
Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Tota, C. Carlucci, L. Pisano, G. Cutolo, G. J. Clarkson, G. Romanazzi, L. Degennaro, J. A. Bull, P. Rollin and R. Luisi, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0OB00647E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





View Article Online

View Journal

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx.

Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Synthesis of Glycosyl Sulfoximines by a Highly Chemo- and Stereoselective NH- and O-Transfer to Thioglycosides

Arianna Tota,^a Claudia Carlucci,^a Luisa Pisano,^b Giuliano Cutolo,^c Guy J. Clarkson,^d Giuseppe Romanazzi,^e Leonardo Degennaro,^a James A. Bull,^{*f} Patrick Rollin,^{*g} and Renzo Luisi^{*a}

A synthesis of unprecedented and stable glycosyl sulfoximines is reported. The developed strategies represent the first example of highly stereoselective sulfoximine formation directly from thioglycosides. This synthetic protocol has been tested on several β-thioglycosides bearing different aromatics and alkyls as S-substituents, and bearing glucose, mannose and galactose as glycosyl units. The process has been extended to a lactose derived thioglycoside and to a glucose derived sulfonamide. The process resulted chemo- and stereoselective, and X-ray analysis confirmed the structure and provided stereochemical information on the configuration at the sulfur atom. A model for the stereochemical outcome is proposed based on the steric environment of the sulfide.

μ́H₂

C

Pirlimycin hydrochloride

(antibiotic)

HN Roniciclib

(pan-CDK inhibitor antineoplastic)

Introduction

Published on 04 May 2020. Downloaded by Université de Paris on 5/4/2020 8:40:24 PM


As a consequence of the enhanced hydrolytic stability with respect to O-linked analogs, thioglycosides represent a useful class of molecules employed in the preparation of a variety of biologically relevant compounds such as glycoconjugates and glycomimetics.^{1,2} Examples of bioactive thioglycosides are the antibiotic pirlimycin and the anti-inflammatory and anti-rheumatic auranofin (Figure 1). An interesting aspect that distinguishes thioglycosides from other glycoconjugates is the possibility to convert the bivalent sulfur atom into other oxidized forms. In fact, glycosyl sulfoxides,³ sulfilimines^{4,5} and sulfones⁶ offer the possibility of extending the range of interactions with targets in which the anomeric carbon represents a crucial linking site in natural glycoconjugates. Strategies for the preparation of derivatives of types 1 and 2 (Figure 1) are now available. The sulfoximine group represents an emerging structural motif of interest in medicinal chemistry and agrochemicals.⁷ In fact,

the particular physicochemical properties brought about by the sulfoximine moiety allowed the recent development of roniciclib, a pan-CDK inhibitor with potential antineoplastic activity (Figure 1),⁸ as well as novel insecticides9 or COX2 inhibitors.10 In this context, the combination of a glycosyl moiety with the sulfoximine functionality would open new perspectives in the field of glycoconjugates. Interestingly, Kinfe recently reported an example of biologically active compound characterized by the co-presence of a sulfoximine group adjacent to a glycosidic template (3 in Figure 1), tested as antiplasmodic.¹¹ Nevertheless, the sulfoximine group in **3** was not directly linked to the anomeric carbon, and to the best of our knowledge, derivatives of the kind of 4 (Figure 1) are still unknown. One possible reason could be ascribed to the lack of methods, for the introduction of the sulfoximine group, compatible with the functional groups on the glycosyl unit.

