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#### Abstract

The biotransformation of the synthetic (E,E)-1,5-cyclodecadienol 5 and (+)-hedycaryol (11) by a root suspension of fresh chicory has been investigated. Incubation of 5 with a root suspension gave a $2: 1$ mixture of the epimeric eudesmanediols 7 a and 7 b whereas 11 was selectively converted into cryptomeridiol (12). An explanation for the obtained results is proposed.


## INTRODUCTION

Recently, in vivo transformations have provided direct evidence that germacrane sesquiterpenes are important intermediates in the biosynthetic pathways towards guaiane, eudesmane and other types of sesquiterpenes ${ }^{1-3}$. The co-occurrence of germacranolides, eudesmanolides and guaianolides in chicory (Cichorium intybus) ${ }^{4,5}$ has initiated our biosynthetic studies on sesquiterpene lactones in C. intybus. In our previous paper we reported the biotransformation of a synthetic (E,E)-1,5-cyclodecadienol (9), a model compound for germacranes, into two epimeric trans-eudesmanediols by a root suspension of fresh chicory ${ }^{6}$. This cyclodecadienol, however, lacks the methyl group at C-4 which is characteristic for germacranes and possesses a relatively small hydroxyl group at C - 7 . In this paper the role of the methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-4$ as well as the influence of the substituent at $\mathrm{C}-7$ on the transannular cyclisation reaction of germacranes by $C$. intybus is described. For this purpose, the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-4,8-dimethylcyclodeca-3(E),7(E)-dien-1 $\beta$-ol (5) was performed and the biotransformation of compound 5 and the natural sesquiterpene ( + )-hedycaryol (11) by $C$. intybus was studied.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Over the years, several approaches towards the construction of the chemically and thermally labile germacrane ring system have been reported ${ }^{7}$. The base induced fragmentation of an appropriately functionalised
hydroborated naphthalene system, utilised by Marshall ${ }^{8}$, can elegantly be used in a synthetic route which leads to the formation of the (E,E)-1,5-cyclodecadiene ring system. This method has succesfully been applied in the synthesis of both ( $\pm$ )-and (+)-hedycaryol (11) ${ }^{9,10 .}$

Octalol 1 was obtained from the readily available Wieland-Miescher ketone in a 6 step reaction sequence ${ }^{11,12}$. Exposure of 1 to mesylchloride and subsequent enolisation of the enone followed by reduction of the dienol acetate 3 gave the mesylate 4 (Scheme 1). Hydroboration-fragmentation of 4 proceeded almost quantitatively into a $9: 1$ mixture of ( $\pm$ )-4,8-dimethylcyclodeca-3(E),7(E)-dien-1 $\beta$-ol (5) and $5 \beta$-hydroxytricyclo[5.3.0 $0^{1,7} .0^{2,7}$ ]decane (6). Alcohol 5 was purified by aqueous $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ extraction ${ }^{13}$.


Scheme 1: i: MsCl ; ii: $\mathrm{NaI},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; iii: $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; iv: 1) $\left.\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S} 2\right) \mathrm{NaOH}$.

Hydroboration generally places the boron at the less substituted carbon of the double bond. In the case of the tetra-substituted unsaturated mesylalcohol 4, discrimination of the two positions of the internal olefin is determined by both steric and electronic influences ${ }^{14}$. A four-centre transition state is suggested in the reversible hydroboration addition step ${ }^{15}$. $\alpha$-Face hydroboration of 4 at $\mathrm{C}-4$ will result in an all-chair conformation with the C-7 (di)alkoxyborane substituent in an equatorial position ${ }^{16}$. This conformation possesses the necessary geometry for a concerted fragmentation (Scheme 2). In the case of $\alpha$-face hydroboration at C -5, $\gamma$-elimination can not occur because this conformation does not possess the necessary geometry for backside displacement of the mesylate ${ }^{18,19}$. Probably, the formation of (di)alkoxyboranes during the hydroboration of 4 is responsible for the predominating $\alpha$-face attack of the $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ complex. In a study towards the hydroborationfragmentation reaction of functionalised decalin systems in which the $\mathrm{C}-7$ hydroxyl group was replaced by a ether function which can not react with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, the formation of the tricyclodecane ring system was found to predominate ${ }^{20}$.
$\beta$-Face hydroboration at $\mathrm{C}-4$ followed by base induced fragmentation can also lead to the germacrane ring system. The intermediate borane then has to react through the energetically unfavourable boat-boat conformation to give 5 with the methyl groups anti-oriented towards each other. This mode of hydroboration, although unlikely, cannot be excluded but $\alpha$-face hydroboration seems the more preferred pathway.


