

CARBOHYDRATE RESEARCH

Carbohydrate Research 307 (1998) 351-354

Note

A highly diastereoselective, practical synthesis of allyl, propargyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- β -D-gluco, β -D-galactopyranosides and allyl, propargyl heptaacetyl- β -D-lactosides

Hari Babu Mereyala*, Srinivas Reddy Gurrala

Organic Chemistry Division 111, Bio-organic Laboratory, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

Received 10 September 1997; accepted 24 November 1997

Abstract

Commercially available β -D-glucopyranose pentaacetate, β -D-galactopyranose pentaacetate and β -D-lactose heptaacetate were reacted with propargyl alcohol in the presence of BF₃-Et₂O catalyst to obtain in high yield and selectivity, the corresponding propargyl derivatives, respectively. These were hydrogenated by use of Lindlar catalyst to give the corresponding allyl β -Dglycopyranosides in quantitative yield. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved

Keywords: Allyl β -D-glycopyranosides; Propargyl β -D-glycopyranosides; Allyl and propargyl β -D-lactosides; Lindlar catalyst

Allyl glycopyranoside derivatives find extensive application in oligosaccharide synthesis because the anomeric O-allyl group can be deprotected [1] by a choice of various reagents at any length of the growing saccharide chain [2] to obtain the reducing sugar. This can be used for further derivatisation with proteins to obtain glycoconjugates, for example, for serodiagnosis of antibodies [3]. The anomeric O-allyl group has also been modified to prepare glycoconjugates with a spacer arm [4]. Hydrosilylated polymers of allyl glycosides with commercial utility have also been reported [5]. The presence of the neighbouring chiral saccharide has aided the asymmetric functionalisation of the Oallyl group to obtain enantiomerically pure compounds for use in organic synthesis [6].

Allyl glycopyranoside derivatives **1a** and **2a** have earlier been prepared starting from the reaction of either unprotected sugars [7–13] or tetraacetyl glycosyl bromide [14–18] or β -D-glycopyranose pentaacetate [19–22] with allyl alcohol in the presence of a suitable catalyst. Reaction of D-Glc with allyl alcohol as a solvent and dry HCl (gas) has been reported to result in the formation of an anomeric mixture of allyl α/β -D-Glcp in 40% yield [7,8,23]. Use of acidic ion-exchange resin (Dowex 50 X-8) instead of HCl (gas) has been reported to

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +91-40-7173387; e-mail: root@csiict.ren.nic.in

form ally α -D-glucopyranoside in 41% yield [9,10] and a similar reaction of D-Gal gave allyl α -D-Galp in a poor yield of 26% [10]. Use of BF₃-Et₂O as a catalyst at reflux has been reported to result in the formation of ally α/β -D-Glcp in 8:1 ratio [12]. Reaction of D-Glc with allyl bromide and DMPU [11] has been reported to give allyl α/β -D-Glcp in 65% yield in 1:4.5 ratio. Reaction of tetraacetyl Glcp bromide and allyl alcohol by use of transition metal catalysts such as Ag₂CO₃ [14,16], HgO-HgBr₂ [15,17], Hg(CN)₂ [10] and ZnO-ZnCO₃ [18] has been carried out and reported to result in the formation of allyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-Oacetyl- β -D-Glcp (1a) in yields ranging from 40-60%. Commercially available, stable β -D-Glcp (1b) and β -D-Galp pentaacetate (2b) have been reported to react with allyl alcohol (3-5 mole equivalents) in the presence of Lewis acid catalysts such as SnCl₄ [19], BF_3 -Et₂O (2–10 mole equivalents) [20,22] and catalytic *p*-toluenesulfonic acid [21] to form 1a and 2a as anomeric mixture in yields ranging from 46– 73%. Formation of allyl 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl- β -D-Glcp [22] under similar conditions by use of BF₃-Et₂O has also been reported. In general these reactions required use of a large excess of allyl alcohol (many a times as a solvent) and BF₃-Et₂O; they invariably lead to the formation of anomeric mixtures in inconsistent yields. In our own experience reactions using 1b-3b are very much dependent upon time, temperature and concentration of the substrate and we could not easily optimise these conditions to obtain any one single anomer of 1a-**3a** and it always required column chromatography to purify them.



