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In the past few years the focus on central acetylcholine receptors has shifted from compounds with affinity for muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChR) to compounds with affinity for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors ( nAChR ). The therapeutic potential includes treatment of a variety of diseases, e.g., Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, and Tourette's syndrome. This work describes the synthesis of six novel series of potent ligands with nanomolar affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ nAChR subtype. Structure-activity relationship (SAR) was evaluated by the calculation of a 3D-QSAR model. 3D-QSAR analysis of the compounds using the GRID/GOLPE methodology resulted in a model of high quality ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.97, \mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.81$ ). The coefficient plots reveal that the steric interactions between the target and our compounds are of major importance for the affinity. Bulky substituents in the 6 -position of the pyridine ring will reduce the affinity of the compounds, whereas bulky ring systems including a $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-nitrogen will increase the affinity of the compounds.

## Introduction

The endogenous cholinergic neurotransmitter, acetylcholine, exerts its biological effect via two types of cholinergic receptors: the muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs) and the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors ( nAChRs ). It is well-established that mAChRs dominate quantitatively over nAChRs in the brain area important for memory and cognition, and much research aimed at the devel opment of agents for the treatment of memory-related disorders has focused on the synthesis of muscarinic ACh receptor modulators. ${ }^{1}$ Recently, however, an interest in the development of nAChR modulators has emerged. ${ }^{2}$ A number of potent compounds with affinity for nAChRs have been reported, e.g., epibatidine, ${ }^{3}$ SIB 1508Y, 4,5 and A-85380. ${ }^{6}$ The therapeutic potential includes treatment of a variety of diseases (e.g., Alzheimer's disease, ${ }^{7}$ Parkinson's disease, ${ }^{6}$ Tourette's syndrome, ${ }^{8}$ and pain). ${ }^{9}$ Indeed several CNS disorders can be attributed to a cholinergic deficiency. ${ }^{1}$
A major effort has been put into the development of pharmacophores for nicotinic receptors. ${ }^{10-13}$ QSAR models for the nicotinic receptors have, on the other hand, only been reported once for a structural limited set of nicotine analogues, with changes in the substituents at the sp ${ }^{3}$-nitrogen only. ${ }^{12}$ The measured activity was, moreover, nonsubtype specific.

The major nAChR subtype found in brain tissue is the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ subtype, ${ }^{14}$ and consequently our research has been focused on this subtype. This work describes the synthesis of nAChR ligands with high affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ subtype. The compounds were designed, partly, on the basis of the nicotine pharmacophore proposed by Sheridan. ${ }^{11}$ This strategy resulted in six groups of compounds with high affinity for $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ nAChRs (Chart

[^0]1). The SAR was evaluated using the GRID ${ }^{15,16}$ and GOLPE ${ }^{17-20}$ 3D-QSAR approach. The experimental drug A-8538021 was included in the 3D-QSAR analysis to increase the diversity of the training set.

## Results and Discussion

Chemistry. Mitsunobu coupling ${ }^{22}$ of substituted 3-hydroxypyridine and the appropriate N -tert-butoxycarbonylamino alcohols gave, after deprotection, the compounds 1, 2, and 28 (Scheme 1).

Substituted 3-bromopyridines (3a, 5a) were lithiated and reacted with N -protected amino ketones (3b, 4b) to give the hydroxyl compounds (3c-5c). Dehydration using thionyl chloride followed by base treatment gave 3d-5d which were N -deprotected to give the products 3-5 (Scheme 2).

The palladium(0)-mediated coupling ${ }^{23,24}$ of N -protected piperazine or homopiperazine with appropriately substituted 3-bromo- and 3-chloropyridines gave the products 6-27 (Scheme 3). The 5- and 6-substituted 3-halopyridines were, in most cases, prepared as outlined in Scheme 4. Nuclophilic displacement of a halogen or Suzuki coupling ${ }^{25,26}$ with the appropiate boronic acid gave the desired 5- or 6-substituted pyridines.

Thestarting material for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was prepared as described in Scheme 5. The 3-chloro-5-(2-hydroxyethoxy)pyridine, prepared as described in Scheme 4, was chlorinated using thionyl chloride, and the resulting compound was treated with potassium hydroxide to give the 3-chloro-5-ethylenoxypyridine (10a).

The bromo and chloro analogues 18, 21, and 23 were synthesized from the nonhalogenated compounds. The highly activated pyridine ring allows very fast electrophilic aromatic substitution. Selective bromination and chlorination were afforded using $\mathrm{NBS}^{27}$ and $\mathrm{NaOCl},{ }^{28}$ respectively (Scheme 6). Chlorination with NaOCl requires protection of the amino group to avoid N -chlorination, ${ }^{29}$ whereas NBS gives bromination in the pyridine ring only.

## Chart 1



Scheme $1^{\text {a }}$

a 1: $R_{1}=H, n=1 ; 2: R_{1}=H, n=2 ; 28: R_{1}=C l, n=1$. Reagents: (a) diethyl azodicarboxylate, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$; (b) TFA.

