THE AMALGAMATION BEHAVIOR OF HEAVY ELEMENTS-IV

THE TRACER CHEMISTRY OF DIVALENT MENDELEVIUM*

JAROMÍR MAL݆

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California

(Received 24 June 1968)

Abstract – In this paper it is shown that the extraction of medelevium into sodium amalgam from sodium acetate solutions results in about a ten fold enrichment relative to the lighter actinides (Pu, Am, Cm, Bk).

Separation of Md from the heavier actinides may be accomplished by either of two methods: (1) an amalgam containing Md, Fm, Es, and Cf can be decomposed in cold concentrated HCl and then the Md may be coprecipitated with $EuCl_2$ with one order of magnitude enrichment from Fm; (2) Md can be separated by electrolysis in acetate or citrate-acetate solution on an electrode of mercury amalgamated with sodium. In the lanthanide series method (2) is used to separate Eu or Sm from the other rare earths. The sequence of electrodeposition of actinides in acetate-citrate solution is Md > Fm > Es > Cf.

These separation methods probably depend on the formation of stable Md^{2+} . The potential of the $Md^{3+} + e \rightarrow Md^{2+}$ couple was estimated by a series of reductions, using YbCl₂, Zn, EuCl₂, CrCl₂, VCl₂, and TiCl₃, all in 2 M HCl. All except TiCl₃ appear to reduce Md^{3+} to Md^{2+} , which can then be coprecipitated with EuSO₄ or BaSO₄; Md is thereby enriched 10-30 X in the precipitate, relative to the daughter isotope ²⁵⁶Fm in solution.

INTRODUCTION

A PREVIOUS communication[1] reported preliminary results concerning the possible separation of Md from higher actinides by extraction into sodium amalgam from sodium acetate solutions, or by an electrolytic one step separation of Md and Fm from Es. This[1] and a subsequent publication[2] reported the first experimental evidence of the Md^{2+} state, an oxidation state which now appears to exist for other heavy actinides as well. This paper presents a more detailed study of Md^{2+} .

Because of the relatively short half life of the ²⁵³Es target used for Md production ($T_{1/2} = 20$ days for ²⁵³Es) experiments were limited to a period of about 1.5 months. Some experiments could not be repeated more than twice, and consequently the results are of low statistical accuracy. The present experiments on Md and other heavier actinides were done in an attempt to repeat the more

^{*}This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

[†]Permanent address: The Nuclear Research Institute of Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Řež u Prahy, Czechoslovakia.

^{1.} J. Maly, B. B. Cunningham, Inorg. nucl. Chem. Lett. 3, 445 (1967).

^{2.} E. K. Hulet, R. W. Lougheed, J. D. Brady, R. E. Stone, M. S. Coops, Science 158, 486 (1967).

interesting experiments on electrolysis, extraction and reduction of Eu and Sm carried out earlier by a number of workers [3-10].

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Isotopes of Md, Fm, and Es produced by irradiation

²⁵⁶Md, produced by irradiation with $\approx 46 \text{ MeV}$ He ions of an einsteinium target, consisting of $\approx 5 \mu g$ ²⁵³Es mounted on $4 \mu g/cm^2$ Be foil was used for the work reported here. The Es target contained $\approx 1\%$ of ²⁵⁴Es and ²⁵⁵Es, the latter being in equilibrium with ²⁵⁵Fm.

Irradiation for ≈ 30 min with a beam current of $50-100\mu$ A/cm² (10-20 μ A through the target) yielded approximately 10⁵ atoms of ²⁵⁶Md (and also some ²⁵¹Fm, ²⁵²Fm, ²⁵³Fm, ²⁵⁴Fm, ²⁵⁵Fm, and ²⁵⁶Fm isotopes) which were collected on a Be catcher foil, along with about 10⁵-10⁷ α d/m of ²⁵³Es, knocked out from the target. The catcher foil was dissolved in 6 M HCl, containing $\approx 500 \,\mu$ g La³⁺. This solution was treated with an excess of KOH to form La(OH)₃ which co-precepitated Md, Fm, and Es. The La(OH)₃ precipitate, washed with 6 M KOH and water, was usually dissolved in 200 λ of 2 M HCl, to form a "stock Md" solution.