We have now developed a simple procedure to prepare large quantities of anomerically pure **1a**,**2a** and allyl heptaacetyl β -D-lactose **3a** and to transform them into novel glycosyl donors possessing "acetal ester" leaving groups [24] and also for studies related to development of mild deprotection procedures [25]. The strategy involved preparation of the propargyl glycosides 1c-3c first and then partial hydrogenation with the Lindlar catalyst to obtain 1a–3a, respectively. Thus, β -D-glucopyranose pentaacetate 1b [26] was reacted with propargyl alcohol (1.2 mole equivalent) in dry dichloromethane at room temperature containing BF_3 -Et₂O (1.5 mole equivalent) for 2 h to obtain 1c as a crystalline solid in very high yield (92.3%). Formation of the undesired a-anomer was not observed by TLC and ¹H NMR. 1c was characterised from the appearance of the anomeric proton at δ 4.66 as a doublet ($J_{1,2}=9.1$ Hz) and the acetylenic proton at δ 2.45 as a triplet $(J_{1,3} = 2.3 \text{ Hz})$. Likewise, β -D-galactopyranose pentaacetate **2b** [26] and β -D-lactose heptaacetate **3b** were reacted with propargyl alcohol and BF₃-Et₂O for 2h at room temperature to obtain their corresponding propargyl glycosides 2c and 3c in high yields (92–95%) pure enough for further reactions. 1c and 3c have also been recrystallised from dichloromethane-hexane to obtain crystalline 1c (m.p. 114–115°C) [27], **2c** (55–57°C) and **3c** (m.p. 74-76 °C). While optimising the reaction conditions it was found that use of 2 mole equivalents of BF₃-Et₂O did not have any adverse effect on the diastereoselectivity, except that yields were lowered by 11–16% for 1c–3c. Complete conversion of the substrates 1b-3b was observed after 1.5 h and formation of products 1c-3c at 6h, 12h and 16h intervals of time indicated that after 16h yields lowered by 2-3% and no anomerisation of the products 1c-3c was observed unlike 1a-3a. A temperature range of 20-35°C did not have any adverse effect on yield and diastereoselectivity. Propargyl glycosides 1c-3c were hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure by use of the Lindlar catalyst [28] for 3–4h to obtain their corresponding allyl glycosides 1a-3a, respectively, in almost quantitative yield. **1a** and **2a** [12,19] have been characterised by comparison of their physical data ($[\alpha]_{\rm D}$, m.p. ¹H NMR) with that reported in literature. 3a was characterised by analogy to 1a and 2a from the appearance of the H-2 proton at δ 6.0–5.7 as a multiplet.

1. Experimental

General methods.—¹H NMR spectra were measured with a Varian Gemini (200 MHz) spectrometer, with tetramethylsilane as internal standard for solutions in deuteriochloroform. ¹³C NMR spectra were taken with a Varian Gemini (50 MHz) spectrometer with CDCl₃ as internal standard (δ c 77.0) for solutions in deuteriochloroform. Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO DIP-370 instrument, and [α]_D-values are in units of 10⁻¹ deg cm² g⁻¹. Melting points were determined by using a Fischer-John's melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were taken with a Perkin-Elmer 1310 spectrometer. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated below 40 °C in vacuo.