Biology. The predominant nAChR subtype found in brain tissue is composed of $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 2$ subunits, which can be labeled selectively by the nicotine agonist [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]cytisine. ${ }^{14,30}$ The affinities of the compounds for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ subtype of nAChRs have been investigated in vitro by [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]cytisine binding to rat cerebral cortical membranes (Table 1).

3D-QSAR Analysis. The 3D-QSAR model is based on a training set of 25 compounds (A-85380 and 1-24). The alignment of the compounds was performed using (R)-epibatidine and the conformationally restricted nicotinic analogue $29^{12}$ (Chart 2) as templates. An optimal superimposition of (R)-epibatidine and compound 29 was obtained by employing the calculated lowest-energy conformation of 29 and a conformation of ( R )-epibatidine with an interring dihedral angle of $8^{\circ}$ (rms $=0.32 \AA$ ). The fitting points used were the pyridine nitrogen, the center of the pyridine ring, the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-nitrogen atom, and the two hydrogen atoms attached to this atom. The conformation of (R)-epibatidine in this superimposition is very similar to the bioactive conformation proposed by Tønder et al. ${ }^{13}$ in connection with a development of a nicotinic pharmacophore. The alignment of the compounds used for the 3D-QSAR

Scheme 2a


## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{BOC}, \mathrm{n}=1$, 2. Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{KO}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}$; (b) TFA (when $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{BOC}$ ).

Scheme $4^{a}$

a $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=5-\mathrm{Ph}, 5$-(3-pyridyl), 5-(3aminophenyl); $\mathrm{R}_{3}=5-\mathrm{OMe}, 5-\mathrm{OEt}, 5-\mathrm{SPh}, 5-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, $5-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, 5-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 5-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 6-\mathrm{OMe}, 6-\mathrm{SEt}$, $5,6-\mathrm{OMe} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}$.

## Scheme $5^{\text {a }}$


a Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; (b) KOH .

## Scheme ${ }^{\text {a }}$


a 23: $R_{1}=O C H_{3}, R_{5}=B O C$; 18: $R_{1}=H, R_{5}=H ; 21: R_{1}=$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{BOC}$. Reagents: (a) NaOCl ; (b) TFA; (c) NBS; (d) TFA (21).
analysis was then obtained by least-squares fitting of the compounds to the templates ( R )-epi batidi ne and 29. The best-fitting conformation of each compound selected from low-energy conformations obtained by a conformational search were used for 3D-QSAR (see Experimental Section for further details regarding conforma-

Table 1. Structures and Affinities of the Compounds

| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $1 \mathrm{C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | obsd | pred |
| Training Set |  |  |  |  |
| A-85380 |  |  | 0.0015 | 0.0018 |
| 1 | H |  | 0.10 | 0.021 |
| 2 |  |  | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| 3 |  |  | 0.0067 | 0.0085 |
| 4 | H |  | 0.0045 | 0.011 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | 0.0030 | 0.0034 |
| 6 | Cl |  | 0.31 | 0.17 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | 0.50 | 0.12 |
| 8 | H | H | 0.0019 | 0.0026 |
| 9 | -(3-aniline) | H | 0.0040 | 0.0038 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{OCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | H | 0.0050 | 0.0059 |
| 11 | -(3-pyridyl) | H | 0.0028 | 0.0045 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | 0.0040 | 0.0045 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | H | 0.020 | 0.0055 |
| 14 | OH | H | 0.0024 | 0.0030 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | 0.0018 | 0.0023 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | 0.0030 | 0.0042 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 0.0019 | 0.0026 |
| 18 | H | Br | 0.0010 | 0.0025 |
| 19 | H | $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.17 | 0.050 |
| 20 | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.17 | 0.060 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Br | 0.00087 | 0.0019 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.17 | 0.058 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Cl | 0.00068 | 0.0022 |
| 24 | quinoline |  | 0.0066 | 0.0022 |
| Test Set |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 0.0024 | 0.0017 |
| 26 | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | 0.0022 | 0.0018 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | H | 0.0080 | 0.0044 |
| 28 | Cl |  | 0.22 | 0.095 |



Figure 1. Least-squares superimposition of compounds 3 (unfilled atoms), $\mathbf{6}$ (light gray atoms), and 21 (dark gray atoms). Hydrogens are removed for clarity. The rms values are $\mathbf{3 / 6}=0.19 \AA$ and $\mathbf{3 / 2 1}=0.29 \AA$.

## Chart 2


( $\pm$ )-Epibatidine


29
tional analysis and alignment). A least-squares superimposition of compounds 3, 6, and 21, displaying the alignment of different ring structures in the training set, is shown in Figure 1.

To mimic possible interactions with the receptor, the interaction energies between the compounds and four probes ( $\mathrm{OH} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{O}-$, and $\mathrm{N} 1+$ ) were calculated by using GRID. The use of a grid spacing of $1 \AA$ resulted in 44436 variables for each compound.