The isotopes ²⁵²Md, ²⁵³Md, ²⁵⁴Md which also were produced during irradiation by (He, xn) reactions, could not be detected. They are short lived and decayed by K-capture to their Fm isotopes. A few 7.34 MeV α -particles were observed in the α spectrum due to ²⁵⁵Md ($T_{1/2} = 30$ min). However this isotope was observed mainly after K-capture as ²⁵⁵Fm. A typical α -spectrum of "stock Md" solution is shown in Fig. 1. A typical decay curve of ²⁵⁶Md, measured by spontaneous fission of the ²⁵⁶Fm daughter isotope is shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1. Typical α pulse height analysis spectra of "stock Md" solution.

- 3. J. K. Marsh, J. chem. Soc. 398 (1942).
- 4. J. K. Marsh, J. chem. Soc. 523 (1942).
- 5. J. K. Marsh, J. chem. Soc. 8 (1943).
- 6. J. K. Marsh, J. chem. Soc. 531 (1943).
- 7. E. I. Onstott, J. Am. chem. Soc. 77, 2129 (1955).
- 8. E. I. Onstott, J. Am. chem. Soc. 78, 2070 (1956).
- 9, E. I. Onstott, J. Am. chem. Soc. 81, 4451 (1959).
- 10. J. K. Marsh, Inorg. Synth. 5, 22 (1957).



Fig. 2. Typical decay curves of ²⁵⁶Md measured by the spontaneous fission of the ²⁵⁶Fm daughter isotope[18].

Radioactive tracers

The tracer isotopes ²³⁸U (in the U⁴⁺ state), ²³⁷Np (as Np⁴⁺), ²³⁹Pu (Pu⁴⁺), ²⁴¹Am, ²⁴⁴Cm, ²⁴⁹Bk, ²⁵²Cf, ²⁵³Es, ¹⁵²Eu, and ¹⁵⁴Eu (all 3+) were used in ≈ 0.5 M HCl solution.

²⁵²Fm, ²⁵⁵Fm, and ²⁵⁴Fm were used for measurement of the yield of Fm in chemical operations. In the case of ²⁵²Fm its yield was corrected for the growth of ²⁵⁵Fm from ²⁵⁵Es (measured 40 days after bombardment and compared with the original ²⁵⁵Es present in the target) and for growth from ²⁵⁵Md (determined from the amount of ²⁵⁵Md as measured by it's 7.34 α energy and 30 min half life). A known amount of the "stock Md" solution was used for the chemical separation procedures (extraction, electrolysis, reduction, and coprecipitation), and at the end of a particular procedure a part of each separate fraction was electroplated and then counted by α pulse analysis as described in references 11 and 1. The amount of ²⁵⁶Fm activity was determined for each fraction either by measuring the decay curve for spontaneous fission along with its α spectrum, or by utilizing a separate time SF and α decay curves were measured for a known amount of the stock solution in order to calculate the yield of separated isotopes in each fraction.

Chemicals

Chemicals used were of reagent grade. The sodium amalgam was prepared by dissolving freshly cut sodium metal in hot mercury.

Calculation of Md Yield

(A) Yield from decay curves. The growth and decay curve of the SF activity (Fig. 2) is described by the formula:

$$Fm(t) = Fm_0 e^{-\lambda_{Fm}t} + R_{Md} Md_0 (e^{-\lambda_{Fm}t} - e^{-\lambda_{Md}t})$$
(1)

where

$$R_{Md} = \frac{\lambda_{Md}}{\lambda_{Md} - \lambda_{Fm}} = 1.73.$$

18. A. Ghiorso. Private communication.

The time t is measured from the end of bombardment, when Fm and Md are Fm₀ and Md₀ respectively. Because $\lambda_{Md} > \lambda_{Fm}$, for large values of t the curve in Fig. 2 becomes a fermium decay curve described by:

$$Fm(t) \approx [(Fm_0 + R_{Md}Md_0) e^{-\Lambda_{Fm}t}].$$
 (2)

