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#### Abstract

Some new polyfluorinated 1,3,4-oxadiazoles have been obtained via a two-step method. The cycloadditions of the $1,3,4$-oxadiazoles have been studied and an analysis of the energies of the frontier orbitals calculated by the MNDO method indicates a LUMO-dependent pathway for cycloaddition.


## Introduction

The first polyfluorinated 3,4-oxadiazoles were obtained 30 years ago, but the chemical properties of these symmetrical endodienes have not been studied to any great extent. The synthesis of the polyfluorinated $1,3,4$-oxadiazoles is based on the dehydration of 1,2 bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazines with phosphorus pentoxide [1, 2]. Knunyants and co-workers have used phosphorus oxychloride [3] and trifluoroacetic anhydride to prepare the bis-1,2,4-oxadiazoles [4]. Recently, a twostep method for the synthesis of 1,3,4-oxadiazoles was described [5] in which hydrazine dihydrochloride was converted to 1,2-bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazines, when treated with a large excess of the polyfluorocarbon acid anhydride.

| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{HCl}+4\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}(0)\right]_{2} \mathrm{O} \longrightarrow$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{0 \\ H C R_{1} \\ H C R_{f}}}{\substack{0}}+2 R_{f} C(0) C l+4 R_{f} C(0) O H$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | 1a | 1b | 1 c | 1d | 1 e |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |

In a second step, the 1,2-bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazincs were treated with oleum ( $60 \%$ ) with heating.

[^0]

The dehydrating effect of highly concentrated oleum has also been used previously for obtaining perfluorinated 1,3,5-oxadiazines [6], perfluoroacylimines [6, 7] and hexafluoroacetone azine [8].

It is known that the donor 1,3,4-oxadiazoles do not undergo cycloaddition [9-11]. In particular, 2,5-di-methyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole ( $\mathbf{2 f}$ ) does not form ( $4+2$ )-cycloaddition products with acceptor dienophiles, and its interaction with perfluoro-but-2-yne results in the formation of adduct 3 [12].


Recently, the cycloaddition reactions of 1,3,4-oxadiazole (2a), a representative of the polyfluorinated oxadiazoles, have been reported [13-17]. It turns out
that this compound undergoes interaction with aliphatic, cyclic and functional alkenes via a two-step mechanism to form derivatives of 1,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptancs.


Such reactions have also been carried out with 2,5 -bis(methoxycarbonyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole [16] and 2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole (4) [15, 16].
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Conversions of this type open up extensive possibilities for the synthesis of various heterocyclic compounds and could stimulate further studies of the behaviour of polyfluorinated 1,3,4-oxadiazoles and polyfluorinated azoles in cycloaddition reactions.

## Results and discussion

Synthesis of the polyfluorinated 1,3,4-oxadiazoles was carried out using a previously described method [5]. All the bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazines 1a-e and if $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$ may be readily obtained in quite high yield, and, as a rule, compounds la-f do not need further purification after removal of volatile components. Dehydration of 1,2-bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazines gives oxadiazoles 2a-e and $2 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$. This method is especially useful for obtaining the perfluorinated oxadiazoles 2a, 2c and 2d. It should be noted that unsymmetrical $1,3,4$-oxadiazoles cannot be prepared via this method, since the unsymmetrical polyfluoroacylhydrazines $\mathbf{1 g}, \mathrm{h}$ mainly form a mixture of symmetrical oxadiazoles $\mathbf{2 h}-\mathbf{j}$ and 2 a when treated with oleum.


In continuing investigations of the properties of oxadiazole 2a [17], the cycloaddition reactions of the
polyfluorinated oxadiazoles $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathbf{d}$ with ethylene, ethyl acrylate and ethyl vinyl ether have been studied.


In a similar manner to oxadiazole $2 \mathbf{a}$, oxadiazoles 2b-d only react under drastic conditions ( $>150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with loss of nitrogen and the formation of the $1,7-$ bis(polyfluoroalkyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes (Table 1). In these reactions, a considerable excess of ethylene was necessary to achieve high conversion of the oxadiazoles. With ethyl vinyl ether and ethyl acrylate, the oxadiazole/dienophile ratio was 1:2.2. Oxabicycloheptanes 6 and 7 are formed as a mixture of two isomers.

The reactions of the oxadiazoles $\mathbf{2 b - d}$, like those of oxadiazole 2a, should be considered as a two-step process including $(2+4)$-cycloaddition as the first step. The intermediate oxadiazabicycloheptanes 8 were not noted in any case. This fact is consistent with the thermal instability of the $1,3,4$-oxadiazolines, which are inclined to eliminate nitrogen and form carbonyl ylides even under mild conditions [18]. It is probable that the oxadiazabicycloheptanes 8 undergo a similar elimination of nitrogen, and hence formation of the intermediate carbonyl ylides 9 cannot be ruled out. Thus, the second step may be regarded as a $(2+3)$-cycloaddition of carbonyl ylides.