2-Propynyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (1c).—To a solution of β -D-glucopyranose pentaacetate (1b) (10g, 25.6 mmol) in dichloromethane (200 mL) was added freshly distilled propargyl alcohol (1.8 mL, 30.7 mmol) and BF₃-Et₂O (4.8 mL, 38.4 mmol) at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h. After completion of the reaction anhydrous K_2CO_3 (4.8 g) was added and stirring was continued for a further 30 min. and the reaction mixture was filtered and washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was washed with water $(2 \times 150 \text{ mL})$, the aqueous phase was separated and extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic phases were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated to yield a solid which was crystallised (dichloromethane-hexane) to obtain the title compound 1c (9.10 g, 92%) as a crystalline solid. m.p. 114–115°C, lit. 114–116°C [27], $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ –39 (c 1.0, CHCl₃), lit. -37.4 [27]; IR (KBr): ν 3260 (\equiv C–H), 2128 (C \equiv C), and 1744 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 5.32–4.9 (m, 3 H, H-2,3,4), 4.66 (d, 1 H, J_{1,2} 9.1 Hz, H-1), 4.39 (d, 2 H, J_{1,3} 2.3 Hz, H-1), 4.5-4.05 (m, 4 H, H-1,6), 3.80-3.6 (m, 1 H, H-5), 2.45 (t, 1 H, H-3), 2.12, 2.09, 2.06, and 2.03 (4s, 12 H, OCOCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 170.4, 170.0, 2×169.3 (OCOCH₃), 98.1 (C-1), 78.1, 75.5, 72.7, 71.9, 70.9, 68.3 (C-2, -3, -4, -5, -2, -3), 61.7 (C-6), 55.8 (C-1), 2×20.5, 2×20.4 (OCOCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₂O₁₀: C, 52.85; H, 5.74. Found: C, 52.77; H, 5.62.

2-Propynyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (**2c**).—Reaction of **2b** (15 g, 38.4 mmol) with propargyl alcohol (2.72 mL, 46.1 mmol) and BF₃–Et₂O (7.2 mL, 57.6 mmol) in dichloromethane (300 mL) as described for **1c** gave **2c** (14.06 g, 95%) as a syrup which solidified on standing m.p. 55– 57 °C, $[\alpha]_D$ –23 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): ν 3267 (\equiv C-H), 2096 (C \equiv C), and 1744 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.37 (d, 1 H, J_{3,4} 3 Hz, H-4), 5.16 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.6 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 9 Hz, H-2), 5.03 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 4.72 (d, 1 H, H-1), 4.39 (d, 2 H, $J_{1,3}$ 2.0 Hz, H-1), 4.25–4.5 (m, 2 H, H-6), 3.85–4.0 (m, 1 H, H-5), 2.45 (t, 1 H, H-3), 2.17, 2.09, 2.07, and 2.01 (4 s, 12 H, OCOCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 170.1, 170.0, 169.8, 169.3 (OCOCH₃), 98.5 (C-1), 70.8, 75.2, 2×70.7, 68.3, 66.9 (C-2,-3,-4,-5,-2,-3), 61.0 (C-6), 55.7 (C-1), 20.5, 2×20.4, 20.3 (OCOCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₂O₁₀: C, 52.85; H, 5.74. Found: C, 52.78; H, 5.65.

4-O-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-2-Propynyl glucopyranosyl] - 2,3,6 - tri - O - acetyl - β - D - galactopyranoside (3c).—Reaction of 3b (15g, 2.21 mmol) with propargyl alcohol (1.56 mL, 2.65 mmol) and BF_3 -Et₂O (4.17 mL, 3.31 mmol) in dichloromethane (300 mL) as described for 1c gave 3c (13.93 g, 93.5%) as a crystalline solid. m.p. 74-76 °C, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ –12.8 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): v 3274 $(\equiv C-H)$, 2118 (C $\equiv C$) and 1752 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 5.4–4.8 (m, 5 H, H-2;,2,3,3,4), 4.72, 4.50 (2d, 2 H, J_{1,2}/J_{1,2} 8, 8.2 Hz, H-1,1), 4.45-4.55 merged (m, 1 H, H-4), 4.4-4.24 (d, 2 H, J_{1.3} 2.1 Hz, H-1), 4.2–3.55 (m, 6 H, H-5,5,6,6), 2.44 (t, 1 H, H-3), 2.19, 2.14, 2×2.09, 2×2.06, 1.98 (5 s, 21 H, OCOCH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2×170.2, 2×170.0, 2×169.6, 169.0 (OCOCH₃), 100.6, 97.8 (C-1,-1), 78.0, 75.9, 75.4, 2×72.6, 71.2, 70.8, 70.6, 69.0, 66.5 (C-2,-2,-3,-3,-4,-4,-5,-5,-2,-3), 61.7, 60.7 $(C-6, -6OCOCH_3)$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{38}O_{18}$: C, 51.63; H, 5.68. Found: C, 51.52; H, 5.51.