The yield of Md was calculated from the difference between the growth curve for Fm described by Equation (1) and the decay curve (Equation (2)), extrapolated to the time of separation. For this difference:

$$\Delta \operatorname{Fm}(t_{\operatorname{sep}}) = \operatorname{Fm}(t_{\operatorname{sep}}) - [(\operatorname{Fm}_0 + \operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{Md}} \operatorname{Md}_0) e^{-\lambda_{\operatorname{Fm}} t_{\operatorname{sep}}}]$$
$$= \operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{Md}} \operatorname{Md}_0 e^{-\lambda_{\operatorname{Fm}} t_{\operatorname{sep}}}.$$
 (3)

 \triangle Fm(t_{sep}) represents the difference between total fermium after decay of Md, and fermium before Md decay. Hence the difference may be used to calculate the yield of Md.

(B) Calculation of yield from the ratio SF of ^{256}Fm : α activity of ^{253}Es . In the case of electrolysis, when the SF activity was too low to give a good growth curve the activity ratio SF/ α^{253} Es was followed for 3–6 hr, after waiting more than 15 hr ($t \log = t_L$) after the end of the bombardment when essentially all 256 Md present at t_{sep} , had decayed to 258 Fm. From this ratio of SF/ α^{253} Es in the original solution and in the separated fraction and with the aid of 253 Es yield, the yield of SF in the fraction (% SF (t_L)) was calculated. For the calculation of the yield of Md separated at t_{sep} , we used the formula:

$$\% SF(t_L) = \%^{256} Fm(t_{sep}) \frac{A}{A+1} + \%^{256} Md(t_{sep}) \frac{1}{A+1}$$
(4)

where

$$\frac{1}{A} = \frac{R_{\rm Md} {\rm Md}(t_{\rm sep})}{{\rm Fm}(t_{\rm sep})}$$

and for $\%^{256}$ Fm(t_{sep}) we used the yield $\%^{255}$ Fm(t_{sep}), measured in a given fraction and in the unseparated mixture. The value of the factor A is read from Fig. 2, a standard decay curve for the unseparated mixture, at t_{sep} .

In the above equations, $R_{Md}Md_0e^{-\lambda_{Em}t}$ is equal to that part of the SF activity of ²⁵⁶Fm contributed by the decay of ²⁵⁶Md at t_{sep} , while ²⁵⁸Fm(t_{sep}) in Equation (4) represents that part coming from Fm present in the fraction at t_{sep} . 1/A is the ratio of SF activity from Fm produced by mendelevium decay to Fm produced directly. A/A + 1 is the fraction of SF activity from Fm produced directly. Multiplication by 100 gives the percentage of total SF activity originating from this source.

Measurement of α activity from ²⁵³Es permitted a check on the yield of total α 's and consequently of total SF's through the chemical procedure.

Extraction procedure

The extraction experiments usually were carried out from a mixture of 20λ of the "stock Md" solution in 0.15 M HCl, 25λ of tracers in 1 M HCl, 9λ of 1 M HCl containing $120 \mu g$ of Sm³⁺ and $150 \mu g$ each of Eu³⁺ and Yb³⁺, 150λ of 7 M sodium acetate, 5λ of 8 M ammonium acetate, and selected amounts of HCl, as shown later in Table 1.

The extractions were performed in a 3-ml cone using 250λ of sodium amalgam, containing ≈ 3.5 m equiv. Na/ml. The actinide elements were back-extracted from part of the amalgam with 6 M HCl, the extract neutralized by NH₄OH, and then electroplated on a Pt disc. Details of the extraction procedure are described in [11].

The remaining portion of the amalgam, after washing with H_2O , was decomposed by ice cold concentrated HCl; NaCl crystals together with EuCl₂ were centrifuged out, washed with concentrated HCl, dissolved in 1 ml of H_2O and an aliquot was electroplated on a platinum plate, after neutralization with NH₄OH.

The combined HCl supernatants were diluted to 2 ml. A known fraction of this solution was neutralized and electroplated as before.