Scheme 2: Formation of the (E,E)-1,5-cyclodecadiene system due to $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-face hydroboration at C-4.
$\beta$-Face approach of the $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ complex towards $\mathrm{C}-5$ will result in electrostatical repulsion between the boron and the axial (di)alkoxyborane at C-7. Only this conformation possesses the necessary geometry for backside displacement of the mesylate to yield 6 as outlined in scheme 3 .


Scheme 3: Formation of the tricylodecane ring system due to $\beta$-face hydroboration of C-5.

When alcohol 5 was administered to a suspension of mortared chicory root and incubated for 10 days, two epimeric diols 7 were obtained in a $2: 1$ ratio. These diols could be separated using preparative capillary GC. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the minor compound ( $\mathbf{7 b}$ ) exhibited a small multiplet at $\delta 4.20\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ indicating $\mathrm{H}-1$ to be in an equatorial position, while in the major compound (7a) a broad multiplet resonated at $\delta$ $3.64\left(\mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}=20.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ indicating the axial position of $\mathrm{H}-1$. Both alcohols 7 a and 7 b were found to be identical upon comparison with authentical samples which were obtained through reduction of the known ketone $\mathbf{8}^{21}$ (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4: Synthesis and biosynthesis of 7a and 7b.

Both diols 7a and 7b originated from the two syn conformers of 5 with the double bonds in a crossed position as illustrated in figure 1 . As the methyl groups invert, the position of the hydroxyl at C - 1 changes from equatorial to axial. Cyclisation through conformer I leads to $\mathbf{7 a}$, while conformer II is responsible for the formation of $\mathbf{7 b}$. The anti-conformation of 5 , with the double bonds parallel towards each other, would lead to the formation of cis-eudesmanes. The fact that these compounds were not detected probably means that the activation barrier towards the formation of cis-fused eudesmanes is higher than for trans-eudesmanes.
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Figure 1: The two syn conformations leading to $\mathbf{7 a}$ and $\mathbf{7 b}$.

The unambiguously established outcome of the transannular cyclisation of 5 which resulted in the formation of the $\mathrm{C}-7$ epimeric alcohol $\mathbf{7 a}$ and 7 b instead of the expected $\mathrm{C}-1$ epimeric alcohols led us to reinvestigate the cyclisation of 9 , the compound lacking methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-4$. We had identified the major product, eudesmanediol 10 a , by direct comparison with an authentic sample. The minor product, eudesmanediol 10b was assigned as the C-1 epimeric diol because it was assumed that cyclisation of 9 only proceeded through the syn conformation as postulated by Hendrickson ${ }^{22}$, with the C-7 substituent in an equatorial position.


Scheme 5: Cyclisation of 5 and 9 through different conformers.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 10 a showed two $\alpha$-hydroxy protons at $\delta 3.58$ (multiplet, $\mathrm{W}_{1 / 2}=21.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $\delta$ $3.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ while in the minor product, eudesmanediol 10 b , the two $\alpha$-hydroxy protons resonated at $\delta 4.09$ (multiplet, $W_{1 / 2}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $\delta 3.37(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Based on the assumption that 9 would cyclise through only one conformer, we assigned the signal at $\delta 3.37$ to $\mathrm{H}-7 \alpha$. However, after separation of the epimeric mixture obtained by enzymatic cyclisation of 5 , the major product, eudesmanediol 7a, displayed a multiplet at $\delta 3.64\left(W_{12}=20.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). In the minor product, the $\alpha$-hydroxy proton of the epimer 7 b resonated at $\delta 4.20$ (multiplet, $\mathrm{W}_{12}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). This indicates that the signal at $\delta 3.37$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 10 b did not belong to $\mathrm{H}-7 \alpha$ but to $\mathrm{H}-1 \alpha$. We therefore come to the conclusion that the identity of the minor product 10 b has to be revised from ( $1 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \alpha, 7 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \beta$ )-decahydro-4a-methylnaphthalene-1,7-diol into ( $1 \alpha, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta, 7 \alpha, 8 \mathrm{a} \alpha$ )-decahydro-4a-methylnaphthalene-1,7-diol. This means that the cyclisation of 9 proceeds in a similar way to that of 5 .