ÔAc

- ^{a.} Department of Pharmacy Drug Sciences, University of Bari "A. Moro" Via E. Orabona 4, Bari 70125, Italy; E-mail: renzo.luisi@uniba.it
- ^{b.} Department of Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Sassari, Via Vienna 2, 07100. Sassari, Italy.
- ^c PrattLab University of Southern California, 3430 S. Vernmont Ave, TRF 100 Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- ^{d.} Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AI IIK
- ^e DICATECh, Politecnico di Bari, Via E. Orabona 4, Bari 70125, Italy. ^{f.} Department of Chemistry, Molecular Sciences Research Hub, Imperial College London, White City Campus, Wood Lane, W12 OBZ (UK); E-mail:
- j.bull@imperial.ac.uk g. Université d'Orléans et CNRS, ICOA, UMR 7311, BP 6759, F-45067 Orléans, France; E-mail: patrick.rollin@univ

† Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here. Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



Journal Name


Published on 04 May 2020. Downloaded by Université de Paris on 5/4/2020 8:40:24 PM.

Figure 1 Examples of bioactive thioglycosides and sulfoximines.

Results and Discussion

Motivated by this challenge, and taking advantage of our recent interest in the chemoselective O- and NH-transfer to sulfur,^{12,13} we have developed a straightforward chemo- and stereoselective preparation of previously unknown glycosyl sulfoximines, via a one-pot transfer reaction of oxygen and NH to thioglycosides. The methodology herein described has proven simple, efficient, tolerant to sugar functional groups, and avoids multistep routes and the use of any metal. Our preliminary investigation was carried out using peracetylated methyl 1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside **5a** as model substrate (Table 1). The use of a peracetylated substrate was dictated by solubility issues of the thioglycoside. Several factors, such as the nitrogen source, the solvent, the stoichiometry of the oxidant and the reaction time, were considered in the optimization study.


Table 1. O- and N-transfer to sulfur for glycosyl sulfoximine synthesis.



	reagent				(%) ^a
1	PhI(OAc) ₂	AcONH ₄	3h	MeOH	45
2	PhI(OAc) ₂	NH₃ aq	3h	MeOH	43
3 ^b	PhI(OAc) ₂	NH₃/MeOH	3h	MeOH	33
4	PhI(OAc) ₂	(NH ₄) ₂ CO ₃	3h	MeOH	45
5	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	3h	MeOH	50
6	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	30 min	MeOH	65
7 ^c	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	30 min	MeOH	66
8	PhIO	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	30 min	MeOH	41
9	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	3h	ACN	10
10	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	30 min	DMF	40
11	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	30 min	Toluene	36
12	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	24h	Toluene	65
13	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	3h	<i>i</i> PrOH	67
14	PhI(OAc) ₂	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	30 min	<i>i</i> PrOH	60
15 ^d	PhIO	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	3h	<i>i</i> PrOH	32
16	PhIO	$NH_2CO_2NH_4$	3h	<i>i</i> PrOH	71

^a Calculated by ¹H NMR using mesitylene as internal standard; ^b Reaction performed in the presence of 10 equiv of *N*-source; ^c Reaction performed at 0 °C; ^d Reaction performed in the presence of acetic acid (0.5 equiv.) according to reported evidence (see ref. 18); **5a** was synthesized according to the procedure reported in the literature.¹⁴

Initially, the role of the nitrogen source was considered (Table 1, entries 1-5), using MeOH as the reaction solvent, and $PhI(OAc)_2$ (2.5 equiv.) as the oxidant. To our delight, all sources of ammonia (AcONH₄, NH₃, (NH₄)₂CO₃ and NH₂CO₂NH₄) were found suitable for



this process, and in all cases the expected sulfoximine tibe owas obtained with moderate to reasonable yields 33-50%.


Scheme 1. Scope of the reaction. ^aStereochemistry at sulfur ascertained by X-Ray analysis. ^bStereochemistry at sulfur assigned by analogy to **6c**. ^cStereochemistry at sulfur not assigned. We cannot rule out that the opposite configuration at C2 would affect the stereochemical course of the reaction (*vide infra*).