11. J. Malý, Inorg. nucl. Chem. Lett. 3, 373 (1967).

744

Element	²³⁷ Np	²³⁹ Pu	²⁴¹ Am	²⁴⁶ Cm	²⁵² Cf	²⁵³ Es	²⁵⁵ Fm	²⁵⁶ Md				
(A) 15λ 1 M HCl added before extraction:												
% extracted into sodium amalgam	4.0	5.3	5.65	6.8	58.1	89	≈ 100	≈ 95				
% in EuCl _{2(s)}	0.33	0.37	0.25	0.15	1.5	1.4	3.1	≈ 85				
% in Sm ³⁺ fraction	3.5	4.9	5.9	6.6	56.6	87.5	97	≈ 10				
(B) 75 λ 1 M HCl added before extraction:												
% extracted into sodium amalgam	18.2	19•3	20.6	12.0	96.2	≈ 1 00	≈ 100	≈ 100				
% in EuCl _{2(s)}	1.0	0.95	4.7	0.65	5.6	2.6	4•4	97				
% in Sm ³⁺ fraction	17·2	18.3	15.9	11.3	90.5	97.4	95.6	3				

Table 1. Sodium amalgam extraction of actinides and separation with EuCl₂ - SmCl₃ by conc. HCl

Electrolytic procedure

The compositions of the solutions used in electrolysis were similar to those used for amalgam extractions: 150λ "stock Md" in 1 M HCl, (containing $\approx 200 \,\mu g \, La^{3+}$) $600 \,\lambda$ of 7 M sodium acetate, $20 \,\lambda$ of 8 M ammonium acetate, and $100 \,\lambda$ of 0.5 M HCl in the first experiment; and $200 \,\lambda$ of "stock Md" in 3 M HCl, $25 \,\lambda$ of 0.5 M sodium citrate, $300 \,\lambda$ of 7 M sodium acetate, and $50 \,\lambda$ of 0.5 M HCl in the second. In the first experiment $110 \,\lambda$ of tracer solution in 0.5 M HCl, containing $\approx 1 \, \text{mg}^{238}$ U was also present.

The electrolyses were performed in the open air using 900 and 300λ of pure mercury in the first and second experiments, respectively. The anode consisted of a platinum spiral, held at the surface of the electrolyte. The Md-rich fraction from electrolysis was subsequently used for experiments on the reduction of Md^{3+} to Md^{2+} .

The electrolyses were carried out for 35 min, with periodic interruption at 5 min intervals for sampling the mercury phase. Following any 5-min period of electrolysis, the current was stopped, and after 1 min of mixing of both phases, 5λ of mercury were withdrawn by pipeting. This sample was washed three times with water, transferred to a platinium disc, the mercury driven off by heating, and the residue counted for Md and Es as in the extraction experiments.

Reduction procedure

The Md "stock" solution was reduced by adding 4-5 mg of YbCl₂ or EuCl₂, or else with amalgamated zinc in the presence of ≈ 5 mg EuCl₃. In other experiments solutions of Cr²⁺, V²⁺ and Ti³⁺ were prepared by reduction with amalgamated zinc. In a typical experiment, the reducing solution was prepared by adding a 0·1 M solution of the oxidized species in 2 M HCl to ≈ 0.5 g of amalgamated zinc (20 mesh), contained in a 1-ml cone. The solution was heated and repeatedly mixed by pipeting for 5 min. The concentration of HCl after reduction to Cr²⁺, V²⁺, or Ti³⁺ was about 1 M, because of the Zn dissolution during reduction. One ml of this freshly prepared reducing solution was added to a 2 ml cone containing 50 λ of "stock Md", ≈ 6 mg Eu³⁺, and 300 μ g of Ba²⁺ in 100 λ of 0.5 M HCl. After 1-2 min of reduction, BaSO₄ was precipitated by the addition of 25 μ l of 40%

J. MALÝ

 H_2SO_4 . After centrifuging, the precipitate was washed with water, transferred to a platinium counting plate, dried, and counted. A sample of the stock solution was counted at the same time.

