## Alkynylic S<sub>RN</sub>1 Reaction: Feasible or Not?

## Carlo Galli\* and Patrizia Gentili

Dipartimento di Chimica and Centro CNR Meccanismi di Reazione, Università La Sapienza, P.Ie A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

A comparison of the relative propensity of aryl-, vinyl-, and ethynyl-halides towards a S<sub>RN</sub>1 reaction is made possible by an investigation of some nucleophilic reactions with bromophenylethyne.

Our recent quest for a  $S_{RN}$ 1-like nucleophilic reaction of vinyl halides<sup>1</sup> has prompted an extension of the study to the less investigated ethynyl halides. The reduction potentials of the ethynyl halides favour the  $S_{RN}$ 1 pathway, as can be seen from the series PhBr, -2.9; PhCH=CHBr, -2.27; PhC=CBr, -2.11 V (vs. SCE);<sup>2</sup> this suggests a relatively easy reduction of bromophenylethyne 1 in the  $S_{RN}$ 1 initiation step.

To check this expection, 1 was synthesised<sup>3</sup> and treated with a threefold excess of the enolate ion of pinacolone 2 in Me<sub>2</sub>SO under either photostimulation or iron( $\pi$ ) ion catalysis.<sup>4</sup> In both cases, and after a short reaction time (10 min) at room temp., 1 disappeared to yield PhC=CH (3, 60–80%), along with smaller amounts of 4 (10–20%) and 5 (6–10%) [eqn. (1)].

$$PhC \equiv CBr + {}^{-}CH_{2}COCMe_{3} \rightarrow PhC \equiv CH + 1 2 3$$

$$PhC \equiv C-C(Me)CMe_{3} + |$$

$$OH 4$$

$$PhCH = CHCH_{2}COCMe_{3} 5$$

$$(1)$$

In the analogous reaction with 2,  $\beta$ -bromostyrene has already been reported to give 3 by a  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -elimination (Scheme 1);<sup>1</sup> subsequent addition of the conjugate base of phenylacetylene to the ketone and protonation gives 4, while addition of 2 to 3 and protonation affords 5. However, the latter compound is also formed by the competing S<sub>RN</sub>1 reaction.<sup>1</sup>

It is therefore conceivable that, following formation of 3 from 1 [eqn. (1)], partial conversion of it into 4 and 5 can occur, according to Scheme 1. Analogous reactions [see eqn. (1)] of 1 with either  $(EtO)_2PO^-$  or  $PhCOCH_2^-$  6 as nucleophiles under iron(11) catalysis or photostimulation gave instead only 3, in quantitative yield.





A better appreciation of the origin of 3 comes from other reactions carried out on 1 with 6, instead of 2. Partial incorporation (15%) of deuterium in 3 occurred when the reaction was conducted in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO while a moderate increase (30%) of incorporation was obtained in the presence of small amounts of a radical scavenger. It appears therefore that photostimulated or iron(II) induced ET from the nucleophile Y<sup>-</sup> to 1 (a step inhibited by the scavenger) does occur to produce  $1^{-}$ , which fragments to PhC=C·; abstraction of hydrogen (or deuterium) from the solvent leads to 3 in a ECC process. However, interception and reduction of PhC≡ C by further ET may afford PhC  $\equiv$  C, which protonates to 3 in a ECEC process. In addition, a blank reaction with ButOalone, which is the base used in slight excess to generate the anions (Y-), shows that 1 can suffer efficient Br+-abstraction to produce PhC= $C^-$ , which protonates to give 3 (ca. 75% in 10) min); this ionic pathway, which is unaffected by the electronscavenger, is not available to the enolate ion, or at least not with comparable efficiency (Scheme 2).

In no cases was the  $S_{RN}1$  substitution product (*i.e.* PhC=CY) observed from 1. This finding is in sharp contrast to the fact that an aryl halide such as PhBr gives only  $S_{RN}1$  substitution and no reduction of the halogen.<sup>5</sup> Consistently, also vinyl halides other than  $\beta$ -bromostyrene, *i.e.* structurally unable to any competing  $\alpha,\beta$ -elimination and ensuing pathways thereafter (Scheme 1), did give unambiguous  $S_{RN}1$  substitution as the major pathway, accompanied by minor amounts of hydrodehalogenation.<sup>1b</sup> In comparison to this behaviour of the aryl and vinyl halides, the X+-abstraction step by the base, as also the 'two-electron' reduction occurring with the ethynyl halide, both processes affording the carbanion PhC=C<sup>-</sup>, can reflect the relative stability (pK<sub>a</sub>) of the conjugate base of the parent hydrocarbons Ph<sup>-</sup> and PhCH=CH<sup>-</sup> (*ca.* 42–44),<sup>6</sup> PhC=C<sup>-</sup> (28.7).<sup>7</sup>

In conclusion, the initial ET-induced dehalogenation of 1 is followed by further reduction of the alkynylic radical intermediate to PhC=C<sup>-</sup>, the latter deriving also from a competing X<sup>+</sup>-removal step due to the slight excess of the base (ButO<sup>-</sup>).

Received, 23rd May 1994; Com. 4/03062A

## References

- (a) C. Galli and P. Gentili, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1993, 570; (b) C. Galli, P. Gentili and Z. Rappoport, submitted to J. Org. Chem.
- 2 P. Gentili, work in progress;  $E^{p}$  values at 500 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in THF.
- 3 H. Hofmeister, K. Annen, H. Laurent and R. Wiechert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 727.
- 4 C. Galli and P. Gentili, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1993, 1135. 5 J. F. Bunnett, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 413.
- 6 A. Streitwieser, Jr., P. J. Scannon and H. M. Niemeyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 7936; M. J. Maskornick and A. Streitwieser, Jr., Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 1625.
- 7 F. G. Bordwell, Acc. Chem. Res., 1988, 21, 456.