2-Propenyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (1a).—1c (5g, 12.9 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (100 mL), and 5% Pd–CaCO₃ (250 mg) followed by quinoline (2.5 mL) were added and the contents were stirred at room temperature for 8 h under hydrogen atmosphere. When TLC indicated the formation of a faster moving spot and disappearence of starting material, the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated to a residue, diluted with dichloromethane (50 mL)washed with chilled 2% aqueous hydrochloric acid $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and water. The organic phase was separated, dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated to yield the title compound **1a** (4.9 g, 98%) as a solid. m.p. 86–88 °C, lit. 89–90 °C [12], $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ –11 (c 1.0, CHCl₃), lit. -11.7 (c 1.0, CHCl₃) [12].

2-Propenyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (2a).—2c (6.4 g, 16.5 mmol) was hydrogenated in presence of 5% Pd–CaCO₃ (320 mg) and quinoline (3.2 mL) as described for 1a to obtain 2a (6.35 g, 98.8%) as a syrup. $[\alpha]_D$ –8 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃). 2-Propenyl 4 - O - [2,3,4,6 - tetra - O - acetyl - β-D-glucopyranosyl] - 2,3,6 - tri - O - acetyl - β - Dgalactopyranoside (**3a**).—**3c** (5g, 0.74 mmol) was hydrogenated in presence of 5% Pd-CaCO₃ (250 mg) and quinoline (2.5 mL) as described for **1a** to obtain **3a** (4.93 g, 98.4%) as a crystalline solid. m.p. 66–68 °C, [α]_D –10 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): ν 1752 (C=O) and 1664 cm⁻¹ (C=C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6–5.7 (m, 1 H, H-2), 5.4–4.8 (m, 8 H, H-2,2,3,3,3,4,4), 4.6–4.4 (m, 4 H, H-1,1,1), 4.4–3.5 (m, 6 H, H-5,5,6,6), 2.18, 2.14, 2×2.08, 2×2.04, 1.98 (5 s, 21 H, OCOCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₄₀O₁₈: C, 51.48; H, 5.96. Found: C, 51.34; H,5.82.

Acknowledgements

S.R.G. thanks University Grants Commission, New Delhi for financial support in the form of a Junior Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] (a) R. Boss and R. Scheffold, Angew. Chem., 15 (1976) 558-559; (b) K. Kariyone and H. Yazawa, Tetrahedron Letters, 33 (1970) 2885–2888; (c) M. Kloosterman, J.H. Van Boom, P. Boullanger, D. Chetelard, and G. Descotes, Tetrahedron Letters, 26 (1985) 5045-5048; (d) K. Nakayama, K. Voto, K. Higashi, T. Soga, and T. Kusama, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 40 (1992) 1718–1720; (e) B. Espanet, E. Dunach, and J. Perichon, Tetrahedron Letters, 33 (1992) 2485-2488; (f) T. Akiyama, H. Hirofuji, and S. Ozaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 65 (1992) 1932-1938; (g) R.R. Diaz, C.R. Melgarejo, T.P.L.E. Maria, and I.I. Cubero, J. Org. Chem., 59 (1994) 7928-7929; (h) I. Hisanka, T. Taguchi, and Y. Hanzawa, J. Org. Chem., 58 (1993) 774–775; (i) J. Luning, U. Moller, N. Debski, and P. Welzel, Tetrahedron Letters, 34 (1993) 5871–5872.
- [2] O. Raghupathi, T.K. Park, S. Zhang, I.J. Kim, L. Graber, S. Adlur, K.O. Lloyd, S.J. Danishefsky, and P.O. Livingston, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.Engl.*, 36 (1997) 125–128.
- [3] T. Toyokuni and A.K. Singhal, Chem. Soc. Rev., 24 (1995) 231–242.
- [4] J. Gigg, R. Gigg, S. Payne, and R. Conant, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans., 1 (1987) 1165–1170.
- [5] G. Jonas and R. Stadler, Acta. Polym., 45 (1994) 14–20.