The use of NH₃ (10 equiv.) in MeOH caused a decrease of the yield of **6a** (entry 3) with formation of the corresponding *O*-methyl glucopyranoside, likely resulting from S_N1 displacement of the sulfonimidoyl group with the solvent. In order to minimize this side reaction, the NH transfer was run at shorter reaction time (entry 6), and at lower temperature (entry 7), resulting in yield improvement for **6a** (65% and 66% respectively). The use of PhIO as the oxidant (entry 8) returned a reasonable 41% yield of **6a**, demonstrating the suitability of this alternative oxidizing agent. To further tackle the concurrent O-glycosidation by MeOH, alternative reaction solvents were considered. The use of polar solvents such as acetonitrile and

Published on 04 May 2020. Downloaded by Université de Paris on 5/4/2020 8:40:24 PM

Journal Name


DMF gave unsatisfactory results (entries 9, 10) while the use of toluene resulted in a satisfactory 65% yield after 24h reaction time (compares entries 11 and 12). The longer reaction time required in toluene is a consequence of the poorer solubility of the N-source in this solvent. The use of *i*-PrOH furnished better results, and no competing O-glycosidation was observed. Moreover, using PhI(OAc)₂, **6a** could be obtained in 65% yield after 3h (entry 13). Finally, the use of PhIO (2.5 equiv) in *i*-PrOH resulted in optimized conditions with a 71% yield of 6a (entry 16). Interestingly, in all cases NMR analysis revealed the presence of a major diastereoisomer for the glycosyl sulfoximine **6a** (dr >90:10), whereby the β -configuration at the anomeric carbon was preserved, and the O- and NH-transfer to the S-atom occurred with a high degree of stereoselectivity. For sake of comparison, the reactivity of the corresponding α thioglycosides was considered. Nevertheless, the preparation of such anomeric derivatives resulted a difficult task, likely because of a $\beta\text{-}$ selectivity in the synthetic sequence.¹⁵ However, the α -thioglycoside bearing the cyclohexyl S-substituent 5c was fortuitously isolated, and tested under the optimized conditions. Quite unexpectedly, the reaction of the α -anomer provided the corresponding β -Omethylglycoside using MeOH as the solvent and unreacted starting material in *i*-PrOH. With optimal conditions in hand, the scope of the reaction was explored only on β -anomers. β -Thioglycosides **5a-r**, were prepared¹⁵ and tested in the imination/oxidation process (Scheme 1). As reported in Scheme 1, readily available β thioglycosides 5a-m were prepared from D-glucose, varying the aglycon with alkyl and aryl groups. The corresponding β glucosylsulfoximines 6a-m were obtained in 42-75% yields, and the products were found to be stable and isolable.¹⁶ No epimerization at the anomeric carbon was observed in any of the investigated cases as ascertained evaluating the ³J vicinal coupling constants for the anomeric proton. ¹⁷ The diastereoselectivity of the process was very high (dr >95:5), with a slight lowering (dr >70:30) when electronwithdrawing substituents are installed on the aromatic ring (i.e. 6f,g and 6j in Scheme 1). The reaction was tolerant to bulky Ssubstituents such as in the case of 6k, 6l and 6m. The use of different glycosyl units, as in thioglycosides **5n**, and **5o-q** deriving respectively from D-mannose and D-galactose, resulted in modest yields for the corresponding sulfoximines 6n (36%), 6o (42%), 6p (36%) and 6q (34%). However, ¹H NMR analysis revealed only unreacted starting material as other component of the crude reaction mixture. Nevertheless, such mannosyl- and galactosylsulfoximines were obtained with very high stereoselectivity (dr >95:5). To further benchmark the methodology, the peracetylated thiolactoside 5r was subjected to the one-pot imination/oxidation protocol, leading to the corresponding sulfoximine 6r in 65% yield as a single stereoisomeric form (Scheme 2, a).



Scheme 2. *Stereochemistry at sulfur not assigned. a) *NH*- and *O*-transfer reaction to thiolactoside; b) ^{15}N -labeled glycosyl sulfoximine case; c) synthesis of an unprecedented glycosyl sulfonimidamide.