A large number of these variables do not contribute to the explanation of the biological activities and can be characterized as noise. Due to the nature of a partial least-squares analysis, the quality of the models will be

Table 2. Properties of the 3D-QSAR Models

|  | no. of <br> variables | no. of <br> components | $R^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| initial model | 15155 | 3 | 0.94 | 0.38 |
| after SRD preselection | 2169 | 3 | 0.95 | 0.39 |
| after FFD variable selection | 983 | 3 | 0.97 | 0.81 |

low if too many noise variables are included in the calculation of the correlation between structure and activity. ${ }^{31}$ A 3D-QSAR model calculated by GOLPE avoids the problem of including noise variables by applying variable sel ection. This procedure removes the noi se variables thereby creating models of significantly higher quality compared to the original ones. ${ }^{17}$

On calculating the first models for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ affinity, it was revealed that the charged probes $\mathrm{O}-$ and $\mathrm{N} 1+$ did not improve the quality of the models. The O-probe had coefficient plots similar to the plots for the OH 2 probe and did apparently describe the same interactions as this probe. No improvement of the predictivity was observed (as judged by Q²). The N $1+$ probe reduced the predictivity of the model dramatically. Apparently the variations in the calculated fields for this probe do not correlate with the variations in the biological data for the training set. The final model was therefore calculated using only two probes: OH 2 and C3. This reduces the number of variables to 15155 (after initial data pretreatment). A major part of these variables does not describe the interactions between the compounds and the target but only contributes with noise to the model. To eliminate these noise variables smart region definition (SRD) ${ }^{32}$ and FFD variable selection in GOLPE was applied. The SRD procedure selects regions of variables of highest importance for the model (in the space of the weights). These regions are then evaluated using a fractional factorial design (FFD). According to the FFD a large number of models are calculated in which some regions are left out. This allows evaluation of the effect of the individual regions. The regions contributing to the predictivity of the model in a positive way are then included in the model. The rest of the regions are eliminated.
SRD variable preselection reduces the number of variables from 15155 to 2169 without reducing the quality of the model (Table 2). The FFD variable selection further reduces the number of variables to 983 with a highly significant improvement of the quality of the model $\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.39\right.$ to $\left.\mathrm{Q}^{2}=0.81\right)$. This improvement in the quality relates to the removal of a large number of variables that only contribute with noise in calculating the correlation between structure and affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \mathrm{nAChR}$ and do not contribute to the predicitivity of the model. An inspection of a plot of the selected variables reveals that a large number of variables in the region between the 2-position in the pyridine ring and the ring containing the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-nitrogen atom have been removed. Apparently, these variables are detrimental to the predictivity of the model.

The nice correlation between observed and predicted affinity is shown in Figure 2. To further test the predictive power, the model is applied to an external test set of four compounds (25-28). The test set is chosen to represent the entire affinity interval. As seen in Figure 2 the model gives a good prediction for the external test set.


Figure 2. Observed and predicted affinities at the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ nAChR: $\square$, training set; $\mathbf{\Delta}$, test set.

The coefficient plots for the OH 2 probe (Figure 3) and the C3 probe (Figure 4) have several virtually identical regions. This relates to the fact that the interaction energy calculated for the OH 2 probe includes a steric term as well as an electrostatic term. The identical coefficient regions can therefore be evaluated on a "steric" basis. Since the identical regions are the most dominant, electrostatic interactions plays a minor role in describing the affinity difference of the compounds.

The identical regions with highest negative values are located around the 6-position in the pyridine ring and to a lesser extent around the 5-position. The negative coefficients in these regions indicate that substituents in these positions that give an unfavorable interaction with the C3 probe, i.e., bulky substituents, will reduce the affinity. This is, for example, shown by the low affinity of 19 compared to 8.

The identical regions with the highest positive values are located around the protonated nitrogen. This indicates that an introduction of substituents or bulky ring systems, including a protonated nitrogen, which have unfavorable interactions with the methyl probe will increase the affinity. Going from piperazine to homopiperazine, the affinity actually increased substantially as seen when comparing the affinities of compounds 7 and 17.

The coefficient plot for the OH2 probe differs from that for the C3 probe in the 6-position (and 5-position) of the pyridine ring. These regions have positive coefficients indicating that substituents that have an unfavorable electrostatic interaction with the water probe will increase the affinity of the compounds.

## Conclusions

Our new 3D-QSAR model complements and expands the knowledge of the SAR of nicotine analogues. The compounds include substituents in the pyridine ring as well as different ring systems bearing the protonated nitrogen. The model suggests that substituents of limited size, or new ring systems, around the protonated nitrogen will increase the affinity of the compound in accordance with the results obtained by Glennon. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{~A}$ similar feature is proposed by Tønder ${ }^{13}$ in a recently published pharmacophore model. Our model, however, includes much larger regions in the coefficient plots around the protonated nitrogen due to the diversity of our training set (see Figures 3 and 4).

The difference in affinity observed by variations of the ring system incorporating the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-nitrogen atom is best ascribed to changes in steric interactions, as the coefficient plots for both probes are very similar for these regions. This finding correlates well with the QSAR model of Glennon ${ }^{12}$ in which the steric effects accounts for $97 \%$ of the correlation. However, the electrostatic interactions are of importance when describing the differences in affinity of compounds with substituents at the5- and 6-positions in the pyridine ring; the coefficient plots for the two probes show significant differences especially in the region around the 6-position.

