Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Article

Subscriber access provided by Uppsala universitetsbibliotek

A #-Lactam Siderophore Antibiotic Effective Against Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacilli

Joel Goldberg, Ha Nguyen, Vijay Kumar, Elizabeth Spencer, Denton Hoyer, Emma Marshall, Anna Cmolik, Margaret O'Shea, Steven Marshall, Andrea Hujer, Kristine Hujer, Susan D. Rudin, T. Nicholas Domitrovic, Christopher Bethel, Krisztina M. Papp-Wallace, Latania Logan, Federico Perez, Michael Jacobs, David van Duin, Barry Kreiswirth, Robert A. Bonomo, Mark Plummer, and Focco van den Akker

J. Med. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c00255 • Publication Date (Web): 18 May 2020

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on May 18, 2020

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

r		
2		
4		
5		
~		
0		
7		
8		
0		
9		
10		
11		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
15		
16		
17		
10		
10		
19		
20		
21		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		
29		
30		
31		
27		
52		
33		
34		
35		
55		
36		
37		
38		
20		
39		
40		
41		
12		
42		
43		
44		
45		
10		
46		
47		
48		
10		
79		
50		
51		
52		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
50		
57		
58		
59		
22		
60		

A γ-Lactam Siderophore Antibiotic Effective Against Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacilli

Joel A. Goldberg,¹ Ha Nguyen,² Vijay Kumar,² Elizabeth J. Spencer,³ Denton Hoyer,³ Emma K.
Marshall,¹ Anna Cmolik,¹ Margaret O'Shea,¹ Steven H. Marshall,¹ Andrea M. Hujer,^{1,4} Kristine
M. Hujer,^{1,4} Susan D. Rudin,^{1,4} T. Nicholas Domitrovic,^{1,4} Christopher R. Bethel,¹ Krisztina M.
Papp-Wallace,^{1,2,4} Latania K. Logan,^{5,6}, Federico Perez,^{1,4,7} Michael R. Jacobs,^{4,8} David van
Duin,⁹ Barry M. Kreiswirth,¹⁰ Robert A. Bonomo,^{*1,2,4,7,11,12} Mark S. Plummer,^{*3} and Focco van den Akker^{*2}

¹Research Service, Louis Stokes Cleveland Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

²Department of Biochemistry, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA ³Yale Center for Molecular Discovery, West Haven, CT 06516, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Rush University Medical Center, Rush Medical College, Chicago, IL

60612, USA

⁶Cook County Health and Hospital Systems, Chicago, IL 60612, USA

⁷Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, Louis Stokes Cleveland Department of

Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁸Department of Pathology, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center, Division of Clinical

Microbiology, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA

⁹University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27514, USA

1	
2	
3 4	¹⁰ Center for Discovery and Innovation, Hackensack Meridian Health, Nutley, NJ 07601, USA
5	
6	¹¹ Departments of Pharmacology, Molecular Biology & Microbiology, and Proteomics &
7	
8	Bioinformatics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA
9	
10	¹² CWRU-Cleveland VAMC Center for Antimicrobial Resistance and Epidemiology (Case VA
12	
13	CARES), Cleveland, OH 44106, USA
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32 33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46 47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53 54	
55	
56	
57	
58	2
59	ACS Daragon Dlus Environment
60	ACS F dragon Flus Environment

ABSTRACT

Treatment of multidrug resistant Gram-negative bacterial pathogens represent a critical clinical need. Here we report on a novel γ -lactam pyrazolidinone that targets penicillin binding protein (PBPs) and incorporates a siderophore moiety to facilitate uptake into the periplasm. The MIC values of γ -lactam YU253434, 1, are reported along with the finding that 1 is resistant to hydrolysis by all four classes of β -lactamases. The drug-like characteristics and mouse PK data are described along with the X-ray crystal structure of 1 binding to its target PBP3.

 At the present time, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is creating significant economic hardships, impacting public health, and is leading to untreatable infections that are associated with significant morbidity and mortality. In the United States alone, more than two million infections each year are caused by antibiotic resistant bacteria resulting in approximately 35,000 deaths and more than \$20 billion in healthcare costs.¹ The global impact is staggering with an estimated cumulative economic cost of \$100 trillion by 2050 and 10 million deaths resulting from multidrug resistant (MDR) infections.² In particular, MDR Gram-negative bacterial pathogens are associated with mortality rates upwards of 30–70% and are classified by the WHO as Priority 1 pathogens.^{3–7}

The "hard-to-treat" nature of these infections is often due to extensive antibiotic-resistant phenotypes that allow pathogens to overcome many standard-of-care antibiotic therapies.⁸ Despite the increasing public health threat, few truly novel agents are in development to treat such infections, as large pharmaceutical companies have withdrawn from antibacterial research.^{3,4,9,10} Government agencies are aware of the ever-growing issue of AMR and are responding with several initiatives in an effort to incentivize renewed efforts in antibiotic development.¹¹ These initiatives include public-private partnerships highlighting the urgency and need for novel treatment solutions.

Resistance in MDR Gram-negative organisms is multi-causal, due not only to reduced permeability observed with all Gram-negative pathogens, but also to efficient and diverse efflux pumps.^{12,13} Of particular concern are WHO Priority 1 pathogens, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacterales*⁵ that possess plasmid-mediated carbapenemases (β-lactamases (*blas*): NDM-1, KPC and OXA-type class D carbapenemases), making them nearly untreatable with β-lactam antibiotics.¹ Nevertheless, β-lactam antibiotics remain a mainstay

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

treatment for infections and are often used in combination with β -lactamase inhibitors. Clearly, new antibacterial agents are needed to effectively treat infections caused by MDR pathogens.

The search for new antibiotic targets is crucial, but not a prerequisite for success. In this report, we exploit a well-known target, the penicillin binding proteins (PBPs), using a new non- β -lactam pyrazolidinone chemical scaffold. These pyrazolidinones, coupled with a siderophore for penetration, irreversibly inhibit PBPs by acylating the catalytic serine. Importantly pyrazolidinones are poorly hydrolyzed by all four classes of β -lactamases, thus avoiding the Achilles' heel of β -lactam antibiotics, including those used as last resort treatments. Herein, we report on the attributes of one such pyrazolidinone, YU253434, 1.

More than thirty years ago, pyrazolidinones were discovered that demonstrated potent Gram-positive bacterial activity, low oral bioavailability, and suboptimal Gram-negative activity.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ These agents did not progress to the clinic as the focus of antibacterial research at that time was on developing broad spectrum oral agents¹⁷ with potent Gram-positive activity. Early compounds displayed some Gram-negative activity when aminothiazoleoxime-type side chains were employed especially when combined with very strongly electron withdrawing C(3) substituents like a cyano group (Figure 1).^{16,17} The effect of C(3) substituents on activity and stability has been established.^{16,17} Broadly, MIC correlates with the electron withdrawing nature of the σ_p value of the C(3) substituent and is tunable for reactivity and stability.^{14,18} Here, we use only a mildly electron withdrawing amide group at C(3) which imparts low reactivity and remarkable stability, while simultaneously using the amide to append a siderophore moiety (Figure 1).

To improve cell uptake, we strategically exploit the notion that bacteria have highly developed methods for acquiring iron which is crucial to their survival in their native environment as well as in hosts.¹⁹ Generally, the siderophore is synthesized and released by the bacterium to acquire iron and effectively compete with other siderophores, from other bacterial species or with endogenous iron binding proteins of the host. Once the siderophore has complexed iron, it is actively imported through a variety of specialized receptors.^{20,21} Often the small molecule natural siderophores are structurally complex and species selective. To utilize the import receptors, the siderophores fortunately can be much simpler siderophore mimics. Previously, such mimics were used to import antibacterial agents into the Gram-negative periplasmic space where PBP targets reside, colloquially known as a Trojan Horse strategy. Only one such agent, cefiderocol (Figure 1), has received recent approval.²²

The design, synthesis, and *in vitro* characterization **1**, a novel pyrazolidinone antibiotic containing a dihydroxyphthalimide siderophore mimetic is reported herein.²³ Antimicrobial activity is described in comparison to current β -lactam antibiotics against select clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli*, as well as, the compound's PBP binding characteristics and 2.4 Å resolution crystal structure in complex with *P. aeruginosa* PBP3. The chemical structures of **1** and comparator agents are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Comparator agents and select siderophore containing PBP inhibitors, as well as, the β -lactamase inhibitor avibactam. Highlighted in red is the site that covalently modifies the PBP or β -lactamase catalytic serine. When a siderophore mimic is present it is highlighted in blue.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Syntheses

The synthesis of 1 (Scheme 1) follows, in part, the previous synthesis of pyrazolidinones and begins with conversion of Boc-L-serine to the known cyclic hydrazide 9.14,17 Reaction of this hydrazide with the known acryl phosphonate results in addition of the nucleophilic amine to cleanly give the substituted phosphonohydrazide 10. The phosphonohydrazide, 10, could be used in crude form, but was often purified by silica gel chromatography. Reaction of 10 with *tert*-butyl 2-chloro-2-oxoacetate in dichloromethane with excess Hunig's base resulted in acylation followed by slow ring closure to the pyrazolidinone nucleus 11. Selective deprotection of the allyl ester provides the intermediate acid, 12, in six steps with one chromatographic purification. Intermediate 12 is suitably differentiated to allow incorporation of a diverse set of amide-linked siderophores and PBP recognition elements (see below). To this end, the acid 12 was converted to its acid chloride with oxalyl chloride and reacted *in situ* with the known siderophore²³ 13 which was best solubilized and transiently protected using N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) to give 14. Deprotection of 14 with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane using triethylsilane as a scavenger gave the amino acid intermediate 15. The acid chloride of the suitably protected aminothiazole 16 was formed using oxalyl chloride and reacted with the amine 15 that had been protected and solubilized using MSTFA to give the acylated product 17. Crude 17 was deprotected with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane using triethylsilane as a scavenger to give 1. The chiral purity of 1 was not assessed after the synthesis was completed though Boc-L-serine was employed as the starting material.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of YU253434, 1: (a) allyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acrylate, DCM, RT, 1h, 98%; (b) *tert*-butyl 2-chloro-2-oxoacetate, DCM, 0 °C to RT, Hunig's base, 18 h 100% crude; (c) Acetonitrile, triethylsilane, Pd(PPh₃)₄, 0 °C to RT, 65%; (d) i) oxalyl chloride, **12**, ii) MSTFA, Hunig's base, **13**, 38%; (e) 2:1 DCM/TFA, triethylsilane, 0 °C to RT, 3.5 h, 100% crude; (f) i) oxalyl chloride, catalytic DMF, **16**, ii) MSTFA, Hunig's base, **15**, 3.5 h, 100% crude; (g)) 2:1 DCM/TFA, triethylsilane, 0 °C to RT, 1.5 h, toluene chase, reverse phase MPLC C18, 0 to 60% acetonitrile 0.1% formic acid / water with 0.1% formic acid, 38%.

Antimicrobial activity

Pyrazolidione 1 was tested against large panels of *P. aeruginosa* (197 previously described clinical isolates),²⁴ *K. pneumoniae* (100 previously described clinical isolates),²⁵ and *E. coli* (100 previously described clinical isolates).^{26,27} A summary of the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) are presented in Figure 2 and Table 1.

The MICs compare favorably to representative β -lactam agents currently used in the clinic to treat MDR Gram-negative infections including aztreonam (**5**, monobactam), ceftazidime (**4**, anti-pseudomonal cephalosporin), and meropenem (**6**, carbapenem). Additional MICs for 15 of the *P. aeruginosa* isolates can be found in Supplemental Table 10.1. MICs were also performed on additional clinical *K. pneumoniae* (16 isolates) and *E.coli* (14 isolates) and can be found in Supplemental Tables 10.2 and 10.3.



MIC Distributions for 1

Figure 2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) of **1** against *P. aeruginosa* (197 clinical isolates), *K. pneumoniae* (100 clinical isolates) and *E. coli* (100 clinical isolates).

Table 1. MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values (μ g/mL) for 1 and comparator antibiotics for *P. aeruginosa*

(197 clinical isolates), K. pneumoniae (100 clinical isolates) and E. coli (100 clinical isolates).^a

	P. aeri	ıginosa	K. pnei	ımoniae	Е. с	coli ^b
Compound	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

1	1	0	1	4	1	4
1	1	8	1	4	1	4
Aztreonam (5)	8	>16	>32	>32	<=2	>16
Ceftazidime (4)	4	>16	>64	>64	2	>16
Meropenem (6)	2	>8	16	>64	<=1	8
Ceftolozane/Tazobactam	1	>32	64	>64	NA	NA
Ceftazidime/Avibactam (8)	4	>32	0.5	2	NA	NA

^aAll strains used are from previously described collections. The reported comparator antibiotic MICs are from the original studies. ^bData for comparator antibiotics only available for 90 (aztreonam), 98 (ceftazidime), or 99 (meropenem) of the 100 samples in the test panel; NA: No data available.

The effects of iron concentration on the MICs of 1 were also evaluated against representative strains of *P. aeruginosa* (laboratory strain PAO1 and a clinical isolate). Previously the concentration of free iron in the culture medium was shown to inversely correlate with antimicrobial activity of siderophore-containing β -lactam antibiotics including BAL30072²⁸ and cefiderocol.²⁹ As shown in Table 2, the addition of soluble iron to the culture medium caused a similar concentration-dependent decrease in 1's activity in both strains. This effect was observed for cefiderocol 7 which also contains a catechol moiety which has been shown to drive its cellular uptake and antimicrobial activity.²⁹ As a control, ceftazidime 4, which does not have iron-chelating ability showed MICs independent of media iron concentration. These results are consistent with the Trojan horse mechanism of siderophore antibiotics and for this reason iron-depleted media was used for all MIC determinations. The low-iron media follows CLSI protocols for testing siderophore-containing antibacterial agents, as the modified broth is thought to replicate the low iron environment found in vivo. To further inform that 1 is transported via a siderophore uptake mechanism, the isosteric phenethyl amide analog 2, expected to have no siderophore transport qualities, was synthesized in a manner parallel to 1. Testing in an abbreviated MIC panel of Gramnegative isolates showed that the MIC values of 2 were all >64 μ g/mL, the upper concentration

tested, while 1 displayed significant activity (data not shown).

Table 2. The effect of iron concentration on MIC values for **1** and comparator antibiotics versus *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 and the clinical isolate.

	MIC (µg/mL) ^a					
Added iron		PAO1			clinical isolate	
μg/mL	1	Ceftazidime 4	Cefiderocol 7	1	Ceftazidime 4	Cefiderocol 7
0	0.5	1	0.06	0.5	1	0.13
0.1	2	1	0.25	4	1	0.13
1.0	16	1	0.5	>16	1	0.25
10	>16	1	2	>16	1	1

^aMICs were determined using iron-depleted cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth that was supplemented with iron (as ferric chloride) as indicated. The initial iron-depleted media was prepared by the standard treatment with cation-exchange resin which has been reported to reduce iron concentrations to 0.02 μ g/mL.²⁹ Therefore, the total media iron concentrations as tested are expected to be 0.02, 0.12, 1.02, and 10.02 μ g/mL.

β-lactamase stability

Appending the phthalimide siderophore mimic from the C(3) amide of the pyrazolidinone was deliberate and designed to occupy space allowed by the enzyme target PBP3. Prospectively, when **1** was modeled in class A serine β -lactamases in a transition state presumed for hydrolysis, we observed the pyrazolidine ring with its additional methylene group projects the aminothiazole side chain in a different direction when compared to the aminothiazole group in β -lactams like ceftazidime. The consequence is the γ -lactam in **1** positions the aminothiazole in a manner where it is predicted to sterically clash with the omega loop of the class A β -lactamases. (Supplemental Figure 1) This could be one of the reasons why **1** is not hydrolyzed by class A β -lactamases and perhaps the related class C and class D serine β -lactamases as well. In fact, testing against isolates that express class A, C, and D β -lactamases, **1** shows significant resistance to hydrolysis (enzyme stability). This important observation is further supported by testing against representative β -lactamases: KPC-2 (class A carbapenemase), OXA-23 and OXA-24 (class D carbapenemases);

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

PER-2 (class A extended-spectrum β-lactamase); and PDC-3 (*P. aeruginosa* class C β-lactamase). In all cases, hydrolysis was not detected. The observed β-lactamase stability correlates with the MIC data (Table 1, Figure 2), as most tested isolates had their β-lactamase genes identified by whole-genome sequencing or rapid molecular diagnostics, and there was no correlation of the presence of any class of enzyme and the susceptibility of the organism, see Supplemental Information Tables 9.1–9.3. The clinical implications from this analysis suggest a clinical niche for this agent.

For the class B β -lactamases (metallo-enzymes) resistance to hydrolysis was not anticipated. Interestingly, **1** is a poor substrate for NDM-1, VIM-2, and IMP-1 as compared with imipenem (Table 3). This central observation is supported by the MIC results where **1** has low MIC values against isolates expressing class B β -lactamases. Though we didn't anticipate the poor hydrolysis of **1** in advance, we do recognize that the product of the hydrolysis (Figure 1) has a unique hydrazide and carboxylate functionality (bold bonds) which are suitable for zinc chelation and as such could form inhibitory interactions in class B β -lactamase active sites.

Table 3. Susceptibility of **1** to β -lactamase hydrolysis. Steady state reactions of **1** were followed against purified enzymes: KPC-2, OXA-23, OXA-24, PER-2, PDC-3, NDM-1, VIM-2, and IMP-1. Kinetic parameters are provided below. Imipenem was used as a control. No reaction was detected for KPC-2, OXA-23, OXA-24, or PER-2 using 200 nM enzyme concentration and 100 μ M **1**.

		1	
Metallo-β-	k_{cat} (s ⁻¹)	$K_{\rm m}(\mu{ m M})$	$k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}~(\mu{ m M}^{-1}{ m s}^{-1})$
lactamase			
NDM-1	7 ± 1	292 ± 25	0.020 ± 0.002
VIM-2	20 ± 2	840 ± 180	0.020 ± 0.002

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

IMP-1	5 ± 1	76 ± 8	0.070 ± 0.010
		Imipenem	
NDM-1	173 ± 18	65 ± 7	2.7 ± 0.3
VIM-2	57 ± 6	8.5 ± 0.9	6.7 ± 0.7
IMP-1	36 ± 4	11 ± 1	3.2 ± 0.4

Drug-like attributes

Pyrazolidinone **1** has many characteristics that are favorable for potential administration either by IV or inhaled routes as **1** has solubility >100 μ M in pH 7.5 phosphate buffer as measured by nephelometry. In this same buffer, **1** has remarkable stability with only about 4% hydrolysis to the ring opened product after 2 weeks at room temperature. Meropenem in comparison is known to be unstable after reconstitution in IV bags often showing >30% degradation in 24 hours after reconstitution. The stability of **1** is a reflection of the mild electron withdrawing nature of the C(3) amide group as mentioned earlier.¹⁸ Though highly soluble, **1** has poor Caco-2 permeability and would not be expected to have oral bioavailability. Stability to both human and CD-1 mouse microsomes is notable with a half-life >60 minutes in both preparations. Furthermore, **1** does not inhibit any of the 8 CYP enzymes it was tested against at a 30 μ M concentration. The pharmacokinetics (PK) of **1** was evaluated both subcutaneously and by intravenous administration at a dose of 50 mg/kg in CD-1 mice (Table 4). Both routes of dosing result in nearly identical PK data.

Figure 3. The mean plasma concentration-time profile of **1** after IV (50 mg/kg) administration in mice.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment





Table 4. The plasma PK parameters after IV administration of 1 at 50 mg/kg in mice.

The clearance is rapid, but similar to intravenous β -lactam antibiotics and may be driven by excretion through the urine, which was not measured. Blood levels of **1** are maintained above 1µg/mL at the 2-hour timepoint (Figure 3). Notably, 1 µg/mL is the MIC₅₀ of **1** against *P*. *aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* strains tested. Toxicity was also not found when **1** was tested against primary human hepatocytes at the maximum concentration of 100 µM after 72 hours of incubation. We further tested **1** against 44 enzyme receptors and channels that might be of a safety concern at a maximum dose of 30 µM and found only 63% and 66% inhibition of COX-1 and PDE3A, respectively in this panel. Further examination of ion channels shows that **1** does not bind to hERG at 30 µM concentrations nor to seven other tested ion channels. Collectively, these

data demonstrate promising characteristics of **1** and the pyrazolidinone scaffold as new antibacterial agents (Supplementary Information).

PBP binding

Previous investigations proposed that pyrazolidinones targeted the crucial PBP3 enzyme. To verify that 1 could effectively target relevant PBPs consistent with its antimicrobial activity, kinetic studies were performed using a homogenous preparation *P. aeruginosa* PBP3, an essential transpeptidase following a previously established protocol using bocillin, a fluorescent penicillin analog.³⁰ As shown in Figure 4, increasing concentrations of 1 inhibited bocillin's ability to fluorescently label the protein, with an IC₅₀ of 2.5 μ M (Figure 3). This value is comparable to a previously published value for doripenem (IC₅₀ = 2.3 ± 0.5 μ M, *Acinetobacter baumanni* PBP3).³⁰



Figure 4. Determination of the IC_{50} for *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 using a competitive assay. Bocillin, a fluorescent substrate of PBP3 was reacted with enzyme that had been pre-incubated with increasing concentrations of **1**. An IC_{50} was calculated as the concentration of **1** required to reduce the fluorescence intensity of the bocillin-labeled protein by 50%.

DSF thermal shift analysis of ligand binding to PBP3

To further support that **1** binds PBP3, we next examined the stabilizing effect of **1** binding to *P*. *aeruginosa* PBP3 using the DSF thermal shift assay. Pyrazolidinone **1** binding increased the melting temperature of *P*. *aeruginosa* PBP3 (with 1% DMSO) from 44.1 ± 0.14 °C to 51.0 ± 1.13 °C (Figure 5), about a 7 °C increase. Ceftazidime (**4**) yielded a larger stabilizing effect; the melting

temperature of its *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 complex was 56.5 ± 0.14 °C (Figure 5). Despite the differences in increases in melting temperature between the two ligands, we could not discern whether there was a correlation of the magnitude of melting temperature increases with the affinity of ligands for proteins due to other effects such as entropy loss upon binding.



Figure 5. DSF assay of **1** and ceftazidime binding to *P. aeruginosa* PBP3. The derivative of the change in fluorescence is plotted versus temperature.

PBP3 crystal structure complexed with 1

To probe the mechanism of PBP3 inhibition by the pyrazolidinone γ -lactam, including how an additional carbon atom in the lactam ring can be accommodated, we determined the 2.4 Å resolution crystal structure of **1** bound to *P. aeruginosa* PBP3. The PDBid code is: 6VOT.

The crystallographic refinement of the *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 structure revealed strong difference density for the **1** ligand indicating that the catalytic S294 was acylated by the ligand (Figure 6, 6VOT). Clear electron density is present for most of **1** including the aminothiazole moiety, the amide moiety adjacent to the carbonyl bond, and the dihydropyrazole-carboxyl moiety

(Figure 6). Weaker density is present for the other moieties, in particular, the dihydroxyphthalimide siderophore mimic. This latter groups main function is to promote uptake via the iron uptake/catechol pathway. Density for the 2-methylpropanoic acid of **1** is also not particularly strong (Figure 6). The active site protein residues are generally well-ordered around the ligand **1** (Figure 6C).



Figure 6. Electron density of **1** in the active site of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3. *(A)* Unbiased electron difference density (|Fo|-|Fc|) showing density for a covalently bound **1** in the active site. Density is contoured at 3 σ level. Prior to map calculation, 10 cycles of Refmac refinement were carried out with **1** removed from the structure. The S349 side chain was observed in two conformations (labeled *1* and *2*, refined with occupancies of 0.6 and 0.4, respectively). **1** is shown with cyancolored carbon atoms. *(B)* Same as in (A) but view is rotated about 100° around the x-axis. *(C)* Stereo diagram of 2|Fo|-|Fc| density map of the active site region; density is contoured at 1 σ level. PDBid 6VOT.

The pyrazolidinone **1**, when covalently bound in the active site of PBP3, makes several important interactions (Figure 7). A covalent bond is formed between the carbonyl carbon of **1** and the side chain of the catalytic S294. Several hydrogen bonds are made and include hydrogen bonds of the aminothiazole with residues E291 and backbone nitrogen and oxygen atoms of R489. The aminothiazole ring also makes hydrophobic interactions with A488, Y409, and G293. The amide moiety is forming hydrogen bonds across the width of the active site with N351 and backbone oxygen of T487. The carbonyl oxygen is situated in the oxyanion hole making hydrogen bonds with the backbone nitrogen atoms of S294 and T487. The carboxyl moiety attached to the dihydropyrazole ring is making hydrogen bonds with S485, T487, and S349 (conformation *2* of the S349 side chain, see Figure 6A): this pocket is the conserved carboxyl binding pocket. The amide moiety attached to the dihydropyrazole ring is less well defined, but the structure does suggest a water-mediated hydrogen bond with water W#1 (Figure 7). The dihydroxyphthalimide siderophore mimic is not making any direct hydrogen bonding interactions and is pointing to the solvent in this structure.



Figure 7. Interactions of **1** in the active site of *P. aeruginosa*. PBP3. Hydrogen bonds are depicted as black dashed lines. Water molecules are shown as round red spheres. Key active site residues are labeled.

Structural comparison of the 1 and ceftazidime complexes of P. aeruginosa PBP3

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

The entire left-hand side of 1 is chemically like ceftazidime (4, Figure 1). Previously, the structure of ceftazidime bound to P. aeruginosa PBP3 was determined.³² We, therefore, compared 1 and ceftazidime (4) covalently bound PBP3 structures via superposition (Figure 8). This analysis showed that the aminothiazole moieties in both bound 1 and ceftazidime are in similar although slightly shifted position (shifted by ~0.5 Å) making almost identical interactions in the PBP3 active site (Figure 8). The minor shift of the aminothiazole away from the center of the active site is also apparent for the adjacent amide moiety in 1. These shifts in those moieties of 1 are likely due to accommodating the extra methylene group in 1 since it contains a γ -lactam, not a β -lactam found in ceftazidime (4) or other approved PBP-targeting antibiotics. The superposition showed that the carboxyl moiety attached to the dihydropyrazole ring is in a similar position as the equivalent carboxyl group in ceftazidime; the oxygens are making hydrogen bonds with both S485 and T487 in both structures (Figure 8). Despite making similar hydrogen bonds, one of the oxygens of this carboxyl group in 1 is slightly shifted allowing it to also make a hydrogen bond with the side chain of S349 in conformation 2 (Figure 8). The 5-membered and 6-membered rings attached to these carboxyl moieties in 1 and ceftazidime, respectively, are in similar positions although of different sizes. The prime side of the ligands are chemically very different. Compound 1 has a 5,6dihydroxyphthalimide siderophore mimic connected via an amide containing linker whereas ceftazidime contains a pyridine ring at that position (Figure 1). This pyridine ring was not included in the model of the ceftazidime PBP3 complex (PDBid 3PBO)³² perhaps resulting from a lack of density due to conformational heterogeneity or due to possible pyridine elimination which can occur for ceftazidime.38

An additional difference between the **1-** and ceftazidime-bound PBP3 structures is that the 2methylpropanoic acid of **1** does not make a salt bridge interaction with the side chain of R489. The

R489 side chain is more distant compared to that in the ceftazidime bound structure (Figure 8). This could be because this region of the protein and the ligand are in general more flexible or perhaps due to that ~0.5 Å shift relative to ceftazidime in that section of the 1 compound. Data collection and refinement statistics for the structure of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 complexed to 1 can be found in Table 5.



Figure 8. Comparison of the 1 and ceftazidime (4)-bound structures of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3. (*A*) Superposition of the PBP3–1 complex (gray protein carbon atoms) onto the PBP3–ceftazidime structure (gold colored carbon atoms). The ligands are depicted in ball-and-stick and 1 is shown with cyan carbon atoms. (*B*) Same as in (*A*) but a close-up view of the active site region near the covalent bond with S294 to highlight differences in the β -lactam (ceftazidime, 4) and γ -lactam (1) based ligands. Hydrogen bonds of 1 and ceftazidime with their respective PBP3 coordinates are shown as black and grey dashed lines, respectively.

Table 5. Data collection and refinement statistics for crystal structure of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 complexed to 1

Wavelength (Å)	0.97946
Resolution range (Å)	50.00 - 2.40 (2.44 - 2.40)
Space group	$P2_1 2_1 2_1$
Unit cell (Å, °)	68.107 83.813 89.74 90 90 90
Total reflections	167,804
Unique reflections	20,549 (987)
Multiplicity	8.2 (6.8)
Completeness (%)	99.7 (100)
Mean I/sigma (I)	16.1 (4.1)

Wilson B-factor	31.60
R-merge (%)	13.6 (58.8)
Reflections used in refinement	20,503 (1922)
Reflections used for R-free	1,057 (80)
R-work	0.182 (0.239)
R-free	0.236 (0.290)
Number of non-hydrogen	3951
atoms	
Macromolecules	3866
Solvent	85
Protein residues	500
RMS(bonds, Å)	0.014
RMS(angles, °)	1.85
Ramachandran favored (%)	97.78
Ramachandran allowed (%)	2.22
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0.00

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we described a novel non- β -lactam penicillin binding protein (PBP) inhibitor, Compound **1**, that contains a γ -lactam moiety whose carbonyl group covalently modifies the catalytic serine of the target PBPs (PBP3). The pyrazolidinone **1** has excellent chemical stability and remarkable activity against WHO priority 1 pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacterales*. Furthermore, **1** has been found to be stable to all 4 classes of β -lactamases. Xray crystallography helps to define where further optimization can occur and illustrates unique interactions with *P. aeruginosa* PBP3. Additionally, **1** was found to have drug like characteristics. Further testing of **1** and the design and synthesis of additional analogs is planned.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations, MICs

Bacterial strains used were from previously described collections. MICs were determined using the general recommendations of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Standard broth microdilution methods were followed but with slightly lower inoculum (6 x 10⁴ cfu/mL) which afforded no difference in MICs in our testing. MICs were also performed using iron-depleted cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth, except as mentioned elsewhere, using a standard protocol used with other siderophore-containing antibiotics.

PBP Binding Kinetics

Method adapted from the work using purified, soluble *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 and bocillin, a fluorescent β -lactam and substrate.³⁰ Reactions were conducted in 10 mM phosphate-buffered saline at pH 7.4 using 1.6 μ M *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 incubated with increasing concentrations of **1**. To ensure that equilibrium between **1** and PBP3 occurred, the enzyme was preincubated with the compound for 20 min at 37 °C before addition of 50 μ M bocillin and then incubated for an additional 20 min. The reactions were stopped by adding SDS-PAGE loading dye and boiling for 2 min. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and the gel illuminated at λ = 365 nm and imaged with a Fotodyne gel imaging system. ImageJ analysis software was used to assign Fluorescence intensity (FI). The IC₅₀ was calculated as the concentration of **1** required to reduce the FI of the bocillin-labeled protein by 50%.

β-lactamase stability testing

Steady-state reactions were followed with purified enzymes (KPC-2, OXA-23, OXA-24, PER-2, PDC-3, NDM-1, VIM-2, and IMP-1) using an Agilent model 8453 diode array spectrophotometer as previously described.³¹ Assays were performed at 25 °C (room temperature) using either 10 mM PBS, pH 7.4 (KPC-2, PER-2, and PDC-3), 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer supplemented

with 20 mM sodium bicarbonate (OXA-23, OXA-24) or 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.2 M NaCl, 50µg/ml bovine serum albumin, and 50 µM Zn (NDM-1, VIM-2, and IMP-1).

Compound 1 was used as a substrate at excess molar concentrations to establish pseudo first-order kinetics and Imipenem (IMI) was used as a control. The following extinction coefficients were used: $1, \Delta \varepsilon 336 = -5,500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$; IMI, $\Delta \varepsilon 300 = -9,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. For velocity determinations, a 1 cm path length quartz cuvette was employed. A nonlinear least square fit of the data (Henri Michaelis–Menten equation) using Origin 8.1 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA) was employed to obtain the steady-state kinetic parameters V_{max} , k_{cat} , and K_{m} according to Eqs. 1 and 2:

$$v = (V_{\max} * [S])/K_m + [S])$$
 Eq. 1

$$k_{\rm cat} = V_{\rm max} / [E]$$
 Eq. 2

Summary of Rodent PK Screening

Species: CD-1 mouse

Protocol Summary for PK in rodents (In-life and bioanalysis):

Plasma sample collection from mice (parallel sampling)

Compound 1 was administered to groups of 24 animals. Blood aliquots (300-400 μ L) are collected via cardiac puncture from individual mice anesthetized with isoflurane vapor. For untreated control animals, blood was collected by anesthetized animals by cardiac puncture. Blood was drawn into tubes coated with lithium heparin or K₂EDTA, mixed gently, then kept on ice and centrifuged at 2,500 ×g for 15 minutes at 4°C, within 1 hour of collection. The plasma was then harvested and kept frozen at -70°C until further processing. Quantitative

Bioanalysis (Plasma): The plasma samples are processed using acetonitrile precipitation and analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS.

A plasma calibration curve with an internal standard was generated. Aliquots of drug-free plasma were spiked with the test compound at the specified concentration levels. The spiked plasma samples were processed together with the unknown plasma samples using the same procedure. The processed plasma samples are stored at -70°C until the HPLC-MS/MS analysis, at which time peak areas were recorded, and the concentrations of the test compound in the unknown plasma samples were determined using the respective calibration curve. The reportable linear range of the assay is determined, along with the lower limit of quantitation (LLQ). Pharmacokinetics: Plots of plasma concentration of the compound versus time were constructed. The fundamental pharmacokinetic parameters of each compound after intravenous and oral dosing (AUClast, AUCINF, T1/2, Cl, Vz, Vss, Tmax, and Cmax) were obtained from the non-compartmental analysis (NCA) of the plasma data using WinNonlin. The oral bioavailability will be calculated.

Animal use complies with International Guiding Principles for Biomedical Research (CIOMS) and has an IACUC that operates in accordance with "Regulation for Establishing the Committee of Care and Use of Laboratory Animals". The facility and our procedures have been fully accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALAC International) in 2014 and 2017 (#001553). We have a current Foreign Assurance from the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (#A5890-01), issued by the PHS/NIH for vertebrate animal studies.

Structure determination

His-tagged *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 was expressed, purified, and crystallized as described previously.³² To obtain the complex of PBP3, compound **1** was soaked into apo PBP3 crystals for 15 minutes before freezing the crystal in liquid nitrogen. In these experiments, 5 mM **1** in mother liquor for 15 minutes was used for the soaking experiments.

A 2.4 Å resolution diffraction data set was collected at the SSRL synchrotron in Stanford and processed using HKL-3000 (data collection statistics are listed in Table 4).³³ The structure was solved by Molecular Replacement using PHASER³⁴ with the PBP3 protein coordinates as the starting model.³³ The structure was refined using REFMAC5³⁵ and model building was carried out using COOT.³⁶ After refining the protein coordinates, strong difference density was present in the active site for the **1** compound (Fig. 2). Topology and parameter files for an acylated **1** ligand were generated using PRODRG;³⁷ coordinates for **1** were included in subsequent cycles of refinement being covalently attached to S294. The final model included PBP3 residues 53-491 and 501-560, a single **1** ligand, and 84 water molecules and was refined to an R-factor/R_{free} of 0.182/0.236 (additional refinement statistics in Table 4). Figures were generated using Pymol (www.pymol.org). The coordinates and structure factors of the PBP3:YU253434 complex were deposited with the Protein Data Bank (PDBid = 6VOT). Authors will release the atomic coordinates upon article publication.

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry (DSF) to probe 1 binding to PBP3

DSF experiments were carried out with the purified PBP3 at 3 μ M protein concentration in 14 mM Tris pH 8.0, 280 mM NaCl, 7% glycerol, 10X SYPRO Orange, and with or without 1 mM 1. As a positive control, 0.2 mM ceftazidime was used. The negative control reaction without ligand also included 1% DMSO to match the DMSO concentration in the ligand containing experiments.

Experiments were carried out in duplicate using a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) and the temperature was ramped from 25 to 95 °C.

Synthesis

The reagents and solvents used for synthesis were of reagent grade quality. Dry solvents were purchased and used as such. All compounds were individually purified by chromatography on silica gel or by recrystallization and were of >95% purity for characterization purposes as determined by LCMS using UV absorption at 220 or 280 nM and/or NMR integration. Each of the synthesis steps has been replicated by more than one individual in the lab. In practice compounds were not always purify to >95% purity prior to using in the next synthetic step and often crude material was of sufficient purity and was carried forward.

Synthesis of tert-butyl (S)-(1-hydrazineyl-3-hydroxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate.

To L-Boc-Ser-OMe (25 g, 114 mmol) in methanol (100 mL) was added hydrazine hydrate (25 mL, 402 mmol). The solution was stirred at 50 °C overnight. The following day, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid dried under hi-vac to a constant weight to give *tert*-butyl (S)-(1-hydrazineyl-3-hydroxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate (23.4 g, 93.7%). NMR DMSO 9.0 (s, 1H), 6.5 (d, 1 H), 4.8 (s, 1H), 4.2 (s, 2H), 3.9 (m, 1 H), 3.5 (s, 2 H), 1.4 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of *tert*-butyl (S)-(3-hydroxy-1-oxo-1-(2-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)hydrazineyl)propan-2-yl)carbamate.

tert-Butyl (S)-(1-hydrazineyl-3-hydroxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate (25 g, 114 mmol) and Sethyl trifluorothioacetate (22 mL, 171 mmol) were combined in ethanol (150 mL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight under a stream of nitrogen. The reaction was concentrated further to a thick residue, which was crystallized from Et₂O/hexanes to give *tert*-

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

butyl (S)-(3-hydroxy-1-oxo-1-(2-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)hydrazineyl)propan-2-yl)carbamate (27.5 g, 76.4%). 1H-NMR DMSO 11.5 (s, 1 H), 10.3 (s, 1H), 6.8 (d, 1H), 4.9 (s, 1H), 4.1 (m, 1 H), 3.6 (d, 2 H), 1.4 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of *tert*-butyl (S)-(3-oxo-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)pyrazolidin-4-yl)carbamate.

tert-Butyl (S)-(3-hydroxy-1-oxo-1-(2-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)hydrazineyl)propan-2-yl)carbamate (20.0 g, 63.4 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (20.0 g, 76.1 mmol) in THF (200 mL) were cooled in an ice bath. Diethyl azodicarboxylate (31.8 mL, 69.8 mmol, 40% solution in toluene) was added dropwise, keeping internal temperature below 10 °C. The reaction was allowed to slowly come to room temperature and stirred overnight. The following day the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was taken up in 300 mL EtOAc. This mixture was extracted with 3 x 100 mL saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The combined aqueous layers were washed with 3 x 100 mL EtOAc. The aqueous layer was carefully brought to pH 5.0 with 4 M HCl solution to give a white precipitate, which was filtered and air dried to give *tert*-butyl (S)-(3-oxo-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)pyrazolidin-4-yl)carbamate (17.6 g, 93% yield). Mass spectrum M+H⁺(-tBu) = 242.0.

Synthesis of tert-butyl (S)-(3-oxopyrazolidin-4-yl)carbamate (9).

tert-butyl (S)-(3-oxo-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroacetyl)pyrazolidin-4-yl)carbamate (25.7 g, 86.6 mmol) was suspended in 50 mL H₂O and NaOH solution (124 mL, 1 M solution) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 h, at which point all material was in solution. The reaction was neutralized to between pH 6-7 using 4 M HCl solution. Solid began to precipitate and the solution was cooled in an ice bath to facilitate precipitation. The solid was filtered, washed with cold water,

and air dried to give *tert*-butyl (S)-(3-oxopyrazolidin-4-yl)carbamate (7.3 g, 41.7% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 9.21 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.89 Hz, 1H), 4.42 – 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.53 – 3.19 (m, 2H), 2.90 (t, J = 10.94 Hz, 1H), 1.39 (s, 9H). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 202.1.

Synthesis of allyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acrylate.

A solution of paraformaldehyde (2.24 g, 74.5 mmol) and piperidine (184 μ L, 1.86 mmol) in ethanol (50 mL) was heated at reflux for 1 h. To this was added allyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acetate (11.0 g, 46.6 mmol) and the solution was refluxed for 72 hours, adding additional piperidine (184 μ L, 1.86 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (250 mg, 8.3 mmol) after 24 and 48 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the reaction was taken up in toluene (60 mL). To this was added *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (140 mg, 0.81 mmol). The reaction was distilled to remove ethanol and toluene. Kugelrohr distillation of the remaining material provided allyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acrylate (7.37 g, 64% yield), which was stored as a 0.5 M solution in benzene at 0 °C. NMR CDCl3 7.02 (d, 1H), 6.77 (d, 1H), 5.95 (m, 1 H), 5.40 (d, 1H), 5.27 (d, 1H), 4.72 (m, 2H) 4.17 (q, 4H), 1.34 (t, 6H).

Synthesis of allyl 3-((S)-4-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-oxopyrazolidin-1-yl)-2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)propanoate (10).

To a suspension of *tert*-butyl (S)-(3-oxopyrazolidin-4-yl)carbamate (5.0 g, 24.8 mmol) in DCM (40 mL) was added allyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acrylate (7.40 g, 29.8mmol, 0.5 M solution in benzene). The reaction was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction solution was directly injected onto a silica gel column equilibrated with DCM. The column was run at 0-10% MeOH/DCM to give allyl 3-((S)-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-oxopyrazolidin-1-yl)-2-

(diethoxyphosphoryl)propanoate (11.0 g, 98% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.10 (d, J = 89.11 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (ddt, J = 5.77, 11.03, 16.42 Hz, 1H), 5.50 – 5.22 (m, 2H), 5.14 (d, J = 21.10 Hz, 1H), 4.84 – 4.65 (m, 2H), 4.59 (d, J = 35.26 Hz, 1H), 4.25 – 4.10 (m, 4H), 3.91 – 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.56 – 2.94 (m, 4H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.42 – 1.19 (m, 6H). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 450.2.

Synthesis of 2-allyl 3-(*tert*-butyl) (S)-6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-2,3-dicarboxylate (11).

3-((S)-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-oxopyrazolidin-1-yl)-2-Allyl (diethoxyphosphoryl)propanoate (4.0 g, 8.94 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM (55 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of tert-butyl 2-chloro-2-oxoacetate (1.91 g, 11.6 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (5 mL) was added dropwise. After 5 minutes, Hunig's base (4.22 mL, 24.2 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was slowly warmed to room temperature. After 2 h, additional tert-butyl 2-chloro-2-oxoacetate (250 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added as a solution in DCM (0.5 mL). The reaction was left to stir at room temperature overnight. The following day the reaction was diluted with DCM (100 mL) and the reaction was washed with $1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (75 mL) solution, water (75 mL) and brine (50 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude 2-allyl 3-(tert-butyl) (S)-6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-2,3-dicarboxylate, which was used as is for the subsequent reaction. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 5.92 (ddt, J = 5.75, 10.40, 17.17 Hz, 1H), 5.50 - 5.21 (m, 2H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 4.77 (s, 1H), 4.69 (dt, J = 1.40, 5.81 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (d, J = 1.40, 4.412.07 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (dd, J = 8.19, 16.37 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 12.02 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (t, J = 9.86 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (s, 9H), 1.47 (s, 9H). Mass spectrum $M+H^+ = 424.1$.

Synthesis of (S)-3-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-6-((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-2-carboxylic acid (12).

2-Allyl 3-(tert-butyl) (S)-6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5Hpyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-2,3-dicarboxylate (3.79 g, 8.95 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (100 mL), flushed with N₂, and cooled to 0 °C. Triethylsilane (1.72 mL, 10.7 mmol) was added dropwise, followed by Pd(PPh₃)₄ (827 mg, 0.7 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 30 minutes, then warmed to room temperature and stirred an additional 4 hours. The reaction was quenched by addition of 0.1 M HCl solution (10 mL) and stirred for 30 minutes. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc (250 mL) and the aqueous layer was discarded. The organics were extracted with 50% saturated NaHCO₃ solution (2 x 100 mL). The combined aqueous layers were neutralized with 1 M H_2SO_4 and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give (S)-3-(tertbutoxycarbonyl)-6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2alpyrazole-2-carboxylic acid (2.22 g, 65% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 5.16 (s, 1H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 4.54 - 4.37 (m, 1H), 4.11 (d, J = 11.07 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 13.07 Hz, 1H), 2.87(t, J = 10.06 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 9H), 1.47 (s, 9H). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 384.2.

Synthesis of *tert*-butyl (2-(5,6-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yl)ethyl)carbamate.

To a solution of 5,6-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)isobenzofuran-1,3-dione (5.0 g, 11.9 mmol) in EtOH (50 mL) was added N-Boc-ethylenediamine (3.81 g, 23.8 mmol). The solution was heated to reflux for 3 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature to yield a white precipitate. Water (25 mL) was added to the reaction, the mixture was cooled to 0 °C and the solid was filtered and

air-dried to give *tert*-butyl (2-(5,6-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamate (4.26 g, 64% yield). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 507.2 (-t-butyl).

Synthesis of 2-(2-aminoethyl)-5,6-dihydroxyisoindoline-1,3-dione (13).

tert-Butyl (2-(5,6-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamate (3.85 g, 6.84 mmol) was suspended in DCM (150 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. To this was added triethylsilane (5.46 mL, 34.2 mmol) followed by trifluoroacetic acid (26 mL, 340 mmol). Stirring was continued at 0 °C for 1 hour. The reaction was diluted with toluene (50 mL) to give a white solid, which was filtered and air-dried to give 2-(2-aminoethyl)-5,6-dihydroxyisoindoline-1,3-dione (2.11 g, 96% yield) as the TFA salt. Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 223.0.

Synthesis of intermediate *tert*-butyl (S)-6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-((2-(5,6dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-

pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylate (14).

Starting carboxylic acid **12** (694 mg, 1.81 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) with catalytic DMF and cooled in an ice bath. To this solution was added oxalyl chloride as a solution in dichloromethane (1.1mL of 2.0M solution, 2.2 mmol) and the reaction was stirred for 1 hour. In a second flask the amine, 2-(2-aminoethyl)-5,6-dihydroxyisoindoline-1,3-dione, **13** (608mg, 1.81 mmol) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (10 mL) cooled in an ice bath and treated with MSTFA (2.0 mL, 10.9 mmol) and then Hunig's base (1.26 mL, 7.24 mmol). After stirring 30 minutes all the amine was in solution. The dichloromethane solution was then added to the amine solution with the use of a syringe and the combined reaction was stirred for 2 hours. Product formation was confirmed by TLC. The reaction was poured into ethyl acetate, acidified and washed

with 0.3N sulfuric acid, extracted 2X with ethyl acetate and combined organics washed with brine to give: 1.92g yellow solid crude. Chromatography MPLC 40-70% (ethyl acetate/methanol/acetic acid, 93.8:6:0.2) in DCM provides product that elutes at about 60%. The product *tert*-butyl (S)-6-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylate **14** was obtained as a yellow foam (408 mg, 38%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*) δ 8.62 (d, *J* = 5.85 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (s, 2H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.47 (dd, *J* = 3.63, 14.10 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 1H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 22.87 Hz, 3H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 2.89 – 2.73 (m, 1H), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.46 (s, 9H). Mass Spectrum M+H⁺ = 588.2.

Synthesis of Intermediate (S)-6-amino-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (15).

Starting material **14** (397 mg, 0.68 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) and triethyl silane (540uL, 3.4 mmol) was added. The reaction was cooled in an ice bath and trifluoroacetic acid (5.0 mL, 68 mmol) was added. The cool reaction was stirred for 1.5 h and at room temperature for 15 min. Toluene (15 mL) was added and the reaction was evaporated to dryness to give product (S)-6-amino-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid, **15**, as a yellow foam that was used crude in the next reaction. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 10.35 (s, 2H), 8.63 (s, 2H), 8.31 – 8.12 (m, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 1.84 Hz, 2H), 4.92 – 4.51 (m, 1H), 4.15 (d, *J* = 12.88 Hz, 1H), 4.06 – 3.77 (m, 2H), 3.77 – 3.48 (m, 3H), 3.48 – 3.22 (m, 2H), 3.08 (td, *J* = 7.74, 10.89, 11.57 Hz, 1H). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 432.0.

Synthesis of intermediate (*S*,*Z*)-6-(2-(((1-(tert-butoxy)-2-methyl-1-oxopropan-2-yl)oxy)imino)-2-(2-(tritylamino)thiazol-4-yl)acetamido)-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (17).

Commercial starting material (Z)-2-(((1-(tert-butoxy)-2-methyl-1-oxopropan-2-yl)oxy)imino)-2-(2-(tritylamino)thiazol-4-yl)acetic acid 16 (436 mg, 0.76 mmol) in dry dichloromethane and catalytic DMF was cooled in an ice bath and treated with oxalyl chloride (382 uL of 2.0M solution, 0.76 mmol) and stirred for 1 hour. In a second flask starting material 15 (299 mg, 0.69 mmol) in acetonitrile (4 mL) was cooled in an ice bath and MSTFA (769 uL, 4.2 mmol) and Hunig's base (472 uL, 2.7 mmol) was added. After stirring for 20 minutes all of the starting material 15 was in solution. During this time the dichloromethane from the acid chloride forming reaction was evaporated and placed on a high vacuum to give a colorless foam. This foam was dissolved in dry DCM (5 mL) and added to the MSTFA treated amine followed by the addition of Hunig's base (250 uL, 1.4 mmol). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over 1 hour and stirred for an additional hour. Ethyl acetate was added and the solution was washed with 0.3N sulfuric acid, water and then brine to give the crude product (S,Z)-6-(2-(((1-(tert-butoxy)-2-methy)-1oxopropan-2-yl)oxy)imino)-2-(2-(tritylamino)thiazol-4-yl)acetamido)-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3carboxylic acid 17 as a yellow foam that was used directly in the next reaction. Mass Spectrum $M+H^+ = 985.2$.

Synthesis of (*S*,*Z*)-6-(2-(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)-2-(((2-carboxypropan-2yl)oxy)imino)acetamido)-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5-

oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (1).

The starting material 10 (1.24g, 1.26 mmol) crude from the previous reaction, was dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL) and triethyl silane (1.0 mL, 6.3 mmol) was added. The solution was cooled in and ice bath and trifluoroacetic acid (9.6 mL, 126 mmol) was added. The ice bath was removed after 30 minutes and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. Toluene (25 mL) was added and the reaction was evaporated to dryness. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide, diluted with water and chromatographed using reverse phase C18 MPLC eluting with 0 to 30% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid in water with 0.1% formic acid to give the (S,Z)-6-(2-(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)-2-(((2-carboxypropan-2product yl)oxy)imino)acetamido)-2-((2-(5,6-dihydroxy-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)carbamoyl)-5oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-a]pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid, 1, as a yellow powder after lyophilization (333 mg, 38%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 12.53 (s, 1H), 10.32 (s, 3H), 8.86 (d, J = 8.44 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 2.46 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (s, 3H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 5.03 (dt, J = 8.24, 11.52)Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, J = 12.40 Hz, 1H), 3.98 - 3.75 (m, 2H), 3.58 (t, J = 5.93 Hz, 2H), 3.15 - 2.99(m, 1H), 1.41 (d, J = 1.58 Hz, 6H). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ = 687.1. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) Calcd for C₂₇H₂₆N₈O₁₂S₁ 682.1391, found M+H⁺ 687.1469.

Synthesisof(S,Z)-6-(2-(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)-2-(((2-carboxypropan-2-
yl)oxy)imino)acetamido)-5-oxo-2-(phenethylcarbamoyl)-6,7-dihydro-1H,5H-pyrazolo[1,2-
a]pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid (2).

Compound **2** was synthesized in a manner parallel to the synthesis of **1** only using phenethyl amine rather than amine **13**. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 12.48(s, sH), 8.83 (d, *J* = 8.26 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (q, *J* = 4.98, 5.69 Hz, 1H), 7.28 – 7.17 (m, 3H), 7.18 – 7.09 (m, 4H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 4.96

(dt, J = 8.18, 11.35 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 12.67 Hz, 1H), 3.90 - 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.33 - 3.13 (m, 2H), 3.35 + 3.13 (m, 2H), 3.15 (m, 2H)

3H), 3.13 - 2.97 (m, 1H), 2.72 - 2.59 (m, 3H), 1.44 - 1.26 (m, 6H). Mass spectrum M+H⁺ =

586.1. HRMS (ESI/QTOF) Calcd for C₂₅H₂₇N₇O₈S₁ 585.1642, found M+H⁺ 586.1617.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Additional tables and figures representing toxicity values, mouse plasma PK, chemistry methods,

compound modeling, and β -lactamase genes of isolates tested, molecular formula strings, MICs.

Accession Codes

PDB code for *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 with bound YU253434 is 6VOT. Authors will release the atomic coordinates upon article publication.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

* Phone: 216-791-3800 x 4801, <u>Robert.Bonomo@va.gov</u>; Phone: 203-737-7350,

MarkSPlummer@gmail.com; Phone: 216-368-8511, fxv5@case.edu.

<u>Notes</u>

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Funding Sources MSP: Financial support was provided by the Program in Innovative Therapeutics for Connecticut's Health grant by the Connecticut Bioscience Innovation Fund, administered by the Connecticut Innovations and Yale University.

ACKNOWLEGDEMENTS

MSP: Financial support was provided by the Program in Innovative Therapeutics for Connecticut's Health grant by the Connecticut Bioscience Innovation Fund, administered by the Connecticut Innovations. RAB: Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Numbers R01AI100560, R01AI063517, and R01AI072219. This study was also supported in part by funds and/or facilities provided by the Cleveland Department of Veterans Affairs, Award Numbers 1101BX002872 to KMP-W and 1101BX001974 to RAB from the Biomedical Laboratory Research & Development Service of the VA Office of Research and Development, and the Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center VISN 10 (RAB). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the Department of Veterans Affairs.

LKL: Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number K08AI112506.

BMK: Research reported in this publication was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health, R01AI090155.

RAB wishes to gratefully thank the Antimicrobial Resistance Leadership Group (ARLG) for the use of the isolates. The research described in this publication is supported, at least in part, by a grant from the National Institutes of Health through Duke University and the findings, opinions, and recommendations expressed herein are those of the authors and not necessarily those of Duke University or the National Institutes of Health. Bacterial isolates provided herein and identified as "ARLG" were provided by the Antibacterial Resistance Leadership Group. This study is also supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number UM1AI104681.

FVDA: We also thank Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) for help with data collection. Use of the SSRL, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (including P41GM103393). The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIGMS or NIH.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

PBP, penicillin binding protein; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; AMR, antimicrobial resistance; MDR, multidrug resistant; MSTFA, N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide; DSF, differential scanning fluorimetry; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; LCMS, liquid chromatography mass spectrometry; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide

REFERENCES

(1) a) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2019) Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019 AR Threats Report <u>https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest-threats.html</u> (accessed Mar 11, 2020) b) Cassini, A.; Högberg, L. D; Plachouras, D.; Quattrocchi, A.; Hoxha, A.; Simonsen, G. S.; Colomb-Cotinat, M.; Kretzschmar, M.E.; Devleesschauwer, B.; Cecchini, M.; Ouakrim, D. A.; Oliveira, T. C.; Struelens, M. J.; Suetens, C.; Monnet, D.L.; and the Burden of AMR Collaborative Group; Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis, *Lancet Infectious Disease* **2019**, 19, 56–66.

(2) World Health Organization. *Antimicrobial Resistance: Global Report on Surveillance*, 2014. https://www.who.int/antimicrobial-resistance/publications/surveillancereport/en/ (accessed Mar 11, 2020).

(3) Spellberg, B.; Guidos, R.; Gilbert, D.; Bradley, J.; Boucher, H. W.; Scheld, W. M.; Bartlett, J. G.; Edwards, J. Jr. Infectious Diseases Society of America, The epidemic of antibiotic-resistant infections: a call to action for the medical community from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2008**, 46, 155–164.

(4) Boucher, H. W.; Talbot, G. H.; Bradley, J. S.; Edwards, J. E.; Gilbert, D.; Rice, L. B.; Scheld, M.;Spellberg, B.; Bartlett, J. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, 48, 1–12.

(5) World Health Organization. *Global Priority List of Antibiotic-resistant Bacteria to Guide Research, Discovery, and Development of New Antibiotics*, 2017. https://www.who.int/medicines/publications/global-priority-list-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria/en/ (accessed Mar 11, 2020).

(6) Peleg, A. Y.; Hooper, D. C. Hospital-acquired infections due to Gram-negative bacteria, *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2010**, 362, 1804–1813.

(7) Sydnor, E. R.; Perl, T. M. Hospital epidemiology and infection control in acute-care settings, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2011**, 24, 141–173.

(8) Hassani, M. The crisis of Gram-negative bacterial resistance: is there any hope for ESKAPE?, *Clinical Research in Infectious Disease*. **2014**, 1, 1005–1009.

(9) Silver, L. L. Challenges of antibacterial discovery, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2011, 24, 71-109.

(10) Payne, D. J.; Gwynn, M. N.;Holmes, D. J.; Pompliano, D. L. Drugs for bad bugs: confronting the challenges of antibacterial discovery, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2007**, *6*, 29–40.

(11) So, A. D.; Gupta, N.; Brahmachari, S. K.; Chopra, I.; Munos, B.; Nathan, C.; Outterson, K.; Paccaud, J. P.; Payne, D. J.; Peeling, R. W.; Spigelman, M.; Weigelt, J. Towards new business models for R&D for novel antibiotics, *Drug Resist. Updat.* **2011**, 14, 88–94.

(12) Livermore, D. M. Multiple mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: our worst nightmare? *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2002**, 34, 634–640.

(13) Tomas, M.; Doumith, M.; Warner, M.; Turton, J. F.; Beceiro, A.; Bou, G.; Livermore, D. M.; Woodford, N. Efflux pumps, OprD porin, AmpC beta-lactamase, and multiresistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from cystic fibrosis patients, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **2010**, 54, 2219–2224.

(14) Ternansky, R.J.; Draheim, S.E. [4.3.0] Pyrazolidionones as potential antibacterial agents, *Tet. Let.* **1988**, 29, 6569–6572.

(15) Allen, N. E.; Hobbs, J. N. Jr.; Preston, D. A.; Turner, J. R.; Wu, C. Y. Antibacterial properties of the bicyclic pyrazolidinones, *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo).* **1990**, 43, 92–99.