Interestingly, the use of ¹⁵N-labeled ammonium acetate as the Nsource, gave access to glucosyl sulfoximine 6aa in reasonable yield and again as a single diastereoisomer (Scheme 2, b). Given the recent renaissance of sulfonimidamides¹⁸ as isosteres of sulfonamides, the synthesis of an unprecedented glycosyl sulfonimidamide was attempted. Starting from disulfide 8, the corresponding sulfenamide 9 was first prepared, then subjected to the O- and NH-transfer reaction in the presence of ammonium carbamate and PhIO.¹⁹ Under these conditions, we were pleased to isolate the first glucosyl sulfonimidamide 10 in 70% yield (Scheme 2, c). After assessing the scope of the methodology, we turned out our attention to the somewhat unexpectedly high stereoselectivity of the process. While the stereochemistry at the anomeric carbon could easily be ascertained based on ¹H NMR analysis, and ³J_{HH} coupling constant values, the assignment of the stereochemistry at the S-centre was more difficult to establish. However, after several attempts, we were able to crystalize the S-cyclohexyl glucosylsulfoximine 6c, confirming the structure and the sulfur stereochemistry by X-ray analysis (Scheme 3). Establishing the absolute (S_s) stereochemistry in 6c prompted us to build a model to justify the stereoselective O- and Ntransfer to the sulfur atom. Based on previous findings on the mechanism of this chemoselective imination/oxidation process,18,19 we propose the mechanism reported in Scheme 3. The iodonitrene ([PhI-N]⁺) intermediate attacks preferentially the sulfur atom of the thioglycoside to afford the intermediate I (Scheme 3). Elimination of PhI and attack of the alcoholic solvent will generate the alkoxysulfanenitrile SN, which acts as an electrophilic agent to form the sulfoximine. Importantly, the nature of the stereo-determining step remains unstudied. The mechanism may proceed through

intermediate II, where elimination precedes ROH attack, in which case the bonding with the alcohol would fix the stereochemistry. Alternatively, in a concerted process for attack of ROH and elimination of PhI ($\mathbf{I} \rightarrow SN$), the sulfur configuration would be expected to be set in the initial nitrene attack. Therefore, considering the observed (S_s)-stereochemistry of **6c**, it is reasonable to consider two possible stereo-determining steps – the attack of N or the attack of O.





To shed some light on this stereoselective reaction, we ran DFT calculations at (SMD(MeOH)/B3LYP/6-311++g** level, Gaussian 09), on the thioglycoside **5c**, and on the corresponding S=N intermediate II (Scheme 3). In both cases, it is possible to identify in the optimized structures a less hindered side with lower steric demand around the S atom (Scheme 3). Interestingly, the approach from the less hindered side of the iodonitrene on $\mathbf{5c},$ or the solvent ROH on intermediates II, would both lead to the observed (S)s stereochemistry in the final product 6c. The geometry of II was optimized by minimizing the energy with respect to all the geometrical parameters, without imposing any molecular symmetry constraints. Vibrational analysis, HOMO-LUMO orbitals calculations and NPA analysis were also carried out. In the optimized structure, the geometry around the sulfur atom is almost planar, with two similar C-S bonds lengths (1.93 and 1.94 Å) while the C-N is a multiple bond (1.48 Å). Moreover, according to the NPA analysis the S atom bears the maximum positive charge (see Supplementary material). The small HOMO-LUMO energy gap reflects the high reactivity of the intermediate with tree HOMO orbitals almost degenerates, and the LUMO well separated from the other virtual orbitals. The corresponding 3-D plots showed that the HOMO orbitals are all delocalized over the molecule while the LUMO orbital is a localized