Using a bal anced training set of novel compounds, we have created the first 3D-QSAR for compounds that have affinity for the $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ nAChR subtype.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM $500-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer. Splitting patterns are described as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), quartet (q), pentet (p), sixtet ( sx ), multiplet ( m ), and broad (b), and the shifts are referenced to TMS. The uncorrected melting points were determined on a Griffin melting point apparatus. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merck, 0.0400.063 mm ). All moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under nitrogen using oven-dried glassware. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled from sodium. A-85380 was synthesized as previously described. ${ }^{6}$
( $\pm$ )-3-Oxy(3-pyridyl)-1-tert-butoxycarbonylpyrrolidine (1a). Procedure A. Diethyl azodicarboxylate (16.7 g, 96 mmol ) was added dropwise to a mixture of tetrahydrofuran ( 150 mL ) and triphenylphosphine ( $25.2 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for 0.5 h . ( $\pm$ )-3-H ydroxy-1-tert-butoxycarbonylpyrrol idine (12.0 $\mathrm{g}, 64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissol ved in tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) was added dropwise, followed by 3-hydroxypyridine ( $9.11 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 15 h at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was evaporated and aqueous sodium hydroxide ( $200 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added followed by extraction with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was reduced to one-half volume by evaporation and triphenylphosphine oxide was filtered off. The crude mixture was chromatographed giving $100 \%$ of 1 a ( $16.1 \mathrm{~g}, 96$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.01$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddJ}=3,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{sx} \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pJ}=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
( $\pm$ )-3-0xy(3-pyridyl)pyrrolidine (1). Procedure B. To a mixture of $1 \mathrm{la}(16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trifluoroacetic acid ( 97 mL ) and the mixture was stirred for 6 h followed by evaporation. Methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was cooled on ice. Sodium hydroxide ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred for 15 h . The solvent volume was reduced to 10 mL and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( 30 mL ). Purification of the organic phase by chromatography gave 50\% of $\mathbf{1}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.19(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd J $=2.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{sx} \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pJ}=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-3-0xy(3-pyridyl)-1-tert-butoxycar bonylpiperidine (2a) was prepared according to procedure $A$ using diethyl azodicarboxylate ( $6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( 9.8 g , 37 mmol ), ( $\pm$ )-3-hydroxy-1-tert-butoxycarbonyl piperidine ( 5.0 $\mathrm{g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-hydroxypyridine ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving $59 \%$ of $\mathbf{2 a}(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b})$, $8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 7.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.8(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.1-1.4 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
( $\pm$ )-3-0xy(3-pyridyl)piperidine (2) was prepared by procedure B using 2a ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving $32 \%$ of $2(0.27 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.5 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 7.20$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.0-1.4(4 \mathrm{H}$, m). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )-3-(3-Pyridyl)-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-2-ene (3). Procedure C. To a mixture of 3-bromopyridine ( $11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ )


Figure 3. Contour maps (stereoview) for $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ nAChR affinity. The negative (top) and positive (bottom) coefficients at the 0.002 level for the OH 2 probe are shown. An unfavorable interaction (positive interaction energy) between a substituent and the probe in regions with negative coefficients will decrease $-\log \left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$, i.e., reduce the activity of the compound and vice versa for positive coefficients. The compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ is drawn to illustrate the size of the regions.
and diethyl ether ( 200 mL ) was added butyllithium in hexanes ( $30.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . N-Benzyltropinone ( $15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in diethyl ether ( 80 mL ) was added at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added and the diethyl ether was separated. The water phase was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined organic phases were purified by chromatography, which gave $34 \%(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 24$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ of $3 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Bn}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 8.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.38$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=1.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.0(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.28$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), 2.4-2.1 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.78(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

A solution of $3 \mathrm{c}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium on carbon ( $5 \%$, 0.5 g ) and concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 2.0 mL ) in ethanol $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred under hydrogen for 15 h . The crude mixture was filtered through Celite and evaporated to dryness giving a crude product $(4.5 \mathrm{~g})$ as the hydrochloride of $3 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}=\right.$ H) as main constituent (80\%). The crude product was dissolved in dichloromethane and added to a mixture of triethylamine ( $5.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0$ mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, evaporated and purified by chromatography giving 90\% (2.8 $\mathrm{g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of 3 C ( $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ tert-butoxycarbonyl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bdj}=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.3-1.8(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

A mixure of $3 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}=\right.$ tert-butoxycarbonyl), thionyl chloride $(6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 82 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrahydrofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . The mixture was evaporated, combined with potassium hydroxide ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethanol ( 20 mL ) and water ( 20 mL ), and stirred for 10 min . The ethanol was evaporated and water was added. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and purified by chromatography giving 23\% ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of 3d ( $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ tert-butoxycarbonyl) as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}$,
bd J $=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.76$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bdJ}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.0-1.7(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained by procedure B using 3d ( 0.41 $\mathrm{g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, giving ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) $31 \%$ of the product. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp 175.4-176.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)^{\prime}$ : $\delta 8.56$ (1H, bs), 8.39 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $7.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd} \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 6.51 (1H, bs), $6.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd} \mathrm{J}=15.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.0-1.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ) C, H, N.
( $\pm$ )-9H-3-(3-Pyridyl)-9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-2-ene (4). The compound was prepared by procedure C using 9-benzyl-9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-one ( $25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), butyllithium in hexanes ( $48.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-bromopyridine ( $17.2 \mathrm{~g}, 109 \mathrm{mmol}$ ); overall yield $4 \%(0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $164.5-166.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $8.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 4.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.80$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=16.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) 2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=16.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.7-1.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

