



Accepted Article

- Title: Production of Piperidine and δ -Lactam Chemicals from Biomass-Derived Triacetic Acid Lactone
- Authors: Bingfeng Chen, Zhenbing Xie, Fangfang Peng, Shaopeng Li, Junjuan Yang, Tianbin Wu, Honglei Fan, Zhaofu Zhang, Minqiang Hou, Shumu Li, Huizhen Liu, and Buxing Han

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202102353

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202102353

WILEY-VCH

WILEY-VCH

Production of Piperidine and δ-Lactam Chemicals from Biomass-Derived Triacetic Acid Lactone

Bingfeng Chen,^[a] Zhenbing Xie,^[a,b] Fangfang Peng,^[a] Shaopeng Li,^[a] Junjuan Yang,^[a] Tianbin Wu,^[a] Honglei Fan,^[a] Zhaofu Zhang,^[a] Minqiang Hou,^[a] Shumu Li,^[a] Huizhen Liu,^{*[a,b]} Buxing Han^{*[a,b]}

[a] Dr. B. Chen, Z. Xie, F. Peng, S. Li, J. Yang, T. Wu, H. Fan, Z. Zhang, M. Hou, S. Li, Prof. H. Liu, Prof. B. Han Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, CAS Key Laboratory of Colloid, Interface and Chemical Thermodynamics, Institute of chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190 (P. R. China) E-mail: liuhz@iccas.ac.cn; hanbx@iccas.ac.cn.

[b] Prof. H. Liu, Prof. B. Han School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049 (P. R. China)

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

Abstract: Piperidine and δ -Lactam chemicals have wide application, which are currently produced from fossil resource in industry. Production of this kind of chemicals from lignocellulosic biomass is of great importance, but is challenging and the reported routes give low yield. Herein, we demonstrate the strategy to synthesize 2-methyl piperidine (MP) and 6-methylpiperidin-2-one (MPO) from biomassderived triacetic acid lactone (TAL) that is produced microbially from glucose. In this route, TAL was firstly converted into 4-hydroxy-6methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (HMPO) through facile aminolysis, subsequently HMPO was selectively transformed into MP or MPO over Ru catalysts supported on beta zeolite (Ru/BEA-X, X is the molar ratio of Si to Al) via the tandem reaction. It was found that the yield of MP could reach 76.5% over Ru/BEA-60 in t-BuOH, and the yield of MPO could be 78.5% in dioxane. Systematic studies reveal that the excellent catalytic performance of Ru/BEA-60 was closely correlated with the cooperative effects between active metal and acidic zeolite with large pore geometries. The related reaction pathway was studied on the basis of control experiments.

Valorization of abundant renewable biomass resources provides a prominent strategy for reducing the carbon-footprint of fuels and chemical productions, which primarily rely on dwindling fossil resources. Triacetic acid lactone (**TAL**), which can be produced from glucose *via* efficient polyketide bio-synthesis,^[1] is widely utilized in organic synthesis,^[2] medicinal chemistry^[3] and polymer modifier.^[1e,4] However, little attention has been devoted to producing valuable nitrogenous compounds from bio-derived **TAL**.

Pyridine and its derivatives are vital compounds in chemical and bio-chemical industries with an annual production of 375 000 tons in 2018. 2-Methyl piperidine (MP), as an important saturated derivative of pyridine,^[5] can be used as liquid organic hydrogen carriers,^[6] carbon dioxide absorbent^[7], building blocks of piperidine alkaloids,^[8] and for production of 2-methylpyridine through dehydrogenation. The conventional routes for pyridine and 2-methylpyridine production are still overwhelmingly dependent on fossil resources, including tedious separation procedure from coal tar, reaction of ammonia with aldehydes (formaldehyde, acetaldehyde or acrolein), and the condensation of ammonia or ammonia derivatives with aldehydes, ketones, or α, β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds.^[9] Recently, sustainable production of pyridine chemicals from renewable biomass resource has attracted much attention because it provides an ecofriendly alternative to the conventional routes to meet the increasing demands. Pyridine or other nitrogenous heterocyclic compounds can be produced through hydrothermal treatments of renewable raw materials (like cellulose, glycerol, polylactic acid or chitin monomers) with NH₃,^[10,11] however, the efficiency was very low with <30% yields of the target products. δ -Lactams, such as 6-methylpiperidin-2-one (**MPO**) and 2-piperidone, are also important structural motifs in the pharmaceutical chemicals, natural products and functional polymers.^[12] Although many procedures have been reported on the synthesis of δ -Lactams from fossil raw materials,^[13] there is a lack of sustainable route for δ -Lactams production through biomass upgrading.