Even under drastic conditions, oxadiazoles $\mathbf{2 b - d}$ (like oxadiazole 2a) do not react with acceptor dienophiles, viz. maleic anhydride, esters of maleic and fumaric acids, as well as polyfluoroalkenes. Interaction of oxadiazoles with acetylenes (acetylene, the ester of acetylenedicarboxylic acid) were also not noted although the authors [16] managed to react oxadiazole 2a with dialkylamino-substituted acetylene.

TABLE 1. Yields of the corresponding 7-oxabicycloheptanes in the reaction of oxadiazoles with dienophiles

| Oxadiazole | Reaction with ethylene |  |  | Reaction with ethyl acrylate |  |  | Reaction with ethyl vinyl ether |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yield $(\%)$ | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) | Yield (\%) | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) | Yield <br> (\%) | Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (h) |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 79 | 220 | 20 | 60 | 170 | 10 | 84 | 160 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | - | - | - | 65 | 180 | 3 | 25 | 175 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | 78 | 210 | 68 | 11 | 180 | 6 | 12 | 175 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{7}$ | 56 | 200 | 60 | - | - | - | 33 | 160 | 6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ See ref. 7.
TABLE 2. Frontier orbital energies and ionization potentials

| No. | Compound | HOMO <br> (eV) | LUMO $(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta E \\ & (\mathrm{eV}) \end{aligned}$ | Ionization potential (eV) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 r | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -10.46 | 0.02 | 10.48 | 10.32 |
| 2b | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | -11.86 | - 1.21 | 10.65 | 11.74 |
| 2a | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | -12.58 | -1.89 | 10.69 | 12.08 |
| 2 e | $\mathrm{HCF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | - 12.36 | -1.73 | 10.63 | 11.84 |
| 2 c | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ | -12.66 | -2.05 | 10.61 | 12.06 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | -12.48 | -2.59 | 9.88 | 11.60 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | -11.76 | -1.27 | 10.48 | 10.88 |

The experimental data obtained suggest that the cycloaddition of polyfluorooxadiazoles is a LUMO-dependent process. Quantum-chemical calculations of oxadiazoles 2a-c, 2e,f, 2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole (4) and 4-methyl-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4triazole (10) (Fig. 1 and Table 2) yield low energies for the LUMO and HOMO, confirming the conclusion regarding the cycloaddition pathway.


Fig. 1. HOMO and LUMO energies ( -$)^{\mathrm{a}}$ and ionization potentials $(\sim)^{b}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated by the MNDO method with preliminary optimization of the geometry by MM-2. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The ionization potential of oxadiazole $2 \mathbf{f}$ was taken from ref. 5 , where an error was found: H should have been written instead of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$.

TABLE 3. Physical properties of compounds synthesized

| No. | Compound | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B.p. } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mmHg}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $n_{\text {d }}{ }^{20}$ | M.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | - | - | 115 |
| 1 e | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | - | - | 78 |
| $1 f$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | - | - | 237 (dec.) |
| 2 g | $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | - | - | 98 |
| 1 g | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | - | - | 148 |
| 1h | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | - | - | 146 |
| 2 j | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 135 | 1.3090 | - |
| 5a | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ | - | - | 34 |
| 5b | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ | - | - | 58 |
| 6 a | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $118 / 5$ | 1.4328 | - |
| 6b | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 95/5 | 1.3910 | - |
| 7 a | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 75/5 | 1.4130 | - |
| 7 b | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 65/5 | 1.3710 | $\cdots$ |
| 7c | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 80/5 | 1.3615 | - |
| 11 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 47/18 | 1.3505 | - |

The calculated energies of the HOMO and the ionization potentials taken from ref. 5 are in satisfactory agreement.

However, the frontier orbital method cannot explain all the reactivity patterns of oxadiazoles. Oxadiazole $\mathbf{2 a}$ is the most reactive compound among the polyfluorinated oxadiazoles, but the energy of the LUMO of oxadiazole 2 c is lower. From the frontier orbital topology, 1,3,4-thiadiazole 4 should be a highly reactive 1,3-diene, but in reality it has a low reactivity as reported in ref. 15. The topology of the frontier orbitals for triazole 10 is comparable with that of oxadiazole $\mathbf{2 b}$,