When (+)-hedycaryol (11) ${ }^{10}$ was administered to a mortared root suspension of fresh chicory and incubated for four days, cryptomeridiol (12) was obtained as the sole product (scheme 6). The identity of 12 was confirmed by direct comparison with an authentic sample synthesised from natural $\beta$-eudesmol ( $\mathbf{1 3 c})^{23}$ by epoxidation and subsequent reduction of the 4,14 -epoxide ${ }^{24}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR values of 12 are identical with those reported in the literature ${ }^{25}$. The strong NOE between $\mathrm{C}-14$ and $\mathrm{C}-15$ is also consistent with the assigned equatorial position of the hydroxyl function at C-4.


Scheme 6: Synthesis and biosynthesis of 12.

In contrast to the selective biotransformation of 11, its chemically induced cyclisation always gives rise to mixtures of eudesmanes. If water is present in the reaction medium, this mixture usually consists of 12 and $\alpha-$, $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-eudesmol (13a, b and $\mathbf{c}$ respectively). The ratio in which these products are formed depends on the reaction conditions. For instance, treatment of 11 with sulfuric acid in aqueous acetone gives a mixture of predominantly 12 and 13 c accompanied by small amounts of $\mathbf{1 3 a}, \mathbf{1 3 b}$ and another eudesmane 14 , judging from its MS to be the C-4 epimeric alcohol of 12 . The ratio in which 12 and 13c are formed appeared to be relatively constant when the water concentration exceeds $30 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. These findings indicate that probably a carbocation intermediate is involved in the acid induced cyclisation of 11 (Scheme 7). Processes in which the capture of a nucleophile is accompanied by extensive elimination are believed to proceed through a step-wise formation of an intimate ion pair ${ }^{26}$.


Scheme 7: Acid induced cyclisation of 11.

An olefinic cyclisation reaction which is thought to proceed more synchronously is the oxymetallation reaction. Renold et al. reported the oxymetallation of elemol using $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in aqueous THF followed by reductive demercuration yielding $69 \%$ of 12 and $7 \%$ of a mixture of $13 a-\mathbf{c}^{27}$. A product-like transition state followed by capture of the nucleophile from a pseudo-equatorial direction, as indicated in scheme 8 , has been proposed to explain the product outcome. Treatment of hedycaryol (11) with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ under identical reaction conditions gave almost the same ratio of 12 ( $60 \%$ ) and $13 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ( $15 \%$ ). This indicates that the oxymercurationdeoxymercuration reaction of elemol and hedycaryol probably proceeds via a similar type of transition state (A and $\mathbf{B}$ respectively). The more concerted nature of this reaction is reflected by the formation of a larger amount of 12, as compared to the acid induced cyclisation of 11.


Scheme 8: Transition state intermediates of elemol (A) and hedycaryol (B) upon oxymetallation.

When 11 was incubated with a root suspension of fresh chicory, no eudesmols were detected after completion of the enzymatic reaction. Dehydration of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was not observed in both the reaction- and incubation media, even after prolonged exposure to the experimental conditions. Therefore, the exclusive formation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ in the biotransformation of $\mathbf{1 1}$ by C. intybus must have occurred through the syn conformer III as postulated in figure 2. Inversion of the methyl groups (IV) will place the large isopropanol group at C -7 in an unfavourable pseudo-axial position and cyclisation through this conformation is not likely to occur.
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Figure 2: The two syn conformations of 11.