TAL containing 6-ring building block is an attractive raw material for the production of pyridine. However, the presence of multiple functional groups in its structure often involves hydrogenation, dehydration, ring-opening and decarboxyl-ation.^[14,15] Thus, steering reaction pathway toward the desired product remain challenging. Tandem catalysis that enables multistep cascade reactions in a single reactor holds great promise for improving efficiency of biomass upgrading without laborious separation and purification the intermediates,^[16] which have been recently reported in the pyrrole production from biomass feedstocks through cascade decarbonylation-amination reaction or hydrogenation-condensation reaction.^[17]

Herein, we developed a novel tandem catalytic route with 100% carbon economical route for production of **MP** and **MPO** from biomass-derived **TAL** through 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (**HMPO**) as platform. The multi-functional Ru/BEA catalyst can efficiently mediate the tandem reactions, which involves sequential reactions including hydrogenation, dehydration and,



 $\label{eq:Scheme 1.} Scheme 1. The proposed reaction pathway for 2-methypiperidine (MP) and 6-methylpiperidin-2-one (MPO) synthesis from the biomass-derived TAL.$

hydrodeoxygenation of amide (Scheme 1). The metal and acid sites in Ru/BEA synergistically catalyzed the cascade reaction, and high yields of the products were obtained. To our knowledge, this is the first report on highly efficient routes for the synthesis of piperidine and δ -lactam chemicals from renewable biomass resource.

Firstly, TAL was converted into HMPO through the direct aminolysis with ammonia, and the preparation procedure was shown in supporting information (Fig. S1).^[18] Then, the catalytic transformation of HMPO to MP was performed over different catalysts. The Ru/BEA-X (X represented the molar ratio of Si to Al) catalysts were prepared by the ion-exchange method. The catalytic performances of Ru/BEA were initially evaluated for the hydrogenation HMPO to MP using t-BuOH as solvent. Ru/BEA-20 gave 33.5% yield of MP accompanying with 9.5% yield of MPO (Fig. 1). As increasing the Si/Al ratios from 20 to 60 in the BEA support, MP yields increased. However, further increasing the Si/Al ratio led to decrease of MP vield [Ru/BEA-360] (Fig. 1). The Ru/BEA-60 catalyst achieved the best result with 59.8% yield of MP and 11.0% yield of MPO. The Ru nanoparticle size distributions over different BEA supports were investigated by TEM analysis (Fig S6), suggesting that the difference in the catalytic performance of the catalyst was not originated mainly from the size effect of Ru particles. To our delight, an excellent vield of 76.5% MP was obtained at 200 °C (Fig. 1). Moreover, the Ru-based catalyst also displayed higher MP yield than other supported group VIII metal catalysts (Table S1). Various carbonsupported noble metal catalysts, such as Ru/C, Pd/C and Pt/C, gave low yields of MP (Fig. 1 and Table S1). The activity of various oxides supported Ru catalysts were also investigated. It is worth noting that acidic oxides (Al₂O₃ or ZrO₂) supported Ru displayed higher **MP** yields than non-acidic oxide catalysts (SiO₂ or TiO₂) under the same conditions (Fig. 1). Bare BEA zeolite was not active under the identical reaction conditions (Table S1). Compared with Ru/C catalyst, the physical mixture Ru/C and BEA-60 showed an almost two-fold yield of the MP (Fig. 1), highlighting the cooperative effect between the active metal and the acidic zeolite. Hence, these results imply that the cascade reaction of HMPO into MP requires bifunctional catalytic sites under these conditions, i.e., the presence of metal sites for hydrogenation or hydrodeoxygenation and acidic sites for dehydration.