TABLE 4. Spectroscopic data for compounds synthesized

| Compound | ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (ext. $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$ $\delta$ (ppm) | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR <br> $\delta$ (ppm) | $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b | 49.6 (d, 4F, $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ |  | FH, 56.0 |
| 1e | 62.3 (dt, 4F, CF 2 H); 48.7 (dt, 4F, $\mathrm{CF}_{2}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FH}_{g e m}, 57.0 \\ & \mathrm{FH}_{v i c}, 7.0 \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{FF}, 7.0$ |
| 1 f | -12.7 (d, 12F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) |  | FH, 9.0 |
| 2 g | -11.9 (d, 12F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) | 5.8 (m, 2H, CH) | FH, 8.0 |
| 1g | $\begin{aligned} & -2.3\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) ; 6.7\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) ; \\ & 33.2\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 43.4\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 48.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1h | -14.7 (s, 3F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ); -2.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) |  |  |
| 2 j | -13.1 (s, 9F, CF ${ }_{3}$ ) |  |  |
| 5 a | 2.9 (s, 6F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) ; 45.6 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ ) | 2.1 (s, 8H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) |  |
| 5b | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3\left(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 46.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) \text {; } \\ & 42.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 2.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) |  |
| $6 \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 50.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 50.5 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 51.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 1.1 \\ & \left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 4.1\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.1 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.2(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FH}_{\text {gem }}, 56.0 \\ & \mathrm{HH}, 6.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $6 \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | 0.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ); $1.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) ; 2.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$; $4.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) ; 37.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 40.5$ <br> ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ ) ; $40.8\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 45.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 4.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 2.1-2.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 3.2-3.4(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}) \end{aligned}$ | HH, 6.0 |
| $7 \mathbf{a}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.1\left(\mathrm{~d},^{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 50.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 54.8 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 3.4\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 6.6\left(\mathrm{~m}_{2}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) ; 1.5-2.3 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.7-4.2(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CI}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FH}_{\text {sem }}, 57.0 \\ & \mathrm{HH}, 6.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $7 \mathrm{~b}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) ; 39.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 41.0 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 44.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 47.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 3.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 1.3-2.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.6-4.5 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}) \end{aligned}$ | HH, 6.5 |
| $7 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) ; 36.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 41.5 \\ & \left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 44.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 46.5\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 47.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) ; 47.7\left(\mathrm{~m}_{2}, \mathrm{CF}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 3.4\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; \\ & 1.4-2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.6-4.1 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}) \end{aligned}$ | HH, 6.3 |
| 11 | -10.8 (s, 3F, $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ); $-13.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ) | 3.5 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ NMR spectrum exhibited a double signal attributed to the existence of two isomers.
but triazole 10 does not react with various dienophiles even under drastic conditions. However, this fact can be explained by the rearrangement of triazole 10 into the unsymmetrical triazole 11. The formation of the latter has been noted in the reaction medium employed even at temperatures above $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Thus, although a common pathway for cycloaddition has been confirmed by the work reported in this paper, some aspects are still not quite clear.

## Experimental

The physical properties of the new synthesized compounds are listed in Table 3 while their spectroscopic data are shown in Table 4. Elementary analysis data corresponded to calculations: $\mathrm{C} \pm 0.5 ; \mathrm{H} \pm 0.5 ; \mathrm{N} \pm 0.5$.

## Preparation of 1,2-bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazines 1a-f:

 general procedureA mixture of hydrazine dihydrochloride ( 50 mmol ) and polyfluorocarboxylic acid anhydride ( 220 mmol ) was boiled for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ until evolution of polyfluoroacyl chloride had ceased. Removal of the volatile products under vacuum led to the corresponding pure $1,2-$ bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazine in $97 \%$ (1a), $99 \%$ (1b), $99 \%$ (1c), $92 \%$ (1d), $95 \%$ (1e) and $98 \%$ (1f) yield. The products may be recrystallized or sublimed under vacuum.

## Preparation of oxadiazoles $2 a-e, 2 g-j$ : general procedure

To 1,2-bis(polyfluoroacyl)hydrazine ( 50 mmol ) was added olcum ( 65 mmol when calculated by $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ content) at room temperature. The volatile components were removed under vacuum while the mixture was heated slowly. The distillate was placed on ice and the organic layer washed with an equal quantity of water. Distillation gave the corresponding oxadiazoles in $75 \%$ (2a), $56 \%$ (2b), $78 \%$ (2c), $74 \%$ (2d), $48 \%$ (2e), $17 \%$ (2h) and $12 \%$ (2i) yield. Oxadiazole 2 g was obtained in $57 \%$ yield by sublimation of the mixture with magnesium sulfate.

## Preparation of 1-trifluoroacetyl-2-polyfluoroacyl-

 hydrazines $1 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ : general procedureA mixture consisting of 1-trifluoroacetylhydrazine (50 mmol ) and the corresponding polyfluorocarboxylic acid chloride or fluoride ( 80 mmol ) was boiled for 8 h . Volatile products were removed under vacuum and sublimation of the residue gave the corresponding 1 -trifluoroacetyl-2-polyfluoroacylhydrazine in $77 \%$ ( 1 g ) $70 \%$ (1h) yield.

Preparation of 1,4-bis(polyfluoroalkyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes: general procedure

A mixture consisting of an oxadiazole ( 25 mmol ) and a corresponding dienophile ( 56 mmol ; in the case of ethylene, 150 mmol ) was heated in a closed vessel (temperatures and times of reaction are listed in Table 1 ). The corresponding 1,4-bis(polyfluoroalkyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane was obtained on distillation.

Preparation of 1-methyl-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4triazole (11)

4-Methyl-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4-triazole (10) ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated in a closed vessel for 2 $h$ at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Distillation of the residue gave 1 -methyl-3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4-triazole (11) (1.8 g, 58\%).
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