3-Bromo-5-methoxypyridine (5a). Procedure D. Sodium ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol and the solvent was evaporated, giving sodium methoxide ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13$ mol ). A solution of 3,5 -dibromopyridine ( $25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 125 mL ) was added to sodium methoxide $(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ and stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether. The ether phase was purified by chromatography


Figure 4. Contour maps (stereoview) for $\alpha 4 \beta 2$ nAChR affinity. The negative (top) and positive (bottom) coefficients at the 0.002 level for the C3 probe are shown. An unfavorable interaction (positive interaction energy) between a substituent and the probe in regions with negative coefficients will decrease $-\log \left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$, i.e., reduce the activity of the compound and vice versa for positive coefficients. The compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ is drawn to illustrate the size of the regions.
to give $56 \%$ of $\mathbf{5 a}(11.1 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \mathrm{mmol})$ as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}) 3.90$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ).
( $\pm$ )-9H-3-(3-(5-Methoxypyridyl))-9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-2-ene (5). To a mixture of 5 a ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethyl ether ( 100 mL ) was added butyllithium in hexanes ( 11.7 mL , 29 mmol ) at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h. 9-M ethyl-9-azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-3-one ( $4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in diethyl ether ( 40 mL ) was added at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added and the diethyl ether was separated. The water phase was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined organic phases were purified by chromatography giving $19 \%$ ( 1.3 g , $5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $5 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd})$, 8.19 (1H, bd), 7.48 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}$ ), 3.89 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.68 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.01 ( 1 H , bs), $2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 2.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.7-1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

A mixture of $5 \mathrm{c}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, thionyl chl oride $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL}$, 68.5 mmol ) and tetrahydrofuran ( 50 mL ) was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . The mixture was evaporated, combined with potassium hydroxide ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 44.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethanol ( 15 mL ) and water, $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 5 min . The ethanol was evaporated and water was added. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and chromatography gave $94 \%$ of $5 \mathbf{d}(0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bdJ}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b})$,
$2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=7.1,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.7-1.4 (3H, m).

A solution of $5 \mathbf{d}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-chloroethyl chloroformate ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2-dichloroethane (4 mL ) was stirred at refluxed for 24 h . The mixture was evaporated to dryness and methanol ( 4 mL ) was added followed by reflux for 24 h . The mixture was evaporated and purified by chromatography giving $27 \%$ of 5 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31$ mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.15$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, 3.45 (1H, bs), 2.79 (1H, dd J $=17.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=$ 17.0 Hz ), 1.9-0.5 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(5-Chloro-3-pyridyl)piperazine (6). A mixture of 3,5dichloropyridine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-tert-butoxycarbonylpiperazine ( $7.55 \mathrm{~g}, 40.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17$ mmol ), potassium tert-butoxide ( $5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 67.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous toluene ( 100 mL ) was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography gave $10 \%$ of 1-(5-chloro-3-pyridyl)-4-tert-butoxycarbonylpiperazine as an oil ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3$ mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.66(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.28(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.51(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

This product was treated as described in procedure $B$ to give $76 \%$ of $6(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp 195-196 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.41(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.17(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.89(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ $\left.1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Chloro-5-ethoxypyridine (7a) was prepared according to procedure D using 3,5-dichloropyridine ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium ethoxide ( $5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving 91\% of 7a ( $8.7 \mathrm{~g}, 61$ mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.09$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qJ}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

1-(5-Ethoxy-3-pyridyl)piperazine (7). A mixture of 7a ( $6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 45.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperazine ( $19.7 \mathrm{~g}, 229 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium tert-butoxide ( $11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 91.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (150 mL ) was stirred at reflux for 1 h . Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography gave $48 \%$ of 7 ( $4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp 160.0-161.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.29$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd} \mathrm{J}=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=8.1,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.11(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{qJ}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.92(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(3-Pyridyl)homopiperazine (8). Procedure E. A mixture of 3-bromopyridine ( $3.95 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-tert-butoxycarbonylhomopiperazine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (145 mg, 0.13 mmol ), potassium tertbutoxide ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous tol uene ( 75 mL ) was stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Water was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography gave 13\% of $8 \mathbf{a}(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.38(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