The acidity properties of BEA zeolites catalysts were characterized by ²⁷AI-NMR spectra and NH₃-TPD analysis. The ²⁷AI MAS NMR spectra were conducted to determine the coordination and the local structure of Al atoms in the BEA zeolites. The BEA zeolites showed a strong peak located at 55 ppm (Fig. S2), which is assigned to tetrahedrally coordinated AI sites, and a weak peak at 0 ppm [except BEA-360], corresponding to octahedrally coordinated AI sites.^[19] The spectra of all BEA zeolites reveal that most of AI species were located in the zeolite tetrahedral framework work positions, generating bridging OH acid centers.^[20] In the temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia curves (NH₃-TPD) of Ru/BEA-60, two desorption peaks at 307 °C and 453 °C can be ascribed to medium acid and strong acid sites, respectively (Fig. S3). Generally, increasing aluminum content in Ru/BEA (X=20~60) catalysts resulted in enhancing total acidity (Table S2), however, no clear relationship between the total acidity and catalytic properties was observed. It has been reported that tetrahedrally coordinated AI species give rise to

lanuscri



Figure 1. The tandem catalytic transformation of 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (**HMPO**) over various heterogeneous catalysts. Reaction conditions: **HMPO** (1 mmol), catalyst (60 mg), *t*-BuOH (2.0 mL), H₂ (5 MPa), 180 °C/6 h [Yields were determined by GC analysis using dodecane as internal standard; **MPO**: 6-methylpiperidin-2-one; **MP**: 2-methylpiperidine]. *** phy. Mix.: Ru/C (60 mg) + BEA-60 (60 mg); * Ru/BEA-60, 200 °C/6 h.

Bronsted acidic sites, and the extra-framework AI species in BEA zeolites are related to Lewis acidic sites.^[21] The former can mediate dehydration reaction in this tandem catalysis, and the latter would be beneficial for the reduction of amide due to interaction between amide and Lewis acidic sites. It has been reported that the catalytic hydrogenation of amide could be improved through activation of carbonyl moiety with Lewis acidic sites in Pt/Nb₂O₅ and Pt-MoO_x/TiO₂ catalysts or V³⁺ species in Pt/V/HAP catalyst.^[22] Compared with Ru/BEA-60, Ru/BEA-360 showed a relatively lower yield of **MP** because of less Lewis acidic sites as detected by ²⁷Al-NMR spectra. Details of other characterization results of Ru/BEA catalysts are available in the Supporting Information (Fig. S4-8). Therefore, the excellent performance of Ru/BEA-60 was associated with the suitable acidity of BEA-60 zeolite.

Furthermore, the catalytic performances of other acidic zeolites, such as H-Y, HZSM-5 and SAPO-34, were also investigated in the tandem catalysis. Both Ru/BEA and Ru/H-Y exhibited higher MP yields than Ru/HZSM-5 (Fig. 1). This result correlates with the fact that both BEA and H-Y zeolites [12-membered ring (MR) zeolites] possess larger specific surface areas and pore diameters than HZSM-5 (10 MR zeolite). It has been reported that 12-MR zeolites (BEA, MOR) achieved higher catalytic activity than 10 MR zeolite (HZSM-5) in the furfural formation from C_6 sugars owing to diffusion limitations arising from the smaller pore sizes of HZSM-5.^[23] Ru/SAPO-34 showed poor performance in the reaction (Fig. 1), which could be associated with diffusional limitation of the product or reagents in the narrow channels of 8 MR SAPO-34 (pore size: ~0.4 nm).^[24] Our results show that the catalytic properties were related to types of zeolites, and zeolite topology with large pore size may enhance mass transport. Decarboxylation was not detected in the present catalytic system, indicating preserving carbon skeleton of TAL. Ru/BEA-60 was chosen for further investigation, since it exhibited superior catalytic properties compared with others catalysts.

 Table 1. The influences of solvents on the catalytic transformation of 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyridin-2(1H)-one (HMPO) with Ru/BEA-60 catalyst. ^[a]

Entry	Solvent	MPO Yield	MP Yield
		(%) ^[bc]	(%) ^[bc]
1	Cyclohexane	32.8	30.1
2	Dioxane	78.5	13.6
3	THF	57.5	18.7
4	<i>t</i> -BuOMe ^[d]	70.1	17.0
5	DME ^[e]	5.2	-
6	MeOH	8.9	-
7	EtOH	30.8	-
8	1-PrOH	29.0	-
9	2-PrOH	13.4	33.7
10	1-BuOH	29.9	-
11	2-BuOH	2.9	21.6
12	<i>i</i> -BuOH ^[f]	24.5	9.1
13	t-BuOH	11.0	59.8
14	1-Pentanol	39.8	-
15	t-Pentyl alcohol	44.5	35.0
16	1-Octanol	38.0	14.1

[a] Reaction conditions: **HMPO** (1 mmol), Ru/BEA-60 (Ru: 3.82 wt.%, 60 mg), solvent (2.0 mL), H₂ (5 MPa), 180 °C/6 h; [b] Yields were determined by GC analysis using dodecane as internal standard; [c] **MPO**: 6-methylpiperidin-2-one; **MP**: 2-methylpiperidine; [d] *t*-BuOMe: *t*-Butyl methyl ether; [e] DME: Dimethoxyethane; [f] *i*-BuOH: 2-Methyl-1-propanol.