S-N π^* antibonding orbital. To rationalize the stereochemistry of the reaction, the two faces of the LUMO orbital Wave been considered (Figure 3). Since one of the faces is easily accessible while the other is affected by the steric hindrance and, perhaps, also by electronic repulsion of the CH₂OAc group, it is reasonable to assume that the nucleophile could discriminate between the two faces. However, this result cannot alone distinguish between the two proposed pathways (Scheme 3), which remains an unanswered question.^{20, 21}

Conclusions

In conclusion, a method for the synthesis of new glycosyl NHsulfoximines has been developed by using a highly chemo and stereoselective one-pot O and NH transfer to the sulfur atom of β thioglycosides. This method allows for a straightforward preparation of carbohydrate structures bearing a sulfoximine group bound to the anomeric carbon. This protocol was applied to diverse thioglycosides, and proved to be feasible to disaccharides such as lactose, and to glycosylsulfenamides. X-Ray analysis confirmed the structure and established the stereochemistry of one glycosyl sulfoximine, while DFT calculations helped to rationalise the stereoselectivity of the transformation. Further investigations are ongoing on the use of these new and interesting glycosyl sulfoximines.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the University of Bari for supporting this research, and Ms. Arianna Franco for the precious synthetic contribution. We thank The Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship, UF140161 (to J.A.B.).

Notes and references

- C. Aydillo, I. Compañón, A. Avenoza, J. H. Busto, F. Corzana, J. M. Peregrina and M. M. Zurbano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 789-800.
- a) Z. J. Witczak and J. M. Culhane, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2005, 69, 237-244; b) K. Pachamuthu and R. R. Schmidt, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 106, 160-187; c) L. Lázár, M. Csávás, M. Tóth, L. Somsak and A. Borbás, *Chem. Pap.*, 2015, 69, 889-895.
- a) J. Gildersleeve, R. A. Pascal and D. Kahne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 5961-5969; b) D. Crich and L. B. L. Lim, Org. React., 2004, 64, 115-251; c) M.-Y. Chen, L. N. Patkar and C.-C. Lin, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 2884-2887.
- 4 a) F. Chéry, S. Cassel, H. P. Wessel and P. Rollin, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, 171-180; b) J. Hain, P. Rollin, W. Klaffke and T. K. Lindhorst, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, 14, 1619-1636; c) F. Chéry, E. Cabianca, A. Tatibouët, O. De Lucchi, T. K. Lindhorst and P. Rollin, *Carbohyd. Res.*, 2015, 417, 117-124.
- 5 a) M. Mavratzotis, S. Cassel, S. Montaut, and P. Rollin, Molecules, 2018, 23, 786; b) P. Rollin and A. Tatibouët, Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem., 2011, 186, 1130.
- 6 a) P. de Pouilly, A. Chénedé, J.-M. Mallet and P. Sinaÿ, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 8065-8068; b) J.-M. Beau and P. Sinaÿ, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 6185-6188.

Published on 04 May 2020. Downloaded by Université de Paris on 5/4/2020 8:40:24 PM

Journal Name

- 7 a) S. Wiezorek, P. Lamers and C. Bolm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019,
 48, 5408-5423; b) U. Lücking, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52,
 9399-9408; c) U. Lücking, R. Jautelat, M. Krüger, T. Brumby, P. Lienau, M. Schäfer, H. Briem, J. Schulze, A. Hillisch, A. Reichel, A. M. Wengner and G. Siemeister, *ChemMedChem*, 2013, 8, 1067-1085; d) O. M. Mulina, A. I. Ilovaisky and A. O. Terent'ev, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, 4648-4672.
- 8 K. M. Foote, J. W. M. Nissink and P. Turner, *Morpholino pyrimidines and their use in therapy*, AstraZeneca Patent WO2011/154737 A1, 2011.
- J. M. Babcock, C. B. Gerwick, J. X. Huang, M. R. Loso, G. Nakamura, S. P. Nolting, R. B. Rogers, T. C. Sparks, J. Thomas, G. B. Watson and Y. Zhu, *Pest Manag. Sci.*, 2011, 67, 328-334.
- S. J. Park, H. Baars, S. Mersmann, H. Buschmann, J. M. Baron, P. M. Amann, K. Czaja, H. Hollert, K. Bluhm, R. Redelstein and C. Bolm, *ChemMedChem*, 2013, 8, 217-220.
- 11 M. Chizema, T. F. Mabasa, H. C. Hoppe and H. H. Kinfe, *Chem. Biol. Drug. Des.*, 2019, **93**, 254-261.
- a) A. Tota, S. St John-Campbell, E. L. Briggs, G. O. Estévez, M. Alfonso, L. Degennaro, R. Luisi and J. A. Bull, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, 20, 2599-2602; b) A. Tota, M. Zenzola, S. J. Chawner, S. St John-Campbell, C. Carlucci, G. Romanazzi, L. Degennaro, J. A. Bull and R. Luisi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, 53, 348-351; c) M. Zenzola, R. Doran, L. Degennaro, R. Luisi, and J. A. Bull, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 7203-7207.
- a) Y. Xie, B. Zhou, S. Zhou, S. Zhou, W. Wei, J. Liu, Y. Zhan, D. Cheng, M. Chen, Y. Li, B. Wang, X.-S. Xue and Z. Li, *ChemistrySelect*, 2017, 2, 1620-1624; b) S. Chaabouni, J.-F. Lohier, A.-L. Barthelemy, T. Glachet, E. Anselmi, G. Dagousset, P. Diter, B. Pégot, E. Magnier and V. Reboul, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 17006-17010.
- 14 T. Hanaya, H. Baba, H. Toyota and H. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 7989-7997.
- R. J. Ferrier and R. H. Furneaux, *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.*, 1980, 8, 251-253.
- 16 It is worth noting that the use of MSH (mesytilenesulfonylhydroxylamine) as a sulfur-iminating agent resulted in a completely different reactivity of thioglycosides. See: A. Kitowski, E. Jiménez-Moreno, M. Salvadó, J. Mestre, S. Castillón, G. Jiménez-Osés, O. Boutureira and G. J. L. Bernardes, Org. Lett., 2017, **19**, 5490-5493.
- 17 The inversion of configuration at the anomeric carbon (i.e. $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$) would result in a significant change in the value of the vicinal coupling constant ³J (³J_{HH} *cis* = 2-3 Hz *vs* ³J_{HH} *trans* = 8-9 Hz). This change has not been detected in derivatives **6**.
- a) U. Lücking, Org. Chem. Front., 2019, 6, 1319-1324; b) F. Izzo,
 M. Schäfer, P. Lienau, U. Ganzer, R. Stockman and U. Lücking,
 Chem. Eur. J., 2018, 24, 9295-9304; c) P. K. Chinthakindi, T.
 Naicker, N. Thota, T. Govender, H. G. Kruger and P. I. Arvidsson,
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 4100-4109.
- E. L. Briggs, A. Tota, M. Colella, L. Degennaro, R. Luisi and J. A. Bull, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 14303-14310.

- 20 a) J.-F. Lohier, T. Glachet, H. Marzag, A.-C. Gaumont and the Reboul, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 206年1266年7367年. Degennaro and R. Luisi, *Synlett*, 2017, **28**, 2525-2538.
- 21 As support to the involvement of intermediate **II**, the lower stereoselectivity with electron-poor aryl substituents (as in **6f**,**g** and **6j**) could be justified with an higher energy content of the corresponding cationic intermediate.


Page 6 of 6

ARTICLE

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D00B00647E

тос

Synthesis of Glycosyl Sulfoximines by a Highly Chemo- and Stereoselective NH- and O-Transfer to Thioglycosides



Abstract: The synthesis of unprecedented glycosyl sulfoximines is reported. The developed strategies represent the first examples of highly stereoselective sulfoximine formation directly from sulfides. X-ray analysis and a computational model were used to establish the configuration at the sulfur atom.