In reductions with YbCl₂, EuCl₂, and amalgamated Zn, only 400λ of solution was used, which was 0 1 M in Eu, and pure EuSO₄ was precipitated in place of BaSO₄.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extraction behavior of Md and evidence of MdCl₂

The results of the extraction of the tracer mixture and "stock Md" solution are shown in Table 1. The results in parts A and B of the table are equivalent to the addition of 52λ and 276λ of 1 M HCl, respectively, shown in graph 3 in [12]. Table 1 shows a high extraction yield for Cf³⁺, Es³⁺, Fm³⁺, and Md³⁺, whereas Cm³⁺, Am³⁺, Pu⁴⁺, and Np⁴⁺ are extracted with low yield, especially when only 15λ of 1 M HCl are present. This same method successfully separates Eu from Sm, the former showing preferential retention in the solid chloride precipitate[3–6]. The SF activities separated in the EuCl₂ and SmCl₃ fractions respectively are shown in Fig. 3. It is evident from the figure that Md appears



Fig. 3. Spontaneous fission activity present in the EuCl₂ fraction (precipitate) and the SmCl₃ fraction (supernatant). The SF data for the EuCl₂ fraction represented by $\bullet \bullet \bullet$; the data for the SmCl₃ fraction by $\blacktriangle \clubsuit \clubsuit$, the SmCl₃ data corrected for background by 000. A small amount of the "stock Md" solution is represented by $\triangle \triangle \triangle$.

^{12.} Jaromír Malý, University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Rep No. UCRL-17987.

only in the EuCl₂ fraction, (growth of SF activity) and is practically not present in the supernatant (SmCl₃ fraction). The distribution of tracers shown in Table 1 indicates that other actinides are largely absent from the EuCl₂ fraction, but are found instead in the SmCl₃ fraction. It appears that after decomposition of the amalgam, Md was present as MdCl₂, which is carried by EuCl₂, and that Md²⁺ must be relatively stable in HCl solution, whereas the other actinides are oxidized in conc. HCl by H+ ions very rapidly to the 3+ state.

Electrolytic behavior of Md, Fm, and Es

Because of time limitations, it was possible to perform only 2 electrolytic runs. In the first run (with $\approx 1 \text{ mg U}^{4+}$ present) a current density of 5 mA/cm^2 was used. The separation of ²⁵³Es, ²⁵⁵Fm, SF activity, and ²⁵⁶Md, is shown in Fig. 4. The yield of ²⁵⁶Md was calculated according to procedure B, of the yield determination section. The second experiment was done with a current density of 10mA/cm^2 in the absence of uranium. These results are shown in Fig. 5. Figure 4 shows a yield ratio of Es:Fm:Md = 0.8%:4%:90%, after



Fig. 4. Percentage of actinide tracers electrodeposited in mercury as a function of time and passed charge. Current density used in this experiment was 5 mA/cm².



Fig. 5. Percentage of actinide tracers electrodeposited in mercury as a function of time and passed charge. Current density used in this experiment was 10 mA/cm².

35 min of electrolysis. In the second experiment the best relative yields are Es: Fm: Md = 1.2%: 13%: 52%, after 5 min of electrolysis. In the first experiment the yield of Md and Fm in mercury was increasing during the first 150 mA min of charge passed; in the second experiment (10 mA/cm² curr. dens.) there was observed a drop of the yield of Md in mercury, between 200-350 mA min of charge passed. It is possible that this effect might be caused by a more alkaline solution near the cathode after prolonged electrolysis, leading to resolution of Md from the cathode. However, more work is necessary to sufficiently prove this effect and to find its explanation. In the first electrolysis there was found a much better separation of ²⁵⁵Fm from ²⁵⁶Md (with a factor ≈ 20) whereas in the second the separation was only a factor ≈ 4 . This fact probably cannot be explained solely by the lower current density. The reason probably lies in the effect of citrate ion in the second electrolysis and the higher pH.

For a comparison with other data reported in the literature, we recalculated the data of Fig. 4 to obtain the "amount left in solution," shown in Fig. 6. After finishing the second electrolysis we replaced the mercury phase by 300λ of fresh Hg and proceeded in the electrolysis of ²⁵³Es and ²⁵⁵Fm with 30 mA/cm^2 current density, with results shown in Fig. 7. Both Fig. 6 and 7 show, in agreement with the literature [13, 14] an approximately linear relation between these



quantities. One can read from these curves the "periods of amalgamation" introduced by Boussières *et al.*[13] The results are ≈ 100 mA min for Md, from Fig. 6 and ≈ 300 mA min for Fm and ≈ 800 mA for Es from Fig. 7. The curves for ²⁵⁶Md in Fig. 6 and ²⁵⁵Fm in Fig. 7 show deviations from a straight line (dropping faster when more charge is passed) as observed by Onstott in the case of rare earths electrolyses[8].