The influences of H_2 pressure and temperature on the reaction over Ru/BEA-60 were investigated, and the results were shown in Fig S9 and Fig S10, respectively. The effect of solvent was also examined in the tandem reaction. Non-polar solvent cyclohexane resulted in poor catalytic selectivity and produced almost equivalent amount of **MPO** and **MP** (Table 1, entry 1). Except dimethoxyethane (**DME**), polar aprotic solvents, including dioxane, THF and *t*-butyl methyl ether, all gave **MPO** as the main product (Table 1 entry 2-5). Especially, the yield of **MPO** in dioxane could reach 78.5% (Table 1, entry 2). The performances of polar protic solvents were also studied. The tertiary alcohols gave higher **MP** yields than primary and secondary alcohols (Table 1 entry 6-16), and *t*-BuOH resulted in the best result (Table 1 entry 13).

To get some kinetic information, the time curves of tandem reaction of **HMPO** in *t*-BuOH and dioxane are provided in Fig. S11 and Fig. S12, respectively. The results indicated that **MPO** was first generated in *t*-BuOH, which was converted into **MP** as the principal product with prolonging the reaction time (Fig. S11). Beside **MPO** and **MP**, 4-hydroxy-2-methyl-6-oxopiperidine (**HMOP**) intermediate was also detected at the early stage of the cascade reaction, which was derived from hydrogenation of **HMPO**. These results demonstrate that metal-mediated ring hydrogenation and acid-catalyzed dehydration of **HMPO** dominate the conversion during the first two hours, and subsequent reduction of amide produces **MP**. In dioxane, however, **MPO** was the main product accompanying with **MP** as

minor product during all the reaction process (Fig. S12). Taking into account both of Table 1 and Fig. S11-12, it is clear that solvent influences the kinetics of the reaction and the product distribution.

To obtain further mechanistic insight into the tandem catalysis of the biobased TAL, several control experiments were conducted over Ru/BEA-60 (Fig. 2). It has been reported that there exists equilibrium between HMPO and its enol isomer 2,4-dihydroxy-6methylpyridine (DHMP).^[25] The reactivity of DHMP was checked, and 34.7% yield of MP was obtained. Furthermore, the hydrogenation of MPO gave MP in 20.6% yield (Fig. 2). HMOP was detected by electrospray ionization (ESI) Fourier transform ion cyclotron mass spectrometry (FT-ICR MS) with a m/z of 152.0682 [M+Na]⁺, which was identified in the tandem catalysis of both HMPO and DHMP at the initial stage of reaction. On the basis of the above analysis results, the overall reaction route of **MP** production from **TAL** is proposed through **HMPO** as platform (Scheme 2). After the facile aminolysis of TAL with ammonia, the reaction route proceeds through a metal-catalyzed hydrogenation of double bonds in the HMPO, followed by acid-catalyzed dehydration and metal-catalyzed hydrogenation of amide derivative. Subsequently, the produced amide undergoes deoxygenative hydrogenation to cyclic amine assisting by amide activation with Lewis acidic sites. Therefore, the successfully cascade catalytic transformation of HMPO into MP was ascribed to the cooperative effects between active metal. Brönsted acid and Lewis acid sites in the multifunctional catalytic systems.

To explore the stability of the multifunctional catalyst, the reusability of Ru-BEA-60 was investigated under the optimal conditions. As shown in Fig. S13, a slight decrease of the yield of **MP** was detected after three cycles. The phase structure, chemical composition and the dispersions of supported Ru nanoparticles for the recycled catalyst did not change obviously compared to the fresh one (Fig. S14). A slight decrease in **MP** yield could be attributed to the inevitable loss of some catalyst during the recovery procedure. These results demonstrate that the Ru-based multifunctional catalyst showed good recyclability in the tandem reaction.