8a was treated as described in procedureB which gave 85\% of $8(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ as an oil. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $172.1-172.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : $\delta 8.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.87(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ $\left.1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(5-(3-Aminophenyl)-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (9). A solution of 14 ( $71.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 1.0 l ) was added to aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (1.3 I, 1 M ) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $58.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The organic phase was concentrated and purified by chromatography to give 52\% of 1-tert-butoxycarbonyl-4-(5-hydroxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine ( $41.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $8.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), 3.22 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$ ), $1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.39(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-4-(5-hydroxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine ( $41.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $39.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and pyridine ( $33.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm overnight, washed with aqueous sodium hydroxide and concentrated. Chromatography afforded 28\% of 1-tert-butoxycarbonyl-4-(5-trifluoromethanesulfonyloxy-3pyridyl)homopiperazine ( $16.7 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}\right)_{3}$ : $\delta 8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt}$ ), $3.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt}), 1.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.39(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-4-(5-trifluoromethanesulfonyl oxy-3pyridyl)homopi perazine ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,2dimethoxyethane ( 35 mL ) and 1,3-propanediol ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 23.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, lithium chloride ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aqueous potassium carbonate ( $14.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-aminophenylboronic acid hemisulfate ( $1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was refluxed for 1 h , added to aqueous sodium hydroxide and extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography afforded 85\% of 1-tert-butoxycarbonyl-4-(5-(3-aminophenyl)-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.4(1 \mathrm{H}$,
s) $3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 1.40(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-tert-B utoxycarbonyl-4-(5-(3-aminophenyl)-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated according to procedure B which afforded $98 \%$ of 9 ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $207-209{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.12(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, b). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Chloro-5-ethylenoxypyridine (10a). To a solution of 15a ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran was added thionyl chloride ( $42 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and thionyl chloride was evaporated. Water was added and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane. The crude product was dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ) and aqueous potassium hydroxide ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ ) and was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h. Water was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography gave 11\% of 10a (0.51 g, 3.2 mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 8.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=14,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=14,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

1-(5-Ethylenoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (10). Procedure $F$. A mixture of $10 \mathrm{a}(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, homopiperazine ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium tert-butoxide ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6$ mmol) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane ( 25 mL ) was stirred at reflux for 3 h . Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography gave 81\% of $\mathbf{1 0}(0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $174-175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}$ $=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=$ $13.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=6.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=$ $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.04$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

5-(3-Pyridyl)-3-chloropyridine (11a). Procedure G. To a solution of 3,5 -dichloropyridine ( $15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), diethyl-3-pyridylborane ( $14.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aqueous potassium carbonate ( $152 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.31 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the mixture refluxed for 4 days. Aqueous sodium hydroxide was added, 1,2-dimethoxyethane was evaporated and the water phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. Chromatography afforded $11 \%$ of 11a ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as crystals; mp 98$100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.50$ (1H, s), $7.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

1-(5-(3-Pyridyl)-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (11) was prepared according to procedure F using 11a ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as reagent, giving $17 \%$ of 11 ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $160-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.81$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=4.4,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt} \mathrm{J}=8.5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddJ}=8.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.08(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, b). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Bromo-5-thiophenylpyridine (12a) was synthesized by procedure D using 3,5-dibromopyridine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 42.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium hydride ( 1.9 g of a $60 \%$ suspension, 46.4 mmol ) as base and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl formamide as solvent. This gave 99\% of 12a ( $11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 42.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 6.85 ( 1 H , bs).

1-(5-Thiophenyl-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (12). Procedure H. A mixture of 3-bromo-5-thiophenylpyridine ( 6.0 g , 22.5 mmol ), homopiperazine ( $11.3 \mathrm{~g}, 113 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium tert-butoxide ( $5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous toluene (60 mL ) was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Water was added and the
mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ). Chromatography gave $25 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $177-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.72 (1H, bs), $7.3(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) 3.54(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{tJ}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt $\mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(5-Carboxamido-3-pyridyl)homopi perazine (13) was prepared according to procedure $F$ using 5-carboxamido-3chloropyridine ( $4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving 26\% 13 (1.12 g, 5.1 $\mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $149-151{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta 8.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.58(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.56(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Methoxymethoxy-3-chloropyridine (14a). To a solution of 3-chloro-5-hydroxypyridine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 77.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide ( 100 mL ) were added bromomethyl methyl ether ( $10.6 \mathrm{~g}, 84.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( 10.7 g , 77.2 mmol ). The mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , added to aqueous sodium hydroxide and extracted with diethyl ether. The diethyl ether phase was washed with aqueous sodium hydroxide, giving 75\% of 14a ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 57.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-(5-H ydroxy-3-pyridyl )homopiperazine (14). 1-(5-M eth-oxymethoxy-3-pyridyl)homopi perazine was prepared in 71\% yield ( $9.7 \mathrm{~g}, 40.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by procedure F using 14 a ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 57.6$ mmol ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.50(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $5.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J$=6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.72(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

1-(5-M ethoxymethoxy-3-pyridyl)homopi perazine ( $8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 35.9$ mmol) was stirred in hydrochloric acid ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ ) at room temperature for 1 h . The excess of hydrochloric acid was evaporated, and triturating with a mixture of 5\% methanol and ether gave $100 \%$ of the hydrochloride of $\mathbf{1 4}(9.56 \mathrm{~g}, 35.9$ mmol); mp 290-300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)^{\text {) }} \delta 11.3$ (1H, s), $9.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, t J $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.08(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs), $2.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Bromo-5-(2-hydroxyethoxy)pyridine (15a) was prepared by procedure D using 3,5-dichloropyridine ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13$ mol ) and ethanediol ( $9.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), giving 79\% of 15a (17.9 $\mathrm{g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

1-(5-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (15) was prepared according to procedure F using 15a (17.4 g, 100 mmol) giving $33 \%$ of 15 ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.11(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.02(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Phenyl-3-bromopyridine (16a) was prepared by procedure G using 3,5-dibromopyridine ( $25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and phenylboronic acid ( $11.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), giving $27 \%$ of 16 a ( 6.1 g, 26.0 mmol$) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{6}\right): \delta 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs), 6.75 (1H, bs), 6.21 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).