The biotransformation of $\mathbf{5 , 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ is induced by enzymatic protonation of the 1,10 -double bond followed by cyclisation and subsequent incorporation of a water molecule. The water molecule being stereoselectively incorporated from the $\alpha$-side which indicates that the syn conformation is preserved during cyclisation and that the transannular cyclisation reaction initiated by C. intybus is of a concerted nature. Some decades ago, cyclisation through different conformations was postulated to be responsible for the wide variety of naturally occurring guaianes and eudesmanes ${ }^{22,28,29}$. More recently, Sakamoto et al. reported the formation of cis-fused eudesmanes and guaianes originating from germacrone epoxides, but no solid experimental or crystallographical data were presented ${ }^{3}$. Our findings confirm the generally accepted idea that $1,5-(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E})$ germacranes and germacranoids cyclise through the all-chair conformation. Since there are two all-chair conformations possible for the 10 -membered ring system, additional ring substituents determine the ratio in which these conformers exist. The formation of two epimeric diols from 5 and 9 and only one from 11 indicates that the nature of the substituent at $\mathrm{C}-7$ has a major influence on the conformation of the germacrane ring system. When we compare the transannular cyclisation of $\mathbf{5}$ and 9 , the $\mathrm{C}-4$ methyl group has (surprisingly) little effect on the occurrence of both syn conformers. Upon cyclisation, both compounds yielded a C-7 epimeric mixture of eudesmanediols in a $2: 1$ ratio. Additional research towards the influence of substituents on the transannular cyclisation of germacrane sesquiterpenes and their epoxides induced by C. intybus is currently being conducted at our department.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points are uncorrected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ relative to TMS at 200 and 500 MHz ; the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 25.3 and 125.7 MHz . Both $\alpha-, \beta$ - and $\gamma$-eudesmol ( $\mathbf{1 3 a} \mathrm{cc}$ ) and cryptomeridiol (12) in the crude reaction mixture were analysed by GC-MS and their Kovat's retention index.

Analytical GC-MS was carried out as previously reported using DB-17 and DB-WAX columns ${ }^{6}$. Preparative GC was performed with a Gerstel preparative DCS system using a Hewlett Packard methyl silicone pre-column ( $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}=2.65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and a DB-WAX main column ( $60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in two separate Hewlett Packard 5890 II gas chromatographs using hydrogen as the carrier gas. GC analyses were carried out on a Fisons GC 8000 equipped with a FID and a DB- 17 fused silica capillary column ( $30 \mathrm{mx} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.25$ $\mu \mathrm{m})$. Peak areas were integrated electronically with a Fisons integrator DP700. Column chromatography was performed on Merck silicagel 60 and deactivated $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (grade III) using petroleum ether (40-60) - EtOAc as the solvent system.
Plant material. A suspension of fresh chicory root ( $20 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) was produced by mortaring the peeled root in a solution of 0.25 M sucrose, 3 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$ and $0.2 \%(w / v)$ Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA). The pH of this sucrose / Tris / $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2} / \mathrm{BSA}$-soln (STMB) was set at 7.0 using 2-morpholino-ethanesulfonic acid (MES). The stability of 5 and 11 towards the buffer and an inactivated chicory root sample (obtained by boiling the supernatant for 30 min ) were investigated as a control to test the possibility of non-enzymatic reactions. Neither showed any reaction.
Incubations. Incubations were performed in sealed 4 ml vials at room temperature in a KS 500 shaker at 260 rpm containing $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ root suspension, $790 \mu \mathrm{l}$ STMB-solution and $10 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ substrate in EtOH. The hedycaryol incubation medium was extracted after 4 days, the incubation medium containing 5 after 10 days with $0.5 \mathrm{ml} 5 \%$ iso- PrOH in EtOAc. The crude mixtures were analysed by GC-MS.
(4a $\alpha, 5 \alpha, 8 \beta)-4,4 a, 5,6,7,8-$ hexahydro-4a,8-dimethyl-2(3H)-naphthalene 5-(methanesulfonate) (2)
To a stirred solution of 1.44 g of $1(7.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 ml of dry pyridine, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added 0.8 ml of methanesulfonyl chloride. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , after which cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added. The resulting mixture was taken up in 50 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and extracted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 2 x 50 ml ). The aqueous layers were extracted with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo to yield $1.81 \mathrm{~g}(6.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$ of 2 as a white solid. $\mathrm{Mp}: 118.5-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ): $\delta 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $\delta 4.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5 \alpha, J=$ $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta 3.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 2.43-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 2.15-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 2.00-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5 \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 1.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 8 \alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 198.71 (s), 168,25 (s), 123.96 (d), 87.05