Potential of the Md³⁺-Md²⁺ couple

Although a correlation between the amount of extraction into sodium amalgam and the formation of a chemically stable +2 state has been established for the 4f elements [3, 15], a similar correlation has not been demonstrated for the 5f series.

The expected analogy between Tm and Md led Seaborg[16] in 1949 to predict that Md might have a+2 state.

13. F. David and G. Bouissières, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1001 (1965).

14. F. David and G. Bouissières, Inorg. nucl. Chem. Lett. In press.

15. W. Noddack and A. Brukl, Angew. Chem. 50, 20, 302 (1937).

16. G. T. Seaborg, J. J. Katz and W. M. Manning, *The Transuranium Elements, Part II, National Nuclear Energy Series*, Vol. 14B, Paper 21.1. McGraw-Hill, New York (1949).



Fig. 7. Percentage of actinide tracers left in solution as a function of time and passed charge. Current density used in this experiment was 30 mA/cm².

Accordingly, we sought direct evidence for a dipositive state of mendelevium, and have attempted to establish rough limits for the potential of the $Md^{3+} + e = Md^{2+}$ couple. To this end, various reducing agents were added to the "stock Md" solution, and BaSO₄ or EuSO₄ was precipitated from the mixture. The distribution of ²⁵³Es, ²⁵⁶Fm and ²⁵⁶Md activities between the precipitate and supernatant was then determined.

Typical decay curves of the original solution and the EuSO₄ or BaSO₄ fractions, are shown in Fig. 8 (for reduction by amalgamated zinc—in the presence of Eu²⁺), Fig. 9 (reduction with Cr²⁺), Fig. 10 (reduction with V²⁺), and Fig. 11 (attempt at reduction with Ti³⁺). The curves in Fig. 8, 9 and 10 show good evidence of growth of SF activity from ²⁵⁶Md separated in the EuSO₄ or BaSO₄ fractions. For the case of Ti³⁺ only slight growth of ²⁵⁶Fm was observed relative to the original solution, which indicated incomplete reduction of Md³⁺ to Md²⁺ by Ti³⁺.

The reduction data are collected in Table 2. The enrichment factor of Md in the $EuSO_4$ or $BaSO_4$ fraction [defined as the ratio

 $\frac{\frac{R_{Md}Md(t_{sep})}{Fm(t_{sep})} \text{ ppt fraction}}{\frac{R_{Md}Md(t_{sep})}{Fm(t_{sep})} \text{ original solution]}}$





3. 8. Decay curves for the original solution and the EuSO₄ fractional control of the contro



Reducing ion	Precipitate	Reduction potential			$\frac{R_{Md}Md(t_{sep})}{Fm(t_{sep})}$		Deviction
			Corresponding reaction	Experiment no.	of input	of reduc- tion	factor in precipitate
$Zn + Eu^{3+}$	EuSO ₄	-0.763	$Zn^{2+} + 2e \rightarrow Zn$	1.	0.6	19.0	31.7
reductor)				2.	0.22	4.0	18.2
reductor)				3.	1.00	90.0	90.0
Eu ²⁺	EuSO₄	-0.43	$Eu^{3+} + e \rightarrow Eu^2$	+ 1.	0·21	5.25	25.0
	-			2.	0.25	5.0	20.0
				3.	2.00	35.0	17.5
Cr²+	BaSO₄	-0.41	$Cr^{3+} + e \rightarrow Cr^{2+}$	1.	0.15	1.54	10-3
				2.	0.086	3.0	34.8
V^{2+}	BaSO₄	-0.255	$V^{3+} + e \rightarrow V^{2+}$	1.	0.107	2.0	18.6
	•			2.	0.67	9.0	13-4
				3.	0.28	5.7	20.4
Tř ³⁺	BaSO ₄	-0.09	$TiOCl^+ + 2H^+ + 3Cl^- + e$	1.	0.26	0.8	3-1
			\rightarrow TiCl ₄ + H ₂ O	2.	0.24	1.15	4.8