In summary, we demonstrate efficient route to produce **MP** and **MPO** from biomass-derived **TAL**. After facile aminolysis of **TAL**, the selective production of **MP** or **MPO** from **HMPO** requires tandem catalysis. The yield of **MP** reaches 76.5% over the multifunctional Ru/BEA-60 catalyst in *t*-BuOH, superior to the



Figure 2. Catalytic conversion of HMPO, DHMP and MPO into lactam and/or piperidine with Ru/BEA-60 catalyst. Reaction conditions: Reactant (1 mmol), Ru/BEA-60 catalyst (Ru: 3.82 wt.%, 60 mg), *t*-BuOH (2.0 mL), H₂ (5 MPa), 180 °C/6 h.

other zeolites supported catalysts and commercial catalysts. In dioxane, **MPO** is the principal product with a yield of 78.5%. Systematic studies reveal that the excellent catalytic properties of Ru/BEA were closely correlated with the cooperative effects between active metal and acidic zeolite with large pore geometries. This work opens up the way for efficient production of piperidine and δ -lactam chemicals from renewable biomass.



Scheme 2. The proposed reaction pathway for tandem catalysis of biomassderived TAL into 2-methypiperidine (MP) and 6-methylpiperidin-2-one (MPO) [12] over Ru/BEA catalysts.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFA0403103, 2017YFA0403003), National Natural Science Foundation of China (21871277, 21403248 and 22003069), Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission (Z191100007219009), and the Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDY-SSW-SLH013).

Keywords: Biomass conversion • N-Heterocycle •Zeolites • Tandem Catalysis • Sustainable chemistry

- a) S. Eckermann, G. Schroder, J. Schmidt, D. Strack, R. A. Edrada, Y. Helariutta, P. Elomaa, M. Kotilainen, I. Kilpelainen, P. Proksch, T. H. Teeri, J. Schroder, *Nature* 1998, 396, 387-390; b) W. J. Zha, Z. Y. Shao, J. W. Frost, H. M. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, 126, 4534-4535; c) S. Y. Tang, S. Qian, O. Akinterinwa, C. S. Frei, J. A. Gredell, P. C. Cirino, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 10099-10103; d) J. Gajewski, F. Buelens, S. Serdjukow, M. Janssen, N. Cortina, H. Grubmuller, M. Grininger, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2017, 13, 463-465; e) K. A. Markham, C. M. Palmer, M. Chwatko, J. M. Wagner, C. Murray, S. Vazquez, A. Swaminathan, I. Chakravarty, N. A. Lynd, H. S. Alper, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, *115*, 2096-2101; f) Z. G. Tan, J. M. Clomburg, S. Cheong, S. Qian, R. Gonzalez, *Nat. Catal.* 2020, *3*, 593-603.
- [2] a) D. L. Obydennov, A. I. El-Tantawy, V. Y. Sosnovskikh, Mendeleev Commun. 2019, 29, 1-10; b) M. Moreno-manas, R. Pleixats, Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry 1992, 53, 1-84.
- [3] a) B. H. Shanks, P. L. Keeling, *Green Chem.* 2017, *19*, 3177-3185; b) G.
 M. Ziarani, R. Moradi, M. Zandiyeh, N. Lashgari, *Heterocycles* 2018, *96*, 381-424.

- [4] H. Sajjad, W. B. Tolman, T. M. Reineke, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater. 2020, 2, 2719-2728.
- [5] a) A. R. Katritzky, C. A. Ramsden, J. A. Joule, V. V. Zhdankin in Handbook of heterocyclic chemistry, Elsevier, Netherlands, 2010, pp. 18-19; b) F. W. Fowler in Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry, Vol. 2 (Ed.: A. R Katritzky, C. W. Rees), Elsevier, 1984, pp. 365-394.
- a) Y. J. Xie, D. Milstein, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2019, 2, 4302-4308;
 b) H. Yook, K. Kim, J. H. Park, Y. W. Suh, J. W. Han, Catal. Today 2020, 352, 345-353.
- a) C. McGregor, M. S. Al-Abdul-Wahid, V. Robertson, J. S. Cox, P. R. Tremaine, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2018, 122, 9178-9190; b) O. Fandino, S. Sasidharanpillai, D. V. Soldatov, P. R. Tremaine, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2018, 122, 10880-10893; c) Y. Coulier, A. Lowe, P. R. Tremaine, J. Y. Coxam, K. Ballerat-Busserolles, *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Con.* 2016, 47, 322-329.
- [8] a) D. O'Hagan, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2000, 17, 435-446; b) D. O'Hagan, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1997, *14*, 637-651; c) M. Sugiura, H. Hagio, R. Hirabayashi, S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 12510-12517.
- [9] C. Allais, J. M. Grassot, J. Rodriguez, T. Constantieux, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 10829-10868.
- a) L. J. Xu, Z. Han, Q. Yao, J. Deng, Y. Zhang, Y. Fu, Q. X. Guo, Green Chem. 2015, 17, 2426-2435; b) Y. C. Zhang, X. Yan, B. Q. Niu, J. Q. Zhao, Green Chem. 2016, 18, 3139-3151; c) L. J. Xu, Q. Yao, Z. Han, Y. Zhang, Y. Fu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2016, 4, 1115-1122; d) L. J. Xu, Q. Yao, J. Deng, Z. Han, Y. Zhang, Y. Fu, G. W. Huber, Q. X. Guo, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2015, 3, 2890-2899; e) S. Q. Xie, C. H. Jia, S. S. G. Ong, Z. L. Wang, M. J. Zhu, Q. J. Wang, Y. H. Yang, H. F. Lin, *iScience* 2020, 23, 101096.
 - a) H. Li, H. X. Guo, Z. Fang, T. M. Aida, R. L. Smith, *Green Chem.* 2020, 22, 582-611;
 b) Y. Z. Wang, S. Furukawa, X. P. Fu, N. Yan, *ACS Catal.* 2020, *10*, 311-335;
 c) L. J. Xu, C. C. Shi, Z. J. He, H. Zhang, M. Y. Chen, Z. Fang, Y. Zhang, *Energ. Fuel* 2020, *34*, 10441-10458.
 - a) E. Vitaku, D. T. Smith, J. T. Njardarson, J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257-10274; b) R. D. Taylor, M. MacCoss, A. D. G. Lawson, J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 5845-5859; c) D. O'Hagan, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2000, 17, 435-446; d) R. O'Reilly, N. S. Ieong, P. C. Chua, M. A. Kelland, Chem. Eng. Sci. 2011, 66, 6555-6560; e) Q. Zhang, I. M. Heyns, R. Pfukwa, B. Klumperman, M. A. Kelland, Energ. Fuel 2018, 32, 12337–12344; f) J. Liu, H. Wang, J. Guo, G. Chen, J. Zhong, Y. Yan, J. Zhang, J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 2020, 83, 103504; g) H. Y. Kim, J. S. Goh, M. H. Ryu, D. S. Kim, B. K. Song, S. H. Lee, S. J. Park, J. Jegal, Polymer-Korea 2014, 38, 31-37.

For selected examples, see: a) T. Wagener, L. Luckemeier, C. G. Daniliuc, F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2021, *60*, 6425-6429; b) I. S. Hassan, A. N. Ta, M. W. Danneman, N. Semakul, M. Burns, C. H. Basch, V. N. Dippon, B. R. McNaughton, T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 4815-4819; c) X. J. Jin, K. Kataoka, T. Yatabe, K. Yamaguchi, N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 7212-7217; d) J. R. Khusnutdinova, Y. Ben-David, D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 2998-3001; e) M. Kunishima, K. Hioki, T. Moriya, J. Morita, T. Ikuta, S. Tani, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *45*, 1252-1255; f) N. Tanaka, T. Usuki, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2020, *5514–5522*; g) H. Li, H. G. Wu, H. Zhang, Y. G. Su, S. Yang, E. J. M. Hensen, *ChemSusChem* 2019, *12*, 3778-3784; h) H. J. Kiely-Collins, I. Sechi, P. E. Brennan, M. G. McLaughlin, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54*, 654-657; i) D. Pingen, D. Vogt, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2013, *3*, 94-98.

 a) M. Chia, T. J. Schwartz, B. H. Shanks, J. A. Dumesic, *Green Chem.* **2012**, *14*, 1850-1853; b) T. J. Schwartz, R. L. Johnson, J. Cardenas, A. Okerlund, N. A. Da Silva, K. Schmidt-Rohr, J. A. Dumesic, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12718-12722.