(4aa,5 )-2-acetoxy-3,4,4a,5,6,7-hexahydro-4a,8-dimethyl-naphthalene 5-(methanesulfonate) (3)
To a stirred mixture of 1.76 g of $2(6.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3.50 g of NaI in 40 ml of acetic anhydride, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise 2.15 ml of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The residu was taken up in 50 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{ml})$ and saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{ml})$. The aqueous layers were extracted with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. The oily residue was chromatographed to yield $2.01 \mathrm{~g}(6.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%)$ of 3 as a colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ): $\delta 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta 4.55(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5 \alpha, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta$ $3.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 2.58-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 2.40-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 2.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCCH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 1.94$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta 1.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 1.55-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta-$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 169.43 ( s ), 147.73 ( s$), 129.12$ ( s$), 127.98$ ( s$), 112.54$ (d), 87.04 (d), 38.71 (q), 36.57 (s), 32.81 (t), 31.47 (t), 24.69 ( $), 23.95$ (t), 21.02 (q), 18.27 (q), 17.35 (q).

## ( $2 \alpha, 4 a \alpha, 5 \alpha)-1,2,3,4,4 a, 5,6,7-o c t a h y d r o-4 a, 8-d i m e t h y l-n a p h t h a l e n-2-o l 5-(m e t h a n e s u l f o n a t e)(4)$

To a stirred solution of 1.97 g of $3(6.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 40 ml of EtOH , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.98 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. After carefully adding 3 ml of acetic acid, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up in in 50 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo under exclusion of light to yield $1.62 \mathrm{~g}(5.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%)$ of 4 as a colourless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ); $\delta 4.49$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5 \alpha, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $\delta 3.53-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2 \alpha) ; \delta 3.00$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); $\delta 2.74(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta 2.20-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.61-1.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 1.28-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{d} 1.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4 \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $130.81(\mathrm{~s})$, 126.01 ( s$), 89.02$ (d), 70.67 (d), 38.76 (q), 38.36 ( s$), 36.24$ (t), 35.15 (t), 31.42 (t), 30.82 ( $), 25.15$ (t), 18.99 (q), 18.11 (q).

## 4,8-dimethylcyclodeca-3(E),7(E)-dien-1 $\beta$-ol (5)

To a stirred solution of 1.54 g of $4(5.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 40 ml of dry ether under a nitrogen atmosphere, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise 15.5 ml of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ complex in THF which reacted vigorously as indicated by the evolution of hydrogen gas. The reaction was stirred for 8 h at room temperature after which 3 ml of water followed by 25 ml of 4 M NaOH was carefully added. The resulting two-phase mixture was stirred overnight after which the organic phase was separated and washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness to yield $950 \mathrm{mg}(5.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%)$ of an oil containing 5 and 6 in a 9 : 1 ratio according to GC. The oil was taken up in 50 ml of $t$-butylmethylether ( $t$-BME) and extracted with $4 \times 25$ ml of $20 \% \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$. The combined $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$-layers were extracted with 25 ml of $t$-BME and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated and purified by column chromatography to yield $16 \mathrm{mg}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%)$ of 6 as an oil. After addition of 70 ml of $25 \%$ ammonia, the $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$-layers were extracted with $4 \times 25 \mathrm{ml}$ of $t$-BME. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness to yield $479 \mathrm{mg}(2.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%)$ of 5 as a solid. An analytical sample was recrystallised from diisopropylether. $\mathrm{Mp}: 71-72.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ): Due to extensive coalescence of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR-spectrum of 5 , no additional information could be obtained other than 5 existing in multiple conformations in a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at room temperature. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 137.76 (s), 131.01 ( s$), 126.70$ (d), 126.48 (d), 76.15 (d), 38.68 ( $2 \times \mathrm{t}$ ), 38.49 (t), 37.29 (t), 26.21 (t), 16.81 (q), 15.99 (q). Mass spectrum (m/e): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 180(4), 162(28), 147(27), 123$ (12), 121 (18), 120 (20), 119 (20), 105 (49), 93 (35), 91 (31), 81 (50), 79 (50), 68 (60), 67 (100), 55 (55), 53 (44), 43 (38), 41 (85), 39 (49); Calc. for: 180.1514, Found: 180.1512; Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$ : C $79.94 \%, \mathrm{H} 11.18 \%$; Found: C $79.20 \%$, H 11.33\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ) of 6: $\delta 3.52-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1) ; \delta 2.12(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta 2.00-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 8 \alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $68.47(\mathrm{~d})$, 37.39 (d), 36.70 (t), 34.74 (d), 34.46 (t), 33.51 (t), 31.24 (t), 24.06 (t), 20.05 (q), 17.06 (q) .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Quaternary carbons could not be assigned because the compound was not entirely pure.

## (1 $\alpha, 4 a \beta, 7 \beta, 8 a \alpha)$-decahydro-1,4a-dimethyl-naphthalene-1,7-diol (7a)

To a stirred solution of 50 mg of $8(0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 ml of ether, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added 100 mg of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h after which 300 mg of Glauber's salt was added. Stirring was continued for 30 minutes followed by addition of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 15$ minutes of stirring, filtering off the solids and evaporation of the solvent to yield $46 \mathrm{mg}(0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%)$ of 7 a as a solid. $\mathrm{Mp}: 159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ): $\delta 3.64$ (multiplet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7 \alpha, \mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}=20.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $\delta 2.11-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.81-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{OH}) ; \delta 1.59-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.22-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 8 \beta-\mathrm{CH} 3) ; \delta 0.89$ (s, 3H, $4 \mathrm{aß}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR; 72.01 (d), 71.82 ( s$), 52.41$ (d), 42.99 ( t$), 42.52$ ( t$), 40.53$ ( t$), 34.10$ ( s$), 31.09$ (t), 30.49 (t), 22.74 (q), 20.10 (t), 18.57 (q). Mass spectrum (m/e): [M+] 198 (6), 183 (2), 180 (4), 165 (5), 137 (12), 110 (26), 109 (16), 95 (41), 81 (25), 79 (17), 71 (38), 67 (28), 55 (28), 43 (100), 41 (39), 39 (16).

## (1 $\alpha, 4 a \beta, 7 \alpha, 8 a \alpha)$-decahydro-1,4a-dimethyl-naphthalene-1,7-diol (7b)

To a stirred solution of 2.39 g of $8(12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 100 ml of THF, cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere, was added dropwise 22 ml of L-Selectride ${ }^{\circledR}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which the temperature was raised to room temperature over a 30 minute time period. A solution of $80 \%$ of EtOH was added followed by an additional 2 h of stirring. The resulting solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 ml of $35 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was added. After 3 h , the organic solvents were evaporated and the aqueous phase extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \times 80 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water ( $3 \times 80 \mathrm{ml}$ ), brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo to yield $2.06 \mathrm{~g}(10.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%)$ of 7 b as a solid. $\mathrm{Mp}: 117-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz ); $\delta 4.20$ (multiplet, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7 \beta, \mathrm{~W}_{1 / 2}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $\delta 1.92-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ $-\mathrm{OH}) ; \delta 1.21-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 8 \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; \delta 0.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{a} \beta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $71.71(\mathrm{~s}), 66.84$ (d), 47.33 (d), 43.72 (t), 41.01 ( $), 38.42$ (t), 34.95 ( s$), 28.48$ (t), 28.21 (t), 22.19 (q), 20.12 (t), 17.59 (q). Mass spectrum (m/e): $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 198(0), 183$ (17), 165 (18), 162 (9), 148 (10), 138 (11), 122 (26), 95 (60), 93 (20), 81 (25), 79 (17), 71 (25), 67 (27), 55 (26), 43 (100), 41 (38), 39 (15). Calc. for [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]: 183.1384$, Found: 183.1385.