Table 2. Results of reduction of Md

in all reduction runs with Zn, Eu²⁺, Cr²⁺, and V²⁺ was between 10 and 90, as shown. The yield of Md²⁺ coprecipitated with BaSO₄ was from 50–100%, but with EuSO₄ the yield was only ~ 5–10% when the EuSO₄ yield was also 5–10%. The reduction with YbCl₂ gave the same result as with EuCl₂, with an enrichment factor ~ 20. These results show that Md³⁺ was reduced to Md²⁺ by Yb²⁺, Zn, Eu²⁺, Cr²⁺ and V²⁺, but with Ti³⁺ the reduction was low. Since partial reduction occurred with Ti³⁺ (E⁰ = -0.09 V[17] in 1 M HCl) the Md³⁺ + e \rightarrow Md²⁺ reduction potential apparently is about -0.1 V.

CONCLUSION

The results presented in Table 1 indicate that Md is extracted by sodium amalgam somewhat more readily than is einsteinium, and on comparison with results presented previously[1], it appears that Md is extracted more readily than californium. This suggests that Md^{2+} is more stable than Cf^{2+} or Es^{2+} . Additional data in Table 1 show that in the separation of EuCl₂ from SmCl₂, by treating these amalgams with ice cold concentrated HCl all of the Md is carried by the solid EuCl₂ fraction. This suggests the existence of a solid, slightly soluble MdCl₂ (in conc. HCl), isostructural with EuCl₂.

Figures 4 and 5 demonstrate a very substantial separation of Md from Es and Fm by electrolysis. The maximum separation observed was 0.8% Es: 4% FM: 90% Md by electrodeposition in mercury during the first electrolysis (Fig. 4).

^{17.} J. J. Lingane, Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2nd Edn. Interscience, New York (1964).

J. MALY

The maximum ratio of spontaneous fission activity due to ²⁵⁶Fm, to α activity of ²⁵³Es and ²⁵⁵Fm was reached after passage of $\approx 9C$ of electric charge. The enrichment of ²⁵⁶Fm in the mercury phase by a factor ≈ 30 is due to preferential electrodeposition of ²⁵⁶Md on the cathode, while Es and also Fm remain mostly in solution. This result is similar to the well-known electrolytic separation of Eu and Sm from other rare earths on a lithium-amalgamated cathode[8–10]. The observed large separation of Es from Md by electrolysis suggests that a substantial difference exists in the potentials of their 3+-2+ couples. To prove this difference, the reduction experiments summarized in Table 2 were carried out. These data indicate that Md³⁺ can be reduced to Md²⁺ and then precipitated with EuSO₄ or BaSO₄ usually with an enrichment factor > 10 (in comparison to Es) with any of the following reducing agents: YbCl₂, Zn (Jones' Reductor in the presence of Eu³⁺), Eu²⁺, Cr²⁺, or V²⁺, 2 M in HCl solution.

It follows that the reduction potential for the $Es^{3+}-Es^{2+}$ couple must be more negative than for the $Md^{3+}-Md^{2+}$ couple by $\approx 1 V$ or more. The oxidation potential of $Md^{3+} \rightarrow Md^{2+}$ couple is close to the oxidation potential of $Ti^{3+} \rightarrow Ti^{4+}$ in 1 M HCl, about -0.1 V.

The results suggest that the +2 state of mendelevium is more stable than the dipositive state of ytterbium, and even of europium. The substantially greater ease of reduction of Md as compared to Es affords a basis for a rapid and efficient separation of the elements as shown by the reduction experiments. The Md³⁺ can be separately reduced, for instance by zinc using a Jones' Reductor, to Md²⁺, while Es and Fm remain in the 3 + state.

Acknowledgements – The author is grateful to Dr. A. Ghiorso for conducting the bombardments and to Dr. K. Hulet of the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, whose einsteinium samples served as target material for the preparation of the mendelevium used in these experiments. The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Professor B. B. Cunningham for his continuous support, criticism and valuable assistance during this work. To Dr. N. Edelstein the author is indebted for valuable editing assistance. Appreciation is expressed also to the operating staff of the HILAC. Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to IAEA, Vienna, for financial support of this work in the form of an IAEA research grant at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory.