ^{2}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H4\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\alpha}} = 3 \text{ Hz}) ; 1.55 (1H, q of d, H_{4\alpha}, ^{2}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H4\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\alpha}} = 3 \text{ Hz}) ; 1.55 (1H, q of d, H_{4\alpha}, ^{2}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H4\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz}, ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\beta}} = 12 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } ^{3}\text{J}_{\text{H4\alpha-H5\alpha}} = 3 \text{ Hz}) ; 1.0 (3H, s, Me-16) ; 0.93 (3H, s, Me-15) . ^{13}C \text{ n.m.r.} : 65.1 (C_1) ; 217.3 (C_2) ; 61.2 (C_3) ; 33.0 (C_4) ; 29.67 (C_5) ; 26.0 (C_6) ; 35.4 (C_7) ; 32.78 (C_8) ; 51.3 (C_9) ; 212.5 (C_{10}) ; 63.3 (C_{11}); 26.15 (C_{12} \text{ or } C_{13}) ; 26.7 (C_{13} \text{ or } C_{12}) ; 47.0 (C_{14}) ; 32.78 (C_{15}) ; 22.91 (C_{16}) .$

Photocycloaddition of enol-ether 10b to cyclohexene : A solution of 10b (0.5 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (55 ml) and cyclohexene (16 ml) was purged with argon for 0.5 h in a photoreaction vessel then irradiated with a TQ 150 W HANAU medium pressure Hg lamp through a Heliosil ($\lambda > 245$ nm) filter at - 50°C for 8 h until t.l.c. (SiO2, EtOAc : pentane 1 : 4) indicated loss of starting material concentration to dryness gave 0.7 g of crude product . This product was purified by flash column chromatography ²⁹ using silica gel (230-400 Mesh) and a mixture of petroleum-ether and ethyl-acetate (4.5/0.5 and 4/1 v/v) as eluent to give 0.49 g (70 %) of a mixture of photoadducts of 15 and 16 . The material was shown to be a 3 : 1 mixture of 15 and 16 respectively by 400 MHz 1 H n.m.r. . Since separation of the individual components of the photoadduct mixture was very difficult, the sequence was carried through on the mixture (0.2 g) with fractions of 20 ml . The fractions (6 to 10) isolated by the above chromatography were further purified on two 20 x 20 cm silica gel Merck (PF254) plates (thickness 1 mm), using a mixture or petroleum-ether and ethylacetate (4 : 1, v/v) as eluent to give 35 mg of <u>16</u> (Rf : 0.52). Treatment of the mixture of photoadducts (15 and 16) 200 mg with HC1 (0.1 N) in MeOH (25 ml) at room temperature for 48 h, the reaction mixture was neutralised by solid NaHCO3, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 190 mg of the crude product . This product was purified by t.l.c. (PF254, Merck, silica gel 60) using a mixture of petroleum-ether and ethyl-acetate (4.1, v/v) to give 50 mg (25 %) of <u>15</u> (Rf: 0.48) and 110 mg (55 %) of 14 (Rf = 0.15).

 $\frac{15}{H2}$: Liquid, I.R. (CCl₄): 1695 cm⁻¹. ¹H n.m.r. δ : 3.18 (3H, s, OMe); 2.6 (1H, d, H₉, J = 9.75 Hz); 2.60 + 2.25 (5H, m, H₁ + H₁₁ + H₁₂ + 2H₁₃); 2.05 + 1.47 (8H, m, H₇ + 2H₄ + H₅ + H₃ + H₆ + H₈ + H₁₂); 1.40 + 1.18 (3H, m, H₇ + H₆ + H₅); 1.01 and 1.0 (6H, d, Me-15 + Me-16).

Anal. calc. for $C_{17}H_{20}O_2$: C, 79.65; H, 7.86; Found: C, 79.45; H, 7.95.

<u>16</u> : Liquid, I.R. : 1695 cm⁻¹ . ¹H n.m.r. δ : 3.08 (3H, s, OMe) ; 2.71 (1H, d, H_g, J = 10.5 Hz) ; 2.52 (1H, q) ; 1.06 (3H, s, Me-16) ; 1.03 (3H, s, Me-15) .