- [6] (a) M.K. Gurjar and A.S. Mainkar, *Tetrahedron:* Asymmetry, 3 (1992) 21–24; (b) G. Bellucci, C. Chaiappe, and F.D. Andrea, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 6 (1995) 221–230; (c) N. Moitessier, F. Chretien, and Y. Chapleur, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 8 (1997) 2889–2892.
- [7] E.A. Talley, M.D. Vale, and E. Yanovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 67 (1945) 2037–2039.
- [8] J.B. Fraser, L.N. Owen, and G. Shaw, *Biochem*, J., 41 (1947) 328–333.
- [9] E.M. Bessell and J.H. Westwood, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 25 (1972) 11–21.
- [10] R.T. Lee and Y.C. Lee, Carbohydr. Res., 37 (1974) 193–201.
- [11] W. Klotz and R.R. Schmidt, *Liebigs. Ann. Chem.*, 6 (1993) 683–690.
- [12] J.M.J. Tronchet, M. Zsely, and M. Geoffroy, Carbohydr. Res., 275 (1995) 245–258.
- [13] G. Vic and D.H.G. Crout, Carbohydr. Res., 279 (1995) 315–319.
- [14] R. Gigg and C.D. Warren, J. Chem. Soc., (1965) 2205–2210.
- [15] L.R. Schroeder and J.W. Green., J. Chem. Soc., (1966) 530–531.
- [16] R. Rodebaugh and B. Fraser-Reid, *Tetrahedron*, 52 (1996) 7663–7678.
- [17] K. James and R.V. Stick, Aust. J. Chem., 29 (1976) 1159–1162.
- [18] K.N. Gurudutt, L.J.M. Rao, S. Rao, and S. Srinivas, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 285 (1996) 159–165.
- [19] T.V. Rajan Babu, T. Fukunaga, and G.S. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 1759–1769.
- [20] T. Takano, F. Nakatsubo, and K. Murakami, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 203 (1990) 341–342.
- [21] B. Helferich and D.V. Kashelikar, *Chem. Ber.*, 90 (1957) 2094–2096.
- [22] M.Z. Liu, H.N. Fan, Z.W. Guo, and Y.Z. Hui, *Chinese. J. Chem.*, 14 (1996) 190–192.
- [23] F.H. Otey, R.P. Westhoff, and C.L. Mehltretter, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., 11 (1972) 70–73.
- [24] H.B. Mereyala and S.R. Gurrala, Annual conference on Chemistry Biology, and Healthcare, 23, 24 March 1996 Central Drug Research Institute, Luknow.
- [25] (a) H.B. Mereyala and S. Guntha, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 34 (1993) 6929–6930; (b) H.B. Mereyala and S.R. Lingannagaru, *Tetrahedron*, accepted for publication.
- [26] M.L. Wolfrom and A. Thompson, Methods in Carbohydr. Chem., 2 (1963) 211–215.
- [27] R.J. Koufman and R.S. Sidhu, J. Org. Chem., 47 (1982) 4941–4947.
- [28] J.R. Scheffer and R.A. Wostradowski, J. Org. Chem., 37 (1972) 4317–4324.