[15] a) M. Chia, M. A. Haider, G. Pollock, G. A. Kraus, M. Neurock, J. A. Dumesic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 5699-5708; b) S. Gupta, M. I. Alam, T. S. Khan, N. Sinha, M. A. Haider, *RSC Adv.* 2016, *6*, 60433-60445; c) G. Shrivastav, T. S. Khan, M. Agarwal, M. A. Haider, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2018, *122*, 11599-11607; d) S. Gupta, M. I. Alam, T. S. Khan, M. A. Haider, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2019, *7*, 10165-10181; e) C. L. Sun, A. Furstner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 13071-13075.
[16] a) M. A. Isaacs, C. M. A. Parlett, N. Robinson, L. J. Durndell, J. C. Manayil,

a) M. A. Isaacs, C. M. A. Parlett, N. Robinson, L. J. Durndell, J. C. Manayil,
S. K. Beaumont, S. Jiang, N. S. Hondow, A. C. Lamb, D. Jampaiah, M.
L. Johns, K. Wilson, A. F. Lee, *Nat. Catal.* **2020**, *3*, 921-931; b) X. Y.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Wang, R. Rinaldi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11499-11503; c) L.
Bui, H. Luo, W. R. Gunther, Y. Roman-Leshkov, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
2013, 52, 8022-8025; d) H. J. Cho, D. Kim, J. Li, D. Su, B. J. Xu, J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 13514-13520; e) Y. Song, X. Y. Feng, J. S. Chen,
C. Brzezinski, Z. W. Xu, W. B. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 4872-4882; f) M. J. Climent, A. Corma, S. Iborra, M. J. Sabater, ACS Catal.
2014, 4, 870-891.

- [17] a) S. Song, V. F. K. Yuen, L. Di, Q. M. Sun, K. Zhou, N. Yan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 19846-19850; b) P. Ryabchuk, T. Leischner, C. Kreyenschulte, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge, M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 18679-18685.
- [18] W. Stadlbauer, W. Fiala, M. Fischer, G. Hojas, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 2000, 37, 1253-1256.
- [19] a) M. H. Sun, L. H. Chen, S. Yu, Y. Li, X. G. Zhou, Z. Y. Hu, Y. H. Sun, Y. Xu, B. L. Su, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 19582-19591; b) J. J. Gabla, S. R. Mistry, K. C. Maheria, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *7*, 5154-5167.
- [20] J. Xu, Q. Wang, F. Deng, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 2179-2189.
- [21] a) R. Ramos, A. Grigoropoulos, B. Griffiths, A. P. Katsoulidis, M. Zanella, T. D. Manning, F. Blanc, J. B. Claridge, M. J. Rosseinsky, *J. Catal.* 2019, 375, 224-233; b) L. Bui, H. Luo, W. R. Gunther, Y. Roman-Leshkov, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 8022-8025; c) R. Baran, Y. Millot, T. Onfroy, J. M. Krafft, S. Dzwigaj, *Microp. Mesop. Mat.* 2012, *163*, 122-130.
- [22] a) T. Mitsudome, K. Miyagawa, Z. Maeno, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, J. Yamasaki, Y. Kitagawa, K. Kaneda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 9381-9385; b) K. Shimizu, W. Onodera, A. S. Touchy, S. M. A. H. Siddiki, T. Toyao, K. Kon, ChemistrySelect 2016, 4, 736-740.
- [23] E. I. Gurbuz, J. M. R. Gallo, D. M. Alonso, S. G. Wettstein, W. Y. Lim, J. A. Dumesic, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1270-1274.
- [24] a) X. Q. Hu, M. Yang, D. Q. Fan, G. S. Qi, J. Wang, J. Q. Wang, T. Yu,
 W. Li, M. Q. Shen, *J. Catal.* 2016, 341, 55–61; b) P. Cnudde, R.
 Demuynck, S. Vandenbrande, M. Waroquier, G. Sastre, V. Van
 Speybroeck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, 142, 6007-6017; c) P. H. Yan, G.
 Bryant, M. M. J. Li, J. Mensah, E. Kennedy, M. Stockenhuber, *Micro. Meso. Mater.* 2020, 309, 110561.
- [25] a) P. Beak, Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10, 186–192; b) H. B. Schlegel, P. Gund, E. M. Fluder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5347-5351; c) N. Tsuchida, S. Yamabe, J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 1974-1980.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

Insert graphic for Table of Contents here. ((Please ensure your graphic is in one of following formats))



A novel tandem catalytic route was developed for the selective production of 2-methyl piperidine (**MP**) or 6-methyl piperidin-2-one (**MPO**) from biomass-derived triacetic acid lactone (**TAL**), which opens the way for efficient production of piperidine and δ -lactam chemicals from renewable biomass.