1-(5-Phenyl-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (16) was prepared according to procedure H using 5-phenyl-3-bromopyridine ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) giving $44 \%$ of 16 ( $1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $185-186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.5-7.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=$ $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bp})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

1-(5-Methoxy-3-pyridyl)homopi perazine (17) was prepared by procedure H using 3-bromo-5-methoxypyridine (5.6
g, 30.0 mmol ) giving $56 \%(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 17. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $161-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.76$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd} \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.41$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}$, bp). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

1-(6-Bromo-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (18). 1-(3-Pyridyl)homopi perazine ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 50 mL ). N-Bromosuccinimide ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min . The crude mixture was evaporated and purified by chromatography to give 39\% of 18 as a free base ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $): \delta 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=8.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.40$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pJ}=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Bromo-2-thioethoxypyridine (19a) was prepared by procedure D using 2,5-dibromopyridine ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 84.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethanethiol ( $5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 92.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) giving 85\% of 19a ( $16.8 \mathrm{~g}, 71.8$ mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qJ}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=$ 7.1 Hz).

1-(6-Thioethoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (19) was prepared according to procedure $F$ using 19a ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving 45\% 19 ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp 115-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $): \delta 8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 6.41$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$, $1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 1.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

5-Bromo-2-methoxypyridine (20a) was prepared by procedure D using 2,5-dibromopyridine ( $30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and sodium methoxide ( $8.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) giving 85\% of 20a (20.7 g, $0.11 \mathrm{~mol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd} \mathrm{J}=$ $5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-(6-Methoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (20) was prepared according to procedure E using 20a ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 32.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the crude product was deprotected by procedure B giving $11 \%$ of 20 ( $0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $127-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6): $\delta 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}$ $=8.6,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt $\mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt $\mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bp})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(6-Bromo-5-ethoxy-3-pyridyl)-4-tert-butoxycarbonylhomopiperazine (21a). N-Bromosuccinimide (2.7 g, 15.2 mmol) was added to 1-(5-ethoxy-3-pyridyl)-4-tert-butoxycarbonylhomopi perazine ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in dichloromethane ( 150 mL ) The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 min . The mixture was washed with saturated sodium sulfite ( 100 mL ) and evaporated. Chromatography on silica gel with a mixture of petroleum:ethyl acetate gave 58\% of 21a ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.48$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qJ}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.6(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.35$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.49$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

1-(6-Bromo-5-ethoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (21) was obtained by procedure B using 21a ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) giving $68 \%$ of 21 ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; $\mathrm{mp} 181.7-183.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qJ}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.02(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.98$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

5-Chloro-1,2-dimethoxypyridine (22a) was prepared according to procedure D using 2,3,5-trichloropyridine ( $9.1 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0$ mmol ) and sodium methoxide ( $5.9 \mathrm{~g}, 110 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) giving $83 \%$ of 22a ( $7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 41.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-(5,6-Dimethoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (22) was prepared by procedure F using 22a ( $5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 32.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) giving $15 \%$ of $22(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; $\mathrm{mp} 150-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 ): $\delta 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{j}$ $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}$, btJ $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.95$ (2H, b). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(6-Chloro-5-methoxy-3-pyridyl)-4-tert-butoxycarbonylhomopiperazine (23a). An aqueous solution of sodium hypochlorite ( $16.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to mixture of 1-(5-methoxy-3-pyridyl)-4-tert-butoxycarbonyl homopiperazine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylformamide ( 185 mL ) at room temperature and stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature. Water was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether and purified by chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate:toluene. This gave $18 \%$ of 23a ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as well as $73 \%$ of the 2 -chloro isomer ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was separated by chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate:toluene. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.48$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.50(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{btJ}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.31(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

1-(6-Chloro-5-methoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (23) was prepared by procedure B using 23a ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) giving $25 \%$ of $\mathbf{2 3}$ ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp 196-197 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.85$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(3-Quinolinyl)homopiperazine (24) was prepared according to procedure E using 3-bromoquinoline ( $8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0$ mmol ) and the product was used directly without purification in procedure B giving 39\% of 24 ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp 181-182 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.72$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt}$ $\mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08(2 \mathrm{H}$, bp $)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Bromo-5-methoxyethoxypyridine (25a) was prepared by procedure D using 2-methoxyethanol ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 46.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3,5-dibromopyridine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product ( 10 g ) was used without purification.

1-(5-Methoxyethoxy-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (25) was prepared by procedureG using 25a ( 10 g ) and homopiperazine $(21.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol})$ giving $57 \%$ of $25(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ): $\delta 7.78$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.5(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tJ}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.08$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Bromo-5-(2-methyl-1-propoxy)pyridine (26a) was prepared by procedure D using 3,5-dibromopyridine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.2$ mmol ) and 2-methyl-1-propanol ( $3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 46.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product ( 12 g ) was used without purification.