(16) Ternansky, R. J.; Draheim, S. E. Structure-activity relationship within a series of pyrazolidinone antibacterial agents. 1. Effect of nuclear modification on in vitro activity, *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, 36, 3219–3223.

(17) Ternansky, R. J.; Draheim, S. E.; Pike, A. J., Counter, F. T.; Eudaly, J. A.; Kasher, J. S. Structure-activity relationship within a series of pyrazolidinone antibacterial agents. 2 Effect of side-chain modification on in vitro activity and pharmacokinetic parameters, *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, 36, 3224–3229.

(18) Boyd, D. B. Application of the hypersurface iterative projection method to bicyclic pyrazolidinone antibacterial agents, *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, 36, 1443–1449.

(19) Cornelis, P.; Matthijs, S. Van Oeffelen, L. Iron uptake regulation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biometals* **2009**, 22, 15–22.

(20) a) Wilson, B. R.; Bogdan, A. R.; Miyazawa, M.; Hashimoto, K.; Tsuji, Y. Siderophores in iron metabolism: from mechanism to therapy potential trends in molecular medicine **2016**, 22,12. 1077-1090. b) Schalk, I.J.; Mislin, G.L.A.; Bacterial iron uptake pathways: gates for the import of bactericide compounds, *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, 60, 4573–4576.

(21) Luscher A.; Moynie, I.; Auguste, P.S.; Bumann, D.; Mazza, L.; Pletzer, d.; Naismith, J.H.; Kohler, T. TonB-Dependant receptor repertoire of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for uptake of siderophore-drug conjugates, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2018**, 63, 6, e00097–e00118.

(22) Hackel, M.A.; Tsuji, M.; Yamano, Y.; Echols, R.; Karlowsky, J.A.; Sahm, D.S. In vitro activity of the siderophore cephalosporin, Cefiderocol, against a recent collection of clinically relevant Gram-negative bacilli from North America and Europe, including carbapenem-nonsusceptible isolates (SIDERO-WT-2014 Study), *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2017**, 61, 9, e00093–e00117.

(23) a) Jeremy Starr, Matthew F. Brown, Lisa Aschenbrenner, Nicole Caspers, Ye Che, Brian S. Gerstenberger, Michael Huband, John D. Knafels, M. Megan Lemmon, Chao Li, Sandra P. McCurdy, Eric McElroy, Mark R. Rauckhorst, Andrew P. Tomaras, Jennifer A. Young, Richard P. Zaniewski, Veerabahu Shanmugasundaram, and Seungil Han Siderophore receptor-mediated uptake of lactivicin analogues in Gram-negative bacteria, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, 57, 3845-3855.
b) Baudart, M-G; Hennequin, L.F. Synthesis and biological activity of C-3' ortho dihydroxyphthalimido cephalosporins, The Journal of Antibiotics 1993, 46, 9, 1458-1470.

(24) Evans, S. R.; Tran, T. T. T.; Hujer, A. M.; Hill, C. B.; Hujer, K. M.; Mediavilla, J. R.; Manca, C.; Domitrovic, T. N.; Perez, F.; Farmer, M.; Pitzer, K. M.; Wilson, B. M.; Kreiswirth, B. N.; Patel, R.; Jacobs, M. R.; Chem, L.; Fowler, V. G.; Chambers, H. F.; Bonomo, R. A.; Rapid molecular diagnostics to inform empiric use of Ceftazidime/Avibactam and Ceftolozane/Tazobactam against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: PRIMERS IV. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2019, *68*, 1823–1830.

(25) Henig, O.; Cober, E.; Richter, S. S.; Perez, F.; Salata, R. A.; Kalayjian, R. C.; Watkins, R. R.; Marshall, S.; Rudin, S. D.; Domitrovic, T. N.; Hujer, A. M.; Hujer, K. M.; Doi, Y.; Evans, S.; Fowler, V. G. Jr.; Bonomo, R. A.; van Duin, D.; Kaye, K. S.; A prospective observational study of the epidemiology, management, and outcomes of skin and soft tissue infections due to carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2017, *4*, ofx157.

(26) Logan, L. K.; Hujer, A. M.; Marshall, S. H.; Domitrovic, T. N.; Rudin, S. D.; Zheng, X.; Qureshi, N. K.; Hayden, M. K.; Scaggs, F. A.; Karadkhele, A.; Bonomo, R. A.; Analysis of βlactamase resistance determinants in enterobacteriaceae from Chicago children: A multicenter survey. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2016**, *60*, 3462–3469.

(27) Evans, S. R.; Hujer, A. M.; Jiang, H.; Hujer, K. M.; Hall, T.; Marzan, C.; Jacobs, M. R.; Sampath, R.; Ecker, D. J.; Manca, C.; Chavda, K.; Zhang, P.; Fernandez, H.; Chen, L.; Mediavilla, J. R.; Hill, C. B.; Perez, F.; Caliendo, A. M.; Fowler, V. G. Jr.; Chambers, H. F.; Kreiswirth, B. N.; Bonomo, R. A.; Rapid molecular diagnostics, antibiotic treatment decisions, and developing approaches to inform empiric therapy: PRIMERS I and II. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2016, *62*, 181–189.

(28) Page, M. G. P.; Dantier, C.; Desarbre, E. In vitro properties of BAL30072, a novel siderophore sulfactam with activity against multiresistant Gram-negative bacilli, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54*, 2291–2302.

(29) Ito, A.; Nishikawa, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Yoshizawa, H.; Sato, T.; Nakamura, R.; Tsuji, M.; Yamano, Y. Siderophore cephalosporin Cefiderocol utilizes ferric iron transporter systems for antibacterial activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2016**, *60*, 7396–7401.

(30) Papp-Wallace, K. M.; Senkfor, B.; Gatta, J.; Chai, W.; Taracila, M. A.; Shanmugasundaram, V.; Han, S.; Zaniewski, R. P.; Lacey, B. M.; Tomaras, A. P.; Skalweit, M. J.; Harris, M. E.; Rice, L. B.; Buynak, J. D.; Bonomo, R. A. Early insights into the interactions of different β -lactam antibiotics and β -lactamase inhibitors against soluble forms of *Acinetobacter baumannii* PBP1a and *Acinetobacter* sp. PBP3, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2012**, *56*, 5687–5692.

(31) Levitt, P.S.; Papp-Wallace, K.M.; Taracila, M.A.; Hujer, A.M.; Winkler, M.L.; Smith, K.M.; Xu, Y.; Harris, M.E.; Bonomo, R.A. Exploring the role of a conserved class A residue in the Ω -loop of KPC-2 β -lactamase: A mechanism for ceftazidime hydrolysis, *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**; 287:31783–31793.

(32) Han, S.; Zaniewski, R. P.; Marr, E. S.; Lacey, B. M.; Tomaras, A. P.; Evdokimov, A.; Miller, J. R.; Shanmugasundaram, V. Structural basis for effectiveness of siderophore-conjugated monocarbams against clinically relevant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *ProcNatlAcadSciUSA*. **2010**, 107, 22002–22007.

(33) Minor, W.; Cymborowski, M.; Otwinowski, Z.; Chruszcz, M. HKL-3000: the integration of data reduction and structure solution--from diffraction images to an initial model in minutes, *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography.* **2006**, 62, 859–866.

(34) McCoy, A. J.; Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W.; Adams, P. D.; Winn, M. D.; Storoni, L. C.; Read, R. J. Phaser crystallographic software, *J Appl Crystallogr.* **2007**, 40, 658–674.

(35) Murshudov, G. N.; Skubak, P.; Lebedev, A. A.; Pannu, N. S.; Steiner, R. A.; Nicholls, R. A.; Winn, M. D.; Long, F.; Vagin, A. A. (2011) REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1/	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33 34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40 41	
41	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48 40	
49 50	
51	
52	

59

60

crystal structures, *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography.* **2011**, 67, 355–367.

(36) Emsley, P.; Cowtan, K. C. model-building tools for molecular graphics, *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography.* **2004**, 60, 2126–2132.

(37) Schuttelkopf, A. W.; van Aalten, D. M. PRODRG: a tool for high-throughput crystallography of protein-ligand complexes, *Acta CrystallogrDBiolCrystallogr.***2004**, 60, 1355–1363.

(38) Sparbier, K.; Schubert, S.; Weller, U.; Boogen, C.; Kostrzewa, M. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry-based functional assay for rapid detection of resistance against beta-lactam antibiotics, *J. Clin. Microbiol.***2012**, 50, 927–937.



E. coli, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae