

Insecticidal activity of indole derivatives against *Plutella xylostella* and selectivity to four non-target organisms

Ângela C. F. Costa¹ · Sócrates C. H. Cavalcanti² · Alisson S. Santana¹ · Ana P. S. Lima¹ · Thaysnara B. Brito² · Rafael R. B. Oliveira² · Nathália A. Macêdo² · Paulo F. Cristaldo³ · Ana Paula A. Araújo⁴ · Leandro Bacci^{1,5}

Accepted: 31 July 2019

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

The diamondback moth Plutella xylostella (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) is a destructive pest of brassica crops of economic importance that have resistance to a range of insecticides. Indole derivates can exert diverse biological activities, and different effects may be obtained from small differences in their molecular structures. Indole is the parent substance of a large number of synthetic and natural compounds, such as plant and animal hormones. In the present study, we evaluate the insecticidal activity of 20 new synthesized indole derivatives against P. xylostella, and the selectivity of these derivatives against non-target hymenopteran beneficial arthropods: the pollinator Apis mellifera (Linnaeus, 1758) (Hymenoptera: Apidae), and the predators Polybia scutellaris (White, 1841), Polybia sericea (Olivier, 1791) and Polybia rejecta (Fabricius, 1798) (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). Bioassays were performed in the laboratory to determine the lethal and sublethal effects of the compounds on P. xylostella and to examine their selectivity to non-target organisms by topical application and foliar contact. The treatments consisted of two synthesized derivatives (most and least toxic), the positive control (deltamethrin) and the negative control (solvent). The synthesized compound 4e [1-(1H-indol-3-yl)hexan-1-one] showed high toxicity (via topical application and ingestion) and decreased the leaf consumption by P. xylostella, displaying a higher efficiency than the pyrethroid deltamethrin, widely used to control this pest. In addition, the synthesized indole derivatives were selective to the pollinator A. mellifera and the predators P. scutellaris, P. sericea and P. rejecta, none of which were affected by deltamethrin. Our results highlight the promising potential of the synthesized indole derivatives for the generation of new chemical compounds for P. xylostella management.

Keywords Chemical control · Pest control · Pesticides · Tryptamine

Leandro Bacci bacci.ufs@gmail.com

- ¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Agricultura e Biodiversidade, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil
- ² Departamento de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil
- ³ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Entomologia Agrícola, Departamento de Agronomia, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil
- ⁴ Departamento de Ecologia, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil
- ⁵ Departamento de Engenharia Agronômica, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil

Introduction

Plants of the Brassicaceae family (e.g., Brassica oleracea: cabbage, cauliflower, Brussel sprouts, headed cabbage and broccoli) are important food sources (Higdon et al. 2007) due to their nutritional properties and anticarcinogenic activities (Hasler 1998; Souza et al. 2003). While such attributes have motivated the large-scale production of brassicas (Novo et al. 2010), the production costs are high because these plants are attacked by agricultural pests, among which, the diamondback moth Plutella xylostella L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), a brassica specialist insect, stands out. The global economic losses resulting from the attack of this pest are estimated at around US\$4-5 billion per year (Zalucki et al. 2012; Correa-Cuadros et al. 2014). Moreover, the inappropriate use of insecticides to minimize these losses has caused resistance in P. xylostella to a range of chemical compounds (Bortoli et al. 2013).

Populations of *P. xylostella* have shown resistance to almost all classes of insecticides applied in the field (Bortoli et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2017), including the pyrethroids widely used for its control, as well as the recently synthesized products (e.g., chlorantraniliprole and flubendiamide) (Troczka et al. 2012). In addition, pyrethroids have demonstrated high toxicity to non-target organisms, such as the agents of biological control (predatory wasps [Galvan et al. 2002; Fernandes et al. 2008; Bacci et al. 2009]) or pollinators (bees [Sharma and Abrol 2005; Fernandes et al. 2008]). In order to minimize this problem, several studies have searched for alternative control products that are relatively more efficient and environmentally safer. Thus, synthesized compounds have been highlighted as promising tools in IPM (Integrated Pest Management) (Alvarenga et al. 2012; Feng et al. 2012; Lima et al. 2015; Sun and Zhou 2015; Zhang et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2016).

The synthesis of compounds allows creating new molecules with similar structures to each other, but that can have different insecticidal activity efficiency. The indole is a parent substance (e.g., indole and tryptamine) of several derivates. Indole-based compounds are common in natural products (e.g., essential amino acids, plant and animal hormones, bacteria metabolism) and in small synthetic molecules, presenting a wide range of biological activities (Kaushik et al. 2013, Song et al. 2016) similar to those observed in plant defense mechanisms (Ahuja et al. 2015) against insects. Indole derivatives seem to act in the kynurenine pathway, which presents intermediate compounds with paralytic and lethal action on insects (Cerstiaens et al. 2003). In this pathway, the strong neurotoxic action is associated with the accumulation of 3-hydroxy-kynurenine (3-HK) in the organism, causing apoptosis of neuronal cells and motor disorders in insects (Okuda et al. 2002; Cerstiaens et al. 2003). The levels of 3-HK in the insect's physiology is regulated by a transaminase reaction catalyzed by transaminase 3-HK, which converts it to the stable xanthurenic acid (Rossi et al. 2006; Han et al. 2007). Therefore, toxic levels of 3-HK could be achieved by 3-HK transaminase inhibitors (Rossi et al. 2006), a role that can be played by the indole derivatives.

In the present study, we evaluate the insecticidal activity of new indole derivatives synthesized from indole and tryptamine against larvae of *P. xylostella*; as well as their selectivity to beneficial arthropods (e.g., predator wasps and pollinator honey bee).

Material and methods

Synthesis of the indole derivatives

All indole derivatives were synthesized at the Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry of the Federal University of Sergipe (UFS), São Cristóvão, SE, Brazil. Derivatives 2a to 2i were synthesized according to the procedure of Roszkowski et al. (2005), wherein tryptamine is reacted with acid chloride derivatives in the presence of dichloromethane (DCM) and triethylamine to give substituted 1*H*-indol-3-ethylamine (Scheme 1a).

The acylated derivatives 4a to 4j were synthesized from indole via the regioselective Friedel-Crafts reaction at C3 of the pyrrole ring in the presence of acyl chloride, DCM and tin chloride (SnCl₄) as the catalyst (Ottoni et al. 2001) (Scheme 1b). Derivative 5 was obtained from the reaction of indole in the presence of tosyl chloride, NaOH and benzyltriethylammonium chloride in DCM. The reactions were prepared under anhydrous conditions and monitored by thin layer chromatography, in which the starting material was analyzed for comparison. Additionally, the reactions were processed by extraction, drying with sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄) and solvent evaporation under reduced pressure. The obtained compounds were purified by column chromatography with silica gel as the stationary phase and hexane:ethyl acetate (8:2) as the mobile phase. Derivatives with linear, branched aliphatic chains containing chlorine atoms and a substituted aromatic derivative were synthesized. Nine compounds derived from tryptamine, and 11 derived from indole, were obtained, totaling 22 compounds, along with their base substances (tryptamine and indole).

Preparation of solutions

All synthesized compounds and the insecticide were previously weighed in a precision balance with 0.01 mg sensitivity (AUW220D, Shimadzu) and were then diluted in Tween 80 surfactant (Dinâmica[®], Diadema, SP, Brazil) (4% v/v), followed by homogenization. Subsequently, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (Neon, Suzano, SP, Brazil) (29% v/ v) was added, and a further homogenization was performed. Finally, distilled water (67% v/v) was added, and the solution was again homogenized. Preliminary tests were performed and demonstrated that the solvent components (Tween + DMSO + distilled water) did not affect the survival and behavior of insects in the proportions used.

Bioassays

Bioassays were carried out at the Agricultural Entomology Laboratory of UFS (10°54′S, 37°04′W). The experimental designs were completely randomized.

Initially, the lethal doses (LDs) of 20 synthesized compounds were evaluated for *P. xylostella*. Among these compounds, the most and least toxic were selected for analysis in the subsequent bioassays since they represented the range of activity of all the compounds analyzed. All bioassays consisted of four treatments: two synthesized



derivatives (most and least toxic), a positive control (commercial product based on deltamethrin (Decis[®] 25 EC, Bayer, Gujarat, India) and a negative control (solvent).

Bioassays with Plutella xylostella

Obtaining the larvae Larvae of *P. xylostella* were obtained from rearing, established in the Laboratory of Agricultural Entomology of UFS. The colony started with eggs, larvae and pupae collected in cabbage crops (*B. oleracea* var. capitata), in the region of Itabaiana, SE, Brazil ($10^{\circ}47$ 'S, $37^{\circ}22$ 'W, and altitude of 215 m). The eggs and larvae were kept in plastic containers (2L) covered with organza mesh under ambient conditions. Cabbage leaves were offered to larvae. The plants were grown under greenhouse conditions, without application of agrochemicals. Honey and cabbage leaves were available for adult feeding and oviposition of females, respectively. The leaves containing the eggs were used to start a new cycle.

For the bioassays, 2nd instar larvae were used, based on the size and color of the cephalic capsule. The only exception was made in the avoidance behavior bioassay, in which 3rd instar larvae were used.

Lethal dose (LD) For calculations of the dosages to be used in the bioassays, initially, the average mass of 50 *P*. *xylostella* larvae was obtained using a precision analytical balance (AUW220D, Shimadzu). Preliminary tests were performed using three doses of all the synthesized indole derivatives and the insecticide (0.1, 1.0 and 2.5 µg of substance/mg of insect). From these tests, at least six doses were determined from the dose–mortality curves. Four replicates were performed for each treatment (N = 96).

Bioassays were conducted in Petri dishes (Global Trade Technology, Monte Alto, SP, Brazil) (6.0 cm diam.× 1.5 cm ht.) covered with moistened filter paper (UniFil, Alvorada, RS, Brazil) (0.3 mL of distilled water) and containing a cabbage leaf disc (2.5 cm). Ten larvae, treated with 0.5 µL of solution using a microsyringe (Hamilton[®], Reno, NV, USA), were placed on each leaf disk. The Petri dishes containing treated larvae were covered with plastic film (Dispafilm, Guarulhos, SP, Brazil) and kept in a biochemical oxygen demand (B.O.D.) incubator (Biotech[®], Piracicaba, SP, Brazil) at 25 ± 1 °C, $>70 \pm 10\%$ relative humidity and 12-h photoperiod (12-h L:12-h D). Evaluations of mortality were performed 24 h after beginning the bioassays. The insects were considered dead when they remained immobile after being touched with a fine paintbrush.

Survival analysis The LD₉₀ obtained for the treatments in the LD bioassay were used to determine the survival curves and lethal times (LTs) required to kill 50% of the population (LT₅₀). The procedures were the same as those adopted in the bioassays of LD; however, 10 repetitions were performed for each treatment (N = 40). The mortality of individuals was evaluated every 2 h in the first 24 h, followed by 4-h intervals in the following 24 h, and, after that, every 12 h until 20% larval mortality was achieved in the negative control.

Lethal concentration Preliminary tests were performed using three concentrations (0.1, 1.0 and 10%) of the treatments. Subsequently, six concentrations (between the minimum and maximum mortality interval) were determined to obtain the concentration–mortality curves. Four replicates were performed for each treatment (N = 96).

Bioassays were conducted in Petri dishes, as described in the LD bioassays. The leaf discs of cabbage were dipped in solutions for 10 s. After 30 min, the dried discs were transferred to Petri dishes. The evaluations of mortality were performed 48 h after beginning the bioassays.

Feeding behavior Leaf cabbage consumption was determined following the same procedures used in lethal concentration (LC) bioassays, using the lower sublethal concentration of the treatment's solution (0.1%). After 48 h, the leaf discs were collected and photographed. The leaf area consumed was quantified using NIS Elements software (version 4.5).

Avoidance behavior Choice bioassays were performed in Petri dishes $(6.0 \text{ cm diam.} \times 1.5 \text{ cm ht.})$ lined with moist filter paper (0.3 mL of distilled water) and containing a cabbage leaf disc (6 cm). Each leaf disc was made so that the leaf's central vein delimited two areas of equal size. Half

of the leaf disc was treated with the negative control, and the other with a solution at the LC_{50} of the treatments. Each half of the disk was carefully immersed in the solution for 10 s, and after 30 min, were transferred dry to the Petri dish. Sixty repetitions were performed for each treatment, totaling 240 arenas.

In the center of each arena, a larva previously marked with red, non-toxic textile ink (Acrilex Special Tapes S.A., São Bernardo do Campo, SP, Brazil) was released 1 h before starting the bioassay. In each arena, the walking of larva was recorded for 30 min using a video camera (Panasonic SD5 Super Dynamic WV-CP504) equipped with a Spacecom lens (1/3'' 3–8 mm) coupled to a computer with EthoVision XT software (version 8.5; Noldus Integration System, Sterling, VA, USA). Data were analyzed in the Studio 9 software (Pinnacle Systems, Mountain View, CA, USA). The duration of insects in the treated and non-treated areas was recorded.

Bioassays with non-target hymenopteran species

Obtaining insects Active nests of *P. scutellaris, P. sericea* and *P. rejecta* were collected at the *campus* of UFS. *A. mellifera* foraging bees were collected in the municipality of Barra dos Coqueiros, SE, Brazil (10°48′S, 36°58′W, and altitude of 14 m). The wasp nests and the honeybees were kept, separately, in plastic containers (7L), covered with organza mesh under ambient condition. The honeybees were fed with 50% sucrose solution. No food was provided to wasps.

Bioassays were performed in Petri dishes (9.0 cm diam. \times 1.5 cm ht.) covered by filter paper and containing one glass vial (1.5 \times 0.7 cm) with 0.5 mL of 50% sucrose solution. Each bioassay repetition consisted of seven treated individuals for each Petri dish. Insects were considered dead when they remained immobile after being touched with a fine paintbrush.

Selectivity by topical application In the pronotun region of each insect, a 1 μ L aliquot of one of the treatments was applied, using a microsyringe. For all treatments, the solutions were applied at the predetermined LD₉₀ for *P. xylostella*. The treated individuals were previously kept in a freezer at -10 °C for 2 min in order to reduce their activity. The Petri dishes containing the treated insects were covered by a plastic film with small holes for ventilation. Evaluations of mortality were performed 24 h after beginning the bioassays.

Selectivity by foliar contact Cabbage leaf discs were immersed for 10 s in solutions of treatments at the predetermined LC_{90} for *P. xylostella*. After 30 min, the completely dry leaf discs were transferred to Petri dishes,

followed by the individual insects. Evaluations of mortality were performed 48 h after beginning the bioassays.

Statistical analysis

Data of mortality were submitted to probit analyses to determine the dose–mortality and concentration–mortality curves of each compound, using the PROC PROBIT procedure. From these curves, the LDs and LCs required to cause 50% (LD₅₀) and 90% (LD₉₀) of mortality, and their respective confidence intervals at 95% of probability (CI₉₅), were obtained. The LDs and LCs were compared by the criterion of not overlapping the CI with the origin of the interval.

The survival analyzes were performed using Kaplan–Meier estimates from the proportion of surviving insects at the beginning to the end of the experiment, using the log-rank test. From these analyzes, survival curves and LTs to cause mortality in 50% of individuals (LT₅₀) were obtained. Differences among these curves were verified by the Holm–Sidak multiple comparison method (P < 0.05) (SigmaPlot 11.0).

The response variables, namely, leaf consumption, avoidance behavior of *P. xylostella* larvae and the selectivity of non-target Hymenoptera, were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's test (P < 0.05) (PROC GLM with Tukey's test; SAS). Data were previously analyzed for conformity with the assumptions of normality, using SAS software (SAS 2008).

Results

Bioassays with Plutella xylostella

Lethal dose

All indole derivatives were toxic, by contact, to *P. xylostella* larvae. Derivative **2a**, obtained from tryptamine, exhibited the lowest toxicity among all tested compounds ($LD_{50} = 6.33 \,\mu g \, mg^{-1}$). Instead, compound **4e**, synthesized from indole, exhibited the highest toxicity among all compounds ($LD_{50} = 0.42 \,\mu g \, mg^{-1}$) (Table 1).

Among the compounds obtained from tryptamine, six (**2b**, **2d**, **2e**, **2f**, **2h** and **2i**) were more toxic than tryptamine. The other compounds (**2a**, **2c** and **2g**) showed toxicity similar to tryptamine. The highest activity was produced by compound **2e** ($LD_{50} = 0.70 \ \mu g \ mg^{-1}$), which was 7.97-fold more active than tryptamine (Table 1).

Eight compounds (**4b**, **4c**, **4e**, **4g**, **4h**, **4i**, **4j** and **5**) synthesized from indole were more active than indole, with emphasis on compound **4e** ($LD_{50} = 0.42 \,\mu g \, mg^{-1}$), which

displayed 12.50-fold higher activity. Compounds **4a**, **4d** and **4f** presented similar potencies to indole (Table 1).

Compound **4e** showed higher insecticidal activity than deltamethrin while three compounds (**2d**, **2e** and **2i**) showed similar potency to deltamethrin. However, the remaining evaluated derivatives were less active than deltamethrin (Table 1).

Survival analysis

The survival of *P. xylostella* larvae, exposed to the most (**2a**) and least toxic (**4e**) compounds at the LD₉₀ was significantly reduced over time (log-rank test: $\chi^2 = 205.69$; *d.f.* = 2; *P* < 0.001) (Fig. 1).

Deltamethrin exhibited the lowest lethal time, followed by compounds **4e** and **2a**. The survival curves of compounds **2a** and **4e** differed from each other, whereas, there was no significant difference between the curves of deltamethrin and the compound **4e** (P < 0.05) (Fig. 1).

Lethal concentration

Derivatives **2a** and **4e** were toxic to the *P. xylostella* larvae. The LC₅₀ of both substances showed significantly higher activity than deltamethrin. The compound **4e** (LC₅₀ = 0.017 mg mL⁻¹) was around 8- and 20-fold more potent than **2a** (LC₅₀ = 0.14 mg mL⁻¹) and deltamethrin (LC₅₀ = 0.33 mg mL⁻¹), respectively.

Analysis of the LC₉₀ values revealed that compound **4e** $(LC_{90} = 0.08 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$ showed higher potency, followed by deltamethrin $(LC_{90} = 0.44 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$ and **2a** $(LC_{90} = 0.84 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$ (Fig. 2).

Feeding behavior

The leaf consumption by *P. xylostella* larvae was significantly different among treatments ($F_{3,12} = 48.16$; *P* < 0.03). The lowest consumption was observed when the plant was treated with compound **4e** (154.11 ± 13.44 mm²), followed by deltamethrin (232.75 ± 17.91 mm²) and **2a** (309.31 ± 34.97 mm²) when compared with the control (490.87 ± 0.00 mm²) (Fig. 3).

Avoidance behavior

Compounds **2a** and **4e** did not induce avoidance behavior in *P. xylostella* larvae, and there was no significant difference in the time spent by individuals between treated and non-treated areas (**2a**: $F_{1,118} = 0.06$, P = 0.80; **4e**: $F_{1,118} = 0.99$, P = 0.32). However, larvae avoided the areas treated with deltamethrin ($F_{1,118} = 21.35$; P < 0.02), spending 70% of the time in the non-treated area (Fig. 4).

Table 1 Toxicity by topical application of the indole derivatives on *Plutella xylostella* after 24 h of exposure

Code	Compounds	No of insects	$LD_{50} \; (CI_{95\%}) \; (\mu g \; m g^{-1})$	$LD_{90} \; (CI_{95\%}) \; (\mu g \; m g^{-1})$	Slope	χ^2	Р
1	Tryptamine	280	5.58 (4.42-6.84)	43.46 (25.99–117.17)	1.44	0.50	0.78
2a	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) acetamide	240	6.33 (5.67–7.13)	18.60 (14.67–26.84)	2.74	0.45	0.80
2b	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) propanamide	320	2.26 (1.86-2.74)	13.10 (9.51-20.20)	1.68	1.39	0.50
2c	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) butanamide	280	5.12 (3.67–7.19)	111.67 (57.47–311.84)	0.96	0.59	0.75
2d	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) pentanamide	240	0.84 (0.65-1.07)	8.59 (5.49–16.70)	1.27	1.43	0.50
2e	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) hexanamide	240	0.70 (0.44-1.04)	37.18 (15.38–171.97)	0.74	1.85	0.60
2f	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) heptanamide	240	2.46 (1.82–3.35)	39.59 (22.87-86.45)	1.06	1.49	0.52
2g	N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) 2-methylpropanamide	240	4.39 (3.30–5.76)	62.68 (32.53-208.68)	1.11	2.13	0.34
2h	2-chloro-N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl) acetamide	240	2.50 (1.95-3.31)	23.94 (14.12-53.64)	1.30	0.09	0.95
2i	2,2,2-trichloro- <i>N</i> -(2-(1 <i>H</i> -indol-3-yl)ethyl) acetamide	280	0.85 (0.65–1.10)	13.75 (8.29–28.65)	1.06	2.88	0.58
3	Indole	240	5.25 (4.70-5.90)	15.21 (12.10-21.54)	2.77	0.80	0.67
4a	1-(1 <i>H</i> -indol-3-yl)ethanone	320	3.82 (3.02-4.91)	60.29 (35.52–129.50)	1.07	3.49	0.52
4b	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)propan-1-one	240	1.94 (1.38-2.68)	38.97 (22.97-80.16)	0.98	0.20	0.90
4c	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)butan-1-one	240	1.00 (0.68–1.47)	23.55 (13.28-49.23)	0.93	0.77	0.68
4d	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)pentan-1-one	240	4.53 (4.18-4.93)	9.51 (8.24–11.59)	3.97	0.23	0.89
4e	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)hexan-1-one	240	0.42 (0.32-0.50)	2.41 (1.61-5.29)	1.68	2.07	0.36
4f	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)heptan-1-one	280	5.00 (4.57-5.52)	12.73 (10.78–15.83)	3.16	1.73	0.63
4g	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one	320	1.27 (0.95–1.65)	24.10 (15.69-43.23)	1.00	3.30	0.51
4h	1-(1H-indol-3-yl)-3-methylbutan-1-one	240	3.62 (3.00-4.48)	18.92 (13.17-31.55)	1.78	0.59	0.75
4 i	1-(1H-Indol-3-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-butan-1-one	280	2.37 (1.88-3.01)	21.63 (13.81-41.80)	1.33	1.51	0.53
4j	1-(1 <i>H</i> -indol-3-yl)(phenyl)methanone	240	2.77 (2.23-3.32)	16.20 (11.61–27.26)	1.67	1.64	0.55
5	Tosyl indole	280	2.50 (2.27-2.76)	7.05 (5.84–9.22)	2.85	2.28	0.52
	Deltamethrin	240	1.19 (0.90–1.56)	11.28 (7.67–18.78)	1.31	1.16	0.56

 $LD_{50} = lethal$ dose required to kill 50% of population

 $LD_{90} =$ lethal dose required to kill 90% of population

 $CI_{95\%} = Confidence$ intervals at 95%

Bioassays with non-target hymenopteran species

Selectivity by topical application

The survival of *A. mellifera*, *P. scutellaris*, *P. sericea* and *P. rejecta* did not differ from the control treatment when topically treated with the LD_{90} of compounds **2a** and **4e** for *P. xylostella*. The percentage of surviving individuals exposed to both derivatives was higher than 85% for all species, differing significantly from deltamethrin, which showed only 5% survival of individuals (Fig. 5a).

Selectivity by foliar contact

The survival of individuals of *A. mellifera*, *P. scutellaris*, *P. sericea* and *P. rejecta* did not differ from the control after leaf contact with the LC₉₀ of compounds **2a** and **4e**. For all species tested, the percentage of surviving insects exposed to the two synthesized substances was higher than 85%, differing significantly from deltamethrin treatment, in which there were no survivors (Fig. 5b).

Discussion

The present study highlights the efficacy of indole derivatives for the control of *P. xylostella*, emphasizing compound 4e [1-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)hexan-1-one], which was more efficient than deltamethrin, a product that has been causing induction of resistance in several populations of this insect pest. The compound 4e showed high selectivity to target species rather than different non-target hymenopteran species when compared with the synthetic insecticide deltamethrin.

Synthesized indole derivatives showed insecticidal activity against *P. xylostella* larvae. Studies have revealed that biological activity may be modulated by the presence of certain chemical chains or groups present in the structure of a compound (Santos et al. 2011; Barbosa et al. 2012; Oliveira et al. 2014; Zhou et al. 2014; Lima et al. 2015; Nguyen et al. 2015; Gallardo et al. 2016). Some research also predicts a greater biological activity by molecular structures with shorter chains than longer chains (Nguyen et al. 2015; Gallardo et al. 2016). In the present study, all



Fig. 1 Survival curves and mean lethal time (LT₅₀) of *Plutella xylostella* exposed via topical application to the lethal dose (LD₉₀) of the more and less toxic synthesized derivatives (**4e** and **2a**, respectively). LT₅₀ = lethal time required to kill 50% of the population



Fig. 3 Leaf consumption by *Plutella xylostella* exposed via ingestion to the solutions (0.1%) of the more and less toxic derivatives (**4e** and **2a**, respectively) after 48 h. Means followed by the same letters do not differ by Tukey's test (P < 0.05)

synthesized compounds exhibited insecticidal activity, either higher or similar to their respective parent materials (indole and tryptamine), but their activities were independent of the length of the chains. These variations in the biological activity of members of a homologous series could be related to the addition of different chemical groups that interfere with at least one of the following processes: (i) specific drug–receptor interaction related to target sites; (ii) insect metabolism through excretion of bioactive substances (Ing 1964); and (iii) changes in physical properties, such as solubility (Raison and Standen 1955).

The susceptibility of the *P. xylostella* larvae to **4e** was superior to the other treatments (Table 1). This higher activity seems to be associated with its mode of action, which is



Fig. 2 Concentration-mortality curves of *Plutella xylostella* exposed via ingestion to the more and less toxic derivatives (**4e** and **2a**, respectively), after 48 h. LC_{50} = lethal concentration required to kill 50% of the population



Fig. 4 Avoidance behavior of *Plutella xylostella* individuals in the function of exposure to the more and less toxic derivatives (**4e** and **2a**, respectively) for 30 min. An asterisk indicates time spent by individuals between treated and non-treated areas differs by Tukey's test (P < 0.05). *n.s.* = not significant

distinct from that observed by deltamethrin. Although 4e and deltamethrin are both neurotoxic, they act via different pathways or mode of actions. The main target of the pyrethroid deltamethrin is the sodium channels in the membranes of nerve cells (Endersby et al. 2011; Du et al. 2016) while derivative 4e appears to act on the kynurenine pathway by blocking conversion reactions of kynurenines (neurotoxic metabolites) to more stable compounds. In insects, kynurenine can cause motor dysfunction, paralysis and instant death (Cerstiaens et al. 2003). Additionally, the differences in activity between 4e and deltamethrin against P. xylostella could be related to the insect's resistance to these compounds. Under natural conditions, resistance mechanisms of P. xylostella to indole compounds have been described only for a restricted group of substances of the defense complex (glucosinolate-myrosinase or indole glucosinolates) produced by Brassicaceae plants (Ratzka et al. 2002). Moreover, reports of P. xylostella resistance to the synthesized indole derivatives have not appeared in the literature, in contrast to the plethora of research on deltamethrin.



Fig. 5 Selectivity of *Polybia scutellaris*, *Polybia sericea*, *Polybia rejecta* and *Apis mellifera* exposed via topical application (**a**) and leaf contact (**b**) to the more and less toxic derivatives (**4e** and **2a**, respectively) and deltamethrin after 24 h. Means followed by the same letters do not differ by Tukey's test (P < 0.05)

As observed with deltamethrin, derivatives 2a and 4e showed rapid insecticidal action against P. xylostella larvae over time (Fig. 1). The higher efficiency of compound 4e compared with 2a may be the result of the blocking rate of the kynurenine stabilization reaction, requiring less time to produce toxicity against the insect. In addition to contact toxicity, compounds 2a and 4e also showed insecticidal activity by ingestion (Fig. 2), reduced the leaf consumption by the insects (Fig. 3) and did not cause escape behavior of P. xylostella larvae (Fig. 4). Although the food channel of lepidopteran larvae constitutes a barrier to insecticidal action, certain toxic agents can overcome this barrier by morphological alterations in the middle intestine or by the insensitivity of the detoxifying/digestive enzymes, as already observed in P. xylostella (Correia et al. 2009; Ramya et al. 2016). Indole derivatives promote insect neurotoxicity via the accumulation of kynurenines in the nervous system (Han et al. 2002; Rossi et al. 2006; Han et al. 2007), causing paralysis and severe or irreversible motor dysfunction (Cerstiaens et al. 2003). The reduced feeding behavior of P. xylostella larvae observed with compounds 2a and 4e seems to be associated with paralysis, caused by the accumulation of kynurenine (Chiou et al. 1998). Such toxicity, coupled with the fact that the indole compounds did not cause avoidance behavior in the larvae, suggests a possible future use for management. Individuals of *P. xylostella* were maintained on the leaves treated with compound **4e**, favoring the contact with the insecticide while reducing the leaf consumption. Conversely, we observed that larvae avoided areas treated with deltamethrin, which limits their control action through ingestion. Similar avoidance behavior has also been noticed with other pyrethroids, such as gamma-cyhalothrin (Nansen et al. 2016).

In addition to presenting high toxicity potential, compounds 2a and 4e were also selective to P. scutellaris, P. sericea, P. rejecta and A. mellifera, by topical application and leaf contact (Fig. 5). The levels of kynurenine are normally elevated in the egg and larval stages (Han et al. 2002), reaching the peak levels in the pupa stage (Cerstiaens et al. 2003). This compound appears to be strongly associated with the paralysis and metamorphosis of insects. In adult insects, such substances are recognized as causing motor dysfunctions. Thus, initially, a certain toxic effect was expected on non-target insects (pollinators and predators). The observed tolerance may be associated with the low penetration of the insecticide in the cuticle, due to differences in solubility of the compounds or by the increased metabolism of the insecticide and modification at the target site (Guirado et al. 2009). Instead, deltamethrin did not show selectivity for the species tested by topical application or by foliar contact. The wasps and bee mortalities were higher than 95% by topical application and reached 100% by leaf contact (Fig. 5). Previous studies have also reported high toxicity of deltamethrin (Galvan et al. 2002; Santos et al. 2003; Sharma and Abrol 2005; Bacci et al. 2009) and other pyrethroids (Sharma and Abrol 2005; Fernandes et al. 2008; Bacci et al. 2009; Barros et al. 2015) for different species of wasps (Galvan et al. 2002; Fernandes et al. 2008; Bacci et al. 2009) and bees (Sharma and Abrol 2005; Fernandes et al. 2008), including P. scutellaris, P. sericea and A. mellifera.

Selectivity studies have great importance to minimize the negative impact of insecticides on beneficial organisms, which are essential to ensure ecosystem integrity (Potts et al. 2010). The industry and public policy have failed in the past to determine the acceptable insecticides LDs that are non-harmful to beneficial organisms, such as honey bees (Sánchez-Bayo et al. 2016). In the present study, we verify the low toxicity of indole compounds to natural enemies and pollinator. However, we caution that additional studies need to be developed in natural populations, including the lethal and sublethal effects of the compounds in different castes under field conditions.

In summary, the synthesized indole derivatives presented high insecticidal potency against *P. xylostella* and reduced the feeding by this insect. In addition, the high selectivity demonstrated for *A. mellifera*, *P. scutellaris*, *P. sericea* and *P. rejecta* suggests that the synthesized indole derivatives may have a low negative impact on pollinator insects and natural enemies under natural conditions. Further studies should focus on the development of viable formulations for practical use in the field.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Ahuja I, van Dam NM, Winge P et al. (2015) Plant defence responses in oilseed rape mineless plants after attack by the cabbage moth *Mamestra brassicae*. J Exp Bot 66:579–592. https://doi.org/10. 1093/jxb/eru490
- Alvarenga E, Carneiro V, Resende G et al. (2012) Synthesis and insecticidal activity of an oxabicyclolactone and novel pyrethroids. Molecules 17:13989–14001. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules171213989
- Bacci L, Picanço MC, da Silva ÉM et al. (2009) Seletividade fisiológica de inseticidas aos inimigos naturais de *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) em brássicas. Ciênc agrotec (Lavras) 33:2045–2051. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542009000700058
- Barbosa JD, Silva VB, Alves PB et al. (2012) Structure-activity relationships of eugenol derivatives against *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae. Pest Manag Sci 68:1478–1483. https://doi. org/10.1002/ps.3331
- Barros EC, Bacci L, Picanco MC et al. (2015) Physiological selectivity and activity reduction of insecticides by rainfall to predatory wasps of *Tuta absoluta*. J Environ Sci Heal - Part B Pest Food Contam Agric Wastes 50:45–54. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03601234.2015.965621
- Bortoli SA, Polanczyk R, Vacari A et al. (2013) Plutella xylostella (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): tactics for integrated pest management in brassicaceae. In: Soloneski S, Larramendy M (eds) Weed and pest control—conventional and new challenges. InTech, Rijeka, p 31–51
- Cerstiaens A, Huybrechts J, Kotanen S et al. (2003) Neurotoxic and neurobehavioral effects of kynurenines in adult insects. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 312:1171–1177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbrc.2003.11.051
- Chiou SJ, Kotanen S, Cerstiaens A et al. (1998) Purification of toxic compounds from larvae of the gray fleshfly: the identification of paralysins. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 246:457–462. https:// doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1998.8644
- Correa-Cuadros JP, Rodríguez-Bocanegra MX, Sáenz-Aponte A (2014) Susceptibility of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae; Linnaeus 1758) to Beauveria bassiana Bb9205, *Metarhizium anisopliae* Ma9236 and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* HNI0100. Univ Sci 19:277–285. https://doi.org/10.11144/Javeria na.SC19-2.spxl
- Correia AA, Wanderley-Teixeira V, Teixeira ÁAC et al. (2009) Morfologia do canal alimentar de lagartas de Spodoptera frugiperda (J E Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) alimentadas com folhas tratadas com nim. Neotrop Entomol 38:83–91. https://doi. org/10.1590/S1519-566X2009000100008

- Du Y, Nomura Y, Zhorov BS, Dong K (2016) Sodium channel mutations and pyrethroid resistance in *Aedes aegypti*. Insects 7:1–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects7040060
- Endersby NM, Viduka K, Baxter SW et al. (2011) Widespread pyrethroid resistance in Australian diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.), is related to multiple mutations in the para sodium channel gene. Bull Entomol Res 101:393–405. https://doi.org/10. 1017/S0007485310000684
- Feng M-L, Li Y-F, Zhu H-J et al. (2012) Design, synthesis, insecticidal activity and structure-activity relationship of 3,3-dichloro-2propenyloxy-containing phthalic acid diamide structures. Pest Manag Sci 68:986–994. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3243
- Fernandes ME, de S, Fernandes FL, Picanço MC et al. (2008) Physiological Selectivity of Insecticides to *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) and *Protonectarina sylveirae* (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) in Citrus. Sociobiology 51:1–10
- Gallardo E, Palma-Valdés R, Sarriá B et al. (2016) Synthesis and antioxidant activity of alkyl nitroderivatives of hydroxytyrosol. Molecules 21:656. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21050656
- Galvan TL, Picanço MC, Bacci L et al. (2002) Seletividade de oito inseticidas a predadores de lagartas em citros. Pesqui Agropecu Bras 37:117–122
- Guirado MM, Elly H, Campos M, De (2009) Alguns aspectos do controle populacional e da resistência a inseticidas em Aedes aegypti (Diptera, Culicidae) Some aspects of the population control and resistance to insecticides in Aedes. Inst Biociências, Let e Ciências Exatas 6:5–14
- Han Q, Beerntsen BT, Li J (2007) The tryptophan oxidation pathway in mosquitoes with emphasis on xanthurenic acid biosynthesis. J Insect Physiol 53:254–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys. 2006.09.004
- Han Q, Fang J, Li J (2002) 3-hydroxykynurenine transaminase identity with alanine glyoxylate transaminase. J Biol Chem 277: 15781–15787. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M201202200
- Hasler CM (1998) Functional foods: their role in disease in prevention and health promotion. Food Technol 52:57–62
- Higdon JV, Delage B, Williams DE, Dashwood RH (2007) Cruciferous vegetables and human cancer risk: epidemiologic evidence and mechanistic basis. Pharm Res 55:224–236. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.phrs.2007.01.009
- Ing HR (1964) The pharmacology of homologous series. In: Progress in drug research, 7th edn. Birkhäuser Basel, Basileia, p 305–339
- Kaushik NK, Kushik N, Attri P, Kumar N, Kim CH, Verma AK, Choi EH (2013) Biomedical importance of indoles. Molecules 18:6620–6662. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules18066620
- Lima TC, Santos SRL, Uliana MP et al. (2015) Oxime derivatives with larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* L. Parasitol Res 114:2883–2891. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4489-9
- Lin CL, Yeh SC, Feng HT, Dai SM (2017) Inheritance and stability of mevinphos-resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (L.), with special reference to mutations of acetylcholinesterase 1. Pest Biochem Physiol 141:65–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2016.11.008
- Nansen C, Baissac O, Nansen M et al. (2016) Behavioral avoidance will physiological insecticide resistance level of insect strains affect their oviposition and movement responses? PLoS ONE 11:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149994
- Nguyen B, Chompoo J, Tawata S (2015) Insecticidal and nematicidal activities of novel mimosine derivatives. Molecules 20: 16741–16756. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules200916741
- Novo MCSS, Prela-Pantano A, Trani PE, Blat SF (2010) Desenvolvimento e produção de genótipos de couve manteiga. Hortic Bras 28:321–325
- Okuda S, Nishiyama N, Saito H, Katsuki H (2002) 3-hydroxykynurenine, an endogenous oxidative stress generator, causes neuronal cell death with apoptotic features and region selectivity.

J Neurochem 70:299–307. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159. 1998.70010299.x

- Oliveira RRB, Brito TB, Nepel A et al. (2014) Synthesis, activity, and QSAR studies of tryptamine derivatives on third-instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti* Linn. Med Chem (Los Angel) 10:580–587
- Ottoni O, Neder AVF, Dias AKB et al. (2001) Acylation of indole under Friedel-Crafts conditions-an improved method to obtain 3acylindoles regioselectively. Org Lett 3:1005–1007. https://doi. org/10.1021/ol007056i
- Potts SG, Biesmeijer JC, Kremen C, Neumann P, Schweiger O, Kunin WE (2010) Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends Ecol Evol 25:345–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tree.2010.01.007
- Raison CG, Standen OD (1955) The schistosomicidal activity of symmetrical diaminodiphenoxyalkanes. Br J Pharm Chemother 10:191–199
- Ramya SL, Venkatesan T, Srinivasa Murthy KS et al. (2016) Detection of carboxylesterase and esterase activity in culturable gut bacterial flora isolated from diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linnaeus), from India and its possible role in indoxacarb degradation. Brazilian. J Microbiol 47:327–336. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bjm.2016.01.012
- Ratzka A, Vogel H, Kliebenstein DJ et al. (2002) Disarming the mustard oil bomb. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:11223–11228. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.172112899
- Rossi F, Garavaglia S, Giovenzana GB et al. (2006) Crystal structure of the Anopheles gambiae 3-hydroxykynurenine transaminase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:5711–5716. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0510233103
- Roszkowski P, Wojtasiewicz K, Leniewski A et al. (2005) Enantioselective synthesis of 1-substituted tetrahydro-β-carboline derivatives via the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation. J Mol Catal A Chem 232:143–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2005.01. 044
- Sánchez-Bayo F, Goulson D, Pennacchio F, Nazzi F, Goka K, Desneux N (2016) Are bee diseases linked to pesticides? - a brief review. Environ Int 89–90:7–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint. 2016.01.009
- Santos LP, Resende JJ, Santos GM, de M et al. (2003) Seletividade de inseticidas a *Polybia* (Trichothorax) *sericea* (Oliver, 1791) (Hymenoptera, Vespidae) em condições de laboratório. Rev Bras Zoociências 5:33–44

- Santos SRL, Melo MA, Cardoso AV et al. (2011) Structure-activity relationships of larvicidal monoterpenes and derivatives against *Aedes aegypti* Linn. Chemosphere 84:150–153. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.018
- SAS Institute (2008). User's Manual, Version 9.2. SAS Institute, Cary, NC
- Sharma D, Abrol DP (2005) Contact toxicity of some insecticides to honeybee *Apis mellifera* (L) and *Apis cerana* (F.). J Asia Pac Entomol 8:113–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1226-8615(08) 60079-5
- Song Z, Chen C-P, Liu J et al. (2016) Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of (2E)-(2-oxo-1, 2-dihydro-3H-indol-3-ylidene)acetate derivatives as anti-proliferative agents through ROS-induced cell apoptosis. Eur J Med Chem 124:809–819. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ejmech.2016.09.005
- Souza PHM, Souza Neto MH, Maia GA (2003) Componentes funcionais nos alimentos. Bol da SBCTA 37:127-135
- Sun J, Zhou Y (2015) Design, synthesis, and insecticidal activity of some novel diacylhydrazine and acylhydrazone derivatives. Molecules 20:5625–5637. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules20045625
- Troczka B, Zimmer CT, Elias J, Schorn C, Bass C, Davies TGE, Field LM, Williamson MS, Slater R, Nauen R (2012) Resistance to diamide insecticides in diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) is associated with a mutation in the membrane-spanning domain of the ryanodine receptor. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 42:873–880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb. 2012.09.001
- Yang J-C, Li M, Wu Q et al. (2016) Design, synthesis and insecticidal evaluation of aryloxy dihalopropene derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem 24:383–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.09.030
- Zalucki MP, Shabbir A, Silva R et al. (2012) Estimating the economic cost of one of the world's major insect pests, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): just how long is a piece of string? J Econ Entomol 105:1115–1129. https://doi.org/10.1603/EC12107
- Zhang C, Qu Y, Wu X et al. (2015) Eco-friendly insecticide discovery via peptidomimetics: design, synthesis, and aphicidal activity of novel insect kinin analogues. J Agric Food Chem 63:4527–4532. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b01225
- Zhou S, Gu Y, Liu M et al. (2014) Insecticidal activities of chiral Ntrifluoroacetyl sulfilimines as potential ryanodine receptor modulators. J Agric Food Chem 62:11054–11061. https://doi.org/10. 1021/jf503513n