1-(5-(2-Methyl-1-propoxy)-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (26) was prepared by procedure G using 26a (12 g) and homopi perazine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving $42 \%$ of 26 ( 4.0 g , 17.6 mmol ). The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $122-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(2 \mathrm{H}$, t J $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz})$
$2.0(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.

3-Bromo-5-thiobenzylpyridine (27a) was prepared by procedure D using 3,5-dibromopyridine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzylmercaptan ( $5.2 \mathrm{~g}, 42.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was used without purification ( 12 g ).
1-(5-Thiobenzyl-3-pyridyl)homopiperazine (27) was prepared by procedure $G$ using $\mathbf{2 7 a}(3 \mathrm{~g})$ and homopiperazine ( 5.4 $\mathrm{g}, 53.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, giving $10 \%$ of $27(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $148-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dJ}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt}, \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=$ $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bt} \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86$ $(2 \mathrm{H}$, bt J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.87\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, b). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
( $\pm$ )-3-0xy(5-chloro-3-pyridyl)pyrrolidine (28) was prepared as described for compound $\mathbf{1}$ using 5-chloro-3-hydroxypyridine ( $6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 48.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), giving $5 \%$ of $28(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The corresponding fumaric acid salt was obtained by addition of a diethyl ether and methanol mixture (9:1) saturated with fumaric acid; mp $142-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.21$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}), 3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{b} \mathrm{sx}), 1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Conformational Analysis and Molecular Alignment. Conformational analysis of the compounds was performed by using the MMFF94 force field and the systematic pseudo Monte Carlo search as implemented in MacroModel version 6.5. ${ }^{33,34}$ The conformational analysis was in all cases performed for the N -protonated compounds in aqueous solution. The lowenergy conformer ( $<1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the global energy minimum) of each compound which gave the best fit to epi batidine and $29^{12}$ (Chart 2) was used to cal culate the 3D-QSAR model. The fitting points used were the pyridine nitrogen, the center of the pyridine ring, the terminal (protonated) nitrogen and the two hydrogen atoms attached to this atom. In the case of alternative low-energy conformations of substituents in the 5and 6-positions, the conformation in which similar structural fragments of the substituents are positioned in similar locations in space was chosen.

GRID Calculations. The interaction energies were calculated by using GRID (version 16) ${ }^{16}$ with a grid spacing of $1 \AA$ and the grid dimensions $(\AA \AA): X_{\text {min }} / X_{\text {max }},-11.0 / 9.0 ; Y_{\text {min }} / Y_{\text {max }}$, -13.0/9.0; and $Z_{\text {min }} / Z_{\text {max }}$, -13.0/9.0.
GOLPE Analyses. Partial least-squares (PLS) models were calculated by using GOLPE 4.1. ${ }^{18}$
Variable Preselection. GOLPE rejects variables having a total sum of squares (SS) lower than $10^{-7}$. The number of variables $w$ was further reduced by region selection before applying variable selection.

Smart Region Definition (SRD). A number of seeds (1110) were selected using a D-optimal design criterion in the weight space Structural differences between different molecules in the series will be reflected in groups of variables, and therefore groups were generated around each seed in the 3D-space. Variables with a distance of no more than $1 \AA$ to the seeds were included in the groups. If two neighboring groups (with a distance smaller than $2 \AA$ ) contained the same information the groups were collapsed. The groups were used in the variable selection procedure replacing the original variables. The effect of the groups on the predictivity was evaluated and groups instead of individual variables were removed from the data file.

Region Selection. The effect of the grouped variables on the predictivity was evaluated using a fractional factorial design (FFD) procedure. A number of reduced models (twice the number of variables) were built removing some of the variables according to the FFD design. The effect of dummy variables (20\%) on the predictivity was calculated and only if a variable had a positive effect on the predictivity larger than the effect of the average dummy variable was the variable included in the final model.

Cross-Validation. The models were validated using random groups. Molecules were assigned in a random way to five groups of equal size. Reduced models were built keeping out one group at a time. Theformation of the groups was repeated 10 times.

In Vitro Inhibition of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ Cytisine Binding. Rat cerebral cortical membranes were prepared from male Wistar rats as described by Pabreza. ${ }^{30}$ Cerebral cortices were removed rapidly after decapitation, homogenized for 20 s in 15 mL of Tris, $\mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) containing $120 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$ and 2.5 mM CaCl 2 using an Ultra-Turrax homogenizer and centrifuged at 27000 g for 10 min . All procedures were performed at $0-4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ unless otherwise indicated. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in fresh buffer and centrifuged a second time. The final pellet was resuspended in 35 volumes of buffer and used for binding experiments.
Binding conditions were as described previously. ${ }^{30}$ Samples containing $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of tissue suspension, $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]cytisine ( 1 nM , final concentration) and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of drug solution were mixed and incubated for 90 min at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in duplicate. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}(-)-$ nicotine. Binding was terminated by rapid filtration over Whatman GF/C glass fiber filters. The amount of radi oactivity on the filters was determined by conventional liquid scintilIation counting using a Tri-carb liquid scintillation analyzer with a counting efficiency of $58 \%$.
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