References

- 1. P. DIOSCORIDES, Opera, Lyon, 86 (1598) .
- 2 . G. PLINIUS SECUNDUS, Historiae naturalis, Liber. XVI, 50 .
- 3 . P. MATTHIOLE, Commentaires sur les six livres de P. Dioscorides, Lyon, 409 (1660) .
- 4 . R.W. MILLER, Journal of Natural Products, 43/14, 425 (1980) .
- 5. C. R. SMITH Jr, R. G. POWELL and K. L. MIKOLAJCZAK, Cancer Treat. Rep., 60, 1157 (1976) .
- 6. M. C. WAIN, H. C. TAYLOR, M. E. WALL, P. COGGON and A. T. MCPHALL, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>93</u>, 2325 (1971).
- 7 . R. W. MILLER, R. G. POWELL and C. R. SMITH, J. Org. Chem., 46, 1469 (1981) .
- a) I. KITAGAWA, H. SIBUYA, H. FUJOKA, A. KAJIWARA, Y. YAMAMOTO, A. TAKAGI, K. SUZUKI and K. KORI, Symposium papers, 22nd Symposium of the Chemistry of Natural Products, Fukuoka, october, 132-9 (1979); Chem. Lett., 1001 (1980).
 - b) C. ROBERT CLARK, Diss. Abstr. int. B. 41/11, 4, 117 (1981) .
 - c) Y. INOYE, C. FUKAYA and H. HAKISAWA, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 54, 1117 (1981) .
- 9. a) B. M. TROST and H. HIEMSTRA, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 886 (1982) .
- b) B. M. TROST and M. JONATHAN FRAY, Tetrahedron Letters, 25/41, 4605 (1984) .
- 10. a) S. F. MARTIN, J. B. WHITE and R. WAGNER, J. Org. Chem., 47, 3190 (1982).
 - b) K. SAKAN and B. M. CRAVEN, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 3732 (1983) .
 - c) K. J. SHEA and P. D. DAVIS, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 22, 419 (1983) .
 - d) P. A. BROWN, P. R. JENKINS, J. FAWCETT and D. R. RUSSELL, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 253 (1984).
- 11 . H. NAGOAKA, K. OHSAWA, T. TAKATA and Y. YAMADA, Tetrahedron Letters, 25/47, 5389 (1984) .
- 12 . a) R. A. HOLTON and R. M. KENNEDY, Tetrahedron Letters, 25/40, 4455 (1984) .
 - b) R. A. HOLTON, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 5731 (1984) .
- 13 . a) H. HIKINO and P. de MAYO, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 3582 (1964) .
 - b) B. D. CHALLAND, H. HIKINO, G. L. LANGE and P. de MAYO, Chem. Commun., 704 (1967); 982 (1968); J. Org. Chem., 34, 794 (1969).
 - c) P. de MAYO, Pure and Appl. Chem., 9, 597 (1964) ; Acc. Chem. Res., 4, 41 (1971) .
- 14 . D. DO KHAC, M. FETIZON and S. LAZARE, Tetrahedron, <u>34</u>/8/1207 (1978) .
- 15. a) W. HUCKEL and P. VOLKMANN, Ann. Chem., <u>664</u>, 31 (1953).
 b) M. HANACK, Ber. 94, 1087 (1961).
- 16 . J. MEINWALD and P. G. GASSMANN, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 5445 (1960) .
- 17 . a) W. HUCKEL, P. VOLKMANN, Jutus Liebigs, Ann. Chem., 31, 664 (1963) .
 - b) W. C. KOKKE, J. Org. Chem., 38, 2989 (1973) .
- 18 . a) H. HAYAKAMA, M. ISHIDATE and I. SANO, Ber., 7, 1189 (1941) .
 - b) W. C. KOKKE and F. A. VARKEOISSER, J. Org. Chem., 39, 1653 (1974) .
 - c) R. F. CHILDS and C. V. ROGERSON, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>102</u>, 4159 (1980) .
- 19. H. FAVRE, B. MARINER and J. C. RICHER, Canad. J. Chem., 34, 1329 (1956) .
- 20. M. J. BEGLEY, M. MELLOR and G. PATTENDEN, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1195 (1980); J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans I, 1905 (1983).
- 21 . A. J. BARKER, M. J. BEGLEY, A. M. BUCK and G. PATTENDEN, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I, 1919 (1983) .
- 22 . G. GERMAIN, P. MAIN and WOOLFSON, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 27, 368 (1971) .

- 23 . a) P. E. EATON, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 2344 (1962) .
 - b) Y. TAMURA, H. ISHIBASHI, M. HIRAI, Y. KITA and M. IKEDA, J. Org. Chem., <u>40</u>, 2702 (1975).
 c) P. MARGARETHA, Helv. Chim. Acta, <u>57</u>, 1866 (1974).
- 24 . A. BAX, Two-dimensional Nuclear Magnetic Resonance in Liquids . Delft University Press, London, (1982).
- 25. J. C. BELOEIL, M. A. DELSUC, J. Y. LALLEMAND, G. DAUPHIN and G. JEMINET, J. Org. Chem., <u>49</u>, 1797 (1984).
- 26 . R. G. SALOMON, KIRSTEN FOLTING, W. E. STREIB and J. K. KOCHI, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>96</u>, 1145 (1973) .
- 27 . Von HARRIBERT NEH, S. BLECHERT, W. SCHNICK and M. JANSEN, Angew. Chem., 96/11, 903 (1984) .
- 28 . T. KOJIMA, Y. INOUYE and H. KAKISAWA, Chem. Lett., 323 (1985) .
- 29. W. F. BERKOWITZ, J. PERUMATTAN and A. AMARASEKARA, Tetrahedron Letters, 26/31, 3665 (1985) .
- 30 . W. C. STILL, M. KAHN and A. MITRA, J. Org. Chem., 43, 2923 (1978) .

3502