## $\beta$-Eudesmol-4,14-epoxide

To a solution of 425 mg ( 1.91 mmol ) of $\beta$-eudesmol ${ }^{23}$ in 20 ml of MeOH was added magnesium monoperoxyphthalate ( 1.2 equivalents) and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After addition of 10 ml of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and 10 ml of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $6 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ), washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo to yield a colourless oil. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to give 335 mg ( $1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ) of eudesmol-4,14-epoxide ( $\alpha / \beta: 9 / 1$ ) as an oil which solidified upon standing. The crude epoxide was recrystallised from diisopropylether to give the pure $\beta$-epoxide. Mp $58-59.5^{\circ} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 2.65$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-14 \mathrm{a}$ ); $\delta 2.46$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-14 \mathrm{~b}) ; \delta 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OH}) ; \delta 1.65-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) ; \delta 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-12) \mathrm{b} ; \delta 1.08(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-13$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} ; \boldsymbol{\delta} 0.78$ (s, 3H, Me-15); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 72.55 (s), 59.38 (s), 50.88 (t), 48.95 (d), 47.23 (d), 41.47 (t), 40.99 (t), $35.76(\mathrm{~s}), 35.50(\mathrm{t}), 27.39(\mathrm{q}), 26.90(\mathrm{q}), 22.33(\mathrm{t}), 21.04(\mathrm{t}), 20.56(\mathrm{t}), 16.99(\mathrm{q})$; Mass spectrum (m/e) : $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 238(0.1), 220(6), 205(15), 165(26), 149(37), 147(34), 93(31), 91$ (30), 81 (27), 79 (32), 59 (100). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Assignments may be interchanged

## Cryptomeridiol (12)

To a cold suspension $\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of 120 mg of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in 10 ml dry of THF was added dropwise a solution of 300 mg of $\beta$-eudesmol-4,14-epoxide ( 1.35 mmol ) in dry THF. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, cooled to $0^{\circ}$ and the excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ was destroyed with Glauber's salt. After stirring for 30 minutes at room temperature, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ was added and the solids were filtered off. Evaporation of the solvent yielded 292 $\mathrm{mg}(1.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$ of 2 which was recrystallised from diisopropylether. Mp $135.5-137^{\circ} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}:-24.8^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; c 0.83 ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 1.92$ (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=5.5, \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $\delta 1.79$ (ddt, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ $3, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \delta 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-12,13) ; \delta 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-14) ; \delta 0.86$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-15$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and MS data correspond with those reported in the literature ${ }^{24,25,30}$.

## Acid induced cyclisation of 11

Typical reaction: A $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solution of 0.1 M of 11 in EtOH was added to a stirred solution of $390 \mu \mathrm{l}$ acetone and $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, stirred overnight at room temperature, extracted with 1 ml of water / EtOAc ( $1: 1$ ) and analysed by GC. The resulting solution contained cryptomeridiol ( $12,31 \%$ ), $\alpha$-eudesmol (13a, 14\%), $\beta$ eudesmol (13b, 11\%), $\gamma$-eudesmol (13c, 42\%) and probably epi-cryptomeridiol ( $\mathbf{1 4}, 4 \%$ ). Mass spectrum 14 (m/e): [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 240(0), 225$ (1.3), 207 (8), 189 (10), 164 (40), 149 ( 92 ), 123 (31), 109 (37), 108 (33), 81 (37), 71 (31), 67 (27), 59 (92), 43 (100), 41 (44).

## Oxymercuration-deoxymercuration of 11

To a stirred solution of 19 mg of 11 in 1 ml of THF was added dropwise a suspension of 30 mg of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in 1 ml of water and 1 ml of THF. After stirring for 5 min at room temperature, 1 ml of 3 M NaOH was added immediately followed by a solution of 10 mg of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in 1 ml of 3 M NaOH . Mercury settled and the mixture was extracted with $4 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ of EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with 20 ml of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo to give 26 mg of a colourless oil. The mixture was analysed
 eudesmol (13b, 9\%), $\gamma$-eudesmol ( $\mathbf{1 3 c}, \mathbf{4 \%}$ ) and two unidentified compounds ( $7 \%$ of a compound with $\mathrm{M}^{+}=$ $220,6 \%$ of a compound with $\mathrm{M}^{+}